1 APPEARANCES: 2 Los Gatos Planning Jeffrey Barnett, Chair 3 Commissioners: Steve Raspe, Vice Chair Susan Burnett 4 Melanie Hanssen Kathryn Janoff 5 Emily Thomas 6 Town Manager: Laurel Prevetti 7 Community Development Joel Paulson 8 Director: Town Attorney: Gabrielle Whelan 10 11 Vicki L. Blandin Transcribed by: (619) 541-3405 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

LOS GATOS PLANNING COMMISSION 8/23/2023

Item #5, Amend General Plan Land Use Designation of 16492 Los Gatos Boulevard from Low Density Residential to Neighborhood Commercial

25

PROCEEDINGS:

CHAIR BARNETT: We'll now move on to Item 5 on the agenda tonight in which we are asked to forward a recommendation to the Town Council on a Request to Amend the General Plan Land Use Designation of 16492 Los Gatos Boulevard and Assessor Parcel Number 532-07-086 from Low Density Residential to Neighborhood Commercial; Amend the General Plan Land Use Designation of Caltrans Right-of-Way Adjacent to 14685 Oka Road from Low Density Residential to Medium Density Residential and from R-1:8 to R-M:14-22, and apply the Housing Element Overlay Zone to allow for increase in allowable density, height, floor area ratio, and lot coverage to the sites included in the Sites Inventory Analysis of the 2023-2031 Housing Element. I will incorporate by reference the APNs and addresses from page 4 of the agenda related to Item 5.

Staff reports that an Environmental Impact Report was prepared and certified for the 2040 General Plan Update on June 30, 2022 that no further analysis is required, and included in the proposed General Plan Amendment.

This is Zoning Code Amendment Application Z-23-003 and General Plan Amendment Application GP-23-003.

Applicant is the Town of Los Gatos. Commissioner Thomas.

COMMISSIONER THOMAS: I wanted to confirm that even if we live near these properties that I can still be here. I live near Los Gatos Boulevard. I'm on the Housing Element Advisory Board and have been able to provide feedback there, but I just wanted to make sure. I can also make a disclosure that I'm able to make decisions and recommendations.

ATTORNEY WHELAN: If I remember correctly, the FPPC rule is a regulation would affect 25% of the properties within the Town and one person voting on that decision doesn't impact their financial interest.

COMMISSIONER THOMAS: I'm assuming that these types of decisions would impact a lot of us. We wouldn't have a lot of people left to make recommendations because it's such a wide space, but I'm happy to recuse myself to be safe.

ATTORNEY WHELAN: What I can do is I can review the FPPC regulations while the Commission takes public comment.

1	COMMISSIONER THOMAS: Should I step out still, or
2	no?
3	ATTORNEY WHELAN: No.
4	CHAIR BARNETT: So is there a Staff Report on
5	Item 5?
6	JOCELYN SHOOPMAN: Yes, there is. The process for
7	the item this evening will include holding a public
8	hearing, asking questions of Staff, receiving public
9	comment, and a recommendation by Staff to continue the item
11	to a date certain of September 13, 2023.
12	A six-cycle Housing Element includes
13	implementation programs and involves amendments to the
14	General Plan and the rezoning of parcels within the Town to
15	allow for modified development standards to provide the
16	development capacity required by State law and the Town's
17	Regional Housing Needs Allocation.
18	On July 20 th at a special meeting the General Plan
19	Committee reviewed the requests and recommended approval of
20	the General Plan Amendments to the Planning Commission and
21	the Town Council.
22	Implementation Program BG of the Housing Element
23	proposes the following actions: Amendments to the General
25	Plan Land Use Designation of 16492 Los Gatos Boulevard on
	LOS GATOS PLANNING COMMISSION 8/23/2023 Item #5, Amend General Plan Land Use Designation

of 16492 Los Gatos Boulevard from Low Density Residential to Neighborhood Commercial Assessor Parcel Number 532-07-086 from Low Density
Residential to Neighborhood Commercial, as well as the
Caltrans Right-of-Way that is adjacent to 14685 Oka Road
from Low Density Residential to Medium Density Residential.

Implementation Program BF of the Housing Element also proposes the following action: Rezone the Caltrans Right-of-Way adjacent to 14685 Oka Road from R-1:8 to R-M:14-22.

Lastly, the Commission will consider a request to apply the Housing Element Overlay Zone to the sites only included in the Sites Inventory of the Town's Housing Element.

The Draft Zoning Code Amendments for the Housing Element Overlay Zone shown here were considered under Item 4 of this evening's agenda, but would apply the development standards listed in Table 1A to sites listed in the Sites Inventory.

