Goldfarb & Lipman, LLC Feedback Table with Staff's Responses on the December 1, 2023, HCD Comment Letter

Comment	HCD Comment	Recommended Edits by Goldfarb & Lipman	Staff Responses
#1a.	Affirmatively further[ing] fair housing in accordance with Chapter 15 (commencing with Section 8899.50) of Division 1 of Title 2shall include an assessment of fair housing in the jurisdiction. (Gov. Code, § 65583, subd. (c)(10)(A).) Promote and affirmatively further fair housing opportunities and promote housing throughout the community or communities for all persons regardless of race, religion, sex, marital status, ancestry, national origin, color, familial status, or disability, and other characteristics (Gov. Code, § 65583, subd. (c)(5).) Income and Racial Concentration Area of Affluence (RCAA): The element now includes actions to promote an inclusive community; however, the element must provide specific analysis of income and RCAA at a regional level (town compared to the broader region) to better formulate appropriate policies and programs. The analysis should at least address trends, conditions, coincidence with other fair housing factors (e.g., race, highest resource, overpayment), effectiveness or absence of past strategies (e.g., lack of publicly assisted housing and lack of multifamily zoning), local data and knowledge and other relevant factors.	Revise analysis of trends, conditions, and coincidence with other fair housing factors, such as overpayment. May consider addressing other factors, such as those relating to the effectiveness of past strategies to address fair housing issues.	 New text summarizing the analysis of fair housing issues was added on Pages A-20 and A-21 of Appendix A. New text relating to past strategies was added on Page A-72 of Appendix A.
#1b.	Contributing Factors to Fair Housing Issues: Based on a complete analysis, the element should re-assess and prioritize contributing factors to fair housing issues. For example, the Town is wholly a RCAA, highest resource and highest median incomes in contrast to the rest of the region. As a result, fair housing issues such as the lack of affordable housing and segregation from the rest of the region should be a high priority.	May consider including additional analysis explaining how housing opportunities will increase access to jobs, transportation, and etc. Compare the factors identified as contributing to fair housing issues to the goals and provide concrete metrics and/or milestones for determining fair housing results.	New text summarizing the analysis of fair housing issues was added on Pages A-20 and A-21 of Appendix A.
#1c.	Goals and Actions: As noted above, the element must include a complete analysis of affirmatively furthering fair housing (AFFH). The element	Suggest removing subjective language in Program J that may be considered a constraint to housing.	 Program J on Page 10-47 of Chapter 10 was modified to remove subjective language.

 must be revised to add goals and actions based on the		Program T on Page 10-55 of Chapter
outcomes of a complete analysis.	Add language to Program T indicating that the	10 was modified to add clarifying text
In addition, while the element includes some actions toward	priority is to increase homesharing matches in lower-density neighborhoods.	that the program is intended to increase matches in lower density
AFFH and enhancing housing mobility (e.g., choices and	lower-density neighborhoods.	neighborhoods.
affordability), actions should be added, numeric targets should	Add language in the Housing Element	Language describing the Town's
be increased, and geographic targeting should be finetuned to	explaining why 96 entitlements targeted in	methodology for targeting 96 SB 9
better promote inclusive neighborhoods throughout the Town.	Program AU is an aggressive numeric target.	entitlements over the eight-year
This is particularly important since over 80 percent of the lower-		planning period added on Page 10-9 of
income regional housing need allocation (RHNA) is isolated in		Chapter 10 and in Program AV on
two census tracts. As noted in HCD's prior review and the		Page 10-75.
assessment of fair housing, the Town is wholly a racially concentrated area of affluence, highest resource and highest		
median incomes in contrast to the rest of the region.		
inedian medices in contrast to the rest of the region.		
These conditions and circumstances warrant significant and		
robust actions (not limited to the RHNA) to promote housing		
mobility and increasing housing choices and affordability		
throughout the Town, including lower-density neighborhoods.		
Actions should be added and revised with aggressive numeric		
targets and geographic targets throughout the Town, including		
lower-density neighborhoods. Examples include creating more		
housing choices and affordability in single-family neighborhoods beyond complying with law (e.g., SB 9, ADUs) such as missing		
middle housing types, targeting affordable housing funding,		
homesharing, more than one unit of converted space within a		
single-family structure, increased multifamily capacity,		
enhanced efforts on religious institutional sites and other		
alternative land use and financing strategies.		
 An inventory of land suitable and available for residential	Revise language in D-2 to clarify whether there	• The text describing Sites D-2 and D-5
development, including vacant sites and sites having realistic	is interest expressed by the property owner	on Page D-11 of Appendix D was

#2a.

and demonstrated potential for redevelopment during the planning period to meet the locality's housing need for a designated income level, and an analysis of the relationship of zoning and public facilities and services to these sites. (Gov. Code, § 65583, subd. (a)(3).)

