




A FULL SPECTRUM PROFESSIONAL TREE CARE COMPANY

TREE REPORT
For
La Rinconada Golf & Country Club
14595 Clearview Dr. Los Gatos 95032

GOLF COURSE MODERNIZATION PROJECT



Lisa Edwards & Straun Edwards
Trees 360 Degrees
Certified Arborist #WE-5055A / #WE-5612A
Ph. (408) 455-5911/408-898-0625

July 1st, 2025 (Revised July 17th, 2025)

SUMMARY	1
INTRODUCTION.....	2
BACKGROUND	2
ASSIGNMENT.....	2
LIMITS OF ASSIGNMENT	3
PURPOSE AND USE OF REPORT	4
 OBSERVATIONS	 4
TREE INVENTORY	4
PLAN REVIEW.....	5
 ANALYSIS.....	 6
SPECIES COUNT	7
SPECIES STATUS.....	7
CONDITION RATING	8
EXPECTED IMPACT LEVEL	10
REMOVALS.....	11
MITIGATION FOR REMOVALS.....	11
 DISCUSSION/CONCLUSION	 12
 APPENDIX A: SPECIES BREAKDOWN	 14
SPECIES DIVERSITY	14
TREE REMOVALS.....	15
TREES TO RETAIN	16
 APPENDIX B: TREE PROTECTION GUIDELINE IMAGES	 17
TRUNK PROTECTION.....	18
BRIDGING	19
TRENCHING	19
AIRSPADE	19
 APPENDIX C: PROJECT REQUIREMENTS	 20
PROJECT ARBORIST.....	20
VERIFICATION OF TREE PROTECTION AND MAINTENANCE.....	20
REGULAR MONITORING REPORTS	20
SPECIAL ACTIVITY MONITORING NOTIFICATION	20
STAGING AND HAUL ROUTE.....	20
FENCING/TREE PROTECTION ZONE (TPZ)	21
FENCING MATERIAL FOR TPZ.....	21
LOCATIONS.....	22
TRUNK PROTECTION.....	22
SIGNAGE	22
PRUNING AND REMOVALS	23
PRUNING STANDARDS.....	23
TREE REMOVAL CRITERIA STATEMENT.....	23

EARTHWORKS.....23

GRADING AND DRAINAGE.....23

SOIL COMPACTION MITIGATION24

TRENCHING-UTILITIES/DRAINAGE/IRRIGATION.....24

ROOT PRUNING.....24

IRRIGATION25

TEMPORARY IRRIGATION.....25

PERMANENT IRRIGATION FOR TREE APPLICATION25

TREE CANOPY DUST CONTROL.....26

TREE DAMAGES, DEPOSITS, PLANTING & FINAL INSPECTION.....26

DAMAGES.....26

TREE APPRAISAL.....26

TREE REPLACEMENT REQUIREMENTS.....27

FINAL INSPECTION27

ADDENDUM28

FINAL STATEMENT29

BIBLIOGRAPHY30

SUMMARY

The applicant is requesting approval for site improvements to modernize the existing golf course. The golf course is zoned RC — also known as Resource Conservation Zone — an area used at a minimum to enhance open space and preserve wildlife, watersheds, and vegetation. The intent is to return the course to a more sustainable environment by minimizing the number of impervious cart paths and changing to a more drought-tolerant and indigenous landscape, thus reducing water consumption. According to the Town Code Sec. 29.10.0955, “native means any tree that is found in the immediate natural habitat.” As this project relates to trees, there will be a shift to replace many non-native trees with native species naturally occurring in the Los Gatos area. For example, the coast redwood, while the most prominent tree species growing on the course, is not indigenous to the oak woodlands and chaparral areas of Los Gatos, but to the Santa Cruz mountains. Moreover, it is not conducive to the golf course’s specific climate. As the fog belts shift in California along with the high water intake these trees are accustomed to, they are facing a drastic change, and it seems reasonable to reduce the quantity while proposing a remodel. In addition to the redwoods, the Eucalyptus is a non-native tree species that can be a safety hazard due to the risk of falling limbs and fuel potential for fires.

The site improvements require a moderate amount of earthwork to be executed, including grade changes for course contours, improved drainage, and an upgrade to the current irrigation system. The modernization project requires some tree removals and replacements; therefore, a tree inventory has been completed. The inventory contains a total of 945 mixed-species trees. In addition to the inventoried trees, naturalized greenbelt areas outside the project scope, which are expected to be unimpacted, exist and have been identified on the Grading and Drainage Plan Sheets C-1.0 to C-1.5 rev. date 03/28/25. Of the 945 trees tagged, 790 are considered Protected trees, 92 are Large protected trees, and 63 fall into the exempt category due to their smaller size, undesirable species, or both.

As of the writing of this report, one tree has been removed by Pacific Gas and Electric Company, and is not included in the inventory, leaving 287 proposed for removal and 658 proposed for retention.

According to the ordinance requirements and based on the removal requests, the applicant will be required to replace the removal trees with (977) 24-in. boxed trees or a combination of trees and in-lieu payment. The final decision on planting and payment configuration is to be confirmed by the Town arborist. Tree protection for the remaining trees is outlined in this document, and the tree protection fencing locations are identified on the Preliminary TP Plan sheets TP-1 through TP-5 dated 03/28/25 and revised 07/01/25. Final adjustments for tree protection fencing will be made in the field before work

commences. The TP plan sheets shall also include this report outlining the required tree protection recommendations. Appraisal values were calculated for 732 of the 945 trees that are determined to be in Low to High-impact areas. The remaining 213 tagged trees are in zones designated as "Area Not Part of Project," such as the driving range, pool, and clubhouse parking lot. The 732 were appraised for a rounded depreciated value of \$13,458,393.

INTRODUCTION

BACKGROUND

La Rinconada Golf and Country Club is a private member club with an 18-hole course in Los Gatos, California. Other amenities include a restaurant, heated spa, swimming pool, gym, yoga studio, and bocce courts. In November of 2024, LRCC tasked Trees 360 Degrees with assessing the trees and the proposed changes required to upgrade the existing golf course and provide a report with our findings and recommendations for tree protection to satisfy the Town of Los Gatos Planning Department requirements.

ASSIGNMENT:

- This assignment is to provide La Rinconada Golf and Country Club with an arborist report to use for submission to the Town of Los Gatos Planning Department to obtain the necessary permits for the proposed golf course renovation project.
- The arborist report includes an assessment of the trees within and adjacent to the proposed project area. It consists of affixing a numbered tree tag to each tree trunk, species identification, trunk diameter measurement, approximate canopy height, and spread, overall condition ratings based on health, structure, and form, and suitability for preservation. The tree tag numbers are for reference on-site and correlate to site plans provided for review.
- Provide tree protection guidelines and specifications set forth by the Town of Los Gatos Tree Protection and impact ratings for those trees impacted by the project.
- Provide appraised values using criteria collected during our site visits, professional experience, and formulas written by The Council of Tree and Landscape Appraisers in the *Guide for Plant Appraisal, 10th Edition, Second Printing*.

LIMITS OF ASSIGNMENT

- This report and the contents thereof represent the professional opinion of the arborist or appraiser. The arborist or appraiser fee is not contingent upon reporting a specific value or providing predisposed findings.
- While the arborist or appraiser cannot guarantee the accuracy or information provided by others nor be held responsible, great care has been taken to seek reliable sources and verify the information obtained as much as possible.
- The information in this report is limited to the trees' condition during the time the trees were inspected between November 13th, 2024, and January 13th, 2025. No risk assessments were performed on any of the trees.
- The information collected was used to apply condition ratings and was a visual assessment at ground level only. Tree heights and canopy spreads are estimates, while the trunk diameters were measured. There is no guarantee that issues or deficiencies of the examined trees or property will not arise in the future.
- The final plans reviewed included the Architectural and Site Review Plans compiled by HMM dated July 1st, 2025). The photos of trees on-site that have been inserted as hyperlinks into the tree inventory were provided by La Rinconada Golf and Country Club.
- The sketches or drawings in this report are solely for visual aid and are not to scale or architecturally sound representations.
- Unless otherwise required by law, the possession or use of this report – whether it be an original, copy, or electronic version – has no implied right of publication or use, other than expressed in the given assignment of this report unless permitted by the authors.
- Unless further contractual arrangements are made, the arborist or appraiser shall not be required to participate in any litigation, attend court, or give testimony due to the contents of this report.
- Deletion or alteration of any portion of this document invalidates the report.

PURPOSE AND USE OF THE REPORT

This report is intended to identify all the trees within the current boundary of the golf course that could be affected by the proposed renovation project. The report is to be used by La Rinconada Golf and Country Club for submittal to the Town of Los Gatos. This document should be used by the Town Planning Department and Town Arborist to reference existing tree conditions and help satisfy planning requirements while acting as a general protection guide for the trees for all parties involved in the project before, during, and post-construction.

OBSERVATIONS

TREE INVENTORY

All observations made in the field have been recorded in the tree inventory. The inventory consists of trees located on-site and those near project activity on neighboring properties that have been identified as protected from removal unless permitted by the Town of Los Gatos in the Town code ordinance *DIVISION 2.—TREE PROTECTION*.

- Per "Sec. 29.10.0960. – Scope of Protected trees": This includes specifically those trees with a four-inch or greater diameter (twelve and one-half-inch circumference) of any trunk and any stand of trees, the nature of which makes each dependent upon the other for survival.
- Per "Sec. 29.10.0955. – Definitions": Large Protected are trees described as any oak (*Quercus sp.*), California buckeye (*Aesculus californica*), or Pacific madrone (*Arbutus menziesii*) which has a 24-inch or greater diameter (75-inch circumference); or any other tree species with a 48-inch or greater diameter (150-inch circumference). For this inventory, only the oaks with a 24-inch diameter or greater and any other species with a 48-inch diameter or greater will apply; there are no buckeyes or madrones to account for. The inventory also considers any exceptions to the provisions, and we have labeled them Exempt.
- Per "Sec. 29.10.0970.— Exceptions,": States the following trees are excepted from the provisions of this division and may be removed or severely pruned without Town approval or issuance of a tree removal permit: (2) Any of the following trees that are less than twenty-four (24) inches in diameter (seventy-five (75) inches in circumference):

a. Black Acacia (<i>Acacia melanoxylon</i>)	e. Red Gum Eucalyptus (<i>E. camaldulensis</i>)
b. Tulip Tree (<i>Liriodendron tulipifera</i>)	f. Other Eucalyptus sp. (<i>E. spp.</i>) Hillsides only
c. Tree of Heaven (<i>Ailanthus altissima</i>)	g. Palm (except <i>Phoenix canariensis</i>)
d. Blue Gum Eucalyptus (<i>E. globulus</i>)	h. Privet (<i>Ligustrum lucidum</i>)

The inventory details include tree identification of botanical and common names, trunk diameter and canopy measurements, overall condition ratings, appraised value, and recommendations for their care or removal. The information has been formatted in a spreadsheet and submitted along with this report. The diameter at breast height (dbh) is the measurement of the trunk diameter at 4.5ft (54-inches above natural grade) on a single trunk specimen. Multi-trunk trees are measured at the same height, but the individual trunk measurements are combined to calculate the sum of all trunk diameters. This calculation follows the guidelines per the Town code "Sec. 29.10-0955 – Definitions...Diameter." Any removal recommendations are made considering the criteria for removal outlined in "Sec. 29.10.0985. – Determination and conditions of permit". We have determined that the most fitting criteria for this project are listed in the Project Requirements and Protections Measures, subsection Tree Removal Criteria Statement (Criteria per Town code Sec. 29.10.0992) listed on page 23 of this report. Replacement tree quantity and sizes have also been suggested based on what is defined in Town code "Sec. 29.10.0985 – Determination and conditions of permit". A condensed version of this table is viewable on page 27 of this report. Finally, due to the size of this project, photo links have been added to the spreadsheet for use on demand.

PLAN REVIEW

Specific attention was given to the following plan sheets related to the trees on site in the Architectural and Site Review Plans and the TP sheets revised 07/01/25.

- Civil Drainage and Utility sheets C-0.0 to C-1.5 provided by Clifford Bechtel and Associates, LLC
- Demolition Plan sheets AO-2, AO-3, and Cart Path Plan sheet AO-11 provided by Todd Eckenrode of Origins Golf Design
- Landscape Construction Document sheets, including Tree Protection and Removal Plan Sheets TP1-TP6, Irrigation Plan Sheets IP1-IP6, Planting Plan Sheets PP1-PP-5, and Planting Detail Sheets PD1-PD2 provided by Pinnacle Design Company
- Preliminary Entry Road Grading and Drainage, Interim Erosion Control Measures, and Fire Access Plan C4.0-C6.0 provided by HMM.

ANALYSIS


The data collected for the tree inventory spreadsheets has been used to determine the total number of species on site as well as the breakdown of species variation, identification of native vs. non-native specimens, status of protected trees, the condition rating of trees at the time of inspection, with the overall condition rating based on the lowest rating of the three, the expected level of impact to the trees, number of removals required to fulfill the project requirements and the recommended replacements and protection of retained trees. In addition to the tree inventory, the plan set provided by HMM for the project's overall scope was reviewed, and suggestions were provided to the architecture and design team during the planning phase. The plan set will show all tree protection fencing at a minimum outside the tree canopy driplines and extend fencing around groups of trees where applicable. Contractors shall execute construction activities (e.g., drainage, grading, and mainline irrigation installation) outside the designated tree protection zones. At the time of our review of the revised documents dated July 1st, 2025, consideration of our recommendations appears to have been applied. All other areas that remain a concern have been labeled with an impact rating of Low to High, and a protection measure protocol has been advised at the end of this document.

SPECIES COUNT

Per the data collected, there are 945 tree species with a mix of 49 genera. The breakdown of native trees .is based on the indigenous oak woodland and chaparral areas of Los Gatos, with 151 native to 794 non-native trees, the latter being trees that belong to geographical areas outside Los Gatos.

SPECIES STATUS

The species status is based on the Town of Los Gatos Tree Protection guidelines. The trees that have been inventoried are labeled as one of three categories: Protected = any tree with a dbh of 4 inches or greater, Large Protected = any of the three genera listed in the ordinance Sec. 29.10.0955 with a 24-in dbh or greater plus any tree with a 48-in. dbh or greater, and finally, those that are *Exempt*. The Exempt trees = exceptions to the rule that are designated species and smaller size dbh listed in Sec. 29.10.0970-Exceptions. These exceptions were previously detailed in this document. The breakdown of the inventoried trees includes 790 Protected, 92 Large Protected, and 63 Exempt. See the chart below for the division of trees proposed for removal vs. retained.




287 PROPOSED REMOVALS	658 RETAINED TREES
• 263 Protected Status (261 non-native/2 native)	• 527 Protected Status (426 non-native/101 native)
• 16 Large Protected (15 non-native/1 native)	• 76 Large Protected (29 non-native/47 native)
• 8 Exempt (all non-native)	• 55 Exempt (all non-native)

CONDITION RATING

Species age and diversity do not come as a surprise, seeing that the course was initially built in 1929, is closing in on 100 years of operation, and consists of approximately 125 acres. The course is heavily tree-lined with mature specimens of various ages. The condition rating of a tree reflects the species' characteristics and stage of development at the time of inspection. The tree's health, structure, and form are all determining factors. To assess the trees at La Rinconada, we used qualitative terms (Dead, Very poor, Poor, Fair, Good, and Excellent) and percentage ratings (0%-100%), with 100% being Excellent and 0% being Dead. These classifications are based on the rating categories defined in The Council of Tree and Landscape Appraisers *Guide for Plant Appraisal, 10th Edition, Second Printing*. A summarized version is listed below. Per our assessment, La Rinconada has two hundred and twelve trees in Excellent condition (81%-100%), two hundred and sixty are in Good condition (61%-80%), one hundred and eighty-five are in Fair condition (41%-60%), one hundred and twenty-seven are in Poor condition (21%-41%), one hundred and fifty-nine are in Very poor condition (6%-20%). Two are dead (0%-5%).

SUMMARIZED VERSION OF CONDITION RATINGS USED FOR ASSESSMENT


- 81%-100% = Excellent: Nearly perfect health, defect-free structure, and symmetrical form.
- 61%-80% = Good: Normal vigor, no visible pest or disease, twig/foilage dieback minor, the structure is well-developed, defects, if any, are correctable, minor asymmetries of the canopy that do not compromise overall appearance.
- 41%-60% = Fair: Reduced vigor with visible damage from pest or disease that is non-fatal, a structure with one or more significant defects that would require multiple treatments over time to correct and form that is asymmetrical from species norm and compromise overall appearance.
- 21%-40% = Poor: Low vigor, extensive twig dieback, foliage density, and color are abnormal, pest and disease may be fatal, structure is severely compromised with serious defects that cannot be corrected and failures likely to occur, form is extremely asymmetrical and aesthetics and intended use negatively impacted.
- 6%-20% = Very Poor: Appears to be dying, failure is probable, and overall appearance unsightly-detracts from intended use in the landscape.
- 0%-5% = Dead: Minimal to no life



EXPECTED IMPACT LEVEL

The impact level, classified as none, low, moderate, or high, indicates how a tree may be damaged by construction activities. Factors considered are changes in grade, hardscape installation or removal, drainage, trenching, and irrigation at a minimum. The levels of impact are described below.

- **None/No Impact** = No construction activity-tree unaffected.
- **Low Impact** = Construction activity will have minimal impact on the tree.
- **Moderate Impact**= Future structural or health issues could result from the construction activity; precautions must be made to keep the tree from being negatively affected.
- **High Impact**= Removal or alternative measures must be implemented to provide the best possible outcome for the tree's survival because the tree's health and structure are already compromised or will be jeopardized by close construction activities.



After reviewing the plans listed above, I have come to the following conclusions on the expected impact of the trees inventoried. Of the 945 trees inventoried, 219 (23.17%) will have no impact (213 of those are excluded from the work area), 193 (20.42%) will have Low Impact, 319 (33.76%) will have Moderate Impact, and 214 (22.65%) will have High Impact. A further breakdown reveals a single tree with no apparent impact, 48 trees with low-impact, 81 moderately impacted, and 157 highly impacted that are proposed for removal. All trees listed in the inventory as Low-High that remain on-site will require monitoring by an ISA-certified arborist when construction activities take place near these trees. The trees designated with high impact have been noted due to multiple construction activities proposed to take place (e.g., cart path installation or removal, change in grade nearby, and storm drain installation). These combined activities may take place on multiple sides of the tree. These trees will require extra care and may necessitate grading or storm drain locations to be


adjusted in the field while the work is being executed. The construction crew, architect, or appointed personnel shall work together with the arborist on-site.

REMOVALS

At the time of this report, 287 trees have been listed for removal. This number was revised from the submitted report dated April 25th,2025. The revised count combines the original 240 and the additional recommended 47 trees that have been identified as HR (Hazard Removal) in the updated inventory spreadsheets. Our professional opinion is that those protected trees, as part of the redevelopment of La Rinconada Golf Course, fall under the criteria guidelines, but are not limited to the following. The trees have low overall condition ratings or poor structure that would pose a threat to the public use of the property as they are dead, dying, severely diseased, decayed, or disfigured and cannot be returned to a healthy and structurally sound condition (Criteria 1). They may be crowding other protected trees of greater significance (Criteria 3). The trees conflict with the proposed development and land improvements, restricting the economic enjoyment of the property (Criteria 4). The removals and replacements will allow the property to transition towards a more native oak woodland landscape like the indigenous oak woodland and chaparral area of Los Gatos (Criteria 10). The accompanying tree inventory spreadsheet should be referenced for details.

MITIGATION FOR REMOVALS

The tree mitigation requirements are outlined in the Project Requirements and Protection Measures, subsection Tree Replacement Requirements, on page 27. Suggestions for species quantity and size are based on the Town Requirements and listed in the inventory spreadsheet. The number of estimated trees to be replanted is (977) - 24in boxed trees. However, it may be possible to plant a combination of larger-sized trees and/or in lieu payments to meet the required replacements. Per the current Landscape Planting Plan sheet PP-2, 158 trees are suggested for replanting, plus 15 additional trees with planting locations to be finalized in the field. These replacement trees are of



various sizes, including 24-in., 36-in., 48-in., and 60-in. boxed specimens; a mix of (121x) oaks, (39x) madrones, and (13x) sycamores. It is highly recommended that the native trees, Indigenous to the area of Los Gatos, be utilized whenever possible (e.g., *Quercus douglasii* in high, dry, well-draining locations, *Q. agrifolia* in well-drained areas with moderate water, and *Q. lobata* in wetter areas that have deep, well-draining, fertile soil that is also preferably dry in summer). The final tree replacement count, size, and species will be designated on any permits issued by the Town of Los Gatos.


DISCUSSION & CONCLUSION:

For La Rinconada Golf Course's modernization project, the proposed work entails changing the contours and upgrading bunkers, greens, cart paths, fairways, irrigation, and drainage. It is my understanding that all materials will remain on-site. The condition of the trees is mixed with a combined 69.52% Fair to Excellent rating, 30.27% Very Poor to Poor rating, and an insignificant 0.21% for Dead trees. The new look of the course will include the removal of a significant number of non-native trees and the replanting of native trees and shrubs alike. Of the 945 trees, 63 are Exempt, leaving 882 trees classified as protected, with 92 of those Large Protected. Overall, the proposed construction is expected to have some level of impact on all but 219 trees. Of the recommended removals, there is only 1 with no apparent impact, and the following are in areas with various degrees of impact: 48-low impact, 81-moderate impact, and 157-high impact. These trees are either in direct conflict because they are too close to the proposed changes, do not harmonize with the goals of the new course layout, are non-native with high water needs, or are poor specimens with no valuable contribution to warrant their retention, or a combination of the above. Over fifty percent of the trees recommended for removal are non-native trees, including redwood, Eucalyptus, and Ash. One of the goals is to reduce water consumption on the course, and the redwood trees make up the vast majority of the existing species. If the removals are approved, all the remaining trees inventoried must be protected, as indicated in this document. Furthermore, the applicant must replant based on the Town of Los Gatos mitigation requirements. At this time, we have calculated replacement trees based on the canopy size of the removals that have been proposed. The estimated number of trees is 977- 24in boxed, or an approved combination of the two. The Town will determine the total tree quantity, species, size of replacement trees or payment in place of planting once a permit is issued. The plans provided and reviewed appear to be accurate, with details applied for tree protection. All tree protection zones have been designated on the Tree


Protection and Removal Plan sheets TP-1 to TP-6. These sheets were recently updated on 07/01/25 under our consultation and guidance, with details addressing the breakdown of areas and types of fencing proposed. The reasoning for the selected areas and fence material to be used is based on our perceived levels of impact from the construction. The arborist will adjust as necessary and approve all final fencing locations in the field before breaking ground on this project. All construction projects around trees shall promote a positive outcome for the long-term preservation of the tree. The construction process and on-site teams need to understand that tree preservation is a top priority, and the work around them needs to be fluid. Similarly, the landscape installation plans must be reviewed once plant materials and locations are finalized. No large-sized trees/plants at full maturity or large-scale plantings shall occur directly under the canopies of already existing, mature, large-sized trees. It is highly recommended that the plantings be limited to outside the drip line and, where possible, native material with similar irrigation needs be planted. Additionally, special consideration should be given to the large native oak trees (e.g., *Quercus douglasii* -Blue oaks) that tend to thrive best on seasonal dry, well-drained soils in full sun on slopes and hillsides. Please see the details below in the Project Requirements and Protection Measures section. These details shall be adhered to and copied onto the Tree Protection Plan Sheet Set.

APPENDIX A


LRGCC SPECIES DIVERSITY CHART



287 PROPOSED REMOVALS




658 RETAINED TREES



APPENDIX B

TPZ-Tree Protection Zone may vary in radius from the trunk and may or may not be established at the drip line distance. See arborist's report for specifications of TPZ radii.

Crown drip line or other limit of Tree Protection area.
See Tree Preservation Plan for fence alignment.



NOTES:


- See Project requirements and Protection Measures Appendix E for additional tree protection requirements.
- All maintenance and care shall be performed by a qualified and approved arborist with a C-61/D-49 California Contractors License. Tree maintenance and care shall be executed according to American National Standard for Tree Care Operations: Tree, Shrub and Other Woody Plant Management: Standard Practices parts 1-10 and adhere to ANSI Z133.1 safety standards and local regulations.
- All maintenance is to be performed according to ISA Best Management Practices.

URBAN TREE FOUNDATION © 2014
OPEN SOURCE FREE TO USE
Modified by Trees 360 Degrees 2025




TREE PROTECTION

APPENDIX B CONTINUED...






**TRUNK PROTECTION
VERTICAL TIMBER DETAIL**



TRUNK PROTECTION WITH WATTLE

APPENDIX B CONTINUED...



APPENDIX C

PROJECT REQUIREMENTS & PROTECTION MEASURES

- **Project Arborist:** The applicant shall retain a project arborist with a minimum International Society of Arboriculture Certification credentials. The Items that fall under the guidance of the project arborist shall include, but are not limited to the following.
- **Verification of tree protection and maintenance:** The project arborist shall verify that all pre-construction conditions of approval for the project have been met and are in place before the initial demolition of any structure or earthwork. This includes but is not limited to, tree fencing, trunk buffers if applicable, signage, designated staging areas, and temporary irrigation. Verification will be done by attending a pre-construction meeting. The pre-construction meeting shall consist of all involved parties, at a minimum, the construction superintendent, pertinent personnel, the project arborist, and the Town-appointed consulting arborist. This meeting shall take place before breaking ground on the project. As mentioned above, this meeting will review root pruning protocols, tree protection, maintenance measures, and establishing/confirming staging areas and potential supplemental irrigation around trees.
- **Regular Monitoring Reports:** Once work commences, and any time requiring supervision by the project arborist, it will be documented in writing, reflecting the reasons for the job, how it was executed, and if any further mitigation is required. Namely, all canopy and root pruning shall be performed or overseen by an ISA-Certified Arborist. The project arborist is responsible for visiting the site for progress reports monthly, at a minimum, throughout the project's life unless directed otherwise by the Town arborist. During these site visits, the status of trees, including tree protection measures and maintenance, shall be inspected and commented on in a brief letter/report sent to the Town Arborist via email per Town requirements. Photos may be included where and when deemed necessary.
- **Special Activity Monitoring Notification:** Site personnel shall contact the Project Arborist, at a minimum, 48 hours in advance to facilitate monitoring of proposed activities that may disturb tree canopies or roots. The root disturbance will apply to all areas where roots measuring one inch in diameter or greater are likely to be encountered. When any roots one inch or greater are encountered and authorized to be cut or removed, any work shall be done under the supervision of a certified arborist and executed according to ANSI A300 standards.
- **Staging & Haul Route:** All equipment shall be kept in designated areas as determined by the project arborist. Attention to heavy equipment's impact on remaining trees and their root protection

zones is imperative; therefore, staging shall be limited to the designated area and outside any established fencing. Ultimately, the staging location shall be agreed upon at the on-site meeting with the town-appointed consulting arborist and project arborist before the project breaks ground. Plans indicate that all material will be kept on-site, so no off-haul route designation will be necessary. Should this change, the on-site arborist shall be notified to determine the best haul route to avoid conflicts with the protected trees.

- **Fencing/Tree Protection Zone (TPZ):** The tree protection zone has been defined by the Town of Los Gatos ordinance "Sec. 29.10.0955 – Definitions" and further described in this report as a temporary fenced area that, at a minimum, is set for a tree or group of trees under the tree's dripline, designating "...a restricted activity zone before and after construction where no soil disturbance is permitted unless approved and supervised by the certified or consulting arborist." The dripline, as defined in the same section "Sec. 29.10.0955" is the area under the canopy from the edge of the trunk that consists of the "...distance ten (10) times the diameter of the trunk, or the perimeter of the tree canopy, whichever is greater." Where possible, the TPZ fencing shall encompass as many trees in a group as possible rather than fencing individual trees. Once installed, the TPZ fencing must not be moved or altered without the authorization of the project arborist. In order to be efficient with the tree fencing, installing the TPZ fencing post tree removals would prove beneficial. This would facilitate mulch distribution under the retained tree canopies before TPZ fencing is closed off for construction. Fencing shall be entirely in place and must be inspected and approved by the project arborist or Town-appointed consulting arborist before the construction commences. Fencing shall remain in place until the project's final sign-off inspection. If work is required in the TPZ, then all work in the TPZ shall be under project arborist supervision or Town appointed consulting arborist. No vehicles or equipment, chemical substances, materials, tools, supplies, liquids, wastes, etc., will be dumped or stored within the TPZ. No attachment of wires, signs, or ropes to protected trees. All main irrigation lines shall be located outside the tree's dripline when feasible. See Appendix B for visual details.
- **Fencing Material for the TPZ:** The contractor shall use a combination of fencing materials to provide exclusion zones. Recommended tree protection fencing shall be chain-linked and located as close to these defined locations as possible while allowing room for construction. Installation shall be as follows: Chain link fencing, a minimum of 6ft. in height, mounted on 2in diameter galvanized posts, a minimum of 8ft. long, and pounded 24in. into the ground. Spacing for tube posts must be

less than or equal to 10ft. on center. For larger extended areas, areas far enough away from the construction impact, and based on the level of impacts that may be involved with work around the trees, heavy-duty, plastic orange barrier construction fencing shall be used independently or in combination with the chain link fencing. Please refer to TP-1 through TP-6 sheets dated 07/01/25, for the details. LRCC would prefer to limit the use of chain link fencing to high-impact areas only if the orange netting is not suitable for adequate protection. The project arborist or Town consulting arborist will have final say. See Appendix B for visual details.

- **Locations:** The TPZ are indicated on the Tree Protection map sheets (TP-1 through TP-6) associated with the HMH architectural review dated 03/28/25 has been updated as of 07/01/25. The most recent revision will be submitted to the planning department for review and to fulfill the request for more detail. Exact TPZ fence locations will be finalized prior to construction commencement. Final approval by the project arborist or Town-appointed consulting arborist will determine if TPZ fence lines require adjustment while on-site.
- **Trunk Protection:** In areas where heavy equipment may be close to trees, or the tree protection fencing needs to be opened up for access, and the project arborist determines it, then the use of straw wattles, orange-plastic construction fencing, vertical wood slats, or a combination of the items listed can be wrapped around the tree trunk to avoid any impact damage-See Appendix B.
- **Signage:** Affix TPZ signage to the chain link. These signs must be waterproof, 8.5in. x 11in., and recommend that they be affixed approximately once every 25-50-linear ft of TPZ fenced distance. The sign should state: "**Warning – Tree Protection Zone – This fence shall not be removed and is subject to penalty according to Town Code 29.10.1025**". The signs should be in English and Spanish (See samples below).

WARNING
TREE POTECTION ZONE
THIS FENCE SHALL NOT BE REMOVED
AND IS SUBJECT TO PENALTY ACCORIDNG TO TOWN CODE
29.10.1025

ADVERTENCIA
ZONA DE PROTECCIÓN DE ÁRBOLES
LA BARDA NO DEBERÍA DE SER MOVIDA Ó SERÁ SUJETA A UNA
MULTA DE ACUERDO CON EL CÓDIGO DE LA CIUDAD
29.10.1025

PRUNING & REMOVALS:

- **Pruning Standards:** All required canopy or root pruning shall be performed by or under the direct supervision of an ISA-certified or ASCA-registered arborist. The pruning shall conform to the latest version of *ANSI A300 Tree Care Standards for trees, shrubs, palms, and other woody landscape plants* – standard practices (pruning) and the accompanying *Best Management Practices– Companion publication to the ANSI A300*. Before pruning commences, the contractor must call the project arborist to perform the work or meet with the assigned tree care vendor to determine the specific root, branch, or scaffold limb removal under their supervision. When temporary clearances are required, and whenever possible, low-hanging branches should be tied to hold them out of the way. If clearance is not possible by tying branches back temporarily, then the reduction of limbs must be executed by or under the supervision of an approved ISA-certified arborist. Poor pruning practices such as thinning, lions-tailing, shearing, topping, pollarding, or otherwise shall NOT be allowed as they are non-compliant with the most current specifications of ANSI-A300 standards for tree care operations.
- **Tree Removal Criteria Statement (Criteria per Town code 29.10.0992):** As defined, a tree removal permit issued by the Town of Los Gatos is required before removing any protected-size trees. At the time of this report, it is my professional opinion that those protected trees listed for removal as part of the redevelopment of La Rinconada Golf Course are due but not limited to the following (Criteria 1, 3, 4 & 10). (Criteria 1) The trees have low overall condition ratings or poor structure that would pose a threat to the public use of the property as they are dead, dying, severely diseased, decayed, or disfigured and cannot be returned to a healthy and structurally sound condition. (Criteria 3) They may be crowding other protected trees of greater significance. (Criteria 4) The trees conflict with the proposed development and land improvements, restricting the economic enjoyment of the property. (Criteria 10) The removals and replacements will allow the property to transition towards a more native oak woodland landscape like the indigenous oak woodland and chaparral area of Los Gatos.

