1	APP	EARANCES:
2		
3	Los Gatos Planning Commissioners:	Matthew Hudes, Chair Melanie Hanssen, Vice Chair
4		Mary Badame Kendra Burch
5		Kathryn Janoff Reza Tavana
6		
7	Town Manager:	Laurel Prevetti
8	Community Development Director:	Joel Paulson
9	Town Attorney:	Robert Schultz
11	Transcribed by:	Vicki L. Blandin
12		(619) 541-3405
13		
14		
15		
16 17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		COMMISSION 7/10/2019 eneral Plan Vision

Statement and Guiding Principles

PROCEEDINGS:

CHAIR HUDES: Now we move to Item 6, and Item 6 is to consider the Draft General Plan Vision Statement and Guiding Principles with the hope to forward a recommendation to the Town Council concerning the Draft General Plan Vision Statement and Guiding Principles.

Ms. Armer, I understand you'll be giving the report this evening.

JENNIFER ARMER: Yes, good evening, again. This item in front of you is draft language for a General Plan Vision Statement and Guiding Principles developed by the General Plan Update Advisory Committee, the GPAC, and provided to you for your review, consideration, and recommendation to Town Council.

The concludes Staff's presentation, but we'd be happy to answer any questions.

CHAIR HUDES: Thank you. Vice Chair Hanssen.

COMMISSIONER HANSSEN: I didn't have a question for Ms. Armer, but since I'm the Chair of the GPAC I wanted to say a few things about what we're considering for background. Would that be okay?

CHAIR HUDES: Sure.

COMMISSIONER HANSSEN: Unless other Commissioners have questions of Staff. No, okay.

I just wanted to talk a little bit about the process that we went through in considering the Vision Statement and the Guiding Principles.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

First of all, the Town Council created Guiding
Principles for the General Plan update process and we have
been looking at those throughout.

Secondly, the Staff and the consultants have done a number of community outreach activities that go to what are the things that you want the Town to do in the next 20 years, and so we've had the benefit of all the input. And when we sat down to draft the Vision Statement we actually had the vision statements of probably eight or nine jurisdictions, so we were able to take a look at what kind of things were out there and did that sound like us, and so when we actually sat down to write the Vision Statement-Commissioner Burch is also on the GPAC as well as Commissioner Janoff, and she's our Vice Chair-actually it was Commissioner Burch that kind of took some of those concepts and put (inaudible) together of what the Vision Statement would look like. Then we considered some of the other ones that are out there and we literally crafted the whole thing with the benefit of all of that input. What we

were trying to do is keep it very high-level. The vision statements of general plans are generally high-level, so if you think something is missing it's probably because we had an overarching statement and there will be some other part of the General Plan that will have more details on that.

So once we had wordsmithed that, and I think we spent two full meetings on that, we went to the Guiding Principles, and the Guiding Principles, the decision that was made right at the beginning of the meeting was that we would take the Guiding Principles from the Town, because it was adopted by the Town Council, and then add onto that because it was missing a few of the concepts that we wanted, so we didn't have to spend as much time on the Guiding Principles because we had the benefit of the Vision Statement.

I would also say one last thing, that there are two Council members plus us three Planning Commissioners on the GPAC, so I feel like it was vetted fairly carefully, and we also have in addition to the five of us seven members of the GPAC, including members of the community.

Not to say that you couldn't recommend changes, that's fine, but that's the process that we went through to get to this.

CHAIR HUDES: Commissioner Janoff.

COMMISSIONER JANOFF: Thank you, and thank you, Commissioner Hanssen for your leadership on the GPAC.

I'd just like to add that we crafted the Vision Statement and the Guiding Principles understanding that they will guide us at the beginning and they guide us through the process of developing a General Plan, and then at the end we have the opportunity to loop back in case we look at the Vision Statement and Guiding Principles and determine that they need a little bit of tweaking. So, we didn't try to make them perfect; we tried to make sure that they were broad, useful, reflective of the 20-year period we were looking at and knowing we could go back to tweak them if we needed to as we neared the end of the process, so with that, we appreciate your input.

CHAIR HUDES: Commissioner Badame.

COMMISSIONER BADAME: I would just like to thank my fellow commissioners and the rest of the Committee that are present tonight for a job well done. This is very well put together, so thank you very much. I'm going to have no problem forwarding a recommendation to Town Council.

CHAIR HUDES: Commissioner Burch.

