

From: Farley Road Neighborhood Coalition <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, April 17, 2026 1:50 PM
To: Gabrielle Whelan <GWhelan@losgatosca.gov>; Jocelyn Shoopman <jshoopman@losgatosca.gov>; Planning <Planning@losgatosca.gov>; Sean Mullin <SMullin@losgatosca.gov>; Alexa Nolder <ANolder@losgatosca.gov>; Chris Constantin <CConstantin@losgatosca.gov>; Allen Meyer <AMeyer@losgatosca.gov>; Joel Paulson <jpaulson@losgatosca.gov>; Town Manager <Manager@losgatosca.gov>; Kim Ratcliff <[REDACTED]>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>; Mark Leonard <[REDACTED]>; [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>
Cc: Farley Road Neighborhood Coalition <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Follow-up and Summary of April 16 Meeting: FRNC & WVMA

Dear Razi and Osman,

Thank you again for taking the time to meet on April 16. We appreciate the open dialogue and the opportunity to better understand WVMA’s perspective regarding the requested CUP modifications and operational considerations.

We want to follow up with a clear summary of our understanding of the discussion and the key outcomes from the meeting.

First, we appreciate the additional context you shared regarding WVMA’s interpretation of the proposed project, including the request to extend operating hours to accommodate prayer times and allow for orderly departure. We also acknowledge that the facility was acquired with awareness of its existing conditions and you expect that CUP modifications are a common practice.

Regarding mediation, the Farley Road Neighborhood Coalition (FRNC) remains actively engaged in researching neutral mediators with relevant expertise, particularly in areas such as land use and applicable regulatory frameworks. At this time, we have not reached a final decision on a mediator or funding approach. As discussed, we are open to participating in an initial, no-cost mediation session in May with a mutually agreed-upon mediator as a constructive first step, while continuing to evaluate longer-term options.

We also want to be candid that we were disappointed that WVMA brought no new or comprehensive proposals presented at the meeting to address the broader neighborhood impacts. That said, we are encouraged by the willingness expressed to revisit ideas, and we strongly urge WVMA to develop and share a more holistic plan that meaningfully addresses ongoing concerns.

As discussed, while some past efforts have focused on individual situations, the broader issues affecting the neighborhood remain unresolved—particularly those related to safety, traffic intensity, parking overflow, lighting impacts and operation hours . For example, measures such

as temporary cone placement have not proven to be a consistent or sustainable long-term solution, and current lighting conditions continue to create significant disruption for nearby homes.

Our goal remains to find balanced, practical solutions that support both religious use and the safety, privacy, and well-being of the surrounding residential community. We are committed to continuing this dialogue in good faith and are open to reviewing thoughtful, actionable proposals from WVMA that reflect that shared objective.

Finally, as we were unable to align on a joint meeting summary at the conclusion of the April 16 meeting, we are providing this email to ensure there is a clear record of our perspective on the discussion and next steps. We also want to reiterate the importance of the Planning Commission's upcoming review being informed by objective, current data.

We look forward to continued engagement and to making progress together.

Sincerely,

Farley Road Neighborhood Coalition