Identify actions that will be taken to make sites available during the planning period with appropriate zoning and development standards and with services and facilities to accommodate that portion of the city's or county's share of the regional housing need for each income level that could not be accommodated on

regarding lot consolidation.

Include a stronger statement in **Program K** (Lot Consolidation) that the Town will adopt an ordinance with incentives.

and D-5 was revised to clarify whether there was expressed interest in development by the property owner.

• Program K on Page 10-48 of Chapter 10 was modified to include a stronger statement that the Town will adopt an ordinance.

	sites identified in the inventory /Car. Cada & CCCC2 and d		
	sites identified in the inventory (Gov. Code, § 65583, subd.		
	(c)(1).)		
	Small Sites:		
	The element identifies several sites with parcels less than a half-		
	acre (p. D-13) that have common ownership and, in some cases,		
	expressed interest to redevelop the site. For small sites with		
	expressed interest in redevelopment, the element should clarify		
	that the expressed interest is also in consolidating the sites. For		
	the remaining sites (Sites D-2 and D-5), the element should		
	explain the circumstances leading to the potential for		
	consolidation such as necessity to consolidate due to access,		
	feasibility, shape, or site planning flexibility. Based on the		
	outcomes of this analysis, the element should add or modify		
	Program K (Lot Consolidation) to further promote lot		
	consolidation, if appropriate.		
#2b.	Electronic Sites Inventory:	N/A	N/A
	For your information, while the Town has submitted an		
	electronic sites inventory as part of this submittal, pursuant to		
	Government Code section 65583.3, the Town must submit an		
	electronic sites inventory with its adopted housing element.		
	Please see HCD's housing element webpage at		
	https://www.hcd.ca.gov/planning-andcommunity-		
	development/housing-elements for a copy of the form and		
	instructions. The Town can reach out to HCD at		
	sitesinventory@hcd.ca.gov for technical assistance.		
#2c.	Adequate Sites Programs:	Include language in the response	Program AQ on Page 10-70 was
	As noted in the prior review, if necessary to make appropriate	memorandum to HCD that the Housing	modified to include the projected date
	zoning available to accommodate the lower-income RHNA,	Element Overlay Zone Ordinance will be	for the adoption of the amended
	programs must be revised to meet all requirements pursuant to	amended to comply with the requirements in	Housing Element Overlay Zone
	Government Code section 65583.2, subdivisions (c), (h) and (i).	Government Code Sections 65583.2(h) and (i)	Ordinance.
	The element includes Programs D (Program By Right Zoning	and is scheduled to be heard by the Planning	
	Text Amendment to Accommodate RHNA), AR (General Plan	Commission on January 24, 2024, and the	
	Amendment) and AS (Adequate Sites for Housing) to address	Town Council in February.	
	these requirements. With respect to sites identified in prior	,	
	planning periods, the element meets statutory requirements,		
	but these actions must be completed by January 31, 2024. With		
	respect to a shortfall of adequate sites to accommodate the		
	lower-income RHNA, the element includes Programs AR and AS.		
	However, the Programs commit to the appropriate zoning given		
	the rezoning occurs after the statutory deadline of January 31,		
	2023. HCD understands the Town completed the rezoning after		