EARTHWORK

- **Grading and Drainage:** All changes to grade shall be outside the TPZ; even minor cut and fill processes can negatively impact trees. Changes of as little as two inches can cause a tree to decline in health and even die long-term. Cutting can fracture and damage roots, and filling can suffocate

them. It is highly recommended, where possible, that the critical root zone be protected. Therefore, wherever possible, any grade changes near the protected tree shall tie into natural grade no closer than 1.5 times (in linear feet) the tree diameter in inches when measured at 4.5ft. (54-inches) from ground level. All changes to surface drainage shall not be altered to redirect existing natural water flows into or out of the TPZ unless it is to improve the overall conditions for the tree and is specified by the arborist on-site. Therefore, the drainage improvements shall consider the natural water table levels and seasonal water distribution within the TPZ areas. For example, if water is being diverted away from a tree that has evolved on-site with that water source, then irrigation measures may need to be considered to replace this deficit and vice versa.

- **Soil Compaction Mitigation:** Wherever possible, mulched wood chips from tree removals on site shall be utilized under the protected trees and, where necessary, inside the fenced-off areas of the Tree Protection Zone (TPZ) and any staging areas if required. This layer of mulch aids in preventing soil compaction and disruption and must be in place before construction begins. Should the contractor need access to or pass through an area of TPZ, for example, between trees, the contractor shall use a bridging technique for the ingress/egress locations. The bridge shall consist of a 4-6in. layer of mulch and 3/4in. plywood or steel road plates laid on top.
- **Trenching - Utilities/Drainage/Irrigation:** Locations of all proposed trenching for pipes and conduits, such as storm drains, area drain boxes, gas, water, sewer, cable, electrical, and landscape irrigation lines, shall all be located outside dripline or TPZ whichever is most feasible and furthest from the trunk of the protected tree. If the offset distance from any trench is less than 15ft., from the edge of any tree trunk, contact the project arborist to consult on alignment options for optimal tree root retention. Furthermore, in cases where soil excavation for the trench is required inside the TPZ, specialized equipment such as an air spade or horizontal boring tool shall be used to protect and allow roots to be readily exposed and worked around or pruned if needed. For all digging activities near trees, the contractor shall be mindful not to do so during hot, dry weather. The root zone should be well watered before and after digging. All exposed roots shall be immediately covered with soil, mulch, or damp burlap and irrigated to ensure they do not dry out. See Appendix B for visual details.
- **Root Pruning:** If one-inch diameter or larger roots are encountered during any site plan-related work, the project arborist shall be contacted immediately so that the soil excavation and root pruning activity can be monitored. Any areas requiring root pruning will be dug out by hand using approved

tools such as an Airspad or small hand tools to expose roots requiring pruning. Roots shall be cut at right angles to the direction of root growth, leaving clean, healthy, undamaged tissue. Furthermore, the pruning shall be done using sharp tools such as professional grade loppers, hand saws, chainsaws, Sawzall, or other appropriate instruments. Root pruning shall occur only under the direct supervision of the project arborist. Furthermore, photographs shall be taken whenever possible to document the before and after images of the pruning cuts. If damage does occur, the areas shall be hand-dug back into clear, healthy root tissue and pruned, as mentioned above. Backfill around roots immediately (same day) or cover roots with several layers (5-10) of wet burlap material to avoid root desiccation, keeping roots moist until final backfill can occur. Always backfill using existing parent soil and never compact soil around the roots.

IRRIGATION:

- **Temporary Irrigation:** If areas are to be irrigated on a temporary basis, it will be determined by the Project Arborist, who will contact appropriate site personnel to implement supplemental watering. The supplemental irrigation can be obtained via a garden hose, soaker hose, drip irrigation lines, tow-behind tank, water truck, etc., at a frequency, volume, and duration to be determined by the project arborist. Ideally, the irrigation should be applied within the TPZ and wet the top 2-3ft. of soil. With the addition of water, the General Contractor, sub, or golf course personnel shall maintain records reflecting dates of water application and application methods, volumes, and frequency. These records will be available for inspection by the project arborist as deemed necessary. Reasons for supplemental irrigation include extreme drought conditions, such as dry winters and hot summers, areas that have been routinely irrigated, and the current irrigation is being disrupted. For native species such as the oaks located in naturalized areas, it is essential to replicate as close to standard seasonal weather patterns as they are naturally accustomed to. For example, overwatering or watering too close to the trunk may create unnaturally moist soil conditions detrimental to the tree. If done excessively, over time, this may create an optimal environment for waterborne soil pathogens and harm the trees' health.
- **Permanent Irrigation for Tree Applications:** When designing the final landscape and irrigation installation plans, watering locations and regimes should be carefully considered. Locate the irrigation lines outside of the dripline wherever possible. Completed landscape

and irrigation plan sheets were not reviewed for this initial assignment. However, it was noted that bubblers on flexible black PVC irrigation tubing will be used. If flexible irrigation tubing is also used, it should be pinned directly over grade and buried beneath mulched wood chips. The surface tubing creates an irrigation system with minimal impact on existing tree roots. The Project Arborist shall be supplied with landscape and irrigation plans for review and may recommend changes before final installation.

- **Tree Canopy Dust Control:** To promote optimal diffusion (exchange of gases) through the openings in the leaf surfaces, and only if deemed necessary by the arborist, periodic washing of tree canopies can be performed, provided using either a standard hose on site or via a water truck, ensuring the upper and lower foliage surfaces are accessed. Periodic washing of the tree canopies will likely depend on seasonal rain or drought conditions.

TREE DAMAGES, DEPOSITS, PLANTING & FINAL INSPECTION:

- **Damages:** As required per the Town code "Sec. 29.10.1025. – Enforcement – Remedies for violations– subsection (3) Projects under construction": At a minimum, protected trees noted in this report that become damaged beyond repair due to the construction-related activity or removed without permit are subject to a replacement ratio that "...shall be at a greater ratio than that required under the standards outlined in "Table 3-1 – Tree Canopy – Replacement Standard" listed in the Town code "Sec 29.10.0985 – Determination and condition of permit". The Tree Protection Plan Checklist states, "Any protected tree on-site will require replacement according to its appraised value if it is damaged beyond repair as a result of construction." Additionally, and up to the discretion of the Town of Los Gatos, monetary fines may also be applied.
- **Tree Appraisal:** The assessed values in the appraisal spreadsheet provided come from a combination of criteria collected during the site visit, professional experience, and formulas written by The Council of Tree and Landscape Appraisers *Guide for Plant Appraisal, 10th Edition, Second Printing 2019*, along with the Western Chapter International Society of Arboriculture *Species Classification and Group Assignment, 2004*. Trees were appraised using the "Cost Approach- Trunk Formula Technique." Formulas are derived by obtaining the basic tree cost and then applying the condition rating and additional depreciation factors, such as external and functional limitations, if appropriate. The final tree appraisal values are rounded depreciated values. For La Rinconada Country Club, that value applies only to the 732 trees listed for removal and protection in

the spreadsheets that are within proximity of the project work zone (excludes the 213 trees outside the work zone.

- **Tree Replacement Requirements:** The replacement trees (quantity and species to be determined) are based on the criteria for replacement defined in the table below and found in Town code "Sec. 29.10.0985 Determination and conditions of permit – Table 3-1 Tree Canopy – Replacement Standard". The table below has been modified to represent non-Single-Family Residential Replacement only. Single-Family Residential Replacement details have been excluded from this document. The final determination of tree replacement will be established as part of the permit approval.

Tree Canopy – Replacement Standard

Canopy Size of Removed Tree ¹	Replacement Requirement ^{2,4}
10 feet or less	Two 24-inch box trees
More than 10 feet to 25 feet	Three 24-inch box trees
More than 25 feet to 40 feet	Four 24-inch box trees or Two 36-inch box trees
More than 40 feet to 55 feet	Six 24-inch box trees or Three 36-inch box trees
Greater than 55 feet	Ten 24-inch box trees or Five 36-inch box trees

¹To measure an asymmetrical canopy of a tree, the widest measurement shall be used to determine canopy size.

²Often, it is not possible to replace a single large, older tree with an equivalent tree(s). In this case, the tree may be replaced with a combination of both the Tree Canopy Replacement Standard and in-lieu payment in an amount set forth by Town Council resolution paid to the Town Tree Replacement Fund...

⁴Replacement Trees shall be approved by the Town Arborist and shall be of a species suited to the available planting location, proximity to structures, overhead clearances, soil type, compatibility with surrounding canopy and other relevant factors. Replacement with native species shall be strongly encouraged but is required for Hillside properties, as per section 29.10.0987, Special Provisions Hillside, with tree species per Hillside Development Standards and Guidelines Appendix A" (Town of Los Gatos, CA Division 2. Tree Protection Ordinance 2022).

- **Final Inspection:** A final inspection by the Town Arborist at the end of the project is mandatory to ensure all tree replacements have been made. It is recommended that tree planting occur at the end of the project upgrades or when the time comes to implement the landscaping phase to ensure their establishment's success and avoid unnecessary damage.

ADDENDUM TO LRCC TREE REPORT FOR THE GOLF COURSE MODERNIZATION PROJECT 07/01/2025

PURPOSE: Recommendation for removing forty-seven additional trees and justification for using a polyvinyl tree protection fence.

Per the request of the Town of Los Gatos, I have adjusted the tree protection inventory spreadsheets to include the extra trees proposed for removal based on their overall conditions relating to being potentially hazardous, located in high target areas, actively failing, in the process of dying, or not suitable for their current environment. I have noted these trees with an HR to represent Hazard Removal. These additional trees have been included throughout the revised documents dated 07/01/25. They are reflected in the inventory spreadsheet as HR, to represent Hazard Removal, and included in the representative graphs as a part of this updated report (e.g., calculation for the replacement trees and native vs. non-native species). Furthermore, they have been added to the Architectural and Site Review Plans with a designated symbol to distinguish from the previous removals requested. Below are the tree species count, botanical and common names, and associated tree tag numbers. Please refer to the accompanying inventory spreadsheet for details.

ADDITIONAL 47x TREE REMOVAL RECOMMENDATION:

- 1x** –*Araucaria heterophylla* (Norfolk Island pine)- Tree No. 644
- 1x** -*Casurina sp.* (Casurina)- Tree No. 102
- 1x** -*Cupressus arizonica* (Arizona cypress)- Tree No. 687
- 12x** -*Eucalyptus camaldulensis* (river red gum)- Tree No. 48, 51, 72, 76, 82, 85, 535, 537, 539, 552, 739, & 925
- 17x** -*Fraxinus excelsior* (European ash)- Tree No. 633, 642, 643, 645, 646, 647, 648, 650, 652, 657, 658, 659, 660, 661, 662, 663 & 666
- 5x** -*Fraxinus uhdei* (Shamel ash)- Tree No. 398, 686, 689, 690, & 691
- 6x** -*Fraxinus velutina* (Modesto ash)- Tree No. 300, 429, 430, 490, 620, & 667
- 1x** -*Olea europaea* (olive)- Tree No. 511
- 2x** -*Quercus agrifolia* (coast live oak)- Tree No. 104 & 624
- 1x** -*Quercus douglasii* (blue oak)- Tree No. 471

JUSTIFICATION FOR CHANGE IN FENCING MATERIAL USED ON-SITE:

Tree Protection maps dated 7/01/25 have been revised to reflect the approximate fencing locations and materials to use. We have provided consultation on the fencing locations and materials, and post review, feel that the location of the orange-colored high-density polyethylene fencing with 3.5in. x 1.5in. openings in the locations suggested on the map are sufficient. The decisions were based on reviewing the plans and work to be done in and around the areas of the trees. The level of proposed impact (none, low, moderate, and high) was noted and used to make the abovementioned decisions. We recommend using alternative fencing materials in low-to-no-impact areas and a combination of high-visibility plastic and metal chain link fencing in areas with predicted moderate impacts. All areas of potential high impact shall have the chain link fencing installed, as previously noted in the report provided and dated April 25th, 2025. Due to the scope of the project, with a large number of trees and areas to be fenced, along with the proposed goals to retain as many trees as possible, the use of the orange fencing will aid in making sure the project is executed to meet these goals. The reduction in cost for the material and for the workforce to install and move metal fencing can be transferred to more physical on-site presence of an arborist or appointed staff to ensure that ALL areas are being restricted from unnecessary access and ensuring tree protection. The orange fencing will also allow for the convenience of shifting from area to area as the project moves along, as construction will be performed in phases, and access to critical areas that may require supervised work by hand can be more effectively managed. Finally, the bright color will also improve visibility.

FINAL STATEMENT

- ❖ This report or at a minimum the Protection Requirements and Protections Measures section within this report is to be copied onto a plan sheet and become part of the final plan set. The owner, contractor, and architect are all responsible for knowing the information included in the arborist report and adhering to the conditions provided.
- ❖ The arborist report, once copied onto a plan sheet and included in the final set of plans, along with the Sec. 29.10.1005-Protection of trees during construction serves as a Tree Preservation Plan.
- ❖ Retain the services of a certified or consulting arborist who shall serve as the project arborist for periodic monitoring of the health of the trees to be preserved. The project arborist will be present whenever activities occur which may pose a potential threat to the health of the tree to be preserved and shall document all site visits.
- ❖ Trees requested for removal must meet the tree removal criteria, and qualify for removal and replacement as part of the project. Sec. 29.10.0992-Required Findings. No trees shall be removed without permits.
- ❖ Contractor shall ensure that construction activity is set back far enough from trees to provide adequate protection, unless otherwise noted, with mitigation and protection measures required to provide adequate protection.
- ❖ The director and project arborist shall be notified of any damage that occurs to a protected tree during construction so that proper treatment may be administered.
- ❖ Any tree on site protected by Town code Sec. 29.10.0960 that is damaged during any phase of the project will require replacement according to its appraised value if it is damaged beyond repair because of construction. Sec. 29.10.1025-Enforcement – Remedies for violation
- ❖ The TPZ fencing shall remain in place until the project has been completed, inspected, and approved for removal by the Town Arborist.

Should you have any questions regarding the above information, please do not hesitate to call us.

Thank you,

Lisa Edwards
ISA Certified Arborist WE-5612A
408-455-5911

Straun Edwards
ISA Certified Arborist WE-5055A
408-898-0625

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- American National Standards for Tree Care Operations. "Management of Trees and Shrubs during Site Development and Construction/Part 9." *ANSI A300 Tree Care Standards for Trees, Shrubs, Palms, and Other Woody Landscape Plants*, Tree Care Industry Association, Inc., Manchester, NH, 2023.
- American National Standards for Tree Care Operations. "Pruning/Part 5." *ANSI A300 Tree Care Standards for Trees, Shrubs, Palms, and Other Woody Landscape Plants*, Tree Care Industry Association, Inc., Manchester, NH, 2023.
- American National Standards for Tree Care Operations. "Root Management/Part 12." *ANSI A300 Tree Care Standards for Trees, Shrubs, Palms, and Other Woody Landscape Plants*, Tree Care Industry Association, Inc., Manchester, NH, 2023.
- Council of Tree and Landscape Appraisers. *Guide for Plant Appraisal*. 10th ed., International Society of Arboriculture, 2019.
- Hagen, Bruce W., et al. *Oaks in the Urban Landscape: Selection, Care, and Preservation*. University of California. Agriculture and Natural Resources, 2011.
- ISA. *Species Classification and Group Assignment, Western Chapter Regional Supplement*. Western Chapter, International Society of Arboriculture, 2004.
- Johnson, Jill R., et al. *Tree Owner's Manual*. U.S. Dept. of Agriculture, Forest Service, Northeastern Area, State and Private Forestry, 2010.
- Lilly, Sharon, et al. *Pruning Best Management Practices*. 3rd ed., International Society of Arboriculture, 2019.
- Matheny, Nelda, and James R. Clark. *Trees and Development: A Technical Guide to Preservation of Trees During Land Development*. International Society of Arboriculture, 1998.
- Town of Los Gatos, CA *Division 2. Tree Protection Ordinance* 2015-2022

La Rinconada Golf Country Club
Tree Inventory and Valuation Appraisal based on 10th Edition *Guide for Plant Appraisal*
Formula: Functional Replacement Method/Trunk Formula Technique

Tree No.	DBH(in.)	DBH Multi(in.)	Combined DBH(in.)	Species Botanical Name (<i>Genus species</i>)	Species Common Name	Canopy Height/Spread(ft.)	Health/Structure/Form Ratings (0-100%)	Overall Condition Ratings (0-100%)	Photo	Protected	Large Protected	Exempt	Expected Impact Level	Remove, Hazard Remove (HR) or Retain Status	Criteria for Removal Sec. 29.10.0992 & Sec. 29.10.0970	Replacement Tree Quantity & Box Size Recommendation	Appraisal Value of Protected Tree	Notes
1	37.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	50/30	85/80/75	75%-Good		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$30,557.76	Tree is next to #1 Tee and cart path, behind clubhouse. Overall condition, typical for species. Some small branch failures in upper 1/3 of canopy on east side.
2	32.50	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	50/30	85/80/75	75%-Good		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$23,576.79	Minor deadwood and small broken branches throughout.
3	37.00	na	na	<i>Quercus agrifolia</i>	coast live oak	35/40	80/50/60	50%-Fair			Large Protected		Moderate	Retain			\$25,465.77	Generally healthy, low squat form tree that was recently pruned within the last year to reduce heavy end weight and provide clearance between cart path and #1 fairway. Codominant and included at 3ft. Frass noted at base of the tree, indicative of borer activity.
4	37.50	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	50/30	55/30/65	30%-Poor		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$12,555.69	Thin canopy overall, multiple codominant unions in upper canopy with included bark.
5	28.50	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	45/30	60/90/80	60%-Fair		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$14,504.33	Tree canopy foliage is thin. Form and structure typical for species.
6	26.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	40/25	65/90/50	50%-Fair	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$10,059.43	Tree canopy thin on east side, overall good tree. Structure is typical for species.
7	26.50	na	na	<i>Casurina</i> sp.	Casurina	40/35	85/50/50	50%-Fair	Photo	Protected			Moderate	Remove	4, 10	(4X) 24-in. or (2x) 36-in.	\$16,328.83	Relatively small tree for species, asymmetrical form. Form and structure condition rating are both fair overall.
8	39.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	50/20	75/75/75	75%-Good	Photo	Protected			Moderate	Remove	4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$33,950.58	Thin canopy, form compromised by adjacent tree limited growing space. Swelling and decayed area at trunk base (decay on south side), where previous trunk failure occurred. Photo link shows adjacent tree directly behind it.
9	30.50	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	60/20	75/50/60	50%-Fair	Photo	Protected			Moderate	Remove	4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$15,380.98	Major defect at top of tree, codominant with inclusion at approximately 40ft. Form is compromised by adjacent tree, limited growing space.
10	42.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	60/25	80/80/80	80%-Good	Photo	Protected			Moderate	Remove	4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$46,666.24	Good tree that has grown and developed a lean then self corrected its form. Overall, tree is good.
11	35.00	na	na	<i>Quercus lobata</i>	valley oak	30/60	70/20/50	20%-Very Poor			Large Protected		None	Retain			\$21,476.33	Valley oak located in rough off #1 fairway. Large central leader failed and top has regrown. Canopy has been pruned to clean up past failures. Codominant union between 4-5ft. Wood pecker holes/cavities throughout. Structure compromised by main leader tear out.
12	48.00	na	na	<i>Quercus lobata</i>	valley oak	40/65	80/80/65	65%-Good			Large Protected		None	Retain			\$131,277.36	Large sprawling tree, mature specimen on east slope between back of residence and #1 fairway. Form is compromised by previously adjacent, large canopy trees of similar species, now both neighboring trees are dead. Codominant at 5ft. with good union. Majority of growth to the southwest leaving canopy sparse, typical for species of age and stature.
13	22.00	22.00	44.00	<i>Quercus douglasii</i>	blue oak	40/35	85/85/85	85%-Excellent		Protected			None	Retain			\$72,125.41	Codominant at 3.5ft good looking tree, typical for species in naturalized environment.
14	28.50	na	na	<i>Quercus douglasii</i>	blue oak	30/40	60/60/60	60%-Fair			Large Protected		None	Retain			\$21,360.18	Asymmetrical form. Height has been maintained under powerlines. Ivy growing at base, recommend removal. Canopy has some significant size deadwood, structure is compromised due to location, under powerlines.
15	37.50	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	60/25	80/80/60	60%-Fair	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$27,901.53	Typical for species, minor co-dominance at top. Water damage to trunk from impact sprinkler. The tree canopy has been raised up to 30ft. to provide clearance.
16	41.00	na	na	<i>Quercus lobata</i>	valley oak	35/30	80/45/80	45%-Fair			Large Protected		High	Retain			\$66,309.27	Tree appears aesthetically good, it is located in the center of the fairway. Tree has been pruned to maintain canopy for clearanceson. Overall structure has been compromised due to failure of central leader several decades ago.

La Rinconada Golf Country Club
Tree Inventory and Valuation Appraisal based on 10th Edition *Guide for Plant Appraisal*
Formula: Functional Replacement Method/Trunk Formula Technique

Tree No.	DBH(in.)	DBH Multi(in.)	Combined DBH(in.)	Species Botanical Name (<i>Genus species</i>)	Species Common Name	Canopy Height/Spread(ft.)	Health/Structure/Form Ratings (0-100%)	Overall Condition Ratings (0-100%)	Photo	Protected	Large Protected	Exempt	Expected Impact Level	Remove, Hazard Remove (HR) or Retain Status	Criteria for Removal Sec. 29.10.0992 & Sec. 29.10.0970	Replacement Tree Quantity & Box Size Recommendation	Appraisal Value of Protected Tree	Notes
17	51.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	70/35	80/60/80	60%-Fair			Large Protected		Moderate	Retain			\$46,446.01	Good overall health, foliage vigor appears normal. Tree is codominant with included bark in upper canopy at approximately 50ft. Structure is a limiting factor that could be corrected with pruning over several years.
18	31.50	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	50/20	30/85/85	30%-Poor		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$8,859.29	Structure has self corrected with time. Canopy is thin with epicormic sprouts throughout; tree appears stressed.
19	26.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	40/25	50/85/85	50%-Fair	Photo	Protected			Moderate	Remove	4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$11,177.15	Structure is typical for species. Similar to other redwoods on the course, there is visible trunk damage from impact sprinkler irrigation and golf balls.
20	30.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	40/30	45/85/85	45%-Fair		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$13,392.74	Similar to tree no. 19 with small amounts of dead foliage throughout the canopy.
21	18.50	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	35/25	50/85/85	50%-Fair		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$5,092.96	Young tree with typical form and structure for species.
22	28.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	50/25	75/85/85	75%-Good		Protected			High	Retain			\$15,555.41	Tree has irrigation damage to the trunk on west side. Other than that typical for species.
23	28.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	50/25	75/90/85	75%-Good	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$17,499.84	Trees 23-34 include a stand of trees that line the cart path, at edge of # 1 fairway. These trees are in good overall condition. The ground has been maintained with mulch over the years. The trees are good for the intended use as screen.
24	26.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	50/25	75/90/85	75%-Good		Protected			High	Retain			\$15,089.15	Trees 23-34 include a stand of trees that line the cart path, at edge of # 1 fairway. These trees are in good overall condition. The ground has been maintained with mulch over the years. The trees are good for the intended use as screen.
25	28.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	50/25	75/90/85	75%-Good	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$17,499.84	Trees 23-34 include a stand of trees that line the cart path, at edge of # 1 fairway. These trees are in good overall condition. The ground has been maintained with mulch over the years. The trees are good for the intended use as screen.
26	31.50	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	50/25	75/90/85	75%-Good		Protected			High	Retain			\$22,148.24	Trees 23-34 include a stand of trees that line the cart path, at edge of # 1 fairway. These trees are in good overall condition. The ground has been maintained with mulch over the years. The trees are good for the intended use as screen.
27	34.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	50/25	75/90/85	75%-Good	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$25,803.34	Trees 23-34 include a stand of trees that line the cart path, at edge of # 1 fairway. These trees are in good overall condition. The ground has been maintained with mulch over the years. The trees are good for the intended use as screen.
28	34.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	50/25	75/90/85	75%-Good	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$25,803.34	Trees 23-34 include a stand of trees that line the cart path, at edge of # 1 fairway. These trees are in good overall condition. The ground has been maintained with mulch over the years. The trees are good for the intended use as screen.
29	26.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	50/25	75/90/85	75%-Good	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$15,089.15	Trees 23-34 include a stand of trees that line the cart path, at edge of # 1 fairway. These trees are in good overall condition. The ground has been maintained with mulch over the years. The trees are good for the intended use as screen.
30	34.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	50/25	75/90/85	75%-Good	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$25,803.34	Trees 23-34 include a stand of trees that line the cart path, at edge of # 1 fairway. These trees are in good overall condition. The ground has been maintained with mulch over the years. The trees are good for the intended use as screen.
31	37.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	50/25	75/90/85	75%-Good	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$30,557.76	Trees 23-34 include a stand of trees that line the cart path, edge of #1 fairway. These trees are in good overall condition. The ground has been maintained with mulch over the years. The trees are good for the intended use as a screen. Powerlines run through the lower canopies of trees no. 31-32. affecting canopy of no. 32 more than 31. Clearance pruned.
32	37.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	50/25	75/90/85	75%-Good		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$30,557.76	Trees 23-34 include a stand of trees that line the cart path, edge of #1 fairway. These trees are in good overall condition. The ground has been maintained with mulch over the years. The trees are good for the intended use as a screen. Powerlines run through the lower canopies of trees no. 31-32. affecting canopy of no. 32 more than 31. Clearance pruned.

La Rinconada Golf Country Club
Tree Inventory and Valuation Appraisal based on 10th Edition *Guide for Plant Appraisal*
Formula: Functional Replacement Method/Trunk Formula Technique

Tree No.	DBH(in.)	DBH Multi(in.)	Combined DBH(in.)	Species Botanical Name (<i>Genus species</i>)	Species Common Name	Canopy Height/Spread(ft.)	Health/Structure/Form Ratings (0-100%)	Overall Condition Ratings (0-100%)	Photo	Protected	Large Protected	Exempt	Expected Impact Level	Remove, Hazard Remove (HR) or Retain Status	Criteria for Removal Sec. 29.10.0992 & Sec. 29.10.0970	Replacement Tree Quantity & Box Size Recommendation	Appraisal Value of Protected Tree	Notes
33	39.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	50/25	75/85/85	75%-Good		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$33,950.58	Trees 23-34 include a stand of trees that line the cart path, at edge of # 1 fairway. These trees are in good overall condition. The ground has been maintained with mulch over the years. The trees are good for the intended use as screen.
34	35.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	50/25	75/85/85	75%-Good		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$27,343.50	Trees 23-34 include a stand of trees that line the cart path, edge of #1 fairway. These trees are in good overall condition. The ground has been maintained with mulch over the years. The trees are good for the intended use as screen. Minor tip codominance that could be corrected with pruning.
35	15.50	17.00	32.50	<i>Eucalyptus sp.</i>	Eucalyptus	30/40	75/60/60	60%-Fair		Protected			Low	Retain			\$27,835.95	Tree no. 35-40 are all Eucalyptus trees, possibly <i>E. microtheca</i> (Coolibah)-are growing together in a mulched greenbelt area at the top of a knoll between #1 fairway and driving range. This tree has a codominant structure from base, minor deadwood. The form is typical of species.
36	16.50	17.50	34.00	<i>Eucalyptus sp.</i>	Eucalyptus	35/30	75/50/75	50%-Fair		Protected			Low	Retain			\$25,355.22	Tree no. 35-40 are all Eucalyptus trees, possibly <i>E. microtheca</i> (Coolibah)-are growing together in a mulched greenbelt area at the top of a knoll between #1 fairway and driving range. This tree is codominant at 2ft., has minor deadwood and evidence of past lateral limb failures in the upper canopy.
37	21.50	14.50	36.00	<i>Eucalyptus sp.</i>	Eucalyptus	35/30	80/50/50	50%-Fair		Protected			Low	Retain			\$29,475.17	Tree no. 35-40 are all Eucalyptus trees, possibly <i>E. microtheca</i> (Coolibah)-are growing together in a mulched greenbelt area at the top of a knoll between #1 fairway and driving range. This tree has an asymmetrical, twisted form and a large amount of epicormic sprouts. Codominant with included bark at 15ft.
38	14.00	na	na	<i>Eucalyptus sp.</i>	Eucalyptus	20/20	80/70/50	50%-Fair		Protected			Low	Retain			\$8,590.53	Tree no. 35-40 are all Eucalyptus trees, possibly <i>E. microtheca</i> (Coolibah)-are growing together in a mulched greenbelt area at the top of a knoll between #1 fairway and driving range. The form is compromised by adjacent tree no. 37, which is leaning into it. Tree has been pruned over time to maintain clearances over course.
39	24.00	na	na	<i>Eucalyptus sp.</i>	Eucalyptus	35/30	70/20/40	20%-Very Poor		Protected			Low	Retain			\$10,098.26	Tree no. 35-40 are all Eucalyptus trees, possibly <i>E. microtheca</i> (Coolibah)-are growing together in a mulched greenbelt area at the top of a knoll between #1 fairway and driving range. Structure is codominant at 5ft. with secondary trunk removed between 4-5ft., overall form is asymmetrical. Branch failures are noted throughout, including at base on west side where decay is visible.
40	40.00	19.50	49.50	<i>Eucalyptus sp.</i>	Eucalyptus	50/25	60/50/50	50%-Fair			Large Protected		Low	Retain			\$86,792.87	Tree no. 35-40 are all Eucalyptus trees, possibly <i>E. microtheca</i> (Coolibah)-are growing together in a mulched greenbelt area at the top of a knoll between #1 fairway and driving range. Structure is twisted with depressions throughout the trunk bark surface. Appears to be reaction wood response to canker or some other unknown factor.
41	28.00	20.00	48.00	<i>Eucalyptus sideroxylon</i>	red ironbark	35/30	40/40/60	40%-Poor	Photo		Large Protected		High	Remove	1, 4, 10	(4X) 24-in. or (2x) 36-in.	\$33,212.05	Structure is codominant from 2.5-3ft., with multiple trunks and lower lateral limbs removed. Dieback is apparent in upper canopy. Overall structure is poor which is typical for species. High amount of sucker growth makes up majority of canopy foliage.
42	23.00	24.00	47.00	<i>Salix laevigata</i>	red willow	30/45	50/40/45	40%-Poor		Protected			Low	Retain			\$7,308.13	This is a large sprawling tree located along fenceline of Wedgewood Ave. Structure is codominant from base, the form consists of a twisted canopy that has been pruned over overtime to maintain clearances.
43	26.00	na	na	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	river red gum	40/30	70/55/45	45%-Fair		Protected			Low	Retain			\$7,859.23	This is a large tree close to fenceline of Wedgewood Ave. The tree grows out towards the road searching for space and light, due to competition with larger adjacent trees.
44	48.00	15.00	63.00	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	river red gum	60/50	80/75/70	70%-Good			Large Protected		Low	Retain			\$45,737.00	This is a large tree typical for species. Good overall condition.
45	11.50	na	na	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	river red gum	35/10	80/85/80	80%-Good				Exempt	Moderate	Retain			\$2,733.42	Trees no. 45-47 are all young, typical for species growing next to fencelineof Wedgewood Ave. Sap sucker damage to trunk. Tree is under the size requirement for removal permit.
46	13.50	na	na	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	river red gum	35/10	80/50/50	50%-Fair				Exempt	Moderate	Retain			\$2,354.28	Trees no. 45-47 are all young, typical for species growing next to fencelineof Wedgewood Ave. Sap sucker damage to trunk. Tree is under the size requirement for removal permit.
47	14.00	na	na	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	river red gum	35/10	80/80/80	80%-Good				Exempt	Moderate	Retain			\$4,051.04	Trees no. 45-47 are all young, typical for species growing next to fencelineof Wedgewood Ave. Tree is under the size requirement for removal permit.
48	31.00	na	na	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	river red gum	45/30	20/10/10	10%-Very Poor	Photo	Protected			Moderate	HR	1	(4X) 24-in. or (2x) 36-in.	\$2,482.81	Recommend removal, codominant with decay in union from base on north side. It is included from 0 to 10ft., leans over the road and golf course. Hazardous

