

## February 22, 2022, Community Meeting Feedback

Below is a listing of the comments and questions received. Response(s) from the consultant and/or staff are provided below each comment in *italics*.

1. Questioned the “score card” measurement approach to allow developers to surpass some of the specific objective standard requirements yet still “pass”.

*The “score card” approach is just one option to implement objective standards and would only be used if the Town feels it is appropriate. The “score card” wouldn’t be drafted to allow developers to surpass important standards. It would be used in a way that gives the developer flexibility by offering several different approaches to achieve one of the Town’s underlining goals. Developers still need to meet the Town’s required threshold, but they would be given a variety of tools, each with different weighted values, to meet that threshold.*

2. Questioned how long the development of the Objective Standards document will take and asked when will the document be ready.

*We anticipate getting a drafted document to the community in spring of this year, collecting additional feedback from the community, and taking the document to the Planning Commission for review in early Summer. The Planning Commission would make a recommendation to the Town Council, and hopefully be completed by late summer or early fall of 2022.*

3. When this is adopted, will the Town have to go through and adopt revisions to existing Town documents? What happens after the Objective Standards document is adopted? Are there additional steps?

*This will be a standalone policy document. The Town’s strategy is to adopt objective standards and then develop a program for implementation.*

4. How would these standards work with the different range of housing projects, such as market rate and affordable housing? There should be a consistent look for all types of housing projects.

*These standards would apply to all multi-family and mixed-use projects: affordable and market rate. The Town would not have specific below-market rate design requirements; everything would be consistent.*

5. Questioned why objective standards aren't being developed for all housing types, as opposed to just multi-family and mixed-use projects. Many of the Town's design documents are just guidelines. How would the objective standards effect single-family development? This is an opportunity to apply objective standards to all projects so they can be processed faster.

*This current effort is to create a ministerial process for multi-family and mixed-use in compliance with State law and utilizing grant funds specifically for multi-family and mixed-use objective standards.*

6. Concern was also expressed regarding the exception process since current Town Code and policy documents have exception processes. Can we leave exception and exemptions in the guideline documents and require Planning Commission review for any exception or exemption?

*If the application requires any sort of exception or variance, they would not be eligible for the streamlined ministerial review and would be subject to a discretionary review process.*

7. Sometimes when standards are established, all development starts to look alike. Is there a possibility of having alternative standards? For example, height – to avoid flat roofs, is there the ability to have maximum roof height for flat roof and different maximum height for gabled roofs to promote a variation in roof massing?

*Yes, there are ways, but we need to be careful to make sure the zoning ordinance height requirements are still complied with. The Draft Objective Standards document includes a number of items that offer a list of different standards related to a single goal and requiring the developer to incorporate a minimum number of the standards, but not all.*

8. Why would the standards need to be consistent with the Zoning code? Couldn't we amend the Zoning code?

*Yes, the Zoning Code could be amended; however, this is intended to be a standalone policy document that works in concert with the Town Code.*

## May 12, 2022, Community Meeting Feedback

Below is a listing of the comments and questions received. Response(s) from the consultant and/or staff are provided below each comment in *italics*.

1. Questioned the quantity of housing required by the State.

*Today's presentation is about the development of objective standards for multi-family and mixed-use projects, not the Regional Housing Needs Allocation (RHNA) numbers, which is being reviewed by the Housing Element Advisory Board (HEAB) as a part of the Housing Element Update process.*

2. Questioned if these objectives standards are new and different than the Town's current objective standards for these types of housing.

*These Draft Objective Standards are new. The Town Code has existing objective standards. Town staff and the consultant compiled a list of all existing Town standards, whether objective or subjective, for review. The Planning Commission subcommittee discussed each standard to determine which subjective standards should be modified to be objective and included in this document. The existing objective standards from Town Code and other policy documents are still applicable. The Town is reviewing which existing subjective standards should become objective for the review of qualifying multi-family and mixed-use projects. The goal is to not duplicate existing objective standards as they are still applicable.*

***This Page  
Intentionally  
Left Blank***