	the statutory deadline and, therefore, the rezoning must meet		
	all by right requirements pursuant to Government Code section		
	65583.2, subdivisions (h) and (i). Based on a cursory review, the		
	rezoning does not appear to meet these requirements. As a		
	result, these programs must clearly commit to meet all by-right		
	requirements by January 31, 2024.		
	In addition, please be aware, the recent California appellate		
	decision in Martinez v. City of Clovis found that while overlays		
	can be used in a rezone, when the base zone allows residential		
	development, both the base zone and the overlay zone must		
	comply with the minimum density requirements of Government		
	Code section 65583.2, subdivision (h). The Town may need to		
	adjust its rezoning strategy if the underlying zoning for sites		
	that will be rezoned allows minimum densities less than 20		
	dwelling units per acre. Martinez v. City of Clovis (2023) 90		
	Cal.App.5th 193, 307 Cal.Rptr.3d 64.		
#3a.	An analysis of potential and actual governmental constraints	Include additional analysis about how the	On Page C-9 of Appendix C, the
	upon the maintenance, improvement, or development of	development standards, either individually or	development standards at a
	housing for all income levels, including the types of housing	cumulatively function as a constraint on	cumulative function are described
	identified in paragraph (1) of subdivision (c), and for persons	housing development. Analyze lot coverage or	that developments in the Town rarely
	with disabilities as identified in the analysis pursuant to	setbacks in the zones identified in HCD's	reach the high end of the density
	paragraph (7), including land use controls, building codes and	comment.	range, primarily due to market
	their enforcement, site improvements, fees and other exactions		reasons.
	required of developers, and local processing and permit	Provide narrative describing the reasoning for	On Page C-9 of Appendix C, narrative
	procedures (Gov. Code, § 65583, subd. (a)(5).)	amending the Zoning Code to align the open	describing the reasoning for amending
	Address and, where appropriate and legally possible, remove	space requirements with the Objective	the Zoning Code to align the open
	governmental and nongovernmental constraints to the	Standards Checklist for multi-family and	space requirements with the
	maintenance, improvement, and development of housing,	condominium development and whether it is	Objective Standards Checklist is
	including housing for all income levels and housing for persons	considered a governmental constraint.	described to state that requiring a
	with disabilities(Gov. Code, § 65583, subd. (c)(3).)		higher open space standard may
			impede the development of housing.
	Land Use Controls:		
	HCD's prior review found that the Town must list and evaluate		
	development standards in the North Forty Specific Plan and		
	High Density Residential and Commercial designation. In		
	response, the Town has now listed development standards by		
	each zoning district but should also analyze those development		
	standards for impacts on housing supply and cost and most		
	importantly, the ability to encourage maximum densities		
	without exceptions. For example, lot coverages, heights, and		
	setbacks in the Multifamily Residential (RM) zone; and lot		

	coverage heights and guest parking requirements in the North Forty Specific Plan could be constraints. Based on the outcomes of a complete analysis, the element should add or modify programs to include specific commitment to review and revise		
	these development standards as necessary.		
#3b.	Local Processing and Permit Procedures: The element now discusses decision-making bodies and lists approval findings for the Architecture and Site Application. However, the element should also analyze these processes to better inform programs to address identified constraint. For example, the element mentions the decision-making body depends on the scope of the application. The element should explain the scope, resulting decision-making body and impacts on approval timing and certainty. In addition, the element lists approval findings and concludes some findings may be constraints then modifies Program AQ (Zoning Code Amendments) to amend approval findings (considerations). But the element should discuss which approval findings may be constraints to better inform implementation of Program AQ.	Expand on the justification for the removal of subjective findings for a Conditional Use Permit for a multi-family or mixed-use project.	Program AQ on Pages 10-70 and 10-71 of Chapter 10 was modified to include justification that the removal of subjective findings provide certainty in outcomes of the application review of a multi-family or mixed-use project.
#3c.	 Programs: As noted above, the element requires a complete analysis of potential governmental constraints. Depending upon the results of that analysis, the Town may need to revise or add programs and address and remove or mitigate any identified constraints. In addition, programs should be revised, as follows: Program D (Additional Capacity for the North Forty Specific Plan): The Program should also commit to establish or modify development standards to facilitate achieving maximum densities, regardless of the level of affordability and should specify to either remove the unit cap or increase the unit cap to allow maximum build out of each parcel, including State Density Bonus Law or other circumstances that might warrant increasing allowable densities. Program V (Housing opportunities for Persons living with Disabilities): Given the importance of promoting housing access for persons with disabilities, the Program could be modified with a date earlier in the planning period (e.g., by December 2024). 	Programs D, V, and AW: No suggested edits. Program AA: Refine language. Program AQ: Consider rewording the program to state, "monitor HOEZ development standards and complete first evaluation of said standards by December 2026 and then annually thereafter."	 Program AA on Page 10-59 of Chapter 10 was modified to refine the language. Program AQ on Page 10-70 of Chapter 10 was modified to include language that the Housing Element Overlay Zone development standards will be monitored by December 2026 and then annually thereafter.
	Program AA (Reduce Parking Standards): The Program currently commits to "Initiate a study to determine specific		