La Rinconada Golf Country Club
Tree Inventory and Valuation Appraisal based on 10th Edition *Guide for Plant Appraisal*
Formula: Functional Replacement Method/Trunk Formula Technique

Tree No.	DBH(in.)	DBH Multi(in.)	Combined DBH(in.)	Species Botanical Name (Genus species)	Species Common Name	Canopy Height/Spread(ft.)	Health/Structure/Form Ratings (0-100%)	Overall Condition Ratings (0-100%)	Photo	Protected	Large Protected	Exempt	Expected Impact Level	Remove, Hazard Remove (HR) or Retain Status	Criteria for Removal Sec. 29.10.0992 & Sec. 29.10.0970	Replacement Tree Quantity & Box Size Recommendation	Appraisal Value of Protected Tree	Notes
49	8.00	6.00	14.00	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	river red gum	30/10	60/20/20	20%-Very Poor				Exempt	Moderate	Retain			\$516.71	Young tree, appears to be a volunteer, with twin trunk from ground level. This tree is codominant and has no long-term future, as it grows on a lean at fenceline.Tree is under the size requirement for removal permit.
50	14.00	na	na	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	river red gum	40/15	50/20/30	20%-Very Poor				Exempt	Low	Retain			\$1,012.76	Structure is compromised by past failure of central leader. Other failures also visible throughout remaining canopy. Tree is under the size requirement for removal permit.
51	16.50	na	na	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	river red gum	40/20	30/6/6	6%-Very Poor	Photo			Exempt	Moderate	HR	1	(3x)24-in.	\$202.57	This tree grows on a heavy lean over Wedgewood Ave. and powerlines. Large amount of decay at base of the tree-recommend removal. Hazardous-Tree is under the size requirement for removal permit.
52	25.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	65/30	41/85/60	41%-Fair	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	4, 10	(4X) 24-in. or (2x) 36-in.	\$7,626.42	This tree appears to be stressed with some decline apparent towards the bottom half of the tree (on cart path side).
53	16.50	na	na	<i>Cupressus arizonica</i>	Arizona cypress	12/20	30/30/30	30%-Poor	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	1, 4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$6,443.56	Small size tree at edge of cart path that has been pruned for clearance. Major lateral limb failures evident.
54	25.00	na	na	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	river red gum	60/30	80/80/80	80%-Good		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$23,252.16	Tree is typical for species. Recommend pruning to remove deadwood and reduce heavy end-weight. Tree grows on a slight lean due to competition with adjacent trees.
55	26.00	na	na	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	river red gum	55/15	80/70/60	60%-Fair		Protected			Low	Retain			\$18,862.15	Tree grows on a lean out from under trees with larger canopies. There is evidence of large lateral limb failures. Tree appears to be typical for species growing in a grove.
56	15.50	na	na	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	river red gum	45/20	30/40/40	30%-Poor				Exempt	Low	Retain			\$3,351.80	Tree has a large decay pocket at base on the south side, as well as in upper part of the canopy and main leader. It grows next to and out from under tree #55. Large amount of deadwood- overall poor specimen. Tree is under the size requirement for removal permit.
57	16.00	na	na	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	river red gum	50/15	70/70/60	60%-Fair				Exempt	Moderate	Retain			\$6,349.39	Structure is codominant at 10ft., with a good union. Tree grows out from under canopies of #54 and #55. Significant lean to south west due to competition. Tree is under the size requirement for removal permit.
58	18.00	na	na	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	river red gum	30/10	50/06/45	06%-Very Poor				Exempt	Moderate	Retain			\$803.59	Structure has been compromised-Large amount of sucker growth throughout canopy. All main branches and leaders have failures. Tree is under the size requirement for removal permit.
59	15.50	na	na	<i>Pyrus calleryana</i>	Callery pear	25/20	10/06/10	06%-Very Poor		Protected			Low	Retain			\$1,263.60	Tree appears to be in severe decline. Structure is poor and form is compromised. Visually, this is an aesthetically unappealing tree.
60	23.50	na	na	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	river red gum	50/30	70/40/70	40%-Poor				Exempt	Low	Retain			\$10,272.80	Large tree with evidence of a second trunk failure at base on Southwest side. There are significant dead limbs and failed scaffold branch throughout, consider pruning to improve. Tree is under the size requirement for removal permit.
61	25.00	22 & 17	64.00	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	river red gum	50/50	60/20/70	20%-Very Poor	Photo		Large Protected		High	Remove	1, 4, 10	(6x)24-in. or (3x)36-in.	\$13,002.61	This is a large sprawling tree with three trunks, long-term failure is imminent. Structure is codominant with included bark and cracks, as well as, bulging reaction wood. Past branch failures are apparent in the upper canopy. The tree could be pruned to reduce heavy end-weight if whole tree is not removed.
62	25.50	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	55/20	90/90/90	90%-Excellent	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$19,352.50	Overall condition is good and typical for species. It is a good looking tree growing on its own.
63	26.00	na	na	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	river red gum	50/25	50/20/40	20%-Very Poor		Protected			Low	Retain			\$6,287.38	Large tree with significant wounds from lateral limb failures on north side of the trunk. The tree grows on a lean, from a point of failure, to the west and south side. Canopy has large size deadwood throughout.
64	13.00	na	na	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	river red gum	50/20	50/30/30	30%-Poor				Exempt	Low	Retain			\$2,357.77	Tree consists of the remaining half of what was once a twin trunk tree. The second leader was removed or failed and what is left grows on a lean to the west -mostly due to competition from larger surrounding trees. Tree is under the size requirement for removal permit.

La Rinconada Golf Country Club
Tree Inventory and Valuation Appraisal based on 10th Edition *Guide for Plant Appraisal*
Formula: Functional Replacement Method/Trunk Formula Technique

Tree No.	DBH(in.)	DBH Multi(in.)	Combined DBH(in.)	Species Botanical Name (Genus species)	Species Common Name	Canopy Height/Spread(ft.)	Health/Structure/Form Ratings (0-100%)	Overall Condition Ratings (0-100%)	Photo	Protected	Large Protected	Exempt	Expected Impact Level	Remove, Hazard Remove (HR) or Retain Status	Criteria for Removal Sec. 29.10.0992 & Sec. 29.10.0970	Replacement Tree Quantity & Box Size Recommendation	Appraisal Value of Protected Tree	Notes
65	24.00	na	na	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	river red gum	50/20	40/06/40	06%-Very Poor			Large Protected		Low	Retain			\$1,607.19	Twin trunk tree with included bark from ground level. The third trunk already failed, highly recommend removal long-term as this tree has a limited future and is likely to fail.
66	26.00	22.00	48.00	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	river red gum	50/40	70/20/60	20%-Very Poor			Large Protected		Low	Retain			\$10,789.00	Once a triple trunked tree is now a twin leader tree. The third trunk was removed at the union where the two remain. Grass is now growing in the center of the union, indicative of soil and moisture buildup. There is evidence of large branch failures throughout. Highly recommend pruning for end weight to reduce chance of future failures.
67	13.80	na	na	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	river red gum	45/15	50/30/50	30%-Poor				Exempt	Low	Retain			\$2,656.88	Small in stature, significant size deadwood in the canopy. Canopy consists of multiple codomint union with evidence of decay were large scaffold limbs have failed. Poor structure overall. Tree is under the size requirement for removal permit.
68	14.00	na	na	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	river red gum	35/25	70/40/60	40%-Fair				Exempt	Low	Retain			\$3,645.94	Small stature tree with large cavity from secondary trunk failure on the north side at the base. Large size lateral limb failures are visible. Overall form is fair, recommend pruning to improve. Tree is under the size requirement for removal permit.
69	17.80	16.20	34.00	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	river red gum	50/20	80/50/60	50%-Fair		Protected			Low	Retain			\$13,469.51	Twin trunk tree with a secondary leader failure at 12ft., leaving canopy form asymmetrical.
70	10.00	10.00	20.00	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	river red gum	40/15	65/30/40	30%-Poor				Exempt	Low	Retain			\$2,790.26	Codominant from base with included bark, and grows on a lean to the northwest. The trees future is limited, and likely to fail. Consider removal. Tree is under the size requirement for removal permit.
71	14.50	na	na	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	river red gum	50/15	50/50/50	50%-Poor				Exempt	Low	Retain			\$4,888.77	Thin form with past lateral limb failures, appears to be in heavy competition with adjacent trees. Canopy is very sparse. Tree is under the size requirement for removal permit.
72	13.20	na	na	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	river red gum	50/25	06/06/06	06%-Very Poor	Photo			Exempt	Low	HR	1	(3x)24-in.	\$486.17	This tree is 99% dead, recommend removal. Tree is under the size requirement for removal permit.
73	11.00	14.00	25.00	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	river red gum	45/20	60/40/40	40%-Poor		Protected			Low	Retain			\$5,896.75	Canopy is dense with codominant branch structure throughout. Overall form is inhibited by adjacent, larger canopy trees. Visible sap sucker damage to bark.
74	15.00	11,10, & 10	46.00	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	river red gum	50/30	50/10/50	10%-Very Poor		Protected			Low	Retain			\$2,636.79	This tree has poor structure with multiple trunks. The tree will eventually push itself apart. Limited growth space for all four trunks. Consider long term removal
75	23.50	18 & 15	56.50	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	river red gum	60/45	65/50/70	50%-Fair			Large Protected		Low	Retain			\$25,606.44	This tree is formed by large cluster of three trunks, from ground level with no inclusions. Small trunk on Southwest side has the heaviest lean. The base of trunk appears to have concrete and soil built up. Failures are likely to occur in future if left alone.
76	19.00	na	na	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	river red gum	30/50	70/20/10	10%-Very Poor	Photo			Exempt	Moderate	HR	1	(6x)24-in. or (3x)36-in.	\$1,678.81	Tree grows with a heavy lean to the west, soil appears fractured on the backside of the lean and there are horizontal stress cracks visible on the trunk. Tree likely to fail if left alone. Recommend removal. Tree is under the size requirement for removal permit.
77	20.50	na	na	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	river red gum	25/25	50/6/10	6%-Poor				Exempt	Low	Retain			\$1,172.61	Top of tree has recently failed at 15ft., leaving canopy to exist as sucker growth only. Consider habitat tree if left alone. Tree is under the size requirement for removal permit.
78	37.50	na	na	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	river red gum	55/40	40/20/50	20%-Very Poor		Protected			Low	Retain			\$13,079.34	This is a twin trunk tree that was once a triple trunk tree. The third leader was removed at 1-2ft. Codominant union with included bark. Visible evidence of large scaffold, branch failures, and deadwood throughout canopy.
79	17.00	12.00	29.00	<i>Rhus lancea</i>	African sumac	20/25	50/20/45	20%-Very Poor		Protected			Low	Retain			\$7,591.23	Small stature tree- typical for species. There is a large amount of sapsucker damage to the bark and branches throughout. Large amount of decay and deadwood. Foliage consists primarily of epicormic sprouts.
80	45.00	na	na	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	river red gum	60/50	60/20/50	20%-Very Poor		Protected			Low	Retain			\$18,834.25	Large tree with significant amounts of decay setting in from ground level to 15ft. on the southwest side. Three large scaffold limbs have been shed in upper canopy, on the west side. Significant size deadwood throughout with asymmetrical form, mainly due to failures.

La Rinconada Golf Country Club
Tree Inventory and Valuation Appraisal based on 10th Edition *Guide for Plant Appraisal*
Formula: Functional Replacement Method/Trunk Formula Technique

Tree No.	DBH(in.)	DBH Multi(in.)	Combined DBH(in.)	Species Botanical Name (<i>Genus species</i>)	Species Common Name	Canopy Height/Spread(ft.)	Health/Structure/Form Ratings (0-100%)	Overall Condition Ratings (0-100%)	Photo	Protected	Large Protected	Exempt	Expected Impact Level	Remove, Hazard Remove (HR) or Retain Status	Criteria for Removal Sec. 29.10.0992 & Sec. 29.10.0970	Replacement Tree Quantity & Box Size Recommendation	Appraisal Value of Protected Tree	Notes
81	43.00	na	na	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	river red gum	50/40	90/60/80	60%-Fair		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$51,591.89	Large tree in good health that has been pruned for weight reduction over the years. The tree also lost a couple of large scaffold limbs. Needs to be maintain due to sheer size -Very Large. Noted decay pocket on trunk (northeast side) and trunk wounds from continuously being hit by golf balls.
82	9.00	na	na	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	river red gum	30/10	80/80/60	60%-Fair	Photo			Exempt	Moderate	HR	1	(2x)24-in.	\$1,255.62	Young volunteer growing beside chain-link fence. Recommend removal-long-term future compromised. Tree is under the size requirement for removal permit.
83	8.50	na	na	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	river red gum	30/10	85/80/85	80%-Fair				Exempt	Moderate	Retain			\$1,493.31	Young volunteer, straight trunk tree growing 3- 4ft. from chain-link fence along Wedgewood Ave. Overall good young specimen, tree is typical for species. Tree is under the size requirement for removal permit.
84	13.50	7.00	20.50	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	river red gum	35/15	85/50/70	50%-Fair				Exempt	Moderate	Retain			\$2,987.26	Tree appears to be a stump sprout or volunteer. Structure is compromised from base where two trunks emerge. The smaller of the two trunks grows through the chain-link fence, consider removal. Tree is under the size requirement for removal permit.
85	20.00	na	na	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	river red gum	55/25	85/80/70	70%-Good	Photo			Exempt	Moderate	HR	1	(3x)24-in.	\$7,234.01	Healthy young tree that is growing with a chain-link fence embedded between 1-6ft. Large stem grows over the road -Recommend removal. Tree is under the size requirement for removal permit.
86	15.00	na	na	<i>Acacia dealbata</i>	silver wattle	40/20	70/85/80	70%-Good		Protected			Low	Retain			\$3,906.21	This tree also has chain-link fence embedded at base of trunk. The upper canopy becomes codominant with a good union. Overall condition is good, typical for species, unfortunately, growing in poor location.
87	8.00	14.00	22.00	<i>Quercus ilex</i>	Holly oak	30/20	30/50/40	30%-Poor		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$5,469.89	Codominant with a good union at 2ft. Tree has been pruned for cart path clearance. Bark is riddled with sapsucker damage. Overall, the form and structure are poor due to competition from adjacent, larger canopy trees, causing canopy of this particular tree to be sparse.
88	27.00	na	na	<i>Acacia dealbata</i>	silver wattle	50/30	40/10/50	10%-Very Poor		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$813.83	Poor health and structure. This was once a twin trunk tree from ground level. The second leader has previously failed at base. Stump still intact and exists between tree no. 88-89. There are codominant unions with included bark in the upper canopy-which also appears to be dying back.
89	15.00	na	na	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	river red gum	30/30	60/80/50	50%-Fair				Exempt	Moderate	Retain			\$9,040.10	Large asymmetrical canopy, tree grows out from beneath larger canopies of adjacent trees. All growth is to the west searching for light. Tree is under the size requirement for removal permit.
90	8.00	8,6,6,6, & 4	38.00	<i>Acacia dealbata</i>	silver wattle	25/25	70/20/50	20%-Very Poor		Protected			Low	Retain			\$1,249.99	Consists of a cluster of volunteer sprouts growing adjacent to chain-link fencealong Wedgewood Ave.
91	12.00	na	na	<i>Casurina sp.</i>	Casurina	20/10	90/90/40	40%-Poor	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	1, 4, 10	(2x) 24-in.	\$2,678.65	Growing space 6in. from cart path-competing for canopy space, poor form and visually unappealing.
92	22.50	na	na	<i>Casurina sp.</i>	Casurina	40/30	90/90/90	90%-Excellent		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$21,188.53	Growing 2in. from cart path
93	20.00	na	na	<i>Casurina sp.</i>	Casurina	45/20	80/50/40	40%-Poor	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	1, 4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$7,440.69	Growing 6in. from cart path. Poor overall form and structure.
94	13.50	na	na	<i>Casurina sp.</i>	Casurina	25/10	10/10/10	10%-Very Poor		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$847.54	Poor overall condition, dead central leader.
95	20.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	50/15	10/70/10	10%-Very Poor	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	1, 4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$1,190.47	Tree appears to be in severe decline-dying.
96	31.50	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	50/30	21/80/70	21%-Poor		Protected			High	Retain			\$6,201.51	Tree appears to be in decline, highly stressed with evidence of branch die back throughout canopy and large amount of sucker growth at base.

La Rinconada Golf Country Club
Tree Inventory and Valuation Appraisal based on 10th Edition *Guide for Plant Appraisal*
Formula: Functional Replacement Method/Trunk Formula Technique

Tree No.	DBH(in.)	DBH Multi(in.)	Combined DBH(in.)	Species Botanical Name (<i>Genus species</i>)	Species Common Name	Canopy Height/Spread(ft.)	Health/Structure/Form Ratings (0-100%)	Overall Condition Ratings (0-100%)	Photo	Protected	Large Protected	Exempt	Expected Impact Level	Remove, Hazard Remove (HR) or Retain Status	Criteria for Removal Sec. 29.10.0992 & Sec. 29.10.0970	Replacement Tree Quantity & Box Size Recommendation	Appraisal Value of Protected Tree	Notes
97	15.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	45/15	50/90/90	50%-Fair		Protected			High	Retain			\$3,348.18	Trees no. 97 -99 are all three growing in a grove, along side of cart path and #2 rough.
98	21.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	50/20	45/90/90	45%-Fair		Protected			High	Retain			\$5,906.20	Trees no. 97 -99 are all three growing in a grove, along side of cart path and #2 rough.
99	20.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	50/15	30/80/80	30%-Poor		Protected			High	Retain			\$2,380.93	Trees no. 97 -99 are all growing in a grove, along side cart path and #2 rough. Tree appears to be dying.
100	25.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	50/25	50/75/80	50%-Fair		Protected			High	Retain			\$6,200.34	Tree has small codominant leader that can be corrected with pruning.
101	34.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	50/20	70/90/80	70%-Good		Protected			High	Retain			\$26,759.02	Overall condition is typical for species. Visually, this tree appears to be the best of the group. Minor form deviation as it is slightly compromised by adjacent tree no. 100.
102	22.40	na	na	<i>Casurina sp.</i>	Casurina	45/20	30/10/10	10%-Very Poor	Photo	Protected			Moderate	HR	1	(3x)24-in.	\$1,296.33	Tree has poor structure with recent branch failure over the cart path. Canopy is codomint with included bark between the union at 25ft. Canopy has a large amount of epicormic growth. Crack with decay from 1-15ft. on the west side of trunk. Majority of tree leans over the road and sidewalk. Deemed hazardous-recommend removal.
103	19.00	na	na	<i>Fraxinus oxycarpa</i>	Raywood ash	30/30	70/70/70	70%-Good	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	4, 10	(4X) 24-in. or (2x) 36-in.	\$13,290.78	Tree is currently deciduous, overall condition appears to be good at time of inspection. Updated photo taken in Spring of 2025.
104	21.00	na	na	<i>Quercus agrifolia</i>	coast live oak	30/45	40/20/60	20%-Very Poor	Photo	Protected			High	HR	1	(6x)24-in. or (3x)36-in.	\$4,557.42	Tree is currently in process of splitting in half, staining on the trunk, canopy is thin and sparse. Hazardous- recommend removal.
105	19.00	na	na	<i>Cedrus deodara</i>	Deodar cedar	45/25	75/80/61	61%-Good	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$11,378.57	Tree is small in stature, form is asymmetrical, and canopy has been pruned to maintain clearance. Minor amount of deadwood and evidence of a broken limb.
106	19.00	na	na	<i>Cedrus deodara</i>	Deodar cedar	45/30	75/80/61	61%-Good	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	4, 10	(4X) 24-in. or (2x) 36-in.	\$11,378.57	Tree grows on a lean and is very asymmetrical in form-minor deadwood .
107	40.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	50/30	70/60/90	60%-Fair	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	4, 10	(4X) 24-in. or (2x) 36-in.	\$31,745.74	Top of tree has been failed and regrown, leaving a top with multiple leaders at 15ft. Longterm problem-Recommend pruning or removal.
108	32.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	50/25	70/70/70	70%-Good	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$23,703.49	Tree has codominant top and minor deadwood- could be corrected with pruning. Noted irrigation damage to the trunk bark.
109	24.00	na	na	<i>Quercus agrifolia</i>	coast live oak	30/25	70/40/60	40%-Poor			Large Protected		High	Retain			\$10,714.60	Located behind #3 Tee (between Tee and chain-link fence on Wedgewood Ave.). Multiple scaffold limbs with codominant union and included bark starting at 5ft. Canopy is vase shaped and upright with a visible bark seem below the union (appears to be cracking). Overall form has been compromised by extensive clearance pruning. There is evidence of sapsucker damage to the bark.
110	12.50	na	na	<i>Quercus agrifolia</i>	coast live oak	25/15	65/20/45	20%-Very Poor		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$1,453.26	Small tree on a slope, bird box installed on trunk, accumulated debris at the base. Crack appears to be forming above the box.
111	17.00	na	na	<i>Eucalyptus sideroxylon</i>	red ironbark	256/15	70/40/30	30%-Poor		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$5,319.99	Healthy tree. Poor structure with included unions which typical for the species. Provides significant amount of screening in this corner of the course, near Wedgewood Ave. Should tree fail, impact will be minimal.
112	10.00	na	na	<i>Quercus agrifolia</i>	coast live oak	15/15	70/50/50	50%-Fair		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$2,066.86	Small volunteer, understory tree competing for light and space under larger eucalyptus.

La Rinconada Golf Country Club
Tree Inventory and Valuation Appraisal based on 10th Edition *Guide for Plant Appraisal*
Formula: Functional Replacement Method/Trunk Formula Technique

Tree No.	DBH(in.)	DBH Multi(in.)	Combined DBH(in.)	Species Botanical Name (<i>Genus species</i>)	Species Common Name	Canopy Height/Spread(ft.)	Health/Structure/Form Ratings (0-100%)	Overall Condition Ratings (0-100%)	Photo	Protected	Large Protected	Exempt	Expected Impact Level	Remove, Hazard Remove (HR) or Retain Status	Criteria for Removal Sec. 29.10.0992 & Sec. 29.10.0970	Replacement Tree Quantity & Box Size Recommendation	Appraisal Value of Protected Tree	Notes
113	17.00	na	na	<i>Eucalyptus sp.</i>	Eucalyptus	40/30	80/50/65	50%-Fair		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$12,666.65	Overall tree condition is typical for species. Appears to be a volunteer, originally twin trunked, with secondary trunk failed. Located in the corner of the course by Wedgewood Ave. and La Rinconada park. Possibly, <i>E. microtheca</i> -Coolibah
114	25.00	10.00	35.00	<i>Eucalyptus sideroxylon</i>	red ironbark	45/30	70/15/25	15%-Very Poor	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	1, 4, 10	(4X) 24-in. or (2x) 36-in.	\$7,626.29	Poor overall structure and is actively falling apart. Heavy foliage growth with numerous large branch failures.
115	23.00	na	na	<i>Eucalyptus sideroxylon</i>	red ironbark	40/30	70/15/25	15%-Very Poor	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	1, 4, 10	(4X) 24-in. or (2x) 36-in.	\$5,564.56	Very poor structure, codominant at 8ft., canopy consists of a large amount of epicormic growth.
116	9.00	na	na	<i>Eucalyptus sideroxylon</i>	red ironbark	25/15	70/70/80	70%-Good	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$3,976.49	Appears to be a volunteer, good overall condition. Recommend pruning for structure if not removed.
117	48.00	na	na	<i>Eucalyptus globulus</i>	blue gum	70/50	50/80/80	50%-Fair			Large Protected		Moderate	Retain			\$33,333.03	Trees no. 117-123 are located in the yard by la Rinconada Park. No. 117 is a large specimen, good overall structure and form. Health is declining-visible tip dieback indicative of root related issues. Grows on the slope above the creek and the pickle ball court. At the time of inspection, there was a visible sulfur fungus fruiting body growing at the base on the northeast side. This particular fungus is associated with brown rot of hardwoods (e.g. <i>Eucalyptus</i> and Oaks). Recommend monitoring.
118	9.00	na	na	<i>Acacia melanoxylon</i>	black acacia	20/15	90/90/90	90%-Excellent				Exempt	High	Retain			\$1,883.42	Young healthy tree, typical for species. Tree is under the size requirement for removal permit.
119	13.00	20.50	30.50	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	red gum	40/35	90/20/40	20%-Very Poor	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	1, 4, 10	(4X) 24-in. or (2x) 36-in.	\$5,480.53	Multi trunk tree with decay at the base and central stem on north side. The main leader has failed and been reduced to 5ft.- dead stump. The form is asymmetrical with all growth to the east, recent large branch failure on the main leader.
120	12.00	20.00	32.00	<i>Eucalyptus sp.</i>	Eucalyptus	40/30	40/30/40	30%-Poor	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	1, 4, 10	(4X) 24-in. or (2x) 36-in.	\$14,305.87	Poor condition overall. Most likely due to environment-high amount of equipment, trash, debris, and a steel shipping container located at the base. Possibly <i>E. macrorhynca</i> -red stringybark.
121	26.00	20.00	46.00	<i>Eucalyptus sp.</i>	Eucalyptus	40/40	50/50/50	50%-Fair	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	1, 4, 10	(4X) 24-in. or (2x) 36-in.	\$47,160.27	Tree is the same as no. 120 although no. 121 is the larger of the two trees. Possibly <i>E. macrorhynca</i> -red stringybark.
122	19.00	23.00	42.00	<i>Eucalyptus sp.</i>	Eucalyptus	30/40	10/60/10	10%-Very Poor	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	1, 4, 10	(4X) 24-in. or (2x) 36-in.	\$4,598.76	Tree is 95% dead. Possibly <i>E. nicholii</i> -Willow Peppermint. Recommend removal.
123	24.00	na	na	<i>Eucalyptus sp.</i>	Eucalyptus	35/50	75/50/50	50%-Fair	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	4, 10	(6x)24-in. or (3x)36-in.	\$25,245.65	Located in the yard next to the wood pile. Failures in the canopy are evident. Species identification - <i>E. microtheca</i> -Coolibah
124	22.00	na	na	<i>Quercus ilex</i>	holly oak	25/25	70/70/80	70%-Good		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$29,698.70	Several trunk wounds throughout on the east and north side of the trunk. Wound wood is forming good closures. Damage due to mechanical equipment from 0-6ft., roots have also been compromised. Mistletoe noted in the canopy.
125	38.00	na	na	<i>Quercus douglasii</i>	blue oak	40/30	80/60/90	60%-Fair			Large Protected		Moderate	Retain			\$75,947.32	Nice tree with good form, structure, and health. Owl box mounted in the tree. Several large scaffold limbs have been removed, consecutively up the trunk. Internal decay likely present, appears to be hollow at the base. If failure were to occur, it would likely be from ground level.
126	21.00	na	na	<i>Eucalyptus globulus</i>	blue gum	45/15	70/60/50	50%-Fair	Photo			Exempt	High	Remove	1, 4, 10, E	(2x) 24-in.	\$6,380.15	Tree form is asymmetrical due to competition with neighboring trees. Grows in a grove of three, one of which is dead. Tree is under the size requirement for removal permit.
127	48.00	na	na	<i>Eucalyptus globulus</i>	blue gum	50/30	70/65/70	65%-Good	Photo		Large Protected		High	Remove	4, 10	(4X) 24-in. or (2x) 36-in.	\$49,523.36	Tree grows at top of slope, edge of fairway. Appears to be unmaintained, with full and dense canopy. Left to nature with evidence of branch failures.
128	10.00	9.00	19.00	<i>Quercus douglasii</i>	blue oak	25/20	65/65/65	65%-Good		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$10,313.02	Decay at base on East side. Codominant structure at 4ft., good union. Form is asymmetrical. Canopy has some large deadwood. One of several trees growing in a naturalized stand.

La Rinconada Golf Country Club
Tree Inventory and Valuation Appraisal based on 10th Edition *Guide for Plant Appraisal*
Formula: Functional Replacement Method/Trunk Formula Technique

Tree No.	DBH(in.)	DBH Multi(in.)	Combined DBH(in.)	Species Botanical Name (<i>Genus species</i>)	Species Common Name	Canopy Height/Spread(ft.)	Health/Structure/Form Ratings (0-100%)	Overall Condition Ratings (0-100%)	Photo	Protected	Large Protected	Exempt	Expected Impact Level	Remove, Hazard Remove (HR) or Retain Status	Criteria for Removal Sec. 29.10.0992 & Sec. 29.10.0970	Replacement Tree Quantity & Box Size Recommendation	Appraisal Value of Protected Tree	Notes
129	18.00	21.00	39.00	<i>Quercus douglasii</i>	blue oak	30/40	65/60/65	60%-Fair			Large Protected		Moderate	Retain			\$40,235.25	One of several trees growing in a naturalized stand. Structure is codominant at base with good union. Significant dead wood throughout, form is asymmetrical. A low sprawling tree growing to the west, at tail end of the slope.
130	18.00	12, 14, & 14	58.00	<i>Quercus douglasii</i>	blue oak	35/60	80/80/80	80%-Good			Large Protected		Moderate	Retain			\$60,309.04	Large sprawling tree with multiple trunks from base. Located at the bottom of a slope in natural stand. Overall condition is good.
131	13.50	na	na	<i>Quercus douglasii</i>	blue oak	30/25	80/80/60	60%-Fair		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$9,585.46	Codominant at 8ft. with good union. Condition is typical for species growing in a natural stand. Grows out from beneath larger tree no. 132, making form asymmetrical.
132	20.00	na	na	<i>Quercus douglasii</i>	blue oak	40/50	50/70/60	50%-Fair		Protected			Low	Retain			\$17,531.70	Large tree, part of a natural stand, growing at the bottom of the slope. Form is asymmetrical due to competition with other trees.
133	12.00	11 & 10	33.00	<i>Quercus douglasii</i>	blue oak	20/30	30/20/20	20%-Fair			Large Protected		Low	Retain			\$6,399.07	Tree has three trunks from ground level, all appear to be slightly buried. Large failures throughout, decay at base. Tree is declining, appears to be in stage of senescence.
134	31.00	na	na	<i>Quercus douglasii</i>	blue oak	40/55	40/50/50	40%-Poor			Large Protected		Low	Retain			\$33,695.93	Large sprawling tree located in naturalized stand. Significant dead present. Growing beneath a large eucalyptus tree form is slightly asymmetrical.
135	24.00	24.00	48.00	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	red gum	60/50	80/80/80	80%-Good	Photo		Large Protected		Moderate	Remove	4, 10	(6x)24-in. or (3x)36-in.	\$42,858.38	Tree is codominant at 4.5ft.,good union. Growing in a naturalized setting.
136	26.50	na	na	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	red gum	60/35	80/50/50	50%-Fair		Protected			Low	Retain			\$16,328.83	Large tree growing at the edge of #4 green. Golf ball damage to trunk on northeast side. Canopy has large size deadwood and multiple failures (appears to be naturally self shedding).
137	30.50	na	na	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	red gum	70/30	80/50/70	50%-Fair	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	4, 10	(4X) 24-in. or (2x) 36-in.	\$21,630.32	Single trunk tree, originally a twin trunk tree. Secondary leader removed on the west side. First scaffold limb starts at approximately 25-30ft. Tree has been well-maintained with multiple, large branches removed on the lower trunk (possible internal decay). Golf ball damage to trunk on the northeast side.
138	53.00	na	na	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	red gum	75/80	80/80/80	80%-Good	Photo		Large Protected		High	Remove	4, 10	(10x) 24-in. or (5x) 36-in.	\$104,504.51	Large tree, located at the edge of #4 fairway. Codominant with fair union at 8ft. Golf ball damage to the trunk. Overall, tree appears to be in good condition and well-maintained.
139	13.00	na	na	<i>Quercus douglasii</i>	blue oak	20/20	40/50/50	50%-Fair	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$7,407.14	Small tree that grows beneath canopy of larger eucalyptus. Codominant at approximately 12ft. -tight union. Tree has large sized deadwood throughout. .
140	26.00	na	na	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	red gum	55/45	70/60/50	50%-Fair		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$15,718.46	Codominant at approx. 10ft. with a tight union which will limit future growth. This tree has a potential to split long term, form is asymmetrical.
141	25.00	na	na	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	red gum	60/30	80/80/70	70%-Good		Protected			Low	Retain			\$20,345.64	Single trunk tree with good structure. Canopy is asymmetrical due to competition from the adjacent tree no. 140.
142	30.00	na	na	<i>Quercus douglasii</i>	blue oak	30/30	40/50/50	40%-Poor			Large Protected		Moderate	Retain			\$31,557.06	Large, sprawling tree, the base of the trunk appears slightly buried (no flare). Canopy is asymmetrical with large size deadwood and minimal foliage. The tree appears to be in decline. Growing in a naturalized area.
143	11.70	na	na	<i>Quercus douglasii</i>	blue oak	20/15	80/80/80	80%-Good		Protected			Low	Retain			\$9,599.66	Tree is small in stature, and growing at the edge of #4 fairway, close to the sand trap. Canopy is very asymmetrical growing predominantly to the east due to competition with adjacent trees.
144	14.00	na	na	<i>Quercus douglasii</i>	blue oak	20/10	20/20/50	20%-Very Poor		Protected			Low	Retain			\$3,436.21	Tree canopy comingles with adjacent trees no. 142 and no.143. It is a small tree with single trunk and hollow throughout. Codominant at approx. 12ft. and again throughout upper canopy. Supports branch from tree no. 142. Structure is very poor - tree will fail in time if left alone. Recommend pruning.

