



Richard  
Neumann  
Architect

610 Grand Avenue, Petoskey, Michigan 49770, 231 347-5324



29 May 2024

Katie Pereny, Secretary  
Historic District Commission  
City of Mackinac Island  
P.O. Box 455  
Mackinac Island, MI 49757

File No. RI24-023-030(H)

Exhibit F

Date 5.30.24

Initials KP

Re: **PULTE COTTAGE NEW GAZEBO**  
Design Review

Dear Ms. Pereny:

I have reviewed the proposed new gazebo to be placed in the backyard of the residence at 6823 Huron Street in the East End Mission District.

Should you have any questions, please contact me.

Sincerely,

RICHARD NEUMANN ARCHITECT

Rick Neumann

- c. Tatiana Durantz, Applicant  
Dennis Dombroski, City of Mackinac Island  
Gary Rentrop, Rentrop & Morrison



Richard  
Neumann  
Architect

610 Grand Avenue, Petoskey, Michigan 49770, 231.347.0931

29 May 2024

## DESIGN REVIEW

### **PULTE COTTAGE NEW GAZEBO**

6823 Huron Street

East End Mission Historic District  
Mackinac Island, Michigan

## INTRODUCTION

The proposed project is the construction of a new backyard gazebo at 6823 Huron Street in the East End Mission Historic District. The house is a Contributing structure in the district.

This design review is based on City Code Sec. 10-161 "Design Review Standards and Guidelines", of Article V. "Historic District", of the City of Mackinac Island Ordinance No. 443, adopted October 21, 2009. The review standards are those of the Department of the Interior entitled "The United States Secretary of the Interior's Standards for Rehabilitation" and "Guidelines for Rehabilitating Historic Buildings", as set forth in 36 CFR, part 67, as well as the factors set forth in City Code Sec. 10-161(b).

Materials submitted for Review consist of photos of the proposed site and of the proposed gazebo, dated 23 May 2024.

## REVIEW

Of the Secretary of the Interior's Standards, and the Standards Under Sec. 10-161(b), the applicable Standards for review are the following:

**Standard 9** - *"New additions, exterior alterations, or related new construction shall not destroy historic materials that characterize the property. The new work shall be differentiated from the old and shall be compatible with the massing, size and architectural features to protect the historic integrity of the property and its environment."*

The proposed gazebo would not destroy materials that characterize the property, would be differentiated from the historic house, and would be compatible with the massing, size and architectural features of the property.

**Standard 10** - *"New additions and adjacent or related new construction shall be undertaken in such a manner that if removed in the future, the essential form and integrity of the historic property and its environment would be unimpaired."*

The gazebo could easily be removed in the future without impairing the essential form and integrity of the historic property.

**Standards Under Code Sec. 10-161(b)**

In reviewing applications, the Commission shall also consider all of the following:

**(1)** - *"The historic or architectural value and significance of the resource and its relationship to the historic value of the surrounding area."*

The proposed gazebo would be an appropriate complement to the architectural value of the property.

**(2)** - *"The relationship of any architectural features of the resource to the rest of the resource and to the surrounding area."*

Gazebos were characteristic yard elements of historic residential environments, and as such, the proposed gazebo would create a compatible relationship with the house and the surrounding area.

**(3)** - *"The general compatibility of the design, arrangement, texture and materials proposed to be used."*

The design of the proposed new gazebo is compatible with the historic property, and as proposed to be constructed of wood, would fit into the texture and appearance of the house.

**(4)** - *"Other factors, such as aesthetic value, that the Commission finds relevant."*

The proposed new gazebo would be a positive aesthetic addition to the district.

**CONCLUSION**

The proposed new gazebo would meet the Standards for review.

**END OF REVIEW**