COMMISSIONER BURCH: I have one change. I wondered if in the first sentence, for some reason we... I remember a while back this...this is not how it was written,

1	but we have safe first and then welcoming, which every time
2	I read it just feels like we're trying to prove that we're
3	not riddled with crime. Would it be possible to just switch
4	welcoming and safe? Like, "Los Gatos is a welcoming" Like,
5	it seems weird to be like, "Hey, we're safe. It's okay, you
6	can come here."
7	COMMISSIONER HANSSEN: We're able to make any
8	recommendations, because we're forwarding it on to Council.
9	COMMISSIONER BURCH: Can we just make that one?
10	Thank you so much.
11	COMMISSIONER HANSSEN: I agree with you. I don't
12	remember us putting safe first.
13	
14	COMMISSIONER BURCH: I don't remember that
15	either.
16	COMMISSIONER HANSSEN: I thought we had welcoming
17	first.
18	COMMISSIONER BURCH: So did I.
19	CHAIR HUDES: I just might add that since we're
20	going to be forwarding a recommendation, I don't believe
21	this has to go back to GPAC with this.
22	COMMISSIONER BURCH: Mmm-mm.
23	CHAIR HUDES: So, I would just suggest that your
24 25	comment or others comments of commissioners we added onto
۵۵	the document that's been presented to us.

1 COMMISSIONER BURCH: Okay, yeah. 2 CHAIR HUDES: Commissioner Badame. 3 COMMISSIONER BADAME: Do we even need to put the 4 word safe in there? It almost makes a question. It should 5 just be a given that we don't even need to put the word 6 safe in there. Just a comment. 7 COMMISSIONER BURCH: People were very passionate 8 about the word safe. COMMISSIONER BADAME: All right, we have to have 10 the word safe in there. 11 COMMISSIONER BADAME: Well, there are two things 12 about that, for background. One is we had a quite a lengthy 13 discussion about the welfare safety issue, so that was part 14 of it. And then the other thing that happened is in the 15 16 first community workshop people were allowed to use three 17 keywords that told them what Los Gatos represented to them, 18 and safe was, I believe, the biggest one, Ms. Armer? 19 JENNIFER ARMER: It was definitely one of the 20 biggest. 21 COMMISSIONER HANSSEN: And so because of that, 22 you're right, when you flag safe it maybe says should we be 23 worried about our safety, but I think collectively everyone 24 thought since that was predominantly such a big mention we 25 should just say it.

COMMISSIONER TAVANA: I think safe is great to have in there, but maybe welcoming, family-oriented, and safe? Maybe safe at the end just to kind of throw it in there, because I do agree that safe is one of the appealing things of our town here, so it would be nice to start off with that, but maybe towards the end.

CHAIR HUDES: I did have a comment on two things. One is the historic resources. I believe really strongly in this as a core part of our Town and it's something that really distinguishes it from most of the towns in Northern California, and so I would encourage maybe a little stronger wording. Right now, there's a sentence at the community vitality paragraph. I would suggest either making it its own category, or if it were kept there to make the wording a little bit stronger and maybe something along the lines of, "Preserve and enhance the Town's historic resources and character while guiding the community into the future." I think that's the ongoing asset. I'm not sure what an ongoing asset is; it's not quite clear.

COMMISSIONER BURCH: Could you read it?

CHAIR HUDES: Sure. "Preserve and enhance the

Town's historic resources and character while guiding the

community into the future." So, that was one area.

The other area goes a little beyond just a
wording thing, but it's something that I raised at the two
meetings where I did have opportunity for input to this and
I find it's really not there and I think it's important,
and that is being an innovation center, and so I was going
to propose some language on this, because I think that we
have a situation where we have some of the most talented
people in Northern California in an innovation economy who
leave town every day, because we are not doing very much in
terms of creating a center for innovation. We don't even
have offices that are adequate for VCs; they drive to Sand
Hill Road in Menlo Park and that's just an office use. So,
when you talk about providing opportunities for some of the
young people in the community, why should they have to get
on a bus and go somewhere else if it's possible to foster
more innovation within town, and so I was going to suggest,
again, some broad language, because I know there are some
other parts in Land Use where this would come up. But I was
going to suggest that we consider putting something in
along the lines of "Innovation Community" in addition to
the other bullets there that says, "Create the fundamentals
for a prominent innovation economy in order to generate
opportunities for residents in the Town." I think that
could then be used for Land Use and other kinds of

discussions, and while I know that there was probably discussion about this before to me something important for us to do for the future of the Town is to establish a presence, and it doesn't have to be a repeat of Mountain View or Sand Hill or San Francisco, it can be our own unique combination of things, but I do think that there are things that we can do creatively to create an environment for innovation here for our residents primarily.

Commissioner Burch.