La Rinconada Golf Country Club
Tree Inventory and Valuation Appraisal based on 10th Edition *Guide for Plant Appraisal*
Formula: Functional Replacement Method/Trunk Formula Technique

Tree No.	DBH(in.)	DBH Multi(in.)	Combined DBH(in.)	Species Botanical Name (<i>Genus species</i>)	Species Common Name	Canopy Height/Spread(ft.)	Health/Structure/Form Ratings (0-100%)	Overall Condition Ratings (0-100%)	Photo	Protected	Large Protected	Exempt	Expected Impact Level	Remove, Hazard Remove (HR) or Retain Status	Criteria for Removal Sec. 29.10.0992 & Sec. 29.10.0970	Replacement Tree Quantity & Box Size Recommendation	Appraisal Value of Protected Tree	Notes
145	12.50	na	na	<i>Quercus douglasii</i>	blue oak	25/15	85/85/85	85%-Excellent		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$11,642.14	Healthy tree, small in stature, and growing at edge of existing sand trap. Young tree, typical species.
146	18.50	na	na	<i>Quercus douglasii</i>	blue oak	30/25	85/85/85	85%-Excellent		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$25,500.95	Bird box on trunk, east side. Growing at the top of a slope in a naturalized area. Codominant at 8ft., with a good union. Canopy is asymmetrical due to competition with other trees. Condition typical for healthy species in naturalized setting.
147	44.50	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	60/35	70/90/90	70%-Good	Photo	Protected			Moderate	Remove	4, 10	(4X) 24-in. or (2x) 36-in.	\$45,838.70	Condition is typical for species. Some thinning of the canopy and minor deadwood. Trunk appears to have some salt buildup from irrigation.
148	33.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	40/30	90/60/60	60%-Fair	Photo	Protected			Moderate	Remove	4, 10	(4X) 24-in. or (2x) 36-in.	\$19,446.25	Tree has very dense canopy. Appears healthy but top has snapped out, causing structure and form to be compromised.
149	23.00	na	na	<i>Quercus agrifolia</i>	coast live oak	25/35	70/45/45	45%-Fair		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$12,300.39	Squat shaped tree, wider than it is tall. Central leader has failed on the east side, compromising structure and form. Otherwise, all unions appear good.
150	19.50	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	45/20	85/85/80	80%-Good		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$9,053.49	Trees no. 150-156 are growing next to cart path and pump house. Irrigation damage to trunk evident.
151	13.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	30/10	85/70/70	70%-Good	Photo	Protected			Moderate	Remove	4, 10	(2x) 24-in.	\$3,912.00	Tree is smaller than adjacent tree no. 150. Both trees are on the edge of the cart path by the pump house.
152	18.50	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	40/20	90/90/90	90%-Excellent		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$9,167.33	Young, healthy tree typical for species. Growing next to cart path and pumphouse -canopy have been raised to approximately 12ft. for clearance.
153	19.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	35/20	90/90/90	90%-Excellent		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$10,743.95	Young, healthy tree typical for species. Growing next to cart path and pumphouse -canopy have been raised to approximately 12ft. for clearance.
154	13.50	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	35/15	90/90/90	90%-Excellent		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$4,881.65	Young, healthy tree typical for species. Growing next to cart path and pumphouse -canopy have been raised to approximately 12ft. for clearance.
155	15.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	30/15	75/60/50	50%-Fair	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$3,348.18	Young tree alongside the cart path, extensively raised, form is asymmetrical. Codominant at top and could be corrected with pruning.
156	19.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	35/20	80/85/85	80%-Good	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$8,595.16	Young tree typical for species-looks good.
157	25.00	na	na	<i>Calocedrus decurrens</i>	Incense cedar	35/25	70/70/70	70%-Good	Photo	Protected			Moderate	Remove	4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$22,606.27	Tree appears to have grown on a lean and then self corrected. Has codominant union, but union is good, tip dieback on one side.
158	14.50	na	na	<i>Quercus agrifolia</i>	coast live oak	30/30	80/80/80	80%-Good		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$8,691.14	Young tree that could benefit from pruning. Healthy, appears typical species.
159	27.50	na	na	<i>Fraxinus velutina</i>	Modesto ash	30/30	40/35/35	35%-Poor	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	1, 4, 10	(4X) 24-in. or (2x) 36-in.	\$8,752.81	Tree appears to be in decline. It has large size deadwood throughout and a hollow in the center that is capturing water.
160	20.50	na	na	<i>Brachychiton populneus</i>	Kurrajong Bottle	35/20	80/70/70	70%-Good	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$15,200.45	Young tree, appears healthy, typical for species. Would benefit from pruning.

La Rinconada Golf Country Club
Tree Inventory and Valuation Appraisal based on 10th Edition *Guide for Plant Appraisal*
Formula: Functional Replacement Method/Trunk Formula Technique

Tree No.	DBH(in.)	DBH Multi(in.)	Combined DBH(in.)	Species Botanical Name (<i>Genus species</i>)	Species Common Name	Canopy Height/Spread(ft.)	Health/Structure/Form Ratings (0-100%)	Overall Condition Ratings (0-100%)	Photo	Protected	Large Protected	Exempt	Expected Impact Level	Remove, Hazard Remove (HR) or Retain Status	Criteria for Removal Sec. 29.10.0992 & Sec. 29.10.0970	Replacement Tree Quantity & Box Size Recommendation	Appraisal Value of Protected Tree	Notes
161	30.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	65/20	70/70/60	60%-Fair	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$17,856.98	Tree has slender form with sparse canopy and downward branching habit, most of the growth to the southwest.
162	35.50	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	65/25	80/75/80	75%-Good	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$28,130.32	Tree appears healthy, typical for speices. Small codominant stem forming, could be corrected with pruning.
163	24.00	na	na	<i>Quercus douglasii</i>	blue oak	30/30	85/85/85	85%-Excellent			Large Protected		High	Retain			\$42,917.60	Bird box mounted on east side. Good structure, form and health. Young tree typical for species. Large section of reaction wood noted at base on west side, down slope-cause unknown.
164	11.50	na	na	<i>Pistachio chinensis</i>	Chinese pistache	30/30	90/90/90	90%-Excellent	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	4, 10	(4X) 24-in. or (2x) 36-in.	\$10,433.55	Young, typical for species.
165	31.00	na	na	<i>Quercus douglasii</i>	blue oak	30/40	85/85/85	85%-Excellent			Large Protected		Moderate	Retain			\$71,603.84	Large, old, sprawling tree with evidence of numerous, branch failures. Typical for species of this age that has been left alone. Growing close to #4 Tee.
166	38.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	65/30	70/85/70	70%-Good		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$30,083.06	Tree has a thin canopy. Structure and form are typical for species located at the edge of the cart path. Evidence of water spray on the trunk.
167	15.50	na	na	<i>Liquidambar styraciflua</i>	sweetgum	45/20	40/70/60	40%-Poor	Photo	Protected			Low	Remove	1, 4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$5,896.79	Tree appears to be in decline
168	44.00	na	na	<i>Fraxinus velutina</i>	Modesto ash	35/35	40/40/50	40%-Poor		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$25,608.23	Tree has a large amount of decay in the main trunk from large limb removals. Tip dieback noted throughout the crown. Very asymmetrical in form-tree appears to be in decline.
169	16.50	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	40/20	90/90/90	90%-Excellent	Photo	Protected			Moderate	Remove	4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$8,102.60	Trees no. 169-190 are all growing in a grove. All young trees, with good condition and structur- typical for species.
170	16.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	40/20	90/90/90	90%-Excellent		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$5,333.28	Trees no. 169-190 are all growing in a grove. All young trees, with good condition and structur- typical for species.
171	21.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	40/20	90/90/90	90%-Excellent	Photo	Protected			Moderate	Remove	4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$13,124.88	Trees no. 169-190 are all growing in a grove. All young trees, with good condition and structur- typical for species.
172	15.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	40/20	90/90/90	90%-Excellent	Photo	Protected			Moderate	Remove	4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$6,696.37	Trees no. 169-190 are all growing in a grove. All young trees, with good condition and structur- typical for species.
173	25.50	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	40/20	90/90/90	90%-Excellent		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$19,352.50	Trees no. 169-190 are all growing in a grove. All young trees, with good condition and structur- typical for species.
174	14.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	40/20	90/90/90	90%-Excellent		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$5,833.28	Trees no. 169-190 are all growing in a grove. All young trees, with good condition and structur- typical for species.
175	16.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	40/20	90/90/90	90%-Excellent		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$7,618.98	Trees no. 169-190 are all growing in a grove. All young trees, with good condition and structur- typical for species.
176	13.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	40/20	90/90/90	90%-Excellent		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$5,029.72	Trees no. 169-190 are all growing in a grove. All young trees, with good condition and structur- typical for species.

La Rinconada Golf Country Club
Tree Inventory and Valuation Appraisal based on 10th Edition *Guide for Plant Appraisal*
Formula: Functional Replacement Method/Trunk Formula Technique

Tree No.	DBH(in.)	DBH Multi(in.)	Combined DBH(in.)	Species Botanical Name (<i>Genus species</i>)	Species Common Name	Canopy Height/Spread(ft.)	Health/Structure/Form Ratings (0-100%)	Overall Condition Ratings (0-100%)	Photo	Protected	Large Protected	Exempt	Expected Impact Level	Remove, Hazard Remove (HR) or Retain Status	Criteria for Removal Sec. 29.10.0992 & Sec. 29.10.0970	Replacement Tree Quantity & Box Size Recommendation	Appraisal Value of Protected Tree	Notes
177	28.50	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	40/20	90/90/90	90%-Excellent	Photo	Protected			Moderate	Remove	4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$21,756.50	Trees no. 169-190 are all growing in a grove. All young trees, with good condition and structur- typical for species.
178	14.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	40/20	90/90/90	90%-Excellent	Photo	Protected			Moderate	Remove	4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$5,833.28	Trees no. 169-190 are all growing in a grove. All young trees, with good condition and structur- typical for species.
179	15.50	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	40/20	90/90/90	90%-Excellent	Photo	Protected			Low	Remove	4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$7,150.23	Trees no. 169-190 are all growing in a grove. All young trees, with good condition and structur- typical for species.
180	18.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	40/20	90/90/90	90%-Excellent		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$9,642.77	Trees no. 169-190 are all growing in a grove. All young trees, with good condition and structur- typical for species.
181	26.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	40/20	90/90/90	90%-Excellent		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$18,106.98	Trees no. 169-190 are all growing in a grove. All young trees, with good condition and structur- typical for species.
182	19.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	40/20	90/90/90	90%-Excellent		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$9,669.55	Trees no. 169-190 are all growing in a grove. All young trees, with good condition and structur- typical for species.
183	17.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	40/20	90/90/90	90%-Excellent		Protected			Low	Retain			\$8,601.11	Trees no. 169-190 are all growing in a grove. All young trees, with good condition and structur- typical for species.
184	13.50	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	40/20	90/90/90	90%-Excellent		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$5,424.06	Trees no. 169-190 are all growing in a grove. All young trees, with good condition and structur- typical for species.
185	15.50	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	40/20	90/90/90	90%-Excellent		Protected			Low	Retain			\$7,150.23	Trees no. 169-190 are all growing in a grove. All young trees, with good condition and structur- typical for species.
186	17.80	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	40/20	90/90/90	90%-Excellent		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$9,429.68	Trees no. 169-190 are all growing in a grove. All young trees, with good condition and structur- typical for species.
187	17.50	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	40/20	90/90/90	90%-Excellent		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$9,114.50	Trees no. 169-190 are all growing in a grove. All young trees, with good condition and structur- typical for species.
188	18.50	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	40/20	90/90/90	90%-Excellent		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$10,185.92	Trees no. 169-190 are all growing in a grove. All young trees, with good condition and structur- typical for species.
189	21.30	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	40/20	90/90/90	90%-Excellent	Photo	Protected			Moderate	Remove	4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$13,502.56	Trees no. 169-190 are all growing in a grove. All young trees, with good condition and structur- typical for species.
190	17.50	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	40/20	90/90/90	90%-Excellent	Photo	Protected			Moderate	Remove	4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$9,114.50	Trees no. 169-190 are all growing in a grove. All young trees, with good condition and structur- typical for species.
191	13.50	na	na	<i>Fraxinus velutina</i>	Modesto ash	25/30	85/85/85	85%-Excellent		Protected			Low	Retain			\$5,122.72	Young tree with symmetrical form. Minor amount of mistletoe in canopy. Grows alone on a hillside, no competition.
192	20.00	na	na	<i>Quercus agrifolia</i>	coast live oak	30/40	40/20/75	20%-Very Poor		Protected			Low	Retain			\$4,133.72	Canopy appears thin, structure is poor. Codominant with included bark and a large crack at approximately 15ft. Tree will fail in time if left alone. Impact irrigation is currently affecting lower foliage.

La Rinconada Golf Country Club
Tree Inventory and Valuation Appraisal based on 10th Edition *Guide for Plant Appraisal*
Formula: Functional Replacement Method/Trunk Formula Technique

Tree No.	DBH(in.)	DBH Multi(in.)	Combined DBH(in.)	Species Botanical Name (<i>Genus species</i>)	Species Common Name	Canopy Height/Spread(ft.)	Health/Structure/Form Ratings (0-100%)	Overall Condition Ratings (0-100%)	Photo	Protected	Large Protected	Exempt	Expected Impact Level	Remove, Hazard Remove (HR) or Retain Status	Criteria for Removal Sec. 29.10.0992 & Sec. 29.10.0970	Replacement Tree Quantity & Box Size Recommendation	Appraisal Value of Protected Tree	Notes
193	29.00	na	na	<i>Quercus douglasii</i>	blue oak	40/40	80/80/70	70%-Good			Large Protected		Low	Retain			\$51,604.56	Trees no. 193-195 are located close to the bee boxes: Tree no. 193 is a large, mature specimen with sprawling form that is slight asymmetrical. Majority of the growth is to the west. Has significant amount of dead limbs in under story and has been pruned for clearance.
194	25.00	na	na	<i>Quercus douglasii</i>	blue oak	35/25	50/70/50	50%-Fair			Large Protected		Low	Retain			\$27,393.28	Tree appers to be an older specimen that grows to the south. Looks to be in decline with a small amount of foliage present - typical of an older tree. Significant size hollows and deadwood throughout.
195	29.00	na	na	<i>Quercus douglasii</i>	blue oak	45/50	65/85/85	65%-Good			Large Protected		Low	Retain			\$47,918.52	Sprawling, mature tree with significant amount of large sized deadwood present. Thinning canopy, typical of aging, older tree.
196	23.00	na	na	<i>Quercus ilex</i>	holly oak	30/30	90/90/90	90%-Excellent		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$41,734.21	Nice tree overall.
197	26.00	na	na	<i>Fraxinus velutina</i>	Modesto ash	30/20	0/0/0	Dead	Photo	Protected			Low	Remove	1	(3x)24-in.	\$0.00	Tree is an active stage of failure- 99% dead and splitting apart within the upper canopy. <i>Armillaria sp.</i> Fungal fruiting bodies noted at the base of the tree- Recommend removal.
198	13.50	na	na	<i>Quercus douglasii</i>	blue oak	25/25	90/90/90	90%-Excellent		Protected			Low	Retain			\$14,378.18	Nice, young tree-good health, form and structure- typical for species. Growing on its own with plenty of space.
199	10.00	10 & 10	30.00	<i>Fraxinus velutina</i>	Modesto ash	35/35	50/20/50	20%-Fair	Photo	Protected			Low	Remove	1, 4, 10	(4X) 24-in. or (2x) 36-in.	\$1,984.11	Codominant with included bark from ground level, most likely a stump sprout.
200	19.50	na	na	<i>Angaphera floribunda</i>	rough-barked apple	35/35	60/50/50	50%-Fair	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	4, 10	(4X) 24-in. or (2x) 36-in.	\$16,666.07	Small stature tree that has dense canopy and large branch failures throughout. Codominant structure with good union at 7ft. Noted: Bird box attached to trunk on the northeast side.
201	26.50	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	50/20	85/85/85	85%-Excellent	Photo	Protected			Moderate	Remove	4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$19,738.99	Healthy tree, typical for species, stands alone in the rough.
202	26.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	50/20	90/90/90	90%-Excellent		Protected			Low	Retain			\$18,106.98	Young tree growing alone at fence line-typical for species.
203	25.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	50/20	90/90/90	90%-Excellent		Protected			Low	Retain			\$16,740.92	Young tree growing alone at fence line-typical for species.
204	20.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	50/20	90/90/90	90%-Excellent		Protected			Low	Retain			\$10,714.19	Young tree growing alone at fence line-typical for species.
205	7.00	na	na	<i>Fraxinus uhdei</i>	Shamel ash	50/20	90/60/40	40%-Poor		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$486.11	Young volunteer, growing out from beneath redwoods along fenceline.Codominant structure at approximately 15ft.Tree is appears out of place and will become longterm issue- recommend removal.
206	20.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	50/20	90/90/90	90%-Excellent		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$10,714.19	Young tree growing alone, along fence line-typical for species.
207	20.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	40/20	90/90/90	90%-Excellent		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$10,714.19	Young tree growing alone, along fence line-typical for species.
208	12.50	na	na	<i>Quercus agrifolia</i>	coast live oak	40/25	85/40/30	30%-Poor		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$1,937.68	Young tree, 100% of the canopy grows to the Southwest over the neighboring property.

La Rinconada Golf Country Club
Tree Inventory and Valuation Appraisal based on 10th Edition *Guide for Plant Appraisal*
Formula: Functional Replacement Method/Trunk Formula Technique

Tree No.	DBH(in.)	DBH Multi(in.)	Combined DBH(in.)	Species Botanical Name (<i>Genus species</i>)	Species Common Name	Canopy Height/Spread(ft.)	Health/Structure/Form Ratings (0-100%)	Overall Condition Ratings (0-100%)	Photo	Protected	Large Protected	Exempt	Expected Impact Level	Remove, Hazard Remove (HR) or Retain Status	Criteria for Removal Sec. 29.10.0992 & Sec. 29.10.0970	Replacement Tree Quantity & Box Size Recommendation	Appraisal Value of Protected Tree	Notes
209	10.00	na	na	<i>Quercus agrifolia</i>	coast live oak	25/30	80/30/20	20%-Very Poor		Protected			Low	Retain			\$826.74	Young tree, canopy grows on a 45° angle towards neighboring property. Tree has no room for growth-consider removal long-term.
210	12.00	na	na	<i>Quercus agrifolia</i>	coast live oak	45/15	85/85/60	60%-Fair		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$2,678.65	Relatively young, single trunk tree growing between cart path and fence. Canopy has been maintained for clearance from powerlines.
211	18.00	18.00	36.00	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	30/20	0/0/0	Removed		X	X	X	X	X			\$0.00	Removed by PG&E
212	32.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	50/30	90/90/90	90%-Excellent		Protected			High	Retain			\$30,475.91	Young tree typical for species
213	7.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	20/10	100/100/95	95%-Excellent		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$1,539.34	Young tree typical for species
214	18.50	na	na	<i>Quercus agrifolia</i>	coast live oak	30/30	85/60/50	50%-Fair		Protected			Low	Retain			\$61,895.96	Growing at fence line, has an asymmetrical canopy and chain-link fence embedded in the trunk between 2-4ft.
215	13.00	na	na	<i>Quercus agrifolia</i>	coast live oak	25/20	85/60/30	30%-Poor		Protected			Low	Retain			\$2,095.79	Growing at fence line, has an asymmetrical canopy and chain-link fence embedded in trunk between 2-4ft. Canopy is codominant and included at approximately 10ft. Future room for growth is limited by ball netting.
216	14.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	30/20	40/90/90	40%-Poor		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$2,333.31	Trees no. 216-221 are all growing adjacent to the ball netting. All appear to have slightly chlorotic foliage.
217	14.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	35/20	40/90/90	40%-Poor		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$2,333.31	Trees no. 216-221 are all growing adjacent to the ball netting. All appear to have slightly chlorotic foliage.
218	18.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	35/20	30/60/70	30%-Poor		Protected			Low	Retain			\$3,214.26	Trees no. 216-221 are all growing adjacent to the ball netting. All appear to have slightly chlorotic foliage. Dying/dead top in this particular tree.
219	16.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	35/20	40/90/90	40%-Poor		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$3,047.59	Trees no. 216-221 are all growing adjacent to the ball netting. All appear to have slightly chlorotic foliage.
220	17.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	35/20	40/90/90	40%-Poor		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$3,440.44	Trees no. 216-221 are all growing adjacent to the ball netting. All appear to have slightly chlorotic foliage.
221	17.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	30/20	40/90/90	40%-Poor		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$3,440.44	Trees no. 216-221 are all growing adjacent to the ball netting. All appear to have slightly chlorotic foliage.
222	42.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	50/30	85/85/85	85%-Excellent	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	4, 10	(4X) 24-in. or (2x) 36-in.	\$49,582.88	Trees no. 222-224 are all next to the powerlines. Good condition, typical for species.
223	24.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	45/15	85/80/45	45%-Fair	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$8,571.35	Very poor form, overcrowded between trees and next to powerline. Recommend removal-no long-term future.
224	37.50	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	50/25	85/85/85	85%-Excellent	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$39,527.17	Grows next to powerlines. Overall condition is typical for species.

La Rinconada Golf Country Club
Tree Inventory and Valuation Appraisal based on 10th Edition *Guide for Plant Appraisal*
Formula: Functional Replacement Method/Trunk Formula Technique

Tree No.	DBH(in.)	DBH Multi(in.)	Combined DBH(in.)	Species Botanical Name (<i>Genus species</i>)	Species Common Name	Canopy Height/Spread(ft.)	Health/Structure/Form Ratings (0-100%)	Overall Condition Ratings (0-100%)	Photo	Protected	Large Protected	Exempt	Expected Impact Level	Remove, Hazard Remove (HR) or Retain Status	Criteria for Removal Sec. 29.10.0992 & Sec. 29.10.0970	Replacement Tree Quantity & Box Size Recommendation	Appraisal Value of Protected Tree	Notes
225	18.50	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	40/20	90/90/90	90%-Excellent		Protected			High	Retain			\$10,185.92	Young, healthy tree typical for species. Powerline runs between no. 225 and 226-recommend removal due to location.
226	25.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	45/20	90/90/90	90%-Excellent		Protected			High	Retain			\$16,740.92	Young, healthy tree typical for species. Powerline runs between no. 225 and 226
227	28.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	45/20	90/90/90	90%-Excellent		Protected			High	Retain			\$20,999.81	Trees no. 227-231 are all young and healthy, typical for species.
228	25.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	45/20	90/90/90	90%-Excellent		Protected			High	Retain			\$16,740.92	Trees no. 227-231 are all young and healthy, typical for species.
229	22.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	30/21	60/90/90	60%-Fair		Protected			High	Retain			\$9,603.09	Trees no. 227-231 are all young and healthy, typical for species.
230	27.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	40/20	90/90/90	90%-Excellent		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$21,696.23	Trees no. 227-231 are all young and healthy, typical for species.
231	23.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	40/20	90/90/90	90%-Excellent		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$15,743.90	Trees no. 227-231 are all young and healthy, typical for species.
232	40.00	na	na	<i>Quercus douglasii</i>	blue oak	35/40	85/60/80	60%-Fair		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$75,736.94	Large, older specimen that appears to be in good health. Grows on a heavy lean to the east with large amount of reaction wood noted on back-side of lean.
233	15.00	na	na	<i>Quercus agrifolia</i>	coast live oak	25/20	40/85/85	40%-Poor		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$4,650.43	Canopy appears stunted, gray in color. Could be related to irrigation overspray. Lower branches on Northwest side are dying. No trunk flare, appears buried. Possibly in state of decline.
234	10.50	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	25/10	90/90/90	90%-Excellent		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$2,953.10	Trees no. 234-245 are all young, healthy trees growing in a grove next to the cart path and #5 fairway.
235	10.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	25/10	90/90/90	90%-Excellent		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$2,678.55	Trees no. 234-245 are all young, healthy trees growing in a grove next to the cart path and #5 fairway.
236	12.50	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	30/10	90/90/90	90%-Excellent		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$4,650.26	Trees no. 234-245 are all young, healthy trees growing in a grove next to the cart path and #5 fairway.
237	16.50	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	25/10	90/90/90	90%-Excellent		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$8,102.60	Trees no. 234-245 are all young, healthy trees growing in a grove next to the cart path and #5 fairway.
238	11.50	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	30/10	90/90/90	90%-Excellent		Protected			Low	Retain			\$3,935.98	Trees no. 234-245 are all young, healthy trees growing in a grove next to the cart path and #5 fairway.
239	11.50	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	30/10	90/90/90	90%-Excellent		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$3,935.98	Trees no. 234-245 are all young, healthy trees growing in a grove next to the cart path and #5 fairway.
240	11.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	25/10	90/90/90	90%-Excellent		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$3,241.04	Trees no. 234-245 are all young, healthy trees growing in a grove next to the cart path and #5 fairway.

La Rinconada Golf Country Club
Tree Inventory and Valuation Appraisal based on 10th Edition *Guide for Plant Appraisal*
Formula: Functional Replacement Method/Trunk Formula Technique

Tree No.	DBH(in.)	DBH Multi(in.)	Combined DBH(in.)	Species Botanical Name (<i>Genus species</i>)	Species Common Name	Canopy Height/Spread(ft.)	Health/Structure/Form Ratings (0-100%)	Overall Condition Ratings (0-100%)	Photo	Protected	Large Protected	Exempt	Expected Impact Level	Remove, Hazard Remove (HR) or Retain Status	Criteria for Removal Sec. 29.10.0992 & Sec. 29.10.0970	Replacement Tree Quantity & Box Size Recommendation	Appraisal Value of Protected Tree	Notes
241	10.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	25/8	90/90/90	90%-Excellent	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	4, 10	(2x) 24-in.	\$2,976.16	Trees no. 234-245 are all young, healthy trees growing in a grove next to the cart path and #5 fairway.
242	10.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	25/8	90/90/90	90%-Excellent		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$2,976.16	Trees no. 234-245 are all young, healthy trees growing in a grove next to the cart path and #5 fairway.
243	16.70	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	30/10	90/90/90	90%-Excellent	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	4, 10	(2x) 24-in.	\$8,300.22	Trees no. 234-245 are all young, healthy trees growing in a grove next to the cart path and #5 fairway.
244	12.50	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	25/10	90/90/90	90%-Excellent		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$4,650.26	Trees no. 234-245 are all young, healthy trees growing in a grove next to the cart path and #5 fairway.
245	9.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	20/10	90/90/90	90%-Excellent		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$2,169.62	Trees no. 234-245 are all young, healthy trees growing in a grove next to the cart path and #5 fairway.
246	9.00	na	na	<i>Lagerstroemia sp.</i>	crape myrtle	20/15	85/80/90	80%-Good		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$6,087.93	Trees no. 246-262 all grow in a line alongside the cart path. All are in good condition with the exception of no. 258 & 260.
247	4.50	na	na	<i>Lagerstroemia sp.</i>	crape myrtle	15/10	85/80/90	80%-Good		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$1,521.98	Trees no. 246-262 all grow in a line alongside the cart path. All are in good condition with the exception of no. 258 & 260.
248	6.50	na	na	<i>Lagerstroemia sp.</i>	crape myrtle	20/15	85/80/90	80%-Good		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$3,175.49	Trees no. 246-262 all grow in a line alongside the cart path. All are in good condition with the exception of no. 258 & 260.
249	7.00	na	na	<i>Lagerstroemia sp.</i>	crape myrtle	20/20	85/80/90	80%-Good		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$3,682.82	Trees no. 246-262 all grow in a line alongside the cart path. All are in good condition with the exception of no. 258 & 260.
250	6.50	na	na	<i>Lagerstroemia sp.</i>	crape myrtle	20/15	85/80/90	80%-Good		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$3,175.49	Trees no. 246-262 all grow in a line alongside the cart path. All are in good condition with the exception of no. 258 & 260.
251	7.00	na	na	<i>Lagerstroemia sp.</i>	crape myrtle	25/15	85/80/90	80%-Good		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$3,682.82	Trees no. 246-262 all grow in a line alongside the cart path. All are in good condition with the exception of no. 258 & 260.
252	4.40	na	na	<i>Lagerstroemia sp.</i>	crape myrtle	20/10	85/80/90	80%-Good		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$1,455.09	Trees no. 246-262 are all in good condition, growing next to cart path. This tree has a small trunk wound on the west side.
253	8.00	na	na	<i>Lagerstroemia sp.</i>	crape myrtle	25/20	85/80/90	80%-Good		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$4,810.22	Trees no. 246-262 all grow in a line alongside the cart path. All are in good condition with the exception of no. 258 & 260.
254	7.50	na	na	<i>Lagerstroemia sp.</i>	crape myrtle	25/20	85/80/90	80%-Good		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$4,227.73	Trees no. 246-262 all grow in a line alongside the cart path. All are in good condition with the exception of no. 258 & 260.
255	7.70	na	na	<i>Lagerstroemia sp.</i>	crape myrtle	25/20	85/80/90	80%-Good		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$4,456.21	Trees no. 246-262 all grow in a line alongside the cart path. All are in good condition with the exception of no. 258 & 260.
256	8.60	na	na	<i>Lagerstroemia sp.</i>	crape myrtle	25/20	85/80/90	80%-Good		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$5,558.81	Trees no. 246-262 all grow in a line alongside the cart path. All are in good condition with the exception of no. 258 & 260.