Staff recommends that the Planning Commission continue the item to a date certain of September 13, 2023 and at the next meeting make a recommendation of approval to the Town Council for the requests detailed in the Staff Report.

There was an Addendum and Desk Item report provided to the Commission with additional public comments that were received.

This completes Staff's presentation. We are available for any questions.

CHAIR BARNETT: Thank you for that report, and I'll ask my fellow commissioners if they have questions? Commissioner Hanssen.

COMMISSIONER HANSSEN: I asked this question because it came up during the General Plan and Housing Element Advisory Board meetings recently, and we've been getting continued public comments on this.

While we're not making a recommendation tonight on Item 5, which includes the sites and the Sites

Inventory, when the discussion happened at the General Plan

Committee there were a lot of people that spoke against

certain properties that in the Sites Inventory. My

understanding was that the Housing Element Advisory Board

recommended the Sites Inventory, and the Planning

Commission approved it as well as the Town Council. The

question is as part of this process, when we do make the

recommendation on September 13th, are we supposed to be

considering taking sites in and out of the Sites Inventory?

JENNIFER ARMER: Thank you for that question.

I'll start, and then it may be that other Staff has additional thoughts.

The recommendation from Planning Commission could include recommendations changing what sites this would apply to. One caution is that we at the General Plan Committee meeting did hear concerns from neighbors of the Caltrans site that was mentioned here in particular, and then this evening we've already heard concerns from a neighbor for the Alberto Way site, and so the concern is that too many of those sites be removed and then additional sites would need to be added at a later date, and we are trying to get this zoning done as required by the Housing Element.

COMMISSIONER HANSSEN: Thank you for that, and that was the answer that we had in the General Plan

Committee meeting, and there probably aren't any sites in the Sites Inventory that someone isn't going to be unhappy about. That being said, we're not going there tonight, but I wanted to bring that up since we've already gotten comments tonight.

CHAIR BARNETT: Thank you for that input. Other comments by Commissioners? Commissioner Burnett.

question for Staff. There is some question about three sites that are under discussion about the number of units that really are available to the Town, the three SB 330 sites. I'm concerned if we vote for this, which I feel would be a good thing, although I'm concerned about those three sites because I'm not sure if by voting for it, since there is controversy about three of the major sites, how does that impact voting for it? Because there is some question on the numbers. For my own interest, I'm a little confused on it. Thank you.

JENNIFER ARMER: Thank you, Commissioner, for that question. My understanding is based on some of the public comment that we've received that is concerned about some of the preliminary applications that that Town has received under the senate bill SB 330.

We did have a conversation with Town Council last week and will have future meetings with Town Council about some of these State laws and how they affect development in the Town. What I can share this evening in relation to this process is that when we are looking at the Sites Inventory we want to make sure that we are not running into what's called "no net loss," that that actually comes into effect

once we get to a decision point on a development project.

It's not something that's triggered at a preliminary

application stage, it's something that the Town would need

to resolve within six months of a decision of a project.

At this point Staff's recommendation is to proceed with the Sites Inventory as currently developed, but as these future applications come forward, that will be part of the discussion at those times, because by the time those projects do move forward we don't know what other applications might have come forward and whether there really will be a no net loss problem at that time.

COMMISSIONER BURNETT: Thank you. I was just concerned about our latest comment from the Los Gatos Community Alliance letter.

JENNIFER ARMER: Thank you, and I can add that Staff will continue to work with our consultants and in our conversations with HCD to confirm whether modifications are recommended.

CHAIR BARNETT: That covered the question. Other questions of Staff? If not, we'll open the public portion of the public meeting and invite comments from members of the public, and we have one card from Mr. Rosenfeld.

MARK ROSENFELD: Thank you for the opportunity to address you. My name is Mark Rosenfeld; I live at 449

Alberto Way, and I did want to speak on the proposal to build right now at 405 Alberto Way. We've already had somebody from our community talk to you about this and I may reiterate a few things that she has said, but I think it's really important to talk about it.

The proposal is for two buildings, a total of 60 units, and the buildings are going to be 50' tall. They're going to be three stories plus a garage unit, which is basically on the ground level. Those will incorporate 114 parking spaces, or two parking spaces for each unit, and only 12 guest spaces for all of the 60 units.

Our community on Alberto Way consists of two-story condos and townhomes. The proposal is extremely out of character with our neighborhood. We've looking at two buildings that are at least 50' tall.

Also, parking on Alberto Way is already bumperto-bumper in the evening. You can't find any parking spaces at all, and now there will only be 12 parking spaces planned for guests for 60 units.