COMMISSIONER BURCH: I'm not going to try to open up a big can of worms here with that, because I completely agree with you, but if that's the case we as a commission, along with other committees, need to get more on board with not fighting every new office building, every new application that comes, because I can tell you right now that is not how the outside community sees us. We are not an innovation community, we are a quieter bedroom community, and so I agree with you. I think we have the opportunity to be a fantastic hub for even larger businesses that want a small touchdown space for commuters and things, but if that is something we are going to write into the General Plan then collectively everybody needs to work a bit on the mindset of what we have when developments come in.

CHAIR HUDES: If I can just respond, I agree. I think that we have some talented people in town who could actually work on this as well, and since it's something that we would not say playing catch up, but we have a lot of work to do. I would think that if something like that were in our General Plan it would need to involve a task force or a group of folks who are involved deeply in this innovation community elsewhere in trying to see how we can do more of that in town.

The point about this to me is that this is at the root of a lot of the issues that we're seeing: the traffic issues, the overcrowding in schools issues. Why do the flags go up because of the impact of new residential developments? Well, I think that if people weren't clogging the streets with these giant buses and getting in their cars and driving elsewhere. This could be if not a complete solution at least a step in the right direction for some of the problems that the Town is facing that might say okay, we could have more offices in town because the right kind of business that was generating opportunities for our town could actually alleviate some of the other problems that we have in Town. Commissioner Janoff.

COMMISSIONER JANOFF: This is sort of a process question also. The last sentence of the Vision Statement,

"Los Gatos is a dynamic and thriving economy," we had this discussion about innovation and incubators and all those kind of things, and as I recall the GPAC decided that that was a lower-level detail and that we included in the Vision Statement this dynamic and thriving economy which would be an umbrella for that sort of a policy which could become a goal and have teeth in it lower in the ... not lower down, but in the details of the General Plan, because there are a lot of ways that that innovation comment could manifest itself and... So, we wanted to keep it a relatively high-level and as a process question. If we were to include a new Guiding Principle of that magnitude and that kind of specificity, I'm not sure that it wouldn't... I mean, I hate to have it go back to GPAC, but it seems like it would be appropriate, because that's sort of not countermanding but it's doing something different from what the GPAC (inaudible).

1

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIR HUDES: My understanding is that the Planning Commission is meant to review the work of the GPAC and provide input to the Council, and the Council will take all of that and sort that out.

COMMISSIONER JANOFF: And that's why I say it's a process question.

CHAIR HUDES: And kind of in response to the point about the dynamic economy, yes, but unless we say

that we're doing something on innovation I don't see it really being a part of the plan. It could very well be a giant Home Depot or it could be more retail, or it could be a medical office, it could be a lot of things. This is a departure, I think, from some of the history in the Town, but it's not a departure for the people in the Town is my point. We're not trying to do something that's alien to the folks in Town. We have created in Town some of the most dynamic businesses that got started here and then left, and over the years there are just a number of them in the tech community that started here in Town, and so I just think that it would be important in the General Plan to recognize the role of innovation that the Town has played and can play into the future.

2.4

COMMISSIONER HANSSEN: I have a suggestion, and it's all going to be a recommendation to the Town Council, but I agree with what Commissioner Burch said. I don't know if the Town is quite ready for that, but on the other hand when you think about community vitality, which is one of the Guiding Principles. We all have discussed it and we all know that retail in downtown as we know it isn't going to be anything like it is today in 20 years, and there are going to be other changes in the business economy, so I think your thoughts about the innovation we should put it

under the idea of community vitality as a path to growth and development and the future of the Town and see if it makes sense to Council. I wouldn't create it as a separate Guiding Principle because we were trying to keep the number of Guiding Principles limited, but I think it's a core part of community vitality going forward, because like downtown in 20 years isn't going to be in the form it's in.

CHAIR HUDES: Yeah, and I would just respond I am not trying to shape the structure or format of the document at all, I was just pointing out that I think that the document as it is now has a little bit of a void with regard to the need to really create an innovation ecosystem here.

COMMISSIONER HANSSEN: Agree.

CHAIR HUDES: Further comments on the document?

Commissioner Burch.

COMMISSIONER BURCH: Sorry, it's not a comment on the document. Just wanted to know from the Chair of the GPAC, following the approval of this is there going to be some kind of like an agenda or line item of what we're trying to hit through the next few meetings or what our priorities are? I know this took a little while to get through.

COMMISSIONER HANSSEN: You didn't come to our last meeting.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER BURCH: I know. Was that on there, because I don't think it's televised; I haven't watched it.