La Rinconada Golf Country Club
Tree Inventory and Valuation Appraisal based on 10th Edition *Guide for Plant Appraisal*
Formula: Functional Replacement Method/Trunk Formula Technique

Tree No.	DBH(in.)	DBH Multi(in.)	Combined DBH(in.)	Species Botanical Name (<i>Genus species</i>)	Species Common Name	Canopy Height/Spread(ft.)	Health/Structure/Form Ratings (0-100%)	Overall Condition Ratings (0-100%)	Photo	Protected	Large Protected	Exempt	Expected Impact Level	Remove, Hazard Remove (HR) or Retain Status	Criteria for Removal Sec. 29.10.0992 & Sec. 29.10.0970	Replacement Tree Quantity & Box Size Recommendation	Appraisal Value of Protected Tree	Notes
257	5.00	na	na	<i>Lagerstroemia sp.</i>	crape myrtle	15/10	85/80/90	80%-Good		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$1,878.99	Trees no. 246-262 all grow in a line alongside the cart path. All are in good condition with the exception of no. 258 & 260.
258	5.00	na	na	<i>Lagerstroemia sp.</i>	crape myrtle	15/10	85/20/90	20%-Fair		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$469.75	This tree is healthy with good form but poor structure. There is evidence of an internal crack, it will likely split with time. Recommend long term removal and replacement.
259	5.00	na	na	<i>Lagerstroemia sp.</i>	crape myrtle	15/10	85/80/90	80%-Good		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$1,878.99	Trees no. 246-262 all grow in a line alongside the cart path. All are in good condition with the exception of no. 258 & 260.
260	5.00	na	na	<i>Lagerstroemia sp.</i>	crape myrtle	15/8	85/06/90	6%-Very Poor		Protected			High	Retain			\$1,409.24	This is a healthy tree with good form but Very Poor structure. It has a trunk wound that is beyond repair-recommend removal and replacement. Half of the tree has ripped out on the west side.
261	4.50	na	na	<i>Lagerstroemia sp.</i>	crape myrtle	15/10	85/80/90	80%-Good		Protected			High	Retain			\$1,521.98	Trees no. 246-262 all grow in a line alongside the cart path. All are in good condition with the exception of no. 258 & 260.
262	4.50	na	na	<i>Lagerstroemia sp.</i>	crape myrtle	15/8	85/80/90	80%-Good		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$1,521.98	Trees no. 246-262 all grow in a line alongside the cart path. All are in good condition with the exception of no. 258 & 260. Tree has small trunk wound.
263	16.50	11 & 10	37.50	<i>Quercus agrifolia</i>	coast live oak	40/40	80/40/50	40%-Poor			Large Protected		Moderate	Retain			\$8,155.82	Young, multitrunk tree growing at the top of the slope, above parking lot and next to the cart path. Three main stems all have included bark. Two of the three trunks could be pruned to maintain form and structure.
264	10.00	7.00	17.00	<i>Quercus lobata</i>	valley oak	20/20	85/6/6	6%-Very Poor		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$626.93	Codominant and heavily included from 6in. above ground level. Growing in poor location, has poor structure with trunks that twist around each other. Impedes canopy of adjacent tree, overhangs parking area-consider for long term removal.
265	24.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	50/25	85/85/85	85%-Excellent		Protected			High	Retain			\$14,571.30	Tree no. 265-269 are all growing in a grove. Good health, form, and vigor-typical for species.
266	23.50	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	50/20	85/85/85	85%-Excellent	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$13,970.48	Tree no. 265-269 are all growing in a grove. Good health, form, and vigor-typical for species.
267	35.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	65/15	85/85/85	85%-Excellent	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$30,989.30	Tree no. 265-269 are all growing in a grove. Good health, form, and vigor-typical for species. Tree no. 267 & 268 are growing close together.
268	43.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	65/25	85/85/85	85%-Excellent	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$46,774.87	Tree no. 265-269 are all growing in a grove. Good health, form, and vigor-typical for species. Tree has a very "S" formation in the trunk, which appears to have self corrected with age.
269	30.50	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	60/20	85/85/70	70%-Good	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$19,380.03	Tree no. 265-269 are all growing in a grove. Good health, form, and vigor-typical for species. This tree has a very asymmetrical form and is thin on the east side.
270	8.00	na	na	<i>Betula pendula</i>	European white birch	20/15	70/80/90	70%-Good	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$2,314.88	Tree is small in stature and well formed. Small amount of dead wood present, could benefit from structure pruning.
271	6.70	na	na	<i>Betula pendula</i>	European white birch	20/10	70/80/70	70%-Good	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	4, 10	(2x) 24-in.	\$1,623.67	Generally good condition, tree is typical for species.
272	74.50	na	na	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	red gum	70/60	85/80/75	75%-Good			Large Protected		Moderate	Retain			\$193,582.95	Large and majestic. Recently suffered large limb failures and was subsequently pruned to clean up the damaged branches. Large wounds are in process of closing over.

La Rinconada Golf Country Club
Tree Inventory and Valuation Appraisal based on 10th Edition *Guide for Plant Appraisal*
Formula: Functional Replacement Method/Trunk Formula Technique

Tree No.	DBH(in.)	DBH Multi(in.)	Combined DBH(in.)	Species Botanical Name (<i>Genus species</i>)	Species Common Name	Canopy Height/Spread(ft.)	Health/Structure/Form Ratings (0-100%)	Overall Condition Ratings (0-100%)	Photo	Protected	Large Protected	Exempt	Expected Impact Level	Remove, Hazard Remove (HR) or Retain Status	Criteria for Removal Sec. 29.10.0992 & Sec. 29.10.0970	Replacement Tree Quantity & Box Size Recommendation	Appraisal Value of Protected Tree	Notes
273	28.00	na	na	<i>Quercus douglasii</i>	blue oak	30/50	80/80/70	70%-Good			Large Protected		High	Retain			\$48,106.98	Large, healthy, old tree with canopy growth predominantly to the west. Evidence of mechanical wounding on the lower trunk. Generally a nice looking tree.
274	20.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	50/15	50/90/90	50%-Fair	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$5,290.96	Young tree with limited growing area between cart path and roadway. Canopy foliage appears thin for the species.
275	42.50	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	60/20	70/90/85	70%-Good	Photo	Protected			Moderate	Remove	4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$37,629.87	Mature size tree in good overall condition.
276	28.50	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	55/10	70/90/85	70%-Good	Photo	Protected			Moderate	Remove	4, 10	(2x) 24-in.	\$16,921.72	Trees no. 276-286 are all growing in a line alongside the clubhouse. Condition overall is good, they appear healthy -typical for species unless noted otherwise.
277	32.50	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	55/10	70/90/85	70%-Good	Photo	Protected			Moderate	Remove	4, 10	(2x) 24-in.	\$22,005.01	Trees no. 276-286 are all growing in a line alongside the clubhouse. Condition overall is good, they appear healthy -typical for species unless noted otherwise.
278	24.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	50/10	70/90/85	70%-Good	Photo	Protected			Moderate	Remove	4, 10	(2x) 24-in.	\$11,999.89	Trees no. 276-286 are all growing in a line alongside the clubhouse. Condition overall is good, they appear healthy -typical for species unless noted otherwise.
279	23.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	50/10	70/90/85	70%-Good		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$11,020.73	Trees no. 276-286 are all growing in a line alongside the clubhouse. Condition overall is good, they appear healthy -typical for species unless noted otherwise.
280	30.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	55/20	70/90/70	70%-Good	Photo	Protected			Moderate	Remove	4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$18,749.83	Trees no. 276-286 are all growing alongside the clubhouse. They appear good overall, typical for healthy species unless noted otherwise. Leans to the north slightly.
281	57.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	60/25	70/80/70	70%-Good			Large Protected		Moderate	Retain			\$67,686.88	Trees no. 276-286 are all growing in a line alongside the clubhouse. Condition overall is good, they appear healthy -typical for species unless noted otherwise. This tree has minor codominance and can be corrected with pruning.
282	43.50	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	60/20	70/80/70	70%-Good	Photo	Protected			Moderate	Remove	4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$39,421.52	Trees no. 276-286 are all growing in a line alongside the clubhouse. Condition overall is good, they appear healthy -typical for species unless noted otherwise. This tree has minor codominance at top and can be corrected with pruning.
283	15.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	40/15	70/90/85	70%-Good		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$4,687.46	Trees no. 276-286 are all growing in a line alongside the clubhouse. Condition overall is good, they appear healthy -typical for species unless noted otherwise.
284	16.50	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	40/10	70/90/85	70%-Good	Photo	Protected			Moderate	Remove	4, 10	(2x) 24-in.	\$5,671.82	Trees no. 276-286 are all growing in a line alongside the clubhouse. Condition overall is good, they appear healthy -typical for species unless noted otherwise.
285	34.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	60/25	70/90/85	70%-Good		Protected			High	Retain			\$24,083.11	Trees no. 276-286 are all growing in a line alongside the clubhouse. Condition overall is good, they appear healthy -typical for species unless noted otherwise.
286	16.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	35/10	70/90/85	70%-Good		Protected			High	Retain			\$5,333.28	Trees no. 276-286 are all growing in a line alongside the clubhouse. Condition overall is good, they appear healthy -typical for species unless noted otherwise.
287	20.50	13.00	33.50	<i>Quercus agrifolia</i>	coast live oak	30/35	80/80/60	60%-Fair			Large Protected		Moderate	Retain			\$16,441.60	Low spreading tree. Maintained for vehicle, parking, and cart path clearance. Form has been compromised from natural stature due to pruning. Overall structure is good but foliage size appears smaller than normal for species.
288	45.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	65/30	90/90/90	90%-Excellent		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$60,267.31	Trees no. 288-297 are all growing together, close to cart path. Understory maintained with mulch.

La Rinconada Golf Country Club
Tree Inventory and Valuation Appraisal based on 10th Edition *Guide for Plant Appraisal*
Formula: Functional Replacement Method/Trunk Formula Technique

Tree No.	DBH(in.)	DBH Multi(in.)	Combined DBH(in.)	Species Botanical Name (<i>Genus species</i>)	Species Common Name	Canopy Height/Spread(ft.)	Health/Structure/Form Ratings (0-100%)	Overall Condition Ratings (0-100%)	Photo	Protected	Large Protected	Exempt	Expected Impact Level	Remove, Hazard Remove (HR) or Retain Status	Criteria for Removal Sec. 29.10.0992 & Sec. 29.10.0970	Replacement Tree Quantity & Box Size Recommendation	Appraisal Value of Protected Tree	Notes
289	43.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	65/30	90/90/90	90%-Excellent		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$55,029.26	Trees no. 288-297 are all growing together, close to cart path. Understory maintained with mulch.
290	43.50	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	65/30	90/90/90	90%-Excellent		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$56,316.45	Trees no. 288-297 are all growing together, close to cart path. Understory maintained with mulch.
291	36.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	55/30	30/80/85	30%-Poor	Photo	Protected			Moderate	Remove	4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$11,571.32	Trees no. 288-297 are all growing together, close to cart path. Understory maintained with mulch. Canopies of no. 291-293 appears sparse, perhaps stressed with approximately 50% foliage density.
292	29.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	60/20	30/85/85	30%-Poor		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$7,508.86	Trees no. 288-297 are all growing together, close to cart path. Understory maintained with mulch. Canopies of no. 291-293 appears sparse, perhaps stressed with approximately 50% foliage density.
293	25.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	55/20	30/85/85	30%-Poor		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$6,200.34	Trees no. 288-297 are all growing together, close to cart path. Understory maintained with mulch. Canopies of no. 291-293 appears sparse, perhaps stressed with approximately 50% foliage density.
294	37.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	60/25	30/20/20	20%-Fair	Photo	Protected			Moderate	Remove	1, 4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$8,148.74	Trees no. 288-297 are all growing together, close to cart path. Understory maintained with mulch. Tree had a large dead top that was recently removed (1/3 of canopy). Death of tree top is typically an indicator of root related issues.
295	37.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	60/25	50/60/65	50%-Fair		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$22,635.38	Trees no. 288-297 are all growing together, close to cart path. Understory maintained with mulch. Trunk is very twisted, has naturally grown that way. The canopy is thinner than that of a healthy specimen approximately 60% foliage density.
296	34.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	55/20	80/65/60	60%-Fair		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$22,936.30	Trees no. 288-297 are all growing together, close to cart path. Understory maintained with mulch. This tree appears to have had a second leader at one time, on the north side (no root flare at the base and some decay noted). Minimal canopy coverage to the east.
297	25.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	55/20	30/85/85	30%-Poor		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$5,580.31	Trees no. 288-297 are all growing together, close to cart path. Understory maintained with mulch. Trees no. 297-299 all have sparse canopies, they are developing codominant tops or have had dead tops recently removed.
298	25.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	55/20	30/60/70	30%-Poor		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$5,580.31	Dead top.
299	27.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	55/20	30/60/70	30%-Poor		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$6,508.87	Dead top.
300	40.00	na	na	<i>Fraxinus velutina</i>	Modesto ash	25/20	60/20/20	20%-Fair	Photo	Protected			High	HR	1	(3x)24-in.	\$10,581.91	Currently in decline and has a hanger over cart path. Small palm tree growing between the union of main leaders. Foreign plant growth is indicative of soil build up and moisture. The structure is extremely poor, half of the tree already failed. Remainder leans over the cart path. Recommend tree removal. Hazardous.
301	42.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	65/30	85/80/85	80%-Good		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$46,666.24	Tree is large and stands on its own, not in a grove. Overall condition appears to be great. Minor codominance in upper canopy that can be corrected with pruning.
302	32.50	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	60/15	70/85/85	70%-Good	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$24,450.01	Good condition, typical for healthy species.
303	34.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	60/15	85/30/85	30%-Poor	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$11,468.15	Codominant at approximately 20ft.
304	40.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	60/20	85/85/85	85%-Excellent	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$44,973.13	Noted irrigation damage to the trunk.

La Rinconada Golf Country Club
Tree Inventory and Valuation Appraisal based on 10th Edition *Guide for Plant Appraisal*
Formula: Functional Replacement Method/Trunk Formula Technique

Tree No.	DBH(in.)	DBH Multi(in.)	Combined DBH(in.)	Species Botanical Name (<i>Genus species</i>)	Species Common Name	Canopy Height/Spread(ft.)	Health/Structure/Form Ratings (0-100%)	Overall Condition Ratings (0-100%)	Photo	Protected	Large Protected	Exempt	Expected Impact Level	Remove, Hazard Remove (HR) or Retain Status	Criteria for Removal Sec. 29.10.0992 & Sec. 29.10.0970	Replacement Tree Quantity & Box Size Recommendation	Appraisal Value of Protected Tree	Notes
305	61.50	na	na	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	red gum	70/45	85/60/70	60%-Fair	Photo		Large Protected		High	Remove	4, 10	(6x)24-in. or (3x)36-in.	\$105,534.58	Large, codominant tree at 4.5ft., with included bark. Tree is located at the edge of the cart path. Pruned to reduce heavy end weight and remove large limbs over the course, on east side. Large wound at base on east side and northwest side.
306	54.00	na	na	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	red gum	70/45	85/75/70	70%-Good	Photo		Large Protected		Moderate	Remove	4, 10	(6x)24-in. or (3x)36-in.	\$94,924.62	Large, single trunk tree that becomes codominant at approximately 18-20ft. Canopy growth is mainly to the northwest. asymetrical form is due to previous large limb failures and pruning over the last decade. Noted burl at base on the east side.
307	16.00	na	na	<i>Quercus agrifolia</i>	coast live oak	30/25	80/85/80	80%-Good		Protected			High	Retain			\$9,524.08	Young oak tree, overall good condition. Growing at the edge of neighboring Eucalyptus, tree no.57.
308	17.50	na	na	<i>Quercus agrifolia</i>	coast live oak	30/25	80/85/85	80%-Good		Protected			High	Retain			\$11,393.56	Young, healthy tree typical for species. Tree grows in a small grove with three other trees of same genus-understory is maintained with mulch.
309	9.00	8 & 5	22.00	<i>Quercus ilex</i>	holly oak	20/15	90/90/90	90%-Excellent		Protected			High	Retain			\$12,070.57	Small amount of mechanical damage to the base of trunk on north side, wound appears to have healed over. Overall, health of the tree is good.
310	32.50	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	50/20	60/90/85	60%-Fair	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$18,861.44	Mature specimen growing next to cart path that has recently been replaced on the east side. Canopy is relatively thin. Irrigation appears to be hitting the trunk on north side.
311	20.00	na	na	<i>Quercus agrifolia</i>	coast live oak	30/25	50/65/70	50%-Fair		Protected			High	Retain			\$9,300.86	Irrigation currently hits lower canopy, causing water overspray to lower foliage. Trunk form is short and wide. Bark crack appears to be forming at the base of the tree and runs up to the first branch union on both the east and north side of the trunk. Codominant structure with poor unions throughout.
312	16.50	na	na	<i>Quercus agrifolia</i>	coast live oak	30/25	85/30/80	30%-Poor		Protected			High	Retain			\$3,798.24	Sprawling form and codominant structure with very poor branch unions. Approximately five branch unions originate at 7ft. Sapsucker damage to upper canopy scaffold limbs.
313	24.00	na	na	<i>Quercus douglasii</i>	blue oak	25/40	80/60/70	60%-Fair			Large Protected		Moderate	Retain			\$30,294.78	Tree fell over and has continued to grow along the ground.
314	28.00	22.00	40.00	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	45/25	85/60/80	60%-Fair		Protected			High	Retain			\$25,158.50	Twin trunk tree from ground level with fair union. Foliage and form appear healthy and good.
315	16.50	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	40/15	60/90/90	60%-Fair		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$5,401.74	Young tree growing within a grove of sixteen redwoods. Canopy appears thinner than normal, healthy specimen.
316	15.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	35/10	85/85/85	85%-Excellent		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$6,324.35	Young, healthy tree. Typical condition for a healthy species
317	32.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	55/20	80/90/90	80%-Good		Protected			High	Retain			\$27,089.70	Tree no. 317 & 318 are larger sized specimens. Good overall condition, typical for a healthy species.
318	51.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	60/25	80/90/90	80%-Good			Large Protected		High	Retain			\$68,808.90	Tree no. 317 & 318 are larger sized specimens. Good overall condition, typical for a healthy species.
319	20.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	35/15	85/40/85	40%-Poor	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$5,290.96	Codominant structure and included bark at approximately 10ft. Basal sprouts are present-possibly a response to mechanical damage.
320	45.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	60/25	90/90/90	90%-Excellent		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$60,267.31	Trees no. 320-326 are all growing in a grove. Understory of has been maintained with mulch.

La Rinconada Golf Country Club
Tree Inventory and Valuation Appraisal based on 10th Edition *Guide for Plant Appraisal*
Formula: Functional Replacement Method/Trunk Formula Technique

Tree No.	DBH(in.)	DBH Multi(in.)	Combined DBH(in.)	Species Botanical Name (<i>Genus species</i>)	Species Common Name	Canopy Height/Spread(ft.)	Health/Structure/Form Ratings (0-100%)	Overall Condition Ratings (0-100%)	Photo	Protected	Large Protected	Exempt	Expected Impact Level	Remove, Hazard Remove (HR) or Retain Status	Criteria for Removal Sec. 29.10.0992 & Sec. 29.10.0970	Replacement Tree Quantity & Box Size Recommendation	Appraisal Value of Protected Tree	Notes
321	33.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	55/20	90/40/85	40%-Poor		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$14,404.63	Codominant structure with included bark at approximately 5ft. Multiple codominance again in upper canopy. Recommend smaller stem to be removed.
322	30.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	50/20	90/90/90	90%-Excellent	Photo	Protected			Low	Remove	4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$26,785.47	Trees no. 322-324 are all in generally good condition-typical for healthy species.
323	29.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	50/20	80/90/90	80%-Good		Protected			Low	Retain			\$22,248.47	Trees no. 322-324 are all in generally good condition-typical for healthy species.
324	25.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	55/20	90/90/90	90%-Excellent		Protected			Low	Retain			\$18,601.02	Trees no. 322-324 are all in generally good condition-typical for healthy species.
325	21.00	19.00	40.00	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	50/15	90/50/80	50%-Fair	Photo	Protected			Moderate	Remove	4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$13,260.46	Codominant at 1-2ft.
326	38.50	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	60/15	85/75/85	75%-Good	Photo	Protected			Moderate	Remove	4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$36,761.82	Top of the tree appears to have a small, twin leader that should be corrected with pruning.
327	37.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	60/25	60/85/85	60%-Fair		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$72,002.69	Fair health
328	23.00	na	na	<i>Quercus ilex</i>	holly oak	35/25	85/75/65	65%-Good		Protected			High	Retain			\$11,370.60	Canopy growth is predominantly to the south. Could be related to wind exposure-tree stand alone in the rough..
329	10.50	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	30/10	90/90/90	90%-Excellent	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	4, 10	(2x) 24-in.	\$3,281.22	Young, healthy tree typical for species.
330	29.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	45/30	90/90/90	90%-Excellent		Protected			High	Retain			\$25,029.53	Trees no. 330-334 are a healthy stand of redwoods-understories maintained with mulch. Minor damage to the trunk by irrigation impact on the southwest side.
331	21.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	40/30	90/90/90	90%-Excellent		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$13,124.88	Trees no. 330-334 are a healthy stand of redwoods-understories maintained with mulch.
332	24.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	45/30	90/90/90	90%-Excellent		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$17,142.70	Trees no. 330-334 are a healthy stand of redwoods-understories maintained with mulch.
333	30.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	55/30	90/90/90	90%-Excellent		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$26,785.47	Trees no. 330-334 are a healthy stand of redwoods-understories maintained with mulch.
334	30.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	55/30	90/80/90	80%-Good	Photo	Protected			Moderate	Remove	4, 10	(4X) 24-in. or (2x) 36-in.	\$21,428.38	Trees no. 330-334 are a healthy stand of redwoods-understories maintained with mulch. Recommend removal of smaller codominant leader.
335	37.00	na	na	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	red gum	65/50	80/70/45	45%-Fair	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	4, 10	(6x)24-in. or (3x)36-in.	\$25,465.77	Large, squat tree with significan golf ball damage to trunk. Tree is growing primarily to the north with good overall structure and slightly asymmetrical form. Skewed form is due to the recently failed adjace tree, of same size and stature.
336	12.50	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	25/10	95/95/95	95%-Excellent	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	4, 10	(2x) 24-in.	\$4,908.60	Trees no. 336-337 are both young trees with good overall condition-typical species.

La Rinconada Golf Country Club
Tree Inventory and Valuation Appraisal based on 10th Edition *Guide for Plant Appraisal*
Formula: Functional Replacement Method/Trunk Formula Technique

Tree No.	DBH(in.)	DBH Multi(in.)	Combined DBH(in.)	Species Botanical Name (<i>Genus species</i>)	Species Common Name	Canopy Height/Spread(ft.)	Health/Structure/Form Ratings (0-100%)	Overall Condition Ratings (0-100%)	Photo	Protected	Large Protected	Exempt	Expected Impact Level	Remove, Hazard Remove (HR) or Retain Status	Criteria for Removal Sec. 29.10.0992 & Sec. 29.10.0970	Replacement Tree Quantity & Box Size Recommendation	Appraisal Value of Protected Tree	Notes
337	8.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	20/10	95/95/95	95%-Excellent	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	4, 10	(2x) 24-in.	\$1,809.51	Trees no. 336-337 are both young trees with good overall condition-typical species.
338	36.00	na	na	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	red gum	60/20	80/50/55	50%-Fair		Protected			High	Retain			\$26,786.49	Tree has suffered major failures. Canopy has been heavily pruned to reduce heavy end weight and to clean up failed branches.
339	45.00	na	na	<i>Eucalyptus sp.</i>	Eucalyptus	70/40	85/70/85	70%-Good		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$99,404.73	Large, old specimen that has had a recent, large failure in the upper canopy. Grows at the edge of the current cart path.
340	34.00	na	na	<i>Eucalyptus sp.</i>	Eucalyptus	60/40	70/30/40	30%-Poor	Photo	Protected			Moderate	Remove	1, 4, 10	(4X) 24-in. or (2x) 36-in.	\$27,359.97	Structure is severely compromised, due to large failures at approximately 30ft. Possibly <i>E. cephalocarpa</i> -Stringy bark
341	22.00	na	na	<i>Pinus canariensis</i>	Canary Island pine	60/20	90/90/90	90%-Excellent		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$20,257.28	Good looking pine tree growing next to the bunkhouse
342	20.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	50/20	85/85/85	85%-Excellent		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$11,243.28	Tree no. 342-351 are all a healthy looking trees growing around the pumphouse. They appear consistently healthy, with good ostructure and form. Understory is maintained with mulch.
343	18.50	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	50/20	85/85/85	85%-Excellent		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$9,620.03	Tree no. 342-351 are all a healthy looking trees growing around the pumphouse. They appear consistently healthy, with good ostructure and form. Understory is maintained with mulch.
344	19.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	50/20	85/85/85	85%-Excellent		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$10,147.06	Tree no. 342-351 are all a healthy looking trees growing around the pumphouse. They appear consistently healthy, with good ostructure and form. Understory is maintained with mulch.
345	17.50	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	50/20	85/85/85	85%-Excellent		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$8,608.14	Tree no. 342-351 are all a healthy looking trees growing around the pumphouse. They appear consistently healthy, with good ostructure and form. Understory is maintained with mulch.
346	30.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	60/30	70/85/85	70%-Good		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$18,749.83	Tree no. 342-351 are all a healthy looking trees growing around the pumphouse. They appear consistently healthy, with good ostructure and form. Understory is maintained with mulch.
347	13.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	35/15	85/85/85	85%-Excellent		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$4,750.29	Tree no. 342-351 are all a healthy looking trees growing around the pumphouse. They appear consistently healthy, with good ostructure and form. Understory is maintained with mulch.
348	19.50	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	40/15	85/85/85	85%-Excellent		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$10,688.15	Tree no. 342-351 are all a healthy looking trees growing around the pumphouse. They appear consistently healthy, with good ostructure and form. Understory is maintained with mulch.
349	11.50	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	30/10	85/85/85	85%-Excellent		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$3,345.58	Tree no. 342-351 are all a healthy looking trees growing around the pumphouse. They appear consistently healthy, with good ostructure and form. Understory is maintained with mulch.
350	15.50	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	40/15	85/85/85	85%-Excellent		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$6,077.70	Tree no. 342-351 are all a healthy looking trees growing around the pumphouse. They appear consistently healthy, with good ostructure and form. Understory is maintained with mulch.
351	16.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	40/15	85/85/85	85%-Excellent		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$6,476.13	Tree no. 342-351 are all a healthy looking trees growing around the pumphouse. They appear consistently healthy, with good ostructure and form. Understory is maintained with mulch.
352	26.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	55/20	85/85/85	85%-Excellent	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$19,001.15	Tree stands alone and has small codominant tip which can be corrected with pruning.

La Rinconada Golf Country Club
Tree Inventory and Valuation Appraisal based on 10th Edition *Guide for Plant Appraisal*
Formula: Functional Replacement Method/Trunk Formula Technique

Tree No.	DBH(in.)	DBH Multi(in.)	Combined DBH(in.)	Species Botanical Name (<i>Genus species</i>)	Species Common Name	Canopy Height/Spread(ft.)	Health/Structure/Form Ratings (0-100%)	Overall Condition Ratings (0-100%)	Photo	Protected	Large Protected	Exempt	Expected Impact Level	Remove, Hazard Remove (HR) or Retain Status	Criteria for Removal Sec. 29.10.0992 & Sec. 29.10.0970	Replacement Tree Quantity & Box Size Recommendation	Appraisal Value of Protected Tree	Notes
353	16.00	na	na	<i>Fraxinus uhdei</i>	Shamel ash	45/30	80/80/80	80%-Good	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	4, 10	(4X) 24-in. or (2x) 36-in.	\$5,079.32	Young, healthy tree with good structure and form.
354	17.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	45/15	90/90/90	90%-Excellent	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$7,741.00	Young healthy tree, typical for species. Canopy has been raised for clearance.
355	37.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	55/15	70/60/60	60%-Fair	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$24,446.21	Large tree with a mounding base and large amount of basal sprouts. Tree has grown to the southeast on a lean and then self corrected. There is minimal foliage on the east side, indicative of being surrounded by a larger tree that has since failed or been removed.
356	27.00	na	na	<i>Pinus canariensis</i>	Canary Island pine	50/25	90/90/90	90%-Excellent	Photo	Protected			Moderate	Remove	4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$23,731.15	Growing too close to cart path and concrete is already cracking.
357	35.50	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	50/20	70/90/90	70%-Good	Photo	Protected			Moderate	Remove	4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$26,254.97	Tree no. 357 and 358 both have thin canopies and are growing next to the cart path.
358	30.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	40/20	60/90/90	60%-Fair	Photo	Protected			Moderate	Remove	4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$16,071.28	Tree no. 357 and 358 both have thin canopies and are growing next to the cart path.
359	19.00	na	na	<i>Fraxinus uhdei</i>	Shamel ash	35/30	80/80/80	80%-Good		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$7,162.63	Tree no. 359 has mechanical damage at the base, on the northeast side. Overall a normal, healthy appearing tree.
360	22.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	45/20	90/90/90	90%-Excellent		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$12,964.17	Nice, young tree with overall condition typical of healthy species. Grows close to the cart path.
361	46.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	40/25	90/80/90	80%-Good		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$50,380.49	Tree no. 361 appears to have lost the top of its canopy. No significant change to the overall structure and form, however, it needs to be maintained. Should monitor if not removed-could make a good habitat tree for large predatory birds such as an owls.
362	25.00	na	na	<i>Pinus canariensis</i>	Canary Island pine	50/25	90/90/90	90%-Excellent	Photo	Protected			Low	Remove	4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$20,345.64	Tree no. 362 is a nice looking tree with a slight natural lean to the southeast.
363	47.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	60/30	90/90/90	90%-Excellent	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	4, 10	(4X) 24-in. or (2x) 36-in.	\$59,169.10	Tree no. 363 grows at the end of a grove, across from clubhouse and appears to be in good condition.
364	33.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	60/15	85/85/85	85%-Excellent	Photo	Protected			Moderate	Remove	4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$30,609.84	Tree no. 364 appears to be good overall condition. Grows between edge of grove and sand trap, across from the clubhouse.
365	40.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	60/25	85/85/85	85%-Excellent	Photo	Protected			Moderate	Remove	4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$44,973.13	Tree no. 365 appears to be good overall condition and grows between edge of grove and sand trap, across from the clubhouse.
366	38.50	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	60/40	90/90/90	90%-Excellent	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	4, 10	(4X) 24-in. or (2x) 36-in.	\$44,114.18	Tree no. 366 appears very healthy, growing towards the bottom of the slope. Tree stands alone, away from grove-has a slight lean to the South due to wind.
367	27.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	55/20	60/90/90	60%-Fair	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$14,464.15	Trees no. 367-374 are all growing in a grove close to the clubhouse. The ground below the canopies have been maintained with mulch. This particular tree, no. 367 has a thinner canopy than others in the stand.
368	43.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	55/20	70/85/90	70%-Good	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$42,800.54	Trees no. 367-374 are all growing in a grove close to the clubhouse. The ground below the canopies have been maintained with mulch.

