## 1 APPEARANCES: 2 Los Gatos Planning Steve Raspe, Chair 3 Commissioners: Emily Thomas, Vice Chair Jeffrey Barnett 4 Susan Burnett Melanie Hanssen 5 6 Town Manager: Chris Constantin 7 Community Development Joel Paulson 8 Director: Town Attorney: Gabrielle Whelan 10 11 Vicki L. Blandin Transcribed by: (619) 541-3405 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

)

## PROCEEDINGS:

VICE CHAIR THOMAS: We will be moving on to Item 5 on our agenda, which is to forward a recommendation to the Town Council on amendments to Chapter 29 (Zoning Regulations) of the Town Code regarding considerations for an Architecture and Site Application, findings for a Conditional Use Permit, Manufactured Housing, and Private Open Space and Community Recreation Space requirements pursuant to Implementation Program AQ of the 2023-2031 Housing Element. Adoption of this ordinance is exempt pursuant to CEQA, Section 15601(b)(3), in that it can be seen with certainty that it will not impact the environment. Town Code Amendment Application A-24-008, and the project location is Town Wide.

Ms. Shoopman has the Staff Report, I believe.

JOCELYN SHOOPMAN: Yes, thank you. Good evening.

Before the Planning Commission this evening for

consideration is Implementation Program AQ of the Town's

Housing Element, which requires various amendments to

Chapter 29 of the Town Code. The draft ordinance with the

proposed amendments can be viewed in Exhibit 2.

Program AQ includes 11 items, of which three have already been completed. One is pending adoption by the Town Council at their next meeting on December 17<sup>th</sup>, and two will be addressed through other implementation programs.

The draft ordinance before you this evening focuses on the remaining items, which include amendments to the considerations for an Architecture and Site Application with specific modifications to Findings 4 and 6, as direction by HCD; amendments to the findings for a Conditional Use Permit with specific modifications to Findings 1 and 2, as directed by HCD; an amendment to the definition of a single-family dwelling by adding that the definition also includes manufactured homes pursuant to Section 65852.3 of the Government Code; and lastly, amendments to the private open space and the community recreation space requirements for both a multi-family and condominium project to align them with the requirements provided in the Objective Design Standards.

In April, the Town will report completion of and progress made on this and other implementation programs to HCD to demonstrate compliance with the Town's certified Housing Element.

This concludes Staff's presentation, but I am available for any questions.

VICE CHAIR THOMAS: Thank you, Ms. Shoopman. Do any members of the Commission have questions for Staff before we open for public comment? Yes, Commissioner Burnett.

COMMISSIONER BURNETT: Yes, question for Staff. So, again, is this only to the site inventory, these amendments?

JOCELYN SHOOPMAN: No, these amendments are Town wide; they're not limited to the sites inventory.

COMMISSIONER BURNETT: I see, and when it was mentioned in the Staff Report that the amendments to Chapter 29 of the Town Code are consistent with the General Plan, I did not find that in the General Plan. Where are these amendments in Chapter 29 consistent in the General Plan?

DIRECTOR PAULSON: From Staff's perspective, they are not in the General Plan, they are in the Zoning Code, but the Zoning Code is consistent with the General Plan, and so these modifications to existing findings from our perspective are also in conformance with the General Plan.

COMMISSIONER BURNETT: So, you're saying the amendments to Chapter 29 of the Town Code are consistent with the General Plan?

DIRECTOR PAULSON: Yes, because they have to be. We don't have a choice. Every Zoning Code amendment has to be consistent with the General Plan; it's a requirement of State law.

COMMISSIONER BURNETT: But where in the General Plan is this mentioned?

not mentioned in the General Plan. The Zoning Code is implementing the General Plan, but they don't have the same specific language or topics in an identical form across any of a number of topics.

COMMISSIONER BURNETT: That's very interesting. Thank you.

VICE CHAIR THOMAS: Commissioner Hanssen.