COMMISSIONER HANSSEN: I don't think it is either. (Inaudible) but we spent the last meeting and we're going to be spending next week's meeting on very high level ideas of land use, the Land Use Element, which we did not go through in the 2010 General Plan Update, because it was a limited update, so this will be the first time we've talked about it in I guess 20 years. Like are the Land Use designations we have right now appropriate? Are they going to help us build for the future? Would it be possible to create an innovation center given the way we have architected it, if we're saying 50% land use coverage and this amount of height, so that's the kind of stuff that we started talking about and we're going to talk about more in our next meeting, and probably a couple more meetings after that. And please get more details of the process.

JENNIFER ARMER: Well, I think you covered kind of the next step pretty well. Basically, the next steps for the alternatives analysis is getting some guidance from GPAC as to what alternatives should be included in the alternatives report. The report, the kind of draft report,

then comes back to GPAC for discussion. It then goes to the
public. We'll have public outreach, then it goes back to
GPAC, and then it will go to Planning Commission and
Council with a recommendation for a preferred alternative.
So, there's a very long process for that part too, but it's
a very important part of then developing alternatives that
then are considered in the environmental review.
The other point that I wanted to touch back on is
what was just being discussed about potentially including

what was just being discussed about potentially including the innovation into the Community Vitality Guiding Principle; I just wanted to make sure I understood that. Would that be something like in the second sentence of Community Vitality, having it be, "Foster the economic vitality and innovation in all of Los Gatos business locations"?

CHAIR HUDES: I have some other language that I had suggested. I don't know...

JENNIFER ARMER: Well, for discussion, when you get to the point of making a motion.

CHAIR HUDES: Yeah. Actually, I was thinking that there have been numerous comments that have been made here about wording and things like that and I'm wondering if it's possible to just forward a recommendation on this as

it stands with the additional comments from the Planning Commission.

JENNIFER ARMER: The Town Council will receive verbatim minutes, so they will hear your discussion. If there are specific changes to the Draft Vision Statement and Guiding Principles that there is a consensus from the Planning Commission to recommend, then that would also be included in the Staff Report. Otherwise we would be taking forward what was recommended by the GPAC with a recommendation from Planning Commission.

CHAIR HUDES: Okay, but there were some specific things that were mentioned about wording that Commissioner Tavana and others had. Have you captured those at this point? Because they could get buried in a verbatim tape, especially a session this long.

JENNIFER ARMER: Absolutely. We would be looking for a motion with a second and a vote with those specific changes. What I have so far primarily is moving the word "safe" to be the third item in that first sentence, and then there was discussion and different ideas about including the concept of innovation and innovation center and innovation community in here somewhere, but I haven't heard a specific consensus on how to do that.

1	SALLY ZARNOWITZ: And there was change to the
2	language about historic resources.
3	CHAIR HUDES: Correct.
4	COMMISSIONER HANSSEN: Yup. I was making a
5	recommendation that we include Matthew's language under
6	Community Vitality versus having it as a standalone.
7	JENNIFER ARMER: So, it would be added in
8	addition to the three sentences that are already there?
9	COMMISSIONER HANSSEN: Yeah, which might make it
11	too long, but I think that was my recommendation about the
12	best place to put it, so if the rest of the commissioners
13	thought that would be an okay way to move forward we could
14	make a motion.
15	CHAIR HUDES: Okay, would somebody like to make
16	the motion?
17	COMMISSIONER HANSSEN: Is it okay for me to make
18	the
19	CHAIR HUDES: Of course.
20	COMMISSIONER HANSSEN: Okay. I'd like to make a
21	motion that we forward a recommendation on the Vision
22	Statement and the Guiding Principles to the Town Council
23	with the changes that we just discussed, including moving
2425	"safe" to the third point about the community that we are
∠ ⊃	in the first sentence including the changes in the History

	Resources section of the Community Vitality, and adding the
2	language about the innovation center that was suggested by
3	Chair Hudes as part of Community Vitality.
4	CHAIR HUDES: Commissioner Burch.
5	COMMISSIONER BURCH: I'll second.
6	CHAIR HUDES: Further discussion? Commissioner
7	Badame, did you have a point earlier that I might have
8	missed? I can't remember.
9	COMMISSIONER BADAME: No, I'm good with
10	everything. I'll be supporting the motion. Thank you for
11	checking.
12	CHAIR HUDES: Okay, very good. So, I'll call the
13	CHAIR HODES: Okay, Very good. 50, I II Call the
14	question. All in favor? Opposed? Passed unanimously. Thank
15	you.
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

This Page Intentionally Left Blank