	updates" While initiating a study and making a		
	determination are important steps by themselves, these		
	actions do not result in outcomes. The Program should		
	clearly commit to amending the municipal code. For		
	example, the Program could commit to: "Initiate a study and		
	outreach, including with developers, and amend the		
	Municipal Code, as follows:"		
	Program AQ (Zoning Code Amendments): The Program commits to apply the Housing Element Overlay Zone (HEOZ) to identified sites and modify development standards. HCD understands this action has been completed. Based on a cursory review of the Town's Ordinance 2347, some development standards such as heights may be a constraint		
	on achieving maximum densities. As a result, this Program should commit to monitor and evaluate these development		
	standards, including outreach with the development		
	community, and making adjustments, as appropriate, by a		
	specified date.		
	 Program AW (Story Poles and Netting Policy): While the Program now commits to revise Story Poles and Netting Policy Requirements; these requirements are constraints and impact housing costs; supply (number of units) and approval certainty and should be removed or replaced with cost effective measures to promote certainty for the developers and the community. Further, the element should evaluate the effectiveness of any future requirements or measures, and making adjustments, as necessary, by a specified date (e.g., by 2028). 		
#4.	Develop a plan that incentivizes and promotes the creation of	Modify Program Q to include proactive	Program Q on Pages 10-52 and 10-53
	accessory dwelling units that can be offered at affordable rent	marketing through Countywide efforts for	of Chapter 10 was modified to the
	(Gov. Code, § 65583, subd. (c)(7).)	interested property owners and grant	following new text:
	Program Q Accessory Dwelling Units (ADU): While the Program	opportunities to fund the development of	 The Town will actively apply for
	now commits to amend the ADU Ordinance, it must also	ADU's and JADU's.	grant programs, as funds are made
	commit to establish incentives such as modifying development		available to assist property owners
	standards (e.g., heights), pursuing funding; waiving fees beyond	Suggest including more defined language	in the construction of
	ADU law; proactive marketing and establishing points of contact	regarding a commitment to making a	ADU's/JADU's.
	to ease permitting processes. In addition, the Program commits	modification if the production of ADU's or	The Town will proactively promote
	to monitor production and affordability of ADUs annually but	JADU's differs from the assumptions from the	information made available
	should also commit to making adjustments by a specified date	Housing Element.	through the Santa Clara County
	(e.g., within six months) if production and affordability are not		Planning Collaborative, a joint

	meeting assumptions. Further, the Program should clearly commit to options beyond incentives such as rezoning if production and affordability far differs from assumptions.		 initiative of all 16 jurisdictions in the county on the Town's website with resources for interested property owners. Defined action language regarding the Town's effort to commit to developing strategies if the ADU or JADU assumptions differ from the Housing Element projections.
#5	Local governments shall make a diligent effort to achieve public participation of all economic segments of the community in the development of the Housing Element, and the element shall describe this effort. (Gov. Code, § 65583, subd. (c)(9).) Public participation in the development, adoption and implementation of the housing element is essential to effective housing planning. Throughout the housing element process, the Town should continue to engage the community, including organizations that represent lower-income and special needs households, by making information regularly available and considering and incorporating comments where appropriate. Please be aware, any revisions to the element must be posted on the local government's website and to email a link to all individuals and organizations that have previously requested notices relating to the local government's housing element at least seven days before submitting to HCD. HCD particularly encourages the Town to continue engaging commenters on this review. These comments contained valuable insights that can result in a more effective housing elements, especially related to programs and specific commitment. HCD's future reviews will continue to consider the extent to which the revised element documents how the Town solicited, considered, and addressed public comments must not be limited by HCD's findings in this review letter.	Include additional language regarding any continued public hearings about the Housing Element that have occurred.	 The number of Housing Element Advisory Board meetings that have occurred were updated on Page 10-11 of Chapter 10. Town Council hearings that have occurred were updated on Page 10-13 of Chapter 10. A summary of the topics of the public comments that have been received on the Housing Element was added to pages 10-17 and 10-18 of Chapter 10.
Note	For your information, pursuant to Assembly Bill 1398 (Chapter 358, Statutes of 2021), as the Town failed to adopt a compliant housing element within 120 days of the statutory deadline (January 31, 2023); programs to rezone and make prior identified sites available or address a shortfall of capacity to accommodate the RHNA (e.g., Program D: Program By Right	N/A	N/A

Zoning Text Amendment to Accommodate RHNA, Program AR:	
General Plan Amendment, Program AS: Sites Previously	
Identified) must be completed no later than one year from the	
statutory deadline. Otherwise, the local government's housing	
element will no longer comply with State Housing Element Law,	
and HCD may revoke its finding of substantial compliance	
pursuant to Government Code section 65585, subdivision (i).	