COMMISSIONER HANSSEN: I just have a clarifying question for Staff. You mentioned the 11 items, and then there is well not this one, but then one is going to be... So, would it be correct to say—I'm on page 145 at the bottom—those are the things that we need to forward a recommendation on? I'm just trying to figure out a right

way to characterize the 11 items. Everything but one, two, three, four, five. Do you see where I'm going? Help us to figure out how to present this thing.

DIRECTOR PAULSON: The last item, the attachment, I think it's probably Exhibit 2, contains the amendments, that's what you're forwarding, so that only encompasses the items that are specifically being addressed tonight. That's the simplest way to make sure that you capture what we're looking at, but if there are modifications as you go through your discussion on the items before you this evening, then again, as was done before, there could be requested recommendations to the Town Council for amendments or otherwise.

COMMISSIONER HANSSEN: So, another way to say this then would be in Exhibit 2, anything that's in red is what we are forwarding for recommendation versus trying to extract it from the overall implementation program.

DIRECTOR PAULSON: That's correct.

COMMISSIONER HANSSEN: Okay, thank you.

VICE CHAIR THOMAS: Any other questions for Staff? I will now open the public comment, and I don't have any speaker cards. Are there any hands raised on Zoom for Item 5?

DIRECTOR PAULSON: There is no one on Zoom.

VICE CHAIR THOMAS: Thank you. I will now close the public comment period and open it up for discussion.

I'm looking for discussion, a motion from the Commission, or additional questions for Staff. Commissioner Barnett.

COMMISSIONER BARNETT: I don't have any problem with the language in the proposed amendments in Attachment 2 to the Staff Report, and I could make an effort at providing a motion for consideration by the Planning Commission.

VICE CHAIR THOMAS: Yes, but can I just clarify something with Staff before you go ahead and make that motion?

COMMISSIONER BARNETT: Please.

VICE CHAIR THOMAS: Thank you. If we forward this recommendation as is to Town Council and it's adopted, there is just one outstanding item that will be covered by an overlap with a different implementation program?

JOCELYN SHOOPMAN: Yes, that's correct. There is a component of Program AQ, which is talking about nonresidential housing, and we are proposing to have that addressed through a separate implementation program that the Commission will be seeing in the springtime.

VICE CHAIR THOMAS: Great. So, that's the most efficient way it sounds like for the Town to deal with that, so that's lovely. Thank you.

Commissioner Barnett, if you would like to make your motion. Oh, no, Commissioner Burnett has another question.

COMMISSIONER BURNETT: Section 29.20.150,

Considerations in Review of Applications, we're looking at

#4, Consideration Relating to Site Layout. Removing some of
the verbiage there, I'm wondering why?

JOCELYN SHOOPMAN: Thank you for that question. This is specific language that the Town received from the HCD that we needed to remove.

COMMISSIONER BURNETT: Character of the neighborhood? Is that because it's subjective, or they want to have the objective?

JOCELYN SHOOPMAN: Yes. HCD provided these strikeouts removing the subjective terms from the findings.

COMMISSIONER BURNETT: But if you look at the description, it always goes back to the General Plan. If you look at the description found over and over again, this was the way our Town is described. It's not so much

subjective, it's a description. So, why can't we leave that kind of wording in? Harmony?

JOCELYN SHOOPMAN: Thanks for that question.

These are subjective terms that the Town was directed by

HCD to remove. If you look at Program AQ it makes reference

to providing more certainty in the outcomes, and so these

are subjective terms the HCD deemed should be removed as

they are not objective.

COMMISSIONER BURNETT: Okay, again, but we're talking about Town wide now. It doesn't bother me if it's for new projects and new sites, but now we're talking about Town wide that we have to be removing these kinds of terms: character, desirable.

DIRECTOR PAULSON: Yes, again, I know there has been a lot of discussion in the past about the small-town character and look and feel and all the subjective language. That again, as Ms. Shoopman mentioned, is specifically what was requested to be removed by the State as our implementation program. So that's, again, why it's before the Planning Commission.