La Rinconada Golf Country Club
Tree Inventory and Valuation Appraisal based on 10th Edition *Guide for Plant Appraisal*
Formula: Functional Replacement Method/Trunk Formula Technique

Tree No.	DBH(in.)	DBH Multi(in.)	Combined DBH(in.)	Species Botanical Name (<i>Genus species</i>)	Species Common Name	Canopy Height/Spread(ft.)	Health/Structure/Form Ratings (0-100%)	Overall Condition Ratings (0-100%)	Photo	Protected	Large Protected	Exempt	Expected Impact Level	Remove, Hazard Remove (HR) or Retain Status	Criteria for Removal Sec. 29.10.0992 & Sec. 29.10.0970	Replacement Tree Quantity & Box Size Recommendation	Appraisal Value of Protected Tree	Notes
369	19.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	30/20	85/75/85	75%-Good	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$8,953.29	Trees no. 367-374 are all growing in a grove close to the clubhouse. The ground below the canopies have been maintained with mulch.
370	28.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	55/15	85/85/85	85%-Excellent	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$22,036.84	Trees no. 367-374 are all growing in a grove close to the clubhouse. The ground below the canopies have been maintained with mulch. Top of this tree leans over to the west, whole tree is slightly twisted.
371	21.50	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	40/15	85/70/85	70%-Good	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$10,700.13	Trees no. 367-374 are all growing in a grove close to the clubhouse. The ground below the canopies have been maintained with mulch.
372	29.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	50/15	85/85/85	85%-Excellent	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$23,639.00	Trees no. 367-374 are all growing in a grove close to the clubhouse. The ground below the canopies have been maintained with mulch.
373	38.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	45/15	85/75/85	75%-Good	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$35,813.17	Trees no. 367-374 are all growing in a grove close to the clubhouse. The ground below the canopies have been maintained with mulch. Tree appears to have lost the top and regrown. Lost top does not appear to be affecting the structure at this time, but could be corrected with pruning.
374	37.50	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	55/15	85/85/85	85%-Excellent	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$39,527.17	Trees no. 367-374 are all growing in a grove close to the clubhouse. The ground below the canopies have been maintained with mulch.
375	45.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	36/25	80/60/50	50%-Fair	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$26,785.47	Trees no. 375 grows close to the cart path and has lost the top of the canopy. Remaining portion of the tree appears healthy.
376	23.00	18.00	41.00	<i>Quercus agrifolia</i>	coast live oak	35/40	80/70/80	70%-Good			Large Protected		Low	Retain			\$27,767.73	Tree no. 376 is located on the corner of the main entrance and cart path leading to #10. Trunk is somewhat buried and structure is codominant from 1-2ft. with a third stem previously removed. A visible external seem in the trunk bark may be indicative of bark inclusion or internal cracking. In general, the tree appears to be well maintained with good unions.
377	11.50	7.00	18.50	<i>Olea europaea</i>	olive	30/20	80/50/50	50%-Fair		Protected			Low	Retain			\$4,214.45	Trees no. 377-385 All grow in a grove located between #18 green and the main driveway. All appear to be the same age and have been heavily pruned. Vigor appears good, form compromised by pruning and past branch failures. All trunk bases are bulbous and gnarled in appearance.
378	11.50	na	na	<i>Olea europaea</i>	olive	30/20	80/30/25	25%-Poor		Protected			Low	Retain			\$1,537.55	Trees no. 377-385 All grow in a grove located between #18 green and the main driveway. All appear to be the same age and have been heavily pruned. Vigor appears good, form compromised by pruning and past branch failures. All trunk bases are bulbous and gnarled in appearance. This particular tree grows on a heavy lean, one half of the tree has failed and been removed at 3ft.
379	12.50	9.50	22.00	<i>Olea europaea</i>	olive	30/20	80/50/50	50%-Fair		Protected			Low	Retain			\$5,731.66	Trees no. 377-385 All grow in a grove located between #18 green and the main driveway. All appear to be the same age and have been heavily pruned. Vigor appears good, form compromised by pruning and past branch failures. All trunk bases are bulbous and gnarled in appearance.
380	11.40	na	na	<i>Olea europaea</i>	olive	30/20	80/50/50	50%-Fair		Protected			Low	Retain			\$3,021.85	Trees no. 377-385 All grow in a grove located between #18 green and the main driveway. All appear to be the same age and have been heavily pruned. Vigor appears good, form compromised by pruning and past branch failures. All trunk bases are bulbous and gnarled in appearance.
381	11.70	8.50	20.20	<i>Olea europaea</i>	olive	30/25	80/50/50	50%-Fair		Protected			Low	Retain			\$4,862.96	Trees no. 377-385 All grow in a grove located between #18 green and the main driveway. All appear to be the same age and have been heavily pruned. Vigor appears good, form compromised by pruning and past branch failures. All trunk bases are bulbous and gnarled in appearance.
382	17.50	na	na	<i>Olea europaea</i>	olive	30/20	80/50/50	50%-Fair	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$7,120.97	Trees no. 377-385 All grow in a grove located between #18 green and the main driveway. All appear to be the same age and have been heavily pruned. Vigor appears good, form compromised by pruning and past branch failures. All trunk bases are bulbous and gnarled in appearance.
383	22.00	na	na	<i>Olea europaea</i>	olive	30/15	85/25/20	20%-Very Poor	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	1, 4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$4,501.62	Trees no. 377-385 All grow in a grove located between #18 green and the main driveway. All appear to be the same age and have been heavily pruned. Vigor appears good, form compromised by pruning and past branch failures. All trunk bases are bulbous and gnarled in appearance.
384	15.00	12.00	27.00	<i>Olea europaea</i>	olive	30/10	80/50/50	50%-Fair		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$8,580.05	Trees no. 377-385 All grow in a grove located between #18 green and the main driveway. All appear to be the same age and have been heavily pruned. Vigor appears good, form compromised by pruning and past branch failures. All trunk bases are bulbous and gnarled in appearance.

La Rinconada Golf Country Club

Tree Inventory and Valuation Appraisal based on 10th Edition *Guide for Plant Appraisal*

Formula: Functional Replacement Method/Trunk Formula Technique

Tree No.	DBH(in.)	DBH Multi(in.)	Combined DBH(in.)	Species Botanical Name (<i>Genus species</i>)	Species Common Name	Canopy Height/Spread(ft.)	Health/Structure/Form Ratings (0-100%)	Overall Condition Ratings (0-100%)	Photo	Protected	Large Protected	Exempt	Expected Impact Level	Remove, Hazard Remove (HR) or Retain Status	Criteria for Removal Sec. 29.10.0992 & Sec. 29.10.0970	Replacement Tree Quantity & Box Size Recommendation	Appraisal Value of Protected Tree	Notes
385	11.00	11, 9 & 6	37.00	<i>Olea europaea</i>	olive	30/20	80/50/50	50%-Fair	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$8,347.53	Trees no. 377-385 All grow in a grove located between #18 green and the main driveway. All appear to be the same age and have been heavily pruned. Vigor appears good, form compromised by pruning and past branch failures. All trunk bases are bulbous and gnarled in appearance.
386	37.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	60/25	80/90/90	80%-Good		Protected			High	Retain			\$32,594.94	Tree no. 386 is a redwood growing on the corner of the cart path. Overall condition is good, typical of healthy species.
387	26.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	60/20	80/90/50	50%-Fair	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$10,059.43	Trees no. 387-388 have asymmetrical form due to competition with adjacent ash trees.
388	24.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	60/15	80/90/50	50%-Fair	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$8,571.35	Trees no. 387-388 have asymmetrical form due to competition with adjacent ash trees.
389	32.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	40/25	80/30/30	30%-Poor	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	1, 4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$9,142.77	Tree no. 389 has lost the top at approx. 30ft.
390	29.50	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	60/25	70/80/90	70%-Good	Photo	Protected			Moderate	Remove	4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$18,130.04	Tree no. 390 has sparse canopy, and small codominant top forming at the tip. Trunk has evidence of irrigation damage.
391	39.00	na	na	<i>Fraxinus uhdei</i>	Shamel ash	65/60	80/50/70	50%-Fair		Protected			High	Retain			\$18,861.44	Tree no. 391 is a large sprawling tree growing in a small grove. There is a high amount of root damage on the east side, next to the car path. The lower canopy has a large amount of deadwood and the upper canopy has included unions starting at 18ft. Recommend pruning if tree is to be retained.
392	43.50	na	na	<i>Fraxinus uhdei</i>	Shamel ash	65/60	80/10/40	10%-Very Poor	Photo	Protected			Moderate	Remove	1, 4, 10	(10x) 24-in. or (5x) 36-in.	\$4,693.04	Tree no. 392 is actively splitting between 6-12ft. Hazardous- Recommend removal
393	43.00	na	na	<i>Fraxinus uhdei</i>	Shamel ash	65/60	80/30/30	30%-Poor		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$13,757.32	Tree no. 393 recently impacted by an adjacent, large tree failure. Failure has compromised the canopy, snapping several scaffold limbs and central leader, leaving the overall form asymmetrical.
394	18.50	na	na	<i>Fraxinus velutina</i>	Modesto ash	30/20	50/10/10	10%-Very Poor	Photo	Protected			Moderate	Remove	1, 4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$990.30	Tree has failed and is half dead with a large wound at approximately 5ft. The canopy is swamped by larger, adjacent trees. Overall poor specimen, recommend removal.
395	22.00	na	na	<i>Fraxinus velutina</i>	Modesto ash	40/20	40/10/50	10%-Very Poor	Photo	Protected			Low	Remove	1, 4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$1,400.45	Tree has several scaffold limb failures with a young tree growing in the hollow center of the trunk (at point of past failure). The structure is severely compromised by previous failures, and subsequent decay. Mistletoe visible in canopy.
396	26.00	na	na	<i>Fraxinus uhdei</i>	Shamel ash	60/50	70/40/70	10%-Very Poor		Protected			Low	Retain			\$1,676.57	Consecutive, large branch removals on the southeast side of the trunk, between 6-10ft. There are three main scaffold limbs at approximately 15ft. Overall, the structures is compromised. Required pruning if retained.
397	18.50	na	na	<i>Fraxinus velutina</i>	Modesto ash	46/25	60/40/40	40%-Poor	Photo	Protected			Moderate	Remove	1, 4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$3,961.19	Asymmetrical form due to competition from larger ash trees. At the time of inspection, there were visible fungal fruiting bodies (possibly Ganoderma sp.), in the upper canopy. The fungus is located on the southside, in a scaffold limb, at approximately 12ft. Depressed lesions are also visible on the main trunk. There is a deep bark seem on the east side, from ground level to 2ft., indicative of internal decay. Woodpecker holes, and mistletoe are also present in canopy.
398	36.50	na	na	<i>Fraxinus uhdei</i>	Shamel ash	60/50	80/20/20	20%-Very Poor	Photo	Protected			Low	HR	1	(6x)24-in. or (3x)36-in.	\$6,608.32	Tree no. 398 has had 1/3 of the whole tree fail on the east side, from ground to 7ft. The remaining canopy is codominant with inclusions at approximately 12 -15ft. The form is very asymmetrical-recommend removal.
399	45.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	60/25	90/90/90	90%-Excellent	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$60,267.31	This is a large specimen with bulbous growths at the base. Overall tree appears to be in good health.
400	41.00	23 & 18	82.00	<i>Quercus agrifolia</i>	coast live oak	40/60	90/80/90	80%-Good		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$89,036.14	Tree no. 400 is a large, lush, old oak tree. It has multiple trunks from base to 8ft., with fair union. Overall condition is typical for mature species.

La Rinconada Golf Country Club
Tree Inventory and Valuation Appraisal based on 10th Edition *Guide for Plant Appraisal*
Formula: Functional Replacement Method/Trunk Formula Technique

Tree No.	DBH(in.)	DBH Multi(in.)	Combined DBH(in.)	Species Botanical Name (<i>Genus species</i>)	Species Common Name	Canopy Height/Spread(ft.)	Health/Structure/Form Ratings (0-100%)	Overall Condition Ratings (0-100%)	Photo	Protected	Large Protected	Exempt	Expected Impact Level	Remove, Hazard Remove (HR) or Retain Status	Criteria for Removal Sec. 29.10.0992 & Sec. 29.10.0970	Replacement Tree Quantity & Box Size Recommendation	Appraisal Value of Protected Tree	Notes
401	47.50	na	na	<i>Quercus douglasii</i>	blue oak	55/40	50/40/50	40%-Poor			Large Protected		Moderate	Retain			\$63,289.43	Large, sprawling mature tree with multiple failures, growing next to cart path. The form is asymmetrical due to age and limb drop and the upper canopy has been speckled with woodpecker hollows on the under sides of the scaffold limbs.
402	43.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	60/40	90/90/90	90%-Excellent		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$49,526.33	Healthy, mature specimen.
403	24.00	na	na	<i>Calocedrus decurrens</i>	Incense cedar	40/15	65/60/50	50%-Fair	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$13,393.24	Tree no. 403 stands alone in a lawn area, has a sweeping lean to the south, that has self corrected. Significant amount of small deadwood.
404	40.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	45/35	90/50/70	50%-Fair	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	4, 10	(4X) 24-in. or (2x) 36-in.	\$26,454.79	Top of tree no. 404 appears to have been lost and regrown. The lower scaffold limbs appear to be lush, green and dense. Overall tree appears healthy.
405	47.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	65/35	80/90/70	70%-Good	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	4, 10	(4X) 24-in. or (2x) 36-in.	\$51,133.79	Tree no. 405 is a large tree with thinning canopy, likely due to branch failures on the south side. It is also next to a cart path that was repaired. Cart path damage was likely caused by large eucalyptus that failed during storm in recent years.
406	26.00	na	na	<i>Quercus agrifolia</i>	coast live oak	40/50	60/30/80	30%-Poor			Large Protected		Low	Retain			\$10,478.97	Tree is located on a slope, close to the pond by the pool house. There is decay evident from 3ft up to approximately 7ft. where the union of 3 main trunks originate. Borer activity noted on lower trunk.
407	32.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	60/20	70/70/90	70%-Good	Photo	Protected			Low	Remove	4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$23,703.49	Foliage appears slightly thin. Top of tree, at approximately 70ft, has a small codominant leader.
408	40.00	na	na	<i>Quercus douglasii</i>	blue oak	40/65	90/90/95	90%-Excellent			Large Protected		Low	Retain			\$126,228.23	Large, sprawling, mature tree growing in lawn area on slope. A majority of the foliage is concentrated towards the ends of the branches. Owl box is located on canopy scaffold limb. There are multiple unions with palm and privet volunteers sprouting inside. The growth of foreign material typically indicates a hollow or dead area with soil buildup and moisture.
409	43.50	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	55/30	70/70/70	70%-Good	Photo	Protected			Moderate	Remove	4, 10	(4X) 24-in. or (2x) 36-in.	\$43,801.68	Tree has multiple tops, in upper canopy, Overall form is slightly asymmetrical.
410	30.50	na	na	<i>Quercus agrifolia</i>	coast live oak	40/40	85/70/65	65%-Good			Large Protected		Low	Retain			\$31,243.80	Codominant at approximately 8ft. with included bark. U+nion is poor, with reaction wood and visible seems in bark-indicative of internal decay and cracking.
411	17.00	17.00	34.00	<i>Schinus molle</i>	California pepper	25/30	75/60/70	60%-Fair		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$17,919.66	Small, squat tree with a twin trunk from ground level-union is fair. Tree is growing beneath canopy of coast live oak. Hollows with associated decay on larger scaffold limbs.
412	17.00	17 & 10.5	44.50	<i>Schinus molle</i>	California pepper	30/40	75/60/70	60%-Fair		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$21,337.73	Tree is typical for species of this age. Codominant structure from ground level. There are several large, scaffold branch failures and associated decay throughout.
413	38.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	60/30	90/90/90	90%-Excellent	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	4, 10	(4X) 24-in. or (2x) 36-in.	\$42,975.80	Good health, structure and form-typical for species.
414	26.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	50/20	80/90/90	80%-Good	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$17,883.43	Good health, structure and form-typical for species.
415	36.50	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	60/30	90/90/90	90%-Excellent	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	4, 10	(4X) 24-in. or (2x) 36-in.	\$39,649.94	Good health, structure and form-typical for species.
416	27.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	50/20	80/80/90	80%-Good		Protected			Low	Retain			\$19,285.54	Multiple tops and thin canopy coverage.

La Rinconada Golf Country Club
Tree Inventory and Valuation Appraisal based on 10th Edition *Guide for Plant Appraisal*
Formula: Functional Replacement Method/Trunk Formula Technique

Tree No.	DBH(in.)	DBH Multi(in.)	Combined DBH(in.)	Species Botanical Name (<i>Genus species</i>)	Species Common Name	Canopy Height/Spread(ft.)	Health/Structure/Form Ratings (0-100%)	Overall Condition Ratings (0-100%)	Photo	Protected	Large Protected	Exempt	Expected Impact Level	Remove, Hazard Remove (HR) or Retain Status	Criteria for Removal Sec. 29.10.0992 & Sec. 29.10.0970	Replacement Tree Quantity & Box Size Recommendation	Appraisal Value of Protected Tree	Notes
417	27.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	45/30	60/80/80	60%-Fair		Protected			Low	Retain			\$14,464.15	The top is missing from main leader and canopy is thin/sparse.
418	17.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	35/10	90/90/90	90%-Excellent	Photo	Protected			Low	Remove	4, 10	(2x) 24-in.	\$8,601.11	Young healthy tree, typical for species. There is a small codominant top that can be corrected with pruning.
419	28.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	50/15	80/80/80	80%-Good	Photo	Protected			Moderate	Remove	4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$20,740.55	Tree has a small codominant top and several branches that have died back or been removed from the main trunk, on the southeast side, at approx. 35ft. Good condition overall.
420	28.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	45/20	85/70/85	70%-Good		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$18,147.98	Tree has a small, codominant, secondary leader at approximately 30ft. and minor irrigation damage to the trunk. There is a void of branches, mid trunk on southeast side.
421	35.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	55/20	60/60/50	50%-Fair	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$20,254.44	Canopy appears thin, large codominant top at approximately 50ft. The form is asymmetrical with majority of growth to the west side.
422	17.50	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	45/10	85/90/85	85%-Excellent	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	4, 10	(2x) 24-in.	\$8,608.14	Young healthy tree, typical for species-good condition overall.
423	28.50	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	50/20	80/90/80	80%-Good	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$21,487.90	Large tree at the end of a group. Tree appears healthy overall. Small amount of broken branches in the lower canopy on the southeast side.
424	17.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	35/20	90/80/70	70%-Good	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$6,020.78	Young, healthy tree. Codominant leader that can be corrected with pruning.
425	12.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	30/15	90/90/90	90%-Excellent	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$3,857.11	Young, healthy tree- typical for species.
426	14.00	na	na	<i>Quercus virginiana</i>	southern live oak	25/25	85/25/60	25%-Poor		Protected			Low	Retain			\$4,295.27	Young tree that appears healthy, growing on a slope, adjacent to the cart path and pond. Lost a main scaffold limb at approx. 10ft., on the north side. A majority of scaffold limbs have included bark and are growing out to the south. Poor structure, yet foliage appears healthy and vigorous.
427	17.00	9, 6 & 4	36.00	<i>Quercus douglasii</i>	blue oak	25/30	70/70/70	70%-Good			Large Protected		Low	Retain			\$25,894.32	Small multi-trunk tree on slope close to pond. Half of the canopy has died back. There are cankers and woodpecker activity noted throughout. The form is good but, structure is somewhat compromised. Could possibly be two different trees.
428	39.00	na	na	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	red gum	50/40	80/40/50	40%-Poor		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$25,149.54	Central leader lost heavy weight on lateral branches. Structure is codominant, could benefit from pruning to prevent lateral branches competing for dominance.
429	24.00	na	na	<i>Fraxinus velutina</i>	Modesto ash	45/40	40/10/50	10%-Very Poor	Photo	Protected			Low	HR	1	(4X) 24-in. or (2x) 36-in.	\$1,904.74	Tree has had multiple branch failures, is full of mistletoe, has large fungal fruiting body in vertical crack on trunk. There are also roots growing inside the cavity left from trunk wound on the east side. This tree is a hazard. Recommend removal.
430	15.00	na	na	<i>Fraxinus velutina</i>	Modesto ash	40/20	20/20/20	20%-Very Poor	Photo	Protected			Low	HR	1	(3x)24-in.	\$1,488.08	Poor health, structure and form.
431	29.00	16 & 14	59.00	<i>Cupressus arizonica</i>	Arizona cypress	40/25	50/40/50	40%-Poor			Large Protected		Low	Retain			\$45,336.97	Codominant structure with included unions between 3-5ft. This is an older tree with broken scaffold limbs throughout. Overall condition is typical of species this age.
432	27.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	60/30	80/90/90	80%-Good		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$17,356.98	Good health, structure and form-typical for species.

La Rinconada Golf Country Club
Tree Inventory and Valuation Appraisal based on 10th Edition *Guide for Plant Appraisal*
Formula: Functional Replacement Method/Trunk Formula Technique

Tree No.	DBH(in.)	DBH Multi(in.)	Combined DBH(in.)	Species Botanical Name (<i>Genus species</i>)	Species Common Name	Canopy Height/Spread(ft.)	Health/Structure/Form Ratings (0-100%)	Overall Condition Ratings (0-100%)	Photo	Protected	Large Protected	Exempt	Expected Impact Level	Remove, Hazard Remove (HR) or Retain Status	Criteria for Removal Sec. 29.10.0992 & Sec. 29.10.0970	Replacement Tree Quantity & Box Size Recommendation	Appraisal Value of Protected Tree	Notes
433	28.00	na	na	<i>Catalpa bignonioides</i>	Southern catalpa	30/30	80/70/70	70%-Good		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$17,014.38	Low, squat shaped tree -topped below power lines. Form is broad due to location and maintenance pruning.
434	31.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	60/30	80/80/90	80%-Good	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	4, 10	(4X) 24-in. or (2x) 36-in.	\$22,880.74	Tree has some tip dieback on the west side. The top has small codominant top that could be corrected.
435	34.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	55/25	80/80/90	80%-Good	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$27,523.56	Good health, structure and form-typical for species.
436	36.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	55/30	85/70/90	70%-Good	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	4, 10	(4X) 24-in. or (2x) 36-in.	\$29,999.73	Codominant leader at approximately 40ft. - Recommend pruning to remove second leader.
437	37.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	55/30	85/90/90	85%-Excellent	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	4, 10	(4X) 24-in. or (2x) 36-in.	\$38,480.14	Healthy tree, good structure and form, typical for species.
438	34.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	55/30	85/90/90	85%-Excellent	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	4, 10	(4X) 24-in. or (2x) 36-in.	\$32,493.09	Healthy tree, good structure and form, typical for species.
439	20.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	40/20	85/80/90	80%-Good	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$10,581.91	Healthy tree, good structure and form, typical for species. Minor, codominant top that could be corrected with pruning.
440	29.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	45/30	90/90/90	90%-Excellent	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	4, 10	(4X) 24-in. or (2x) 36-in.	\$22,526.58	Healthy tree, good structure and form, typical for species.
441	16.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	30/15	90/90/90	90%-Excellent		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$6,857.08	Healthy tree, good structure and form, typical for species.
442	21.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	40/15	90/80/90	80%-Good		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$10,499.90	Healthy tree, good structure and form, typical for species. Small codominant top that could be corrected with pruning.
443	11.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	20/12	90/90/90	90%-Excellent		Protected			Low	Retain			\$3,241.04	Healthy tree, good structure and form, typical for species.
444	8.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	15/12	90/90/90	90%-Excellent		Protected			Low	Retain			\$1,714.27	Trees no. 444-446 are all healthy trees, good structure and form-typical for species. Close to the current ball netting and pond.
445	8.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	15/12	90/90/90	90%-Excellent		Protected			Low	Retain			\$1,714.27	Trees no. 444-446 are healthy trees, with good structure and form, typical for species. Close to the current ball netting and pond.
446	4.30	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	15/12	90/90/90	90%-Excellent		Protected			Low	Retain			\$495.26	Trees no. 444-446 are healthy trees, with good structure and form, typical for species. Close to the current ball netting and pond.
447	18.50	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	30/20	90/90/90	90%-Excellent		Protected			Low	Retain			\$9,167.33	Trees no. 447-450 are all healthy trees with good structure and form. Located on the side of the cart path that leads to the shop.
448	26.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	45/20	90/90/90	90%-Excellent		Protected			Low	Retain			\$18,106.98	Trees no. 447-450 are all healthy trees with good structure and form. Located on the side of the cart path that leads to the shop.

La Rinconada Golf Country Club
Tree Inventory and Valuation Appraisal based on 10th Edition *Guide for Plant Appraisal*
Formula: Functional Replacement Method/Trunk Formula Technique

Tree No.	DBH(in.)	DBH Multi(in.)	Combined DBH(in.)	Species Botanical Name (Genus species)	Species Common Name	Canopy Height/Spread(ft.)	Health/Structure/Form Ratings (0-100%)	Overall Condition Ratings (0-100%)	Photo	Protected	Large Protected	Exempt	Expected Impact Level	Remove, Hazard Remove (HR) or Retain Status	Criteria for Removal Sec. 29.10.0992 & Sec. 29.10.0970	Replacement Tree Quantity & Box Size Recommendation	Appraisal Value of Protected Tree	Notes
449	18.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	35/15	90/90/90	90%-Excellent		Protected			Low	Retain			\$8,678.49	Trees no. 447-450 are all healthy trees with good structure and form. Located on the side of the cart path that leads to the shop.
450	23.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	45/15	90/90/90	90%-Excellent		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$14,169.51	Trees no. 447-450 are all healthy trees with good structure and form. Located on the side of the cart path that leads to the shop.
451	34.00	na	na	<i>Quercus douglasii</i>	blue oak	40/30	60/50/50	50%-Fair			Large Protected		Low	Retain			\$45,599.95	Half of the canopy on the west side has been removed, over residence. There is a large hollow in the main trunk that has developed. The canopy is very asymmetrical with ABC lines (aerial bundle cables) that run through scaffold branches.
452	9.50	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	15/10	90/90/90	90%-Excellent		Protected			Low	Retain			\$2,417.39	Trees no. 452-455 are all young trees with good health, structure and form-typical for healthy species.
453	6.70	na	na	<i>Crataegus laevigata</i>	hawthorn	10/10	90/90/90	90%-Excellent	Photo	Protected			Low	Remove	4, 10	(2x) 24-in.	\$3,416.09	Trees no. 452-455 are all young trees with good health, structure and form-typical for healthy species.
454	11.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	15/10	90/90/90	90%-Excellent		Protected			Low	Retain			\$3,241.04	Trees no. 452-455 are all young trees with good health, structure and form-typical for healthy species.
455	11.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	15/10	90/90/90	90%-Excellent		Protected			Low	Retain			\$3,241.04	Trees no. 452-455 are all young trees with good health, structure and form-typical for healthy species.
456	42.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	75/30	60/80/85	60%-Fair		Protected			High	Retain			\$31,499.71	Tree no. 456 has a sparse canopy with small codominant top forming that could be corrected with pruning.
457	46.00	na	na	<i>Eucalyptus globulus</i>	Eucalyptus	60/35	80/30/50	30%-Poor		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$50,081.05	Several large scaffold limbs and central leader have been lost. Structure is codominant with included bark at approximately 15ft. This is a large tree with lateral limbs that are becoming dominant. Possibly <i>E. obliqua</i> -messmate
458	32.00	na	na	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	red gum	80/35	80/80/80	80%-Good		Protected			Low	Retain			\$38,096.34	Large tree with a couple of large scaffold limbs that have snapped out and significant golf ball damage to the trunk on the north side. Overall, a nice looking tree.
459	30.50	na	na	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	red gum	45/25	60/10/10	10%-Very Poor	Photo	Protected			Moderate	Remove	1, 4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$4,326.06	Large amount of decay in the trunk. Every major scaffold limb appears to have failed at some point. Canopy consists of sucker growth only. Recommend removal.
460	36.00	na	na	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	red gum	50/35	60/60/60	60%-Fair		Protected			Low	Retain			\$36,161.76	Tree no. 460 Twin trunks at approximately 8ft. with fair union. Large scaffold limbs towards top have failed. Large amount of epicormic growth, giving a shaggy appearance to the canopy.
461	27.00	na	na	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	red gum	50/25	60/40/60	40%-Poor	Photo	Protected			Moderate	Remove	1, 4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$12,053.92	Large single trunk tree, growing next to the cart path. Multiple, large, scaffold limb failures, deadwood and sucker growth present. Significant golf ball damage and decay on the trunk.
462	21.00	na	na	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	red gum	50/15	50/40/50	40%-Poor				Exempt	Low	Retain			\$7,291.88	Large sized deadwood, half the canopy has failed, leaving only half of a tree. Could benefit from structural pruning if tree is to be retained. Tree is under the size requirement for removal permit.
463	26.50	na	na	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	red gum	50/35	50/30/10	10%-Very Poor		Protected			Low	Retain			\$2,902.90	Poor structure, major scaffold limb failures and lateral broken branche. Canopy has a large amount of dead wood and sucker growth. Form is asymetrical, tree is overshadowed by adjacent tree.
464	48.00	na	na	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	red gum	50/40	80/50/50	50%-Fair	Photo		Large Protected		Low	Remove	1, 4, 10	(4X) 24-in. or (2x) 36-in.	\$47,620.42	Significant amount of golf ball damage to the trunk. Twin leaders with large scaffold limb failures throughout the canopy-form is asymmetrical.

La Rinconada Golf Country Club
Tree Inventory and Valuation Appraisal based on 10th Edition *Guide for Plant Appraisal*
Formula: Functional Replacement Method/Trunk Formula Technique

Tree No.	DBH(in.)	DBH Multi(in.)	Combined DBH(in.)	Species Botanical Name (Genus species)	Species Common Name	Canopy Height/Spread(ft.)	Health/Structure/Form Ratings (0-100%)	Overall Condition Ratings (0-100%)	Photo	Protected	Large Protected	Exempt	Expected Impact Level	Remove, Hazard Remove (HR) or Retain Status	Criteria for Removal Sec. 29.10.0992 & Sec. 29.10.0970	Replacement Tree Quantity & Box Size Recommendation	Appraisal Value of Protected Tree	Notes
465	22.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	50/15	80/90/70	70%-Good	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$10,083.24	Thin form with downward growth.
466	21.00	20, 19 & 13	73.00	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	red gum	55/40	50/30/30	30%-Poor			Large Protected		Low	Retain			\$17,001.98	Multi trunk tree with bark inclusions and dieback in canopy. Small central leader that has snapped off-Poor specimen.
467	18.00	na	na	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	red gum	45/10	50/60/50	50%-Fair				Exempt	Low	Retain			\$6,696.62	Large scaffold limb failures throughout. Overall form is tall and thin due to competition from surrounding trees. Tree is under the size requirement for removal permit.
468	19.00	10.00	29.00	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	red gum	40/25	60/50/50	50%-Fair		Protected			Low	Retain			\$9,528.22	Codominant from ground level. Large scaffold limb failures towards top of tree. Form is asymmetrical due to competition with adjacent trees.
469	28.00	na	na	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	red gum	50/40	70/80/70	70%-Good		Protected			Low	Retain			\$25,521.57	Large tree with good branch unions. It has a high amount of dead wood in the canopy and could benefit from pruning to remove it and improve structure.
470	32.00	na	na	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	red gum	40/40	30/80/80	30%-Poor		Protected			Low	Retain			\$12,698.78	This tree has large scaffold limbs and sparse foliage. Form and structure are good but significant amount of dead limbs throughout.
471	37.00	na	na	<i>Quercus douglasii</i>	blue oak	50/40	10/50/50	10%-Very Poor	Photo				Moderate	HR	1	(4X) 24-in. or (2x) 36-in.	\$9,600.36	Large, old tree located in the center of the fairway. Canopy shows signs of severe decline, likely receiving too much water (blue oaks like soils to dry out). Superintendent mentioned use of growth regulator around this tree-may be the reason for the recent flush of epicormic sprouts.
472	38.00	na	na	<i>Quercus lobata</i>	valley oak	40/40	85/85/75	75%-Good			Large Protected		Moderate	Retain			\$94,934.15	Large sprawling tree, good overall condition. Appears to have an active beehive in hollow on the east side, scaffold limb. There is also a large hollow on the main trunk, south side. Form is very asymmetrical due to pruning.
473	34.00	na	na	<i>Quercus lobata</i>	valley oak	25/40	90/90/90	90%-Excellent			Large Protected		Low	Retain			\$72,959.92	Small stature tree, low and sprawling in its form. Overhangs cart path leading to the maintenance shop-at very edge of path.
474	36.00	40.00	76.00	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	red gum	60/25	80/60/60	60%-Fair			Large Protected		Low	Retain			\$71,827.47	Large, twin trunk tree at the edge of the cart path. Codominant and included from 1-4ft. Canopy is very asymmetrical.
475	53.00	na	na	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	red gum	50/20	70/40/50	40%-Poor			Large Protected		Low	Retain			\$46,446.45	Tree has multiple large branch failures throughout, including the whole top of tree-structure is compromised.
476	37.00	na	na	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	red gum	60/25	70/25/30	25%-Poor		Protected			Low	Retain			\$14,147.65	Main stem failure on the west side at approximately 40ft. A secondary leader has taken over apical dominance. Growing towards the east.
477	43.00	na	na	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	red gum	55/30	80/80/80	80%-Good		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$61,145.95	Large tree that survived storms were adjacent trees have failed. Evidence of end weight reduction throughout-Form and structure are both good.
478	73.00	na	na	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	red gum	60/25	40/10/40	10%-Very Poor			Large Protected		Low	Retain			\$19,275.01	Tree with multiple large failures at the base and in upper canopy. More than half the tree has failed from ground level leaving a small scaffold branches damaged throughout. Likely a result of adjacent tree failures in recent years storms.
479	30.00	na	na	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	red gum	45/30	80/80/80	80%-Good		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$29,762.76	Good looking tree that has lost a couple of large scaffold limbs. Other than that, the tree appears to be healthy, with good structure and form. Typical of species left alone.
480	50.00	na	na	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	red gum	55/30	50/50/70	50%-Fair	Photo		Large Protected		High	Remove	4, 10	(4X) 24-in. or (2x) 36-in.	\$51,671.47	Codominant top at approx. 5ft., with large sized deadwood, branch failures throughout and a notable scaffold failure on the west side. Overall the foliage appears sparse.