Another thing that was mentioned, which I think is the most important thing, is that Alberto Way is

basically a dead end street. In the event of an evacuation there is only one exit for everybody who lives on that street. We have 110 units in our development on Alberto Way in addition to all of the townhouses and other condos that are on that street. In other words, from what we have you're potentially adding 114 cars to get out if we need to evacuate.

Just to remind you, the Camp Fire in California in 2018 took only four hours to spread, and the Lahaina Fire, which we just saw, spread at a mile a minute, so I think the Town really needs to provide the residents of Alberto Way with a viable evacuation plan before you can even consider anything additional to be built there. Thank you very much.

CHAIR BARNETT: Thank you, Mr. Rosenfeld. Are there questions for the speaker? I don't see any. Thank you for your comments tonight. We also have Lee Quintana again on Item 5.

LEE QUINTANA: I'm beginning to think I sound like a broken record, but there is such an interconnection between Item 4, which you already passed, and Item 5 and what's going on at the Housing Element Advisory Board that it makes my head spin, because each one is going along a

different path at a different time, not considering what's happening at each one, and I say that for several reasons.

One, when you read the report for tomorrow night's meeting you're going to be discussing certain things. There has been a lot of progress made in certain areas, but my reading of the report indicates that there are still sections of the Sites Inventory which may be a problem and will probably, in my estimation, result in need for changes in numbers, so we're getting ahead of ourselves in what is actually happening, or will end up happening, being recommended for approval, probably. There are still so many ifs.

One thing that I noticed in the Addendum on this item is that one of the applications for SB 30 discusses the fact that the recommended approval of the Overlay Densities and Standards will in fact not be able to accommodate the 30 units per acre density that's required. So again, are we making a mistake by approving that and not considering what standards really need to be to accommodate those densities and the other requirements?

It seems to me when I deal with this project I always feel like I'm chasing a moving target and I can't keep up, and every time I understand one thing all of a

sudden I turn around and it or something else has been changed that affects what was just changed or decided upon. So I ask you to please take that into consideration and not make it any more complicated than it needs to be.

CHAIR BARNETT: Thank you, Ms. Quintana.

Questions for the speaker? I don't see any. Anyone on Zoom,

Mr. Paulson.

JOEL PAULSON: Yes, thank you, Chair. Declan, I'm unmuting you. You have three minutes to speak.

DECLAN: Okay, hi, guys. A lot of things have been discussed tonight. I'm kind of new to figuring out the local stuff, but I've lived here for over 20 years, so I see the developments that have gone on locally across the street from me and in front of me with the hotel.

I just want to know and understand, and I don't think anybody who lives around here is being told about what really is the State mandated housing project and overlay and all that stuff; it's kind of like a vague fog that nobody is telling us, and now we're fighting off property owners across the street from developing their projects over the years, and now we're onto something else and it keeps changing over the years and the place is a major eyesore.

Can the Town please come down and talk to the people who live right here on Alberto Way and explain to them what is going on? Why are we not being listened to? Or explained to on what's going on with these State mandates? And why we are being segregated out versus the wealthy people living up in the hills who have multi-million acres of land and property versus oh, let's go down and take care of the little people on the street and suffer them and push them into having to have multi-million dollar high-rises beside them. I'm not sure what's going on, but can somebody explain that to me? I would love to know.

CHAIR BARNETT: Thank you, Declan. Unfortunately, we're not able to respond to questions, but we very much appreciate your input, and I'll ask if any Commissioners have questions for you? I don't see any. Thank you very much for your input, sir. I don't have any other cards and no one on Zoom.

We have a recommendation for a continuance, but I'm going to ask Staff whether only new comments are to be accepted from members of the public rather than having speakers speak twice?

ATTORNEY WHELAN: Speakers can speak once on an
agenda item, and so any speaker who spoke tonight will not
be speaking at the next meeting.
CHAIR BARNETT: Okay, thank you. I think we're
ready to close the public portion of the public hearing and
ask Commissioners if they have any questions for Staff, or
wish to comment at this time, or make a motion as
recommended for a continuance to September 13 th ?
Commissioner Janoff.
COMMISSIONER JANOFF: I move that we continue
this item to September 13 th .
CHAIR BARNETT: Thank you. Second? Commissioner
Hanssen.
COMMISSIONER HANSSEN: I second the motion.
CHAIR BARNETT: Any discussion? If not, raise
your hand, please, if you are in support of the motion. It
passes unanimously. Thank you very much.
I assume again that there are no appeal rights,
is that correct?
JENNIFER ARMER: Correct.
(END)

This Page Intentionally Left Blank