COMMISSIONER BURNETT: But, again, I'm not trying to be argumentative here, but we're only talking about our

Housing Element, which only pertains, as far as I can look at it, as sites.

DIRECTOR PAULSON: No. I'll try to be clear. The housing implementation programs do not apply only to the housing sites. They apply to all housing in the entire town, existing and proposed moving forward. A misunderstanding, maybe, that you have is that the implementation programs only apply to the site. They do not. The sites are their own separate element of the Housing Element, but these implementation programs apply Town wide.

COMMISSIONER BURNETT: But developers or builders can choose whether they want to go on the fast track, which means they use the Objective Standard guidelines, or they can choose to go through our architectural design and have a more subjective review, so how can you make a blanket statement like that when there can be two different tracks you follow? If you follow a track that isn't using Objective Standards, then you would be able to use the subjective and you could use character, and you could use (inaudible).

DIRECTOR PAULSON: I'll start, and maybe the Town Attorney will have some additional comments.

We're taking all the projects, whether they're going through what you described as the subjective track or the objective track.

COMMISSIONER BURNETT: Right.

DIRECTOR PAULSON: We're asking for all the same things in both processes. The difference is that in the objective track we can't require the applicants to make those changes, even if we request them, whereas in the subjective side we can compel more of those. Like with single-family home, even the projects that are SB 330 are going to Larry Cannon. He's providing recommendations, but folks are choosing how many, or if any, of those they are interested in making given that we can only hold them to the Objective Standards.

So, nothing is going to change with the process, it's just some of the language. Again, it's from the State's perception that these subjective findings or considerations, again, are an obstacle, a barrier, to housing.

So far, it hasn't changed how Staff is processing either of those types of projects. We're processing the same exact way; we just in some cases can compel folks to do things, in other cases we cannot.

1	COMMISSIONER BURNETT: Okay, thank you.
2	VICE CHAIR THOMAS: Any additional questions or
3	comments? Are we ready for a motion?
4	COMMISSIONER BARNETT: I'll present a motion.
5	VICE CHAIR THOMAS: Thank you.
6	COMMISSIONER BARNETT: On Item 5 on the calendar
7	tonight, I move to forward a recommendation to the Town
8	Council on amendments to Chapter 29 (Zoning Regulations) to
9	the Town Code regarding considerations for an Architecture
10 11	and Site Application, findings for a Conditional Use
12	Permit, Manufactured Housing, and Private Open Space and
13	Community Recreation Space requirements pursuant to
14	Implementation Program AQ of the 2023-2031 Housing Element.
15	Adoption of this ordinance is exempt pursuant to CEQA,
16	Section 15061(b)(3), in that it can be seen with certainty
17	that it will not impact the environment. Town Code
18	Amendment Application A-24-008. I can make the findings for
19	CEQA on page 149 of the Staff Report as well as the
20	findings for the General Plan.
21	VICE CHAIR THOMAS: Commissioner Hanssen.
22	COMMISSIONER HANSSEN: I'll second the motion.
23	
24	

LOS GATOS PLANNING COMMISSION 12/11/2024, Item #5, Amendments to Town Code re: Architecture and Site Application, Conditional Use Permit, Manufactured Housing, Private Open Space, Community Recreation Space

25

1	VICE CHAIR THOMAS: Just to clarify, the Maker of
2	the Motion, that includes the language of the proposed
3	ordinance? That was included in it?
4	COMMISSIONER BARNETT: Yes, that's included.
5	VICE CHAIR THOMAS: Okay, thank you. Is there any
6	discussion? Commissioner Burnett.
7	COMMISSIONER BURNETT: Yes, discussion. I will
8	vote in favor of it to the Town Council, but I would like
9	to add that I have my trepidations about these amendments,
10	and I would hope the Town Council would look clearly at
11 12	them and make sure that we are on the right track.
13	VICE CHAIR THOMAS: Any other comments? Seeing
14	none, by a show of hands, all those in favor of the motion,
15	please raise your hand. The motion passes unanimously.
16	(END)
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

This Page Intentionally Left Blank