La Rinconada Golf Country Club
Tree Inventory and Valuation Appraisal based on 10th Edition *Guide for Plant Appraisal*
Formula: Functional Replacement Method/Trunk Formula Technique

Tree No.	DBH(in.)	DBH Multi(in.)	Combined DBH(in.)	Species Botanical Name (<i>Genus species</i>)	Species Common Name	Canopy Height/Spread(ft.)	Health/Structure/Form Ratings (0-100%)	Overall Condition Ratings (0-100%)	Photo	Protected	Large Protected	Exempt	Expected Impact Level	Remove, Hazard Remove (HR) or Retain Status	Criteria for Removal Sec. 29.10.0992 & Sec. 29.10.0970	Replacement Tree Quantity & Box Size Recommendation	Appraisal Value of Protected Tree	Notes
481	72.50	na	na	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	red gum	70/40	80/70/60	60%-Fair		Large Protected			High	Retain			\$146,663.00	Triple trunk tree at one time-third leader removed on north side. Now codominant at approximately 5ft., trunk to the west has suffered major losses in the upper canopy.
482	17.50	na	na	<i>Calocedrus decurrens</i>	Incense cedar	35/10	40/85/85	40%-Poor	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	1, 4, 10	(2x) 24-in.	\$6,329.75	Single trunk tree that appears to be declining, large amount of deadwood throughout - could be related to too much water. Poor specimen overall.
483	32.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	60/30	80/90/90	80%-Good		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$27,089.70	Healthy tree, good structure and form-typical for species.
484	19.50	18.50	38.00	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	red gum	40/30	70/80/80	70%-Good		Protected			Low	Retain			\$20,906.28	The tree has twin trunks, starting 2ft., from ground level-union appears good. Significant golf ball damage to the trunk.
485	28.00	na	na	<i>Fraxinus uhdei</i>	Shamel ash	45/40	20/30/30	20%-Very Poor		Protected			Low	Retain			\$1,607.13	Tree appears to be in decline. Multi trunk tree with branch unions starting at 7ft.-unions appear to have included bark with a dead center in canopy.
486	27.00	na	na	<i>Fraxinus velutina</i>	Modesto ash	35/20	10/10/10	10%-Very Poor		Protected			Low	Retain			\$2,410.69	Very poor condition, codominant with included bark. Decay and hollows from previous large failures at main union. Codominant union with included bark. Cracking visible in main trunk with a third stem removed at 4ft.
487	16.00	15 & 11	42.00	<i>Rhus lancea</i>	African sumac	25/30	80/40/60	40%-Poor		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$21,108.17	Low, squat tree with a high amount of water sprout/sucker growth. Tree has been heavily pruned for clearance over the course.
488	37.00	na	na	<i>Fraxinus uhdei</i>	Shamel ash	55/40	80/80/80	80%-Good		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$27,162.45	Large tree with codominant leaders and included bark. Roots are growing out of the main trunk several feet above soil grade. Overall, tree appears healthy.
489	32.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	60/25	80/90/90	80%-Good		Protected			Low	Retain			\$24,380.73	Single trunk tree that appears healthy with good structure and form.
490	27.00	na	na	<i>Fraxinus velutina</i>	Modesto ash	40/25	06/06/06	06%-Very Poor	Photo	Protected			Low	HR	1	(3x)24-in.	\$1,446.42	Poor condition overall. Hollows, decay, laminated scaffold limbs and mistletoe. Fungal fruiting body present in between inclusion on the west side. Recommend removal.
491	13.50	na	na	<i>Pinus silvestris</i>	Scotch pine	30/20	60/20/20	20%-Very Poor		Protected			Low	Retain			\$3,195.15	Tree lays on the ground with two lateral limbs taking over apical dominance. Decay forming on top of main trunk lying on the ground. Branches have been repeatedly cut back to provide clearance.
492	32.00	na	na	<i>Casurina sp.</i>	Casurina	50/25	40/60/50	40%-Poor		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$19,048.17	Trifurcated and included at approximately 10ft. This tree has been heavily pruned to remove branches to the east over the course. Tip dieback and sucker grow throughout.
493	25.50	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	50/20	80/80/90	80%-Good	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$17,202.22	Tree grows above the sand bunker. Good health, strucure and form.
494	27.50	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	45/20	85/85/80	80%-Good	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$20,006.43	Good health and structure,form is slightly asymmetrical due to competition with neighboring Eucalyptus.
495	45.00	na	na	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	red gum	60/30	85/60/50	50%-Fair		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$47,085.62	Codominant structure with included bark at approximately 20-22ft. Lost several large scaffold limbs on the wet side, causing tree form to be asymmetrical.
496	22.00	na	na	<i>Eucalyptus viminalis</i>	manna gum	50/20	85/85/80	80%-Good	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$20,007.19	Tree has good health, form, and structure-overall good condition.

La Rinconada Golf Country Club

Tree Inventory and Valuation Appraisal based on 10th Edition *Guide for Plant Appraisal*

Formula: Functional Replacement Method/Trunk Formula Technique

Tree No.	DBH(in.)	DBH Multi(in.)	Combined DBH(in.)	Species Botanical Name (<i>Genus species</i>)	Species Common Name	Canopy Height/Spread(ft.)	Health/Structure/Form Ratings (0-100%)	Overall Condition Ratings (0-100%)	Photo	Protected	Large Protected	Exempt	Expected Impact Level	Remove, Hazard Remove (HR) or Retain Status	Criteria for Removal Sec. 29.10.0992 & Sec. 29.10.0970	Replacement Tree Quantity & Box Size Recommendation	Appraisal Value of Protected Tree	Notes
497	20.50	na	na	<i>Eucalyptus viminalis</i>	manna gum	50/20	80/60/50	50%-Fair	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$10,857.47	Thin and spindly canopy, lost some larger scaffold limbs on the west side.
498	17.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	35/15	95/95/95	95%-Excellent		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$7,263.16	Young, healthy tree typical for species growing in confined space.
499	28.50	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	50/15	60/90/90	60%-Fair		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$14,504.33	Canopy is sparse and has been raised to approximately 20ft. Small decay spot at the base on the east side.
500	29.50	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	50/20	90/90/90	90%-Excellent		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$20,720.05	Trees no. 500-508 are all located in stand along the fencelineat the back of the shop, approximately 1ft. from the cart path. This tree has a small codominant top that can be corrected with pruning.
501	26.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	50/20	90/90/90	90%-Excellent		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$16,095.09	Trees no. 500-508 are all located in stand along the fencelineat the back of the shop, approximately 1ft. from the cart path.
502	24.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	40/20	90/90/90	90%-Excellent		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$13,714.16	Trees no. 500-508 are all located in stand along the fencelineat the back of the shop, approximately 1ft. from the cart path.
503	22.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	45/20	90/90/90	90%-Excellent		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$11,523.70	Trees no. 500-508 are all located in stand along the fencelineat the back of the shop, approximately 1ft. from the cart path.
504	21.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	45/20	90/90/90	90%-Excellent		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$10,499.90	Trees no. 500-508 are all located in stand along the fencelineat the back of the shop, approximately 1ft. from the cart path.
505	13.40	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	35/15	90/90/90	90%-Excellent		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$4,275.20	Trees no. 500-508 are all located in stand along the fencelineat the back of the shop, approximately 1ft. from the cart path.
506	24.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	45/20	90/90/90	90%-Excellent		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$13,714.16	Trees no. 500-508 are all located in stand along the fencelineat the back of the shop, approximately 1ft. from the cart path.
507	32.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	50/20	90/90/90	90%-Excellent		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$24,380.73	Trees no. 500-508 are all located in stand along the fencelineat the back of the shop, approximately 1ft. from the cart path.
508	33.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	55/20	90/90/90	90%-Excellent		Protected			Low	Retain			\$25,928.34	Trees no. 500-508 are all located in stand along the fencelineat the back of the shop, approximately 1ft. from the cart path.
509	5.00	4 & 3	12.00	<i>Olea europaea</i>	olive	15/10	50/60/40	40%-Poor		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$930.09	Trees no. 509-513 are all young olive trees. Tree no. 509 has a thin, wispy canopy.
510	6.50	na	na	<i>Olea europaea</i>	olive	15/10	40/60/40	40%-Poor		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$785.92	Trees no. 509-513 are all young olive trees. Tree no. 510 grows on a lean to the West and has a very sparse canopy.
511	8.00	5.50	13.50	<i>Olea europaea</i>	olive	20/15	10/60/40	10%-Very Poor	Photo	Protected			Moderate	HR	1	(3x)24-in.	\$438.30	Trees no. 509-513 are all young olive trees. Tree no. 511 is 95% dead. Recommend removal
512	7.70	na	na	<i>Olea europaea</i>	olive	20/10	50/60/40	40%-Poor		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$1,102.90	Trees no. 509-513 are all young olive trees. Tree no. 512 is a single trunk tree that leans to the West.

La Rinconada Golf Country Club
Tree Inventory and Valuation Appraisal based on 10th Edition *Guide for Plant Appraisal*
Formula: Functional Replacement Method/Trunk Formula Technique

Tree No.	DBH(in.)	DBH Multi(in.)	Combined DBH(in.)	Species Botanical Name (<i>Genus species</i>)	Species Common Name	Canopy Height/Spread(ft.)	Health/Structure/Form Ratings (0-100%)	Overall Condition Ratings (0-100%)	Photo	Protected	Large Protected	Exempt	Expected Impact Level	Remove, Hazard Remove (HR) or Retain Status	Criteria for Removal Sec. 29.10.0992 & Sec. 29.10.0970	Replacement Tree Quantity & Box Size Recommendation	Appraisal Value of Protected Tree	Notes
513	24.00	na	na	<i>Quercus agrifolia</i>	coast live oak	35/35	85/80/80	80%-Good		Protected			Low	Retain			\$23,810.21	Trees no. 509-513 are all young olive trees. Tree no. 513 appears to be a healthy looking tree growing together with two other oaks in a small grove. This particular tree is codominant with good union at 8ft.
514	23.00	na	na	<i>Quercus agrifolia</i>	coast live oak	35/20	65/50/50	50%-Fair		Protected			Low	Retain			\$13,667.10	Tree no. 514 is codominant at 5ft. with included bark. This is the center tree within a group of three trees. There are hollows and decay at the base on the north side and black staining on the bark. Overall, the canopy appears healthy. The form is fair but structure may be compromised by unseen, interior decay.
515	26.00	na	na	<i>Quercus agrifolia</i>	coast live oak	40/35	45/80/65	45%-Fair			Large Protected		Low	Retain			\$15,718.46	Tree no. 515 does not appear as healthy as 513 and 514. The foliage is much thinner and there is a small decay patch at the base on the west side. The structure is codominant at 7ft and then again between 8-9ft.-good unions.
516	48.00	na	na	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	red gum	65/40	85/80/80	80%-Good			Large Protected		Low	Retain			\$85,716.76	Large tree with a codominant union at 7ft. Overall condition is typical of healthy species.
517	48.00	na	na	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	red gum	65/40	85/70/80	70%-Good			Large Protected		Low	Retain			\$75,002.17	Large tree with included bark between codominant union at 10ft. The tree has been heavily reduced over the fairways.
518	50.00	na	na	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	red gum	65/50	50/80/95	50%-Fair			Large Protected		Moderate	Retain			\$58,130.40	Large sprawling canopy tree, foliage appears thin. It consists of twin trunks at approximately 18ft. Recommend removal of small branch growing out of that union, to avoid long-term problem.
519	5.50	na	na	<i>Lagerstroemia sp.</i>	crape myrtle	25/15	90/90/90	90%-Excellent		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$2,386.50	Young healthy tree with good structure and form.
520	26.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	45/20	80/90/90	80%-Good		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$16,095.09	Notable irrigation impact damage to the trunk.
521	26.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	45/20	80/90/90	80%-Good		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$17,883.43	Multiple leaders forming at the very tip of the tree-could be corrected with pruning.
522	25.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	45/20	80/90/90	80%-Good	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$16,534.24	Healthy tree with good structure and form-typical for species.
523	27.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	45/20	80/90/90	80%-Good	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$17,356.98	Healthy tree with good structure and form-typical for species.
524	7.50	6.00	na	<i>Olea europaea</i>	olive	15/10	80/70/70	70%-Good		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$1,831.11	Twin trunk tree from ground level-union is fair. Overall good health, vigor and form.
525	37.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	50/30	85/60/90	60%-Good	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	4, 10	(4X) 24-in. or (2x) 36-in.	\$27,162.45	Trees no. 525-528 all appear to be healthy and vigorous. Typical for species-growing in small grove. Located near creek/pond. This tree has a codominant leaders at 25ft. and again at 50ft.
526	34.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	50/25	85/90/90	85%-Excellent	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$32,493.09	Trees no. 525-528 all appear to be healthy and vigorous. Typical for species-growing in small grove. Located near creek/pond.
527	36.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	45/30	85/80/90	80%-Good	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	4, 10	(4X) 24-in. or (2x) 36-in.	\$34,285.40	Trees no. 525-528 all appear to be healthy and vigorous. Typical for species-growing in small grove. Located near creek/pond.
528	39.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	50/25	85/90/90	85%-Excellent	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$42,752.59	Trees no. 525-528 all appear to be healthy and vigorous. Typical for species-growing in small grove. Located near creek/pond. Small hanger in canopy at time of inspection.

La Rinconada Golf Country Club
Tree Inventory and Valuation Appraisal based on 10th Edition *Guide for Plant Appraisal*
Formula: Functional Replacement Method/Trunk Formula Technique

Tree No.	DBH(in.)	DBH Multi(in.)	Combined DBH(in.)	Species Botanical Name (Genus species)	Species Common Name	Canopy Height/Spread(ft.)	Health/Structure/Form Ratings (0-100%)	Overall Condition Ratings (0-100%)	Photo	Protected	Large Protected	Exempt	Expected Impact Level	Remove, Hazard Remove (HR) or Retain Status	Criteria for Removal Sec. 29.10.0992 & Sec. 29.10.0970	Replacement Tree Quantity & Box Size Recommendation	Appraisal Value of Protected Tree	Notes
529	29.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	50/20	50/90/90	50%-Fair	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$12,514.77	Good structure and form, canopy appears sparse.
530	27.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	50/20	50/90/80	50%-Fair	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$10,848.12	Trunk slightly bent, brown flagging of foliage in the canopy.
531	34.00	na	na	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	red gum	50/35	60/60/60	60%-Fair	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	1, 4, 10	(4X) 24-in. or (2x) 36-in.	\$34,047.36	Canopy appears sparse, decay at base from a trunk wound on the southwest side, bark damaged due to golf ball impact and a secondary 12in. round trunk wound with decay on the main trunk at approximately 9–10 feet . Tree has been pruned for clearance due to its location, in front of the tee.
532	25.50	22 & 22	66.50	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	red gum	60/30	60/30/60	30%-Poor			Large Protected		Moderate	Retain			\$23,830.94	Three main leaders between 2-5ft., fourth stem removed on the west side. Tree has been heavily pruned due to location, in front of the tee. Large amount of epicormic regrowth. Center of unions have hollows that have filled with water, soil and debris.
533	29.00	na	na	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	red gum	50/30	60/40/45	40%-Poor		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$13,905.82	Tree has lost top on the north side. The majority of the canopy is growing to the east. Large sized deadwood within the canopy and trunk appears slightly buried. Several large branch failures throughout the canopy were noted.
534	58.00	na	na	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	red gum	65/50	60/60/70	60%-Fair			Large Protected		Moderate	Retain			\$83,434.95	Large single trunk tree from ground to 5.5ft. Canopy has been raised to provide clearance, leaving multiple large wounds on the main trunk. Evidence of golf ball damage. Some deadwood throughout and two stems have been removed at the base on the north and east side-Tree is most likely hollow at base.
535	69.00	na	na	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	red gum	65/60	45/20/40	20%-Very Poor	Photo		Large Protected		High	HR	1	(10x) 24-in. or (5x) 36-in.	\$39,361.26	Large sprawling tree with multiple main leaders and included bark between the unions. Several torn branches at 4ft. and old wounds in the main stem between 7-10ft. on the east side- leaving multiple decay spots around base-likely indication of hollow center. Canopy has been heavily raised due to location. Considered hazardous-recommend removal.
536	40.00	na	na	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	red gum	65/30	70/40/70	40%-Poor	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	1, 4, 10	(4X) 24-in. or (2x) 36-in.	\$23,148.82	Branch failures at 6ft. on the north side leaving large wound with plants growing out of the hollow. The upper canopy health and form appear good.
537	40.00	na	na	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	red gum	65/40	70/30/40	30%-Poor	Photo	Protected			Moderate	HR	1	(4X) 24-in. or (2x) 36-in.	\$17,361.61	Large tree with multiple trunks and included bark. Evidence of large trunks either failed or removed on both southeast and north side of tree. Canopy has been heavily raised due to location beside the Tee. Consider removal due to structure and location.
538	50.00	na	na	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	red gum	65/40	40/06/30	06%-Very Poor	Photo		Large Protected		Moderate	Remove	1, 4, 10	(4X) 24-in. or (2x) 36-in.	\$6,975.65	Large tree with a crack opening up between two main stems, at the point of inclusion. Significant amount of decay from large scaffold limb loss throughout the main canopy. Large decay pocket in central leader within canopy.
539	83.00	na	na	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	red gum	95/60	70/60/70	60%-Fair	Photo		Large Protected		High	HR	1	(10x) 24-in. or (5x) 36-in.	\$192,220.95	Large multi trunk, sprawling tree with a significant amount of decay all around the base - likely caused by mechanical damage. Several large scaffold limbs have failed or been renmoved on the West side. Plants growing between leaders where debris has built up. Consider removal due to structure and location.
540	65.00	na	na	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	red gum	95/50	80/80/80	80%-Good			Large Protected		High	Retain			\$157,184.60	Single trunk tree from ground to 20ft. Very upright form with included unions. Golf ball damage to bark on trunk. Several large, lower scaffold limbs have been removed to provide clearance. Noted hollow on north side at base.
541	40.50	na	na	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	red gum	55/30	60/20/30	20%-Very Poor	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	1, 4, 10	(4X) 24-in. or (2x) 36-in.	\$13,560.66	Top of north side of canopy has snapped out at approximately 40ft -compromising structure and form. Form is tall and narrow. Significant amount of epicormic growth.
542	26.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	55/30	90/90/90	90%-Excellent	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	4, 10	(4X) 24-in. or (2x) 36-in.	\$20,118.86	Young tree-typical for species, good condition
543	25.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	55/30	90/90/90	90%-Excellent	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	4, 10	(4X) 24-in. or (2x) 36-in.	\$18,601.02	Young tree-typical for species, good condition
544	48.50	na	na	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	red gum	70/60	70/30/60	30%-Poor			Large Protected		Moderate	Retain			\$32,816.94	Large, old tree with significant size scaffold limbs that have failed or been removed throughout. Structure is poor but form is good. Significant amount of epicormic growth around points of failure on east side.

La Rinconada Golf Country Club
Tree Inventory and Valuation Appraisal based on 10th Edition *Guide for Plant Appraisal*
Formula: Functional Replacement Method/Trunk Formula Technique

Tree No.	DBH(in.)	DBH Multi(in.)	Combined DBH(in.)	Species Botanical Name (Genus species)	Species Common Name	Canopy Height/Spread(ft.)	Health/Structure/Form Ratings (0-100%)	Overall Condition Ratings (0-100%)	Photo	Protected	Large Protected	Exempt	Expected Impact Level	Remove, Hazard Remove (HR) or Retain Status	Criteria for Removal Sec. 29.10.0992 & Sec. 29.10.0970	Replacement Tree Quantity & Box Size Recommendation	Appraisal Value of Protected Tree	Notes
545	39.00	na	na	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	red gum	50/40	45/10/20	10%-Very Poor	Photo	Protected			Moderate	Remove	1, 4, 10	(4X) 24-in. or (2x) 36-in.	\$7,073.31	Top of tree has failed causing asymmetrical form and associated decay. Significant sized dead wood throughout remainder of canopy.
546	43.00	na	na	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	red gum	60/45	80/80/80	80%-Good		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$68,789.19	Large tree with well developed structure and form. Possible stress crack noted on the lower portion of the main trunk, west side, below where the scaffold branch starts.
547	32.00	na	na	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	red gum	50/40	40/20/40	20%-Very Poor	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	1, 4, 10	(4X) 24-in. or (2x) 36-in.	\$9,524.08	Large, multi trunk tree, with poor structure. Several large limb failures and large size deadwood throughout. Soil buildup on the east side of the root zone.
548	34.00	na	na	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	red gum	55/40	50/50/65	50%-Fair	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	1, 4, 10	(4X) 24-in. or (2x) 36-in.	\$26,879.50	Secondary trunk removed from base, multiple failures and tip dieback throughout canopy. Large area of soil buildup on the east side of root zone.
549	29.00	na	na	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	red gum	70/30	30/10/30	10%-Very Poor	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	1, 4, 10	(4X) 24-in. or (2x) 36-in.	\$3,911.01	Twin trunk tree with included bark and associated decay. Leader on the west side of canopy has multiple failures leaving behind a tall skinny trunk. Large area of soil buildup on the east side of root zone.
550	26.00	na	na	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	red gum	50/50	30/30/30	30%-Poor	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	1, 4, 10	(6x)24-in. or (3x)36-in.	\$9,431.08	Codominant at 10ft.. Trunk failure on north side, large limb removed at 4.5ft.-leaving a 3ft. long decay patch. Tree has been pruned for clearance on the west side, over the fairway. Large area of soil buildup on the east side of root zone.
551	36.00	na	na	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	red gum	65/35	80/70/70	70%-Good	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	4, 10	(4X) 24-in. or (2x) 36-in.	\$42,188.72	Large, tree with multiple trunks starting at approximately 5ft.–8ft.-unions appear good. Some large stems have been removed from the upper canopy. Overall form and structure are good.
552	39.00	na	na	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	red gum	65/45	20/20/45	20%-Very Poor	Photo	Protected			Moderate	HR	1	(6x)24-in. or (3x)36-in.	\$14,146.61	Large, old tree with codominant structure at approx. 12ft-union appears fair. There is a large amount of wounding and associated decay in the main trunk, from ground level to 10ft. Wounds are noted all the way around the circumference of the trunk. It appears to be some type of canker. There are also large branch failures throughout the upper canopy. Recommend removal.
553	43.00	na	na	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	red gum	70/65	70/70/70	70%-Good		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$60,190.54	Large tree with codominant structure starting at approximately 20ft.=union appears to be good. Very heavy end weight throughout the canopy, tree would benefit from structure pruning.
554	28.00	na	na	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	red gum	50/35	20/20/50	20%-Very Poor		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$7,291.88	Small stature tree due to large failures on lower main trunk where main scaffold limbs originate -north side.
555	39.00	na	na	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	red gum	55/40	80/80/80	80%-Good		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$56,586.46	Large single trunk tree with large scaffold limbs that have been removed or failed on north side. Overall tree appears to be in good condition.
556	15.00	12.00	27.00	<i>Rhus lancea</i>	African sumac	20/25	70/40/50	40%-Poor		Protected			High	Retain			\$12,938.39	Tree no. 556–558 all grow together in a group of three. Individually they have poor structure and benefits from being in a group. There have been multiple large limbs removed, and the canopies exists primarily of epicormic growth.
557	13.00	10.5 & 9.5	33.00	<i>Rhus lancea</i>	African sumac	20/25	70/40/50	40%-Poor		Protected			High	Retain			\$11,660.33	Tree no. 556–558 all grow together in a group of three. Individually they have poor structure and benefits from being in a group. There have been multiple large limbs removed, and the canopies exists primarily of epicormic growth.
558	12.50	9.50	22.00	<i>Rhus lancea</i>	African sumac	20/25	70/40/50	40%-Poor		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$8,643.13	Tree no. 556–558 all grow together in a group of three. Individually they have poor structure and benefits from being in a group. There have been multiple large limbs removed, and the canopies exists primarily of epicormic growth.
559	19.00	na	na	<i>Pinus canariensis</i>	Canary Island pine	60/25	40/20/30	20%-Very Poor	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	1, 4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$3,357.61	Tall slender form with a trunk wound, possibly a canker or branch/stem failure, at approximately 25ft. on the east side and approximately 30ft. on the west side. Tree is a good candidate for failure-grows with heavy lean. Branches and majority of weight are in the top half of the tree.
560	37.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	70/25	80/80/80	80%-Good	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$36,216.60	Single trunk tree from ground level with a codominant top starting at approximately 60ft. Form is very narrow.

La Rinconada Golf Country Club
Tree Inventory and Valuation Appraisal based on 10th Edition *Guide for Plant Appraisal*
Formula: Functional Replacement Method/Trunk Formula Technique

Tree No.	DBH(in.)	DBH Multi(in.)	Combined DBH(in.)	Species Botanical Name (<i>Genus species</i>)	Species Common Name	Canopy Height/Spread(ft.)	Health/Structure/Form Ratings (0-100%)	Overall Condition Ratings (0-100%)	Photo	Protected	Large Protected	Exempt	Expected Impact Level	Remove, Hazard Remove (HR) or Retain Status	Criteria for Removal Sec. 29.10.0992 & Sec. 29.10.0970	Replacement Tree Quantity & Box Size Recommendation	Appraisal Value of Protected Tree	Notes
561	28.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	50/15	70/90/90	70%-Good	Photo	Protected			Moderate	Remove	4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$18,147.98	Slightly sparse canopy otherwise appears healthy with structure and form typical for species.
562	41.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	60/20	80/80/80	80%-Good	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$44,470.49	Relatively young tree with a slightly sparse canopy and codominant leader with included bark at approximately 4ft. This secondary leader should be removed.
563	40.00	na	na	<i>Quercus douglasii</i>	blue oak	55/60	50/50/50	50%-Fair		Protected			High	Retain			\$63,114.12	Large, old sprawling tree with majority of the weight in scaffold limbs to the Southeast. Large branch failures have occurred in the upper canopy to the West. The large remaining limb to the West has significant amount of decay and will most likely fail. The lower scaffold limbs have been removed at approximately 5–6ft. and now have decay setting in. Overall, this tree has a high probability of falling apart with time. Consider removal due to location and current overall condition.
564	41.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	65/20	90/90/90	90%-Excellent	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$40,023.44	Large single trunk tree, good condition- typical for species.
565	35.00	na	na	<i>Pinus canariensis</i>	Canary Island pine	60/20	80/60/75	60%-Fair	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$34,180.67	Codominant structure starting at 10ft and again at approx. 30ft. Both unions appear to have included bark. Recommend pruning to remove or reduce both codominant stems. Overall, tree appears to be a healthy, good specimen.
566	35.70	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	50/20	70/50/80	50%-Fair		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$21,072.72	Canopy appears stressed and thin. Multiple leaders starting at approx. 45ft. with included bark between unions. Structure and form are compromised- resembles a large pitchfork.
567	35.70	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	65/20	70/90/90	70%-Good		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$26,551.63	Slightly sparse canopy, structure and form are good.
568	56.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	70/35	70/95/90	70%-Good			Large Protected		Low	Retain			\$72,591.93	Slightly sparse canopy, structure and form are good. Small codominant top could be corrected with pruning.
569	35.50	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	50/25	70/95/90	70%-Good	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$29,172.19	Slightly sparse canopy, structure and form are good.
570	31.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	50/30	90/90/90	90%-Excellent	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	4, 10	(4X) 24-in. or (2x) 36-in.	\$25,740.84	Tree no. 570-582 are all growing in an open grove. These are young, healthy trees that appeared to be in good condition, typical for species.
571	20.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	40/30	90/90/90	90%-Excellent	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	4, 10	(4X) 24-in. or (2x) 36-in.	\$11,904.65	Tree no. 570-582 are all growing in an open grove. These are young, healthy trees that appeared to be in good condition, typical for species.
572	14.50	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	30/25	90/90/90	90%-Excellent		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$6,257.38	Tree no. 570-582 are all growing in an open grove. These are young, healthy trees that appeared to be in good condition, typical for species.
573	17.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	35/25	90/90/90	90%-Excellent		Protected			High	Retain			\$7,741.00	Tree no. 570-582 are all growing in an open grove. These are young, healthy trees that appeared to be in good condition, typical for species.
574	26.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	50/30	90/90/90	90%-Excellent		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$20,118.86	Tree no. 570-582 are all growing in an open grove. These are young, healthy trees that appeared to be in good condition, typical for species.
575	24.50	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	50/30	90/90/90	90%-Excellent		Protected			High	Retain			\$16,077.98	Tree no. 570-582 are all growing in an open grove. These are young, healthy trees that appear to be in good condition, typical for species. Tree no. 575 has a small codominant top that can be corrected with pruning.
576	32.50	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	55/30	70/90/90	70%-Good		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$22,005.01	Tree no. 570-582 are all growing in an open grove. These are young, healthy trees that appear to be in good condition, typical for species.

La Rinconada Golf Country Club
Tree Inventory and Valuation Appraisal based on 10th Edition *Guide for Plant Appraisal*
Formula: Functional Replacement Method/Trunk Formula Technique

Tree No.	DBH(in.)	DBH Multi(in.)	Combined DBH(in.)	Species Botanical Name (<i>Genus species</i>)	Species Common Name	Canopy Height/Spread(ft.)	Health/Structure/Form Ratings (0-100%)	Overall Condition Ratings (0-100%)	Photo	Protected	Large Protected	Exempt	Expected Impact Level	Remove, Hazard Remove (HR) or Retain Status	Criteria for Removal Sec. 29.10.0992 & Sec. 29.10.0970	Replacement Tree Quantity & Box Size Recommendation	Appraisal Value of Protected Tree	Notes
577	23.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	50/20	90/90/90	90%-Excellent		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$15,743.90	Tree no. 570-582 are all growing in an open grove. These are young, healthy trees that appear to be in good condition, typical for species.
578	27.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	50/20	90/90/90	90%-Excellent		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$19,526.61	Tree no. 570-582 are all growing in an open grove. These are young, healthy trees that appear to be in good condition, typical for species.
579	19.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	40/20	90/90/90	90%-Excellent		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$10,743.95	Tree no. 570-582 are all growing in an open grove. These are young, healthy trees that appear to be in good condition, typical for species.
580	21.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	40/20	90/90/90	90%-Excellent	Photo	Protected			Moderate	Remove	4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$11,812.39	Trees no. 580–582 grow together in a smaller group. These are young, healthy trees that appear to be in good condition, typical species.
581	17.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	35/15	90/90/90	90%-Excellent		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$8,601.11	Trees no. 580–582 grow together in a smaller group. These are young, healthy trees that appear to be in good condition, typical species.
582	17.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	30/15	90/90/90	90%-Excellent		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$8,601.11	Trees no. 580–582 grow together in a smaller group. These are young, healthy trees that appear to be in good condition, typical species.
583	27.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	45/25	90/80/90	80%-Good	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$19,285.54	Trees no. 583-594 all grow together in a grove. Tree no. 583 has a codominant top with an included union at approximately 20ft., that can be corrected with pruning.
584	32.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	50/20	90/80/90	80%-Good		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$27,089.70	Trees no. 583-594 all grow together in a grove. Tree no. 584 has multiple tops forming, this can be corrected with pruning.
585	20.00	na	na	<i>Pinus canariensis</i>	Canary Island pine	45/20	95/90/80	80%-Good	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$14,881.38	Trees no. 583-594 all grow together in a grove. Tree no. 585 is a young healthy tree, good condition typical for species. A majority of the growth in the canopy to the South, due to competition with adjacent redwood tree.
586	28.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	50/25	90/90/90	90%-Excellent		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$20,999.81	Trees no. 583-594 all grow together in a grove. Tree no. 586 and 587 are both young, healthy trees typical for species.
587	35.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	55/25	90/90/90	80%-Good	Photo	Protected			Moderate	Remove	4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$29,166.40	Trees no. 583-594 all grow together in a grove. Tree no. 586 and 587 are both young, healthy trees typical for species.
588	28.50	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	50/20	90/80/90	80%-Good		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$19,339.11	Trees no. 583-594 all grow together in a grove. Tree no. 588, 589 and 590 all have small codominant tops that can be corrected with pruning.
589	31.50	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	50/20	90/80/90	80%-Good		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$26,249.76	Trees no. 583-594 all grow together in a grove. Tree no. 588, 589 and 590 all have small codominant tops that can be corrected with pruning.
590	33.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	50/25	90/80/90	80%-Good		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$28,809.26	Trees no. 583-594 all grow together in a grove. Tree no. 588, 589 and 590 all have small codominant tops that can be corrected with pruning.
591	26.50	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	50/25	90/90/90	80%-Good	Photo	Protected			Moderate	Remove	4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$16,720.09	Trees no. 583-594 all grow together in a grove. Tree no 591 is a young healthy tree- typical species.
592	36.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	50/20	90/80/90	80%-Good		Protected			High	Retain			\$34,285.40	Trees no. 583-594 all grow together in a grove. Tree no. 592 and 593 have small codominant tops that can be corrected with pruning.

La Rinconada Golf Country Club

Tree Inventory and Valuation Appraisal based on 10th Edition *Guide for Plant Appraisal*

Formula: Functional Replacement Method/Trunk Formula Technique

Tree No.	DBH(in.)	DBH Multi(in.)	Combined DBH(in.)	Species Botanical Name (<i>Genus species</i>)	Species Common Name	Canopy Height/Spread(ft.)	Health/Structure/Form Ratings (0-100%)	Overall Condition Ratings (0-100%)	Photo	Protected	Large Protected	Exempt	Expected Impact Level	Remove, Hazard Remove (HR) or Retain Status	Criteria for Removal Sec. 29.10.0992 & Sec. 29.10.0970	Replacement Tree Quantity & Box Size Recommendation	Appraisal Value of Protected Tree	Notes
593	34.50	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	50/20	90/80/90	80%-Good		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$28,339.03	Trees no. 583-594 all grow together in a grove. Tree no. 592 and 593 have small codominant tops that can be corrected with pruning.
594	24.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	45/25	90/90/90	90%-Excellent	Photo	Protected			Moderate	Remove	4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$15,428.43	Trees no. 583-594 all grow together in a grove. Tree no. 594 is a young, healthy tree -typical for species.
595	37.00	na	na	<i>Pinus canariensis</i>	Canary Island pine	50/25	85/60/85	60%-Fair		Protected			High	Retain			\$38,198.65	Trees no. 595–619 are all growing in a grove. These trees are relatively young and healthy. Overall condition appears good and typical for the species. Tree no. 595 has lost its top at approximately 50ft.
596	24.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	50/20	90/90/90	90%-Excellent		Protected			High	Retain			\$15,428.43	Trees no. 595–619 are all growing in a grove. These trees are relatively young and healthy. Overall condition appears good and typical for the species.
597	24.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	45/25	90/90/90	90%-Excellent		Protected			High	Retain			\$15,428.43	Trees no. 595–619 are all growing in a grove. These trees are relatively young and healthy. Overall condition appears good and typical for the species.
598	35.50	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	50/20	95/90/90	90%-Excellent		Protected			High	Retain			\$33,756.39	Trees no. 595–619 are all growing in a grove. These trees are relatively young and healthy. Overall condition appears good and typical for the species.
599	24.50	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	45/20	95/90/90	90%-Excellent		Protected			High	Retain			\$16,077.98	Trees no. 595–619 are all growing in a grove. These trees are relatively young and healthy. Overall condition appears good and typical for the species.
600	25.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	45/20	95/90/90	90%-Excellent	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$16,740.92	Trees no. 595–619 are all growing in a grove. These trees are relatively young and healthy. Overall condition appears good and typical for the species.
601	23.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	50/20	95/90/90	90%-Excellent	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$14,169.51	Trees no. 595–619 are all growing in a grove. These trees are relatively young and healthy. Overall condition appears good and typical for the species.
602	23.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	50/20	95/90/90	90%-Excellent	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$14,169.51	Trees no. 595–619 are all growing in a grove. These trees are relatively young and healthy. Overall condition appears good and typical for the species.
603	23.50	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	50/30	95/90/90	90%-Excellent	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	4, 10	(4X) 24-in. or (2x) 36-in.	\$14,792.28	Trees no. 595–619 are all growing in a grove. These trees are relatively young and healthy. Overall condition appears good and typical for the species.
604	25.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	50/25	95/90/90	90%-Excellent	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$16,740.92	Trees no. 595–619 are all growing in a grove. These trees are relatively young and healthy. Overall condition appears good and typical for the species.
605	26.50	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	50/20	95/90/90	90%-Excellent	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$18,810.10	Trees no. 595–619 are all growing in a grove. These trees are relatively young and healthy. Overall condition appears good and typical for the species.
606	50.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	65/25	95/90/95	90%-Excellent	Photo		Large Protected		High	Remove	4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$66,963.68	Trees no. 595–619 are all growing in a grove. These trees are relatively young and healthy. Overall condition appears good and typical for the species. Tree no. 606 has a small codominant top that could be easily corrected with pruning. This tree stands out of the grove, alone.
607	25.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	50/25	95/90/90	90%-Excellent	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$18,601.02	Trees no. 595–619 are all growing in a grove. These trees are relatively young and healthy. Overall condition appears good and typical for the species.
608	22.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	50/20	95/90/90	90%-Excellent		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$14,404.63	Trees no. 595–619 are all growing in a grove. These trees are relatively young and healthy. Overall condition appears good and typical for the species.

La Rinconada Golf Country Club
Tree Inventory and Valuation Appraisal based on 10th Edition *Guide for Plant Appraisal*
Formula: Functional Replacement Method/Trunk Formula Technique

Tree No.	DBH(in.)	DBH Multi(in.)	Combined DBH(in.)	Species Botanical Name (<i>Genus species</i>)	Species Common Name	Canopy Height/Spread(ft.)	Health/Structure/Form Ratings (0-100%)	Overall Condition Ratings (0-100%)	Photo	Protected	Large Protected	Exempt	Expected Impact Level	Remove, Hazard Remove (HR) or Retain Status	Criteria for Removal Sec. 29.10.0992 & Sec. 29.10.0970	Replacement Tree Quantity & Box Size Recommendation	Appraisal Value of Protected Tree	Notes
609	19.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	45/25	95/90/90	90%-Excellent		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$9,669.55	Trees no. 595–619 are all growing in a grove. These trees are relatively young and healthy. Overall condition appears good and typical for the species.
610	21.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	48/20	95/90/90	90%-Excellent	Photo	Protected			Moderate	Remove	4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$13,124.88	Trees no. 595–619 are all growing in a grove. These trees are relatively young and healthy. Overall condition appears good and typical for the species.
611	21.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	45/20	95/90/90	90%-Excellent		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$11,812.39	Trees no. 595–619 are all growing in a grove. These trees are relatively young and healthy. Overall condition appears good and typical for the species.
612	26.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	50/20	90/80/90	80%-Good		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$16,095.09	Trees no. 595–619 are all growing in a grove. These trees are relatively young and healthy. Overall condition appears good and typical for the species. Tree no. 612 has a small codominant top that could be easily corrected with pruning.
613	14.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	35/15	90/90/90	90%-Excellent		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$5,833.28	Trees no. 595–619 are all growing in a grove. These trees are relatively young and healthy. Overall condition appears good and typical for the species.
614	20.50	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	45/20	90/90/90	90%-Excellent		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$11,256.59	Trees no. 595–619 are all growing in a grove. These trees are relatively young and healthy. Overall condition appears good and typical for the species.
615	23.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	48/20	90/90/90	90%-Excellent		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$15,743.90	Trees no. 595–619 are all growing in a grove. These trees are relatively young and healthy. Overall condition appears good and typical for the species.
616	32.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	50/25	90/90/90	90%-Excellent		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$27,428.32	Trees no. 595–619 are all growing in a grove. These trees are relatively young and healthy. Overall condition appears good and typical for the species.
617	26.50	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	45/20	90/90/90	90%-Excellent		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$18,810.10	Trees no. 595–619 are all growing in a grove. These trees are relatively young and healthy. Overall condition appears good and typical for the species.
618	28.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	50/30	90/90/90	90%-Excellent		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$20,999.81	Trees no. 595–619 are all growing in a grove. These trees are relatively young and healthy. Overall condition appears good and typical for the species.
619	37.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	50/25	90/90/90	90%-Excellent		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$36,669.31	Trees no. 595–619 are all growing in a grove. These trees are relatively young and healthy. Overall condition appears good and typical for the species.
620	25.00	13.00	38.00	<i>Fraxinus velutina</i>	Modesto ash	25/20	20/10/30	10%-Very Poor	Photo	Protected			Low	HR	1	(3x)24-in.	\$2,625.64	Tree is 75% dead and exist only as sucker growth. The entire trunk is decayed on the southwest side. Recommend removal.
621	12.00	11 & 6	29.00	<i>Olea europaea</i>	olive	30/30	80/60/60	60%-Fair		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$8,398.68	Tree no. 621–623 are growing together in a group. These are all older, large specimens with bulbous bases. There is some decay where branches have been removed. They are largely asymmetrical due to competition with each other. Overall, their condition is typical for the species. Tree no. 621 has mechanical damage to the lateral limb on the west side and large torn out branch on the east side.
622	10.00	8.50	18.50	<i>Olea europaea</i>	olive	30/30	80/70/70	70%-Good		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$6,230.29	Tree no. 621–623 are growing together in a group. These are all older, large specimens with bulbous bases. There is some decay where branches have been removed. They are largely asymmetrical due to competition with each other. Overall, their condition is typical for the species.
623	11.00	na	na	<i>Olea europaea</i>	olive	30/30	80/70/60	60%-Fair		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$3,751.35	Tree no. 621–623 are growing together in a group. These are all older, large specimens with bulbous bases. There is some decay where branches have been removed. They are largely asymmetrical due to competition with each other. Overall, their condition is typical for the species.
624	10.00	na	na	<i>Quercus agrifolia</i>	coast live oak	30/10	80/40/20	20%-Very Poor	Photo	Protected			Low	HR	1	(2x)24-in.	\$826.74	Canopy grows on a heavy lean to the South, struggling for light, decay noted all around the base. Whole tree failure is highly probable. Recommend removal

La Rinconada Golf Country Club
Tree Inventory and Valuation Appraisal based on 10th Edition *Guide for Plant Appraisal*
Formula: Functional Replacement Method/Trunk Formula Technique

Tree No.	DBH(in.)	DBH Multi(in.)	Combined DBH(in.)	Species Botanical Name (<i>Genus species</i>)	Species Common Name	Canopy Height/Spread(ft.)	Health/Structure/Form Ratings (0-100%)	Overall Condition Ratings (0-100%)	Photo	Protected	Large Protected	Exempt	Expected Impact Level	Remove, Hazard Remove (HR) or Retain Status	Criteria for Removal Sec. 29.10.0992 & Sec. 29.10.0970	Replacement Tree Quantity & Box Size Recommendation	Appraisal Value of Protected Tree	Notes
625	16.50	na	na	<i>Quercus agrifolia</i>	coast live oak	35/35	80/50/70	50%-Fair		Protected			Low	Retain			\$5,627.02	Large tree, growing close to fenceline, . large codominant unions, could benefit from structure pruning. There is a high amount of sap sucker damage to the bark in the upper canopy.
626	11.50	na	na	<i>Quercus agrifolia</i>	coast live oak	35/10	70/20/20	20%-Very Poor		Protected			Low	Retain			\$1,093.37	High amount of decay throughout the base. Tree is searching for light, due to competition with other trees- has poor form and structure. Recommend removal
627	23.00	na	na	<i>Pinus canariensis</i>	Canary Island pine	45/30	80/70/70	70%-Good		Protected			High	Retain			\$17,220.55	Good tree overall, could benefit from structure pruning.
628	28.50	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	50/25	85/50/85	50%-Fair		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$13,429.94	Codominant union with included bark at approximately 35ft. Overall good health and form-tree could benefit from structure pruning.
629	17.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	40/20	80/85/80	80%-Good		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$7,645.43	Young tree good condition, typical species. Form is slightly asymmetrical due to the competition with adjacent trees.
630	21.00	na	na	<i>Pinus canariensis</i>	Canary Island pine	50/25	40/20/45	20%-Very Poor		Protected			High	Retain			\$4,101.68	Codominant from approximately 35ft. Foliage appears sparse, low in vigor, being out competed for canopy space.
631	17.50	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	35/15	70/85/70	70%-Good		Protected			High	Retain			\$7,089.06	Young, tree with very asymmetrical form, previously overcrowded by a large ash tree. The ash tree has recently been remove.
632	45.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	55/40	65/80/80	65%-Good		Protected			High	Retain			\$43,526.39	Large mature redwood that has lost several branches leaveine a more open canopy.
633	28.00	na	na	<i>Fraxinus excelsior</i>	European ash	25/25	10/45/60	10%-Very Poor	Photo	Protected			High	HR	1	(3x)24-in.	\$5,497.94	Tree appears to be dying- 90% dead, in severe decline. Cavity in the center of the unions where grass is starting to grow. Recommend removal.
634	39.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	55/25	90/90/90	90%-Excellent		Protected			High	Retain			\$45,267.44	Tree no. 634 and 635 both appear to be good, healthy specimens.
635	37.50	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	55/25	90/90/90	90%-Excellent		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$41,852.30	Tree no. 634 and 635 both appear to be good healthy looking trees.
636	20.50	na	na	<i>Quercus agrifolia</i>	coast live oak	35/35	40/10/70	10%-Very Poor		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$2,171.49	Cdominant structure, with included bark, starting at 10 feet.-poor union. Foliage appears to be stunted (lower foliage impacted by irrigation overspray). Tree is a poor specimen-consider longterm removal.
637	22.50	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	40/20	85/30/90	30%-Poor		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$5,022.28	Tree has very poor structure. Codominant and included with very poor union at approximately 20ft. Otherwise the tree appears healthy and vigorous.
638	41.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	55/30	90/80/90	80%-Good		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$44,470.49	Small codominant leader at approximately 50ft., could be corrected with pruning. Otherwise, tree appears to be in good condition.
639	27.50	na	na	<i>Fraxinus excelsior</i>	European ash	30/30	20/20/30	20%-Very Poor		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$10,606.68	Large Ash tree with significant failures and trunk decay from ground to 6ft. Fungal fruiting bodiespresent on trunk where decay has set in on South side. Large amount of deadwood throughout canopy. Canopy consists primarily of sucker growth.
640	18.00	na	na	<i>Fraxinus excelsior</i>	European ash	25/25	20/20/30	20%-Very Poor		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$4,544.22	Tree appeas to be dying, significant amount of decay throughout and a hollow at the base. Large amount of sucker growth.

La Rinconada Golf Country Club

Tree Inventory and Valuation Appraisal based on 10th Edition *Guide for Plant Appraisal*

Formula: Functional Replacement Method/Trunk Formula Technique

Tree No.	DBH(in.)	DBH Multi(in.)	Combined DBH(in.)	Species Botanical Name (<i>Genus species</i>)	Species Common Name	Canopy Height/Spread(ft.)	Health/Structure/Form Ratings (0-100%)	Overall Condition Ratings (0-100%)	Photo	Protected	Large Protected	Exempt	Expected Impact Level	Remove, Hazard Remove (HR) or Retain Status	Criteria for Removal Sec. 29.10.0992 & Sec. 29.10.0970	Replacement Tree Quantity & Box Size Recommendation	Appraisal Value of Protected Tree	Notes
641	26.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	55/30	90/90/90	90%-Excellent		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$20,118.86	Single trunk tree, growing on its own, between ash trees. Appears to be good condition.
642	25.00	na	na	<i>Fraxinus excelsior</i>	European ash	30/30	30/50/60	30%-Poor	Photo	Protected			Moderate	HR	1	(4X) 24-in. or (2x) 36-in.	\$13,148.77	Tree is 50% dead, has decay at the base and a significant amount of decay present throughout the upper canopy. Appears to be in the process of dying. Consider removal.
643	18.00	na	na	<i>Fraxinus excelsior</i>	European ash	25/20	10/60/25	10%-Very Poor	Photo	Protected			Moderate	HR	1	(3x)24-in.	\$2,272.11	Tree is 95% dead. Decay and deadwood throughout, fungal fruiting bodies/conk visible at the base on the north side. Recommend removal.
644	12.00	na	na	<i>Araucaria heterophylla</i>	Norfolk Island pine	30/10	80/10/10	10%-Very Poor	Photo	Protected			Moderate	HR	1	(2x)24-in.	\$669.66	Very asymmetrical form, grows on a lean due to competition from former adjacent trees. It has formed an "S" shape trunk with a codominant leader at approximately 15ft. Also appears to have a girdling root, visible on the soil surface. All ash trees in this area appear to be dying. Majority of decay is on the South sides of trunk where it has been exposed to sun, irrigation impact, and golf ball damage. Recommend removal.
645	24.00	na	na	<i>Fraxinus excelsior</i>	European ash	20/10	10/10/10	10%-Very Poor	Photo	Protected			Moderate	HR	1	(2x)24-in.	\$4,039.30	Tree no. 645-647 are 90% dead. Large amount of decay present on the southside of the trunks. The canopies mostly exist as sucker sprouts. Recommend removal.
646	19.50	na	na	<i>Fraxinus excelsior</i>	European ash	20/15	10/20/25	10%-Very Poor	Photo	Protected			Moderate	HR	1	(3x)24-in.	\$2,666.57	Tree no. 645-647 are 90% dead. Large amount of decay present on the southside of the trunks. The canopies mostly exist as sucker sprouts. Recommend removal.
647	20.00	na	na	<i>Fraxinus excelsior</i>	European ash	20/15	10/20/15	10%-Very Poor	Photo	Protected			Moderate	HR	1	(3x)24-in.	\$2,805.07	Tree no. 645-647 are 90% dead. Large amount of decay present on the southside of the trunks. The canopies mostly exist as sucker sprouts. Recommend removal.
648	26.00	na	na	<i>Fraxinus excelsior</i>	European ash	25/25	50/50/50	50%-Fair	Photo	Protected			Moderate	HR	1	(3x)24-in.	\$23,702.86	Form is asymetrical and three of seven main leaders are dead. Recommend removal.
649	24.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	50/25	85/90/80	80%-Good		Protected			Low	Retain			\$15,237.96	Overall condition is good -typical species.
650	23.50	na	na	<i>Fraxinus excelsior</i>	European ash	25/30	10/20/20	10%-Very Poor	Photo	Protected			Moderate	HR	1	(4X) 24-in. or (2x) 36-in.	\$3,872.75	Tree is 50% dead recommend removal.
651	31.50	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	50/30	90/90/90	90%-Excellent		Protected			Low	Retain			\$29,530.98	Tree is healthy, good structure and form-typical for species.
652	24.00	na	na	<i>Fraxinus excelsior</i>	European ash	35/35	20/20/20	20%-Very Poor	Photo	Protected			Moderate	HR	1	(4X) 24-in. or (2x) 36-in.	\$8,078.61	Appears to have suffered major structural damage with 50% of the canopy gone due to large failures. Majority of the canopy is to the north side of the tree, large size deadwood present, form is very asymmetrical. Recommend removal.
653	21.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	50/20	80/90/80	80%-Good		Protected			Low	Retain			\$11,666.56	Tree has grown with heavy competition of surrounding ash trees. Tree is young and will most likely prevail.
654	21.50	na	na	<i>Fraxinus excelsior</i>	European ash	35/25	30/30/20	20%-Very Poor	Photo	Protected			Low	Remove	1, 4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$6,483.22	Form is very asymmetrical, majority of the canopy is to the north. Southern portion of the tree has failed/been removed. Decay and hollows present, appears to be unhealthy.
655	30.00	na	na	<i>Quercus ilex</i>	holly oak	35/40	90/50/65	50%-Fair			Large Protected		Moderate	Retain			\$39,446.32	Tree has been pruned for fairway clearance. Large amount of mechanical damage on the trunk, from ground level to approximately 10ft. with subsequent decay primarily on the South and West sides.
656	32.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	60/25	90/90/90	90%-Excellent		Protected			Low	Retain			\$30,475.91	Good health, structure and form-typical for species.

La Rinconada Golf Country Club
Tree Inventory and Valuation Appraisal based on 10th Edition *Guide for Plant Appraisal*
Formula: Functional Replacement Method/Trunk Formula Technique

Tree No.	DBH(in.)	DBH Multi(in.)	Combined DBH(in.)	Species Botanical Name (<i>Genus species</i>)	Species Common Name	Canopy Height/Spread(ft.)	Health/Structure/Form Ratings (0-100%)	Overall Condition Ratings (0-100%)	Photo	Protected	Large Protected	Exempt	Expected Impact Level	Remove, Hazard Remove (HR) or Retain Status	Criteria for Removal Sec. 29.10.0992 & Sec. 29.10.0970	Replacement Tree Quantity & Box Size Recommendation	Appraisal Value of Protected Tree	Notes
657	23.00	na	na	<i>Fraxinus excelsior</i>	European ash	25/20	06/10/10	06%-Very Poor	Photo	Protected			Moderate	HR	1	(3x)24-in.	\$2,225.82	Tree no. 657-661 are all dying-recommend removal. Tree no. 657 is 95% dead.
658	25.00	na	na	<i>Fraxinus excelsior</i>	European ash	35/25	20/20/40	20%-Very Poor	Photo	Protected			Moderate	HR	1	(3x)24-in.	\$8,765.85	Tree no. 657-661 are all dying recommend removal. Tree no. 658 is 50% dead.
659	15.00	na	na	<i>Fraxinus excelsior</i>	European ash	18/10	06/06/06	06%-Very Poor	Photo	Protected			Moderate	HR	1	(2x)24-in.	\$946.71	Tree no. 657-661 are all dying recommend removal. Tree no. 659 is 98% dead and exists as a stump with suckers.
660	23.00	na	na	<i>Fraxinus excelsior</i>	European ash	25/25	10/10/10	10%-Very Poor	Photo	Protected			Moderate	HR	1	(3x)24-in.	\$3,709.71	Tree no. 657-661 are all dying recommend removal. Tree no. 660 is 90% dead.
661	17.50	na	na	<i>Fraxinus excelsior</i>	European ash	30/20	10/06/06	06%-Very Poor	Photo	Protected			Moderate	HR	1	(3x)24-in.	\$1,288.58	Tree no. 657-661 are all dying recommend removal. Tree no. 661 is 50% dead.
662	19.00	na	na	<i>Fraxinus excelsior</i>	European ash	25/20	40/40/40	40%-Poor	Photo	Protected			Moderate	HR	1	(3x)24-in.	\$10,126.31	Tree no. 662 has extensive trunk decay and large size deadwood throughout the canopy. The tree grows predominantly to the north.
663	29.00	na	na	<i>Fraxinus excelsior</i>	European ash	35/30	40/20/20	20%-Very Poor	Photo	Protected			Moderate	HR	1	(4X) 24-in. or (2x) 36-in.	\$11,795.33	Tree no. 663 has a significant amount of decay on the south side of the trunk, same as all other Ash trees in this immediate area. Large scaffold limbs have been removed to provide clearance, leaving significant wounding. Decay and a hollow at the base.
664	15.00	13, 10.5 & 10.5	49.00	<i>Fraxinus velutina</i>	Modesto ash	35/50	70/10/20	10%-Very Poor			Large Protected		Low	Retain			\$2,032.06	Tree no. 664 is a multi trunk tree from ground level (appears to be a stump sprout). Structure is very poor, heavy lean to the West.
665	12.50	na	na	<i>Ligustrum lucidum</i>	Glossy privet	25/20	85/85/85	85%-Excellent				Exempt	Low	Retain			\$5,490.09	Healthy, typical for species, likely a volunteer, growing at fenceline.Tree is under the size requirement for removal permit.
666	27.00	na	na	<i>Fraxinus excelsior</i>	European ash	25/30	30/30/30	30%-Poor	Photo	Protected			High	HR	1	(4X) 24-in. or (2x) 36-in.	\$15,336.73	Large amount of damage on the southside of trunk, significant amount of large sized deadwood in the upper canopy.
667	35.00	na	na	<i>Fraxinus velutina</i>	Modesto ash	35/30	50/10/20	10%-Very Poor	Photo	Protected			Low	HR	1	(4X) 24-in. or (2x) 36-in.	\$4,050.89	Numerous branch failures and large stem removals with subsequent hollows forming. Palm tree growing out of the center of the tree, indicative of soil and moisture buildup. Structure is very poor. Whole tree failure imminent- Recommend Removal.
668	19.00	16.00	35.00	<i>Magnolia grandiflora</i>	southern magnolia	25/20	70/50/70	50%-Fair		Protected			Low	Retain			\$15,940.65	Codominant with included bark from ground level, up to 3ft.
669	14.00	na	na	<i>Washingtonia robusta</i>	Mexican fan palm	15/10	75/100/100	75%-Good				Exempt	Low	Retain			\$1,012.50	Typical for species, growing next to fence, appears to be a volunteer. Consider removal.
670	4.50	na	na	<i>Quercus agrifolia</i>	coast live oak	15/10	70/80/70	70%-Good		Protected			Low	Retain			\$659.20	Young tree appears to be a volunteer. Health is typical for species. Codominant union at 5ft., could benefit from structure pruning.
671	18.00	na	na	<i>Quercus agrifolia</i>	coast live oak	35/30	70/50/50	50%-Fair		Protected			Low	Retain			\$8,370.78	codominant with included bark at approximately 5ft. Canopy grows predominantly Northeast due to the competition with surrounding trees.
672	17.50	na	na	<i>Pinus halepensis</i>	Aleppo pine	40/25	06/80/60	06%-Very Poor	Photo	Protected			Low	Remove	1, 3, 4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$759.57	Tree is 85% dead. Severe discoloration in foliage and covered in pine galls. Recommend removal.

La Rinconada Golf Country Club
Tree Inventory and Valuation Appraisal based on 10th Edition *Guide for Plant Appraisal*
Formula: Functional Replacement Method/Trunk Formula Technique

Tree No.	DBH(in.)	DBH Multi(in.)	Combined DBH(in.)	Species Botanical Name (<i>Genus species</i>)	Species Common Name	Canopy Height/Spread(ft.)	Health/Structure/Form Ratings (0-100%)	Overall Condition Ratings (0-100%)	Photo	Protected	Large Protected	Exempt	Expected Impact Level	Remove, Hazard Remove (HR) or Retain Status	Criteria for Removal Sec. 29.10.0992 & Sec. 29.10.0970	Replacement Tree Quantity & Box Size Recommendation	Appraisal Value of Protected Tree	Notes
673	9.00	na	na	<i>Fraxinus uhdei</i>	Shamel ash	35/20	80/06/60	06%-Very Poor	Photo	Protected			Low	Remove	1, 3, 4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$140.62	Volunteer specimen. Tree is young and growing amongst a privet hedge. Structure is codominant with included bark at approximately 15ft. Failure is likely to happen-consider removal.
674	18.00	na	na	<i>Quercus agrifolia</i>	coast live oak	35/20	70/60/60	60%-Fair		Protected			Low	Retain			\$9,040.44	Codominant at approximately 30ft. Grows predominantly to the Northeast, searching for light out from amongst the privet hedge.
675	10.00	na	na	<i>Olea europaea</i>	olive	15-Dec	40/40/20	20%-Very Poor		Protected			Low	Retain			\$930.09	Single trunk tree growing on a 90° angle out from under the canopy of a larger oak.
676	6.00	6.00	12.00	<i>Ligustrum lucidum</i>	Glossy privet	25/15	50/50/30	30%-Poor	Photo			Exempt	Low	Remove	3, 4, 10, E	(3x)24-in.	\$892.88	The structure is codominant with included bark from ground level. The canopy grows predominantly to the South and east. Recommend removal.
677	7.00	4.00	11.00	<i>Fraxinus uhdei</i>	Shamel ash	25/15	50/20/10	10%-Very Poor	Photo	Protected			Low	Remove	1, 3, 4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$161.21	Stump sprout, codominant with included bark from ground level. Tree should be removed.
678	22.00	na	na	<i>Cupressus arizonica</i>	Arizona cypress	40/25	50/70/60	50%-Fair	Photo	Protected			Low	Remove	3, 4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$19,092.02	Large tree with sparse canopy that does not start until approximately 20ft., due to competition with ivy and privets. Understory has recently been cleaned out.
679	26.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	40/25	70/20/20	20%-Very Poor	Photo	Protected			Moderate	Remove	1, 4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$4,023.77	Tree appears to have developed on a lean and then self corrected. May be actively leaning again (over the main drive). It has a codominant leader at approximately 20ft. with included bark and ivy has recently been removed from its base. Consider Hazardous-Recommend removal.
680	8.00	6.50	14.50	<i>Ligustrum lucidum</i>	Glossy privet	35/10	10/06/06	06%-Very Poor	Photo			Exempt	Low	Remove	1, 4, 10, E	(2x) 24-in.	\$263.52	Twin trunk tree from ground level with included bark. It grows directly into the redwood tree above. Tree is under the size requirement for removal permit-recommend removal.
681	26.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	50/20	80/90/80	80%-Good	Photo	Protected			Low	Remove	4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$16,095.09	Tall, slender tree growing in part of a grove, all canopy foliage is to the north.
682	30.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	50/30	85/30/85	30%-Poor	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	4, 10	(4X) 24-in. or (2x) 36-in.	\$8,035.64	Codominant structure with included bark at approximately 20ft. Although the structure is poor, the overall health and form appear to be good.
683	24.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	50/30	85/85/80	80%-Good	Photo	Protected			Low	Remove	4, 10	(4X) 24-in. or (2x) 36-in.	\$13,714.16	Single trunk tree with canopy growth predominantly to the north. It is in competition with other adjacent trees.
684	22.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	45/20	60/30/30	30%-Poor	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	1, 4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$4,321.39	Fairly young tree, part of a grove. Half of the tree has been lost where it was once codominant. The remaining canopy appears good, but the structure is severely compromised. Recommend removal.
685	6.70	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	25/10	85/90/80	80%-Good	Photo	Protected			Low	Remove	4, 10	(2x) 24-in.	\$1,068.80	Young tree typical for species. Currently being swamped by larger trees, causing it to develop an asymmetrical form.
686	4.50	4 & 3	11.50	<i>Fraxinus uhdei</i>	Shamel ash	20/10	70/20/20	20%-Very Poor	Photo	Protected			Moderate	HR	1	(2x) 24-in.	\$224.45	Triple trunk from ground level, volunteer that hangs over the main drive. Recommend removal due to structure and location.
687	21.50	na	na	<i>Cupressus arizonica</i>	Arizona cypress	35/10	40/40/30	30%-Poor	Photo	Protected			Low	HR	1	(2x) 24-in.	\$10,940.44	Tree leans heavily to the Northwest over the main drive, upper canopy has been damaged by adjacent redwood tree failure . Three large stems removed from base on south and east side, large wounds with decay forming. Recommend removal due to location and overall condition.
688	6.50	na	na	<i>Quercus douglasii</i>	blue oak	25/15	80/40/50	40%-Poor		Protected			Low	Retain			\$1,333.29	Codominant and becoming included at approximately 15ft. This tree leans to the north over the main drive due to competition with other trees. It appears to be a volunteer, recommend pruning to improve structure.

La Rinconada Golf Country Club
Tree Inventory and Valuation Appraisal based on 10th Edition *Guide for Plant Appraisal*
Formula: Functional Replacement Method/Trunk Formula Technique

Tree No.	DBH(in.)	DBH Multi(in.)	Combined DBH(in.)	Species Botanical Name (<i>Genus species</i>)	Species Common Name	Canopy Height/Spread(ft.)	Health/Structure/Form Ratings (0-100%)	Overall Condition Ratings (0-100%)	Photo	Protected	Large Protected	Exempt	Expected Impact Level	Remove, Hazard Remove (HR) or Retain Status	Criteria for Removal Sec. 29.10.0992 & Sec. 29.10.0970	Replacement Tree Quantity & Box Size Recommendation	Appraisal Value of Protected Tree	Notes
689	12.00	na	na	<i>Fraxinus uhdei</i>	Shamel ash	45/15	5/0/0	5%-Dying	Photo	Protected			Low	HR	1	(3x)24-in.	\$178.57	Tree is 95% dead, located at fencelinealong the main drive. Recommend removal.
690	10.00	na	na	<i>Fraxinus uhdei</i>	Shamel ash	45/15	80/60/60	60%-Fair	Photo	Protected			Low	HR	1	(3x)24-in.	\$1,488.08	Growing at fenceline along the main drive. This is tall, slender form-appears to be a volunteer searching for light. Poor location with limited growing space. Recommend removal.
691	17.00	na	na	<i>Fraxinus uhdei</i>	Shamel ash	45/25	80/80/80	80%-Good	Photo	Protected			Low	HR	1	(3x)24-in.	\$5,734.07	Volunteer growing at fence line, poor location long-term, tree will be a problem-recommend removal.
692	5.50	na	na	<i>Lagerstroemia sp.</i>	crape myrtle	20/20	90/85/90	85%-Excellent		Protected			Low	Retain			\$2,415.68	Tree no. 692-704 are all planted in a line along the main driveway. Overall, good health, structure and form-typical for species. Some could benefit from structure pruning.
693	5.50	na	na	<i>Lagerstroemia sp.</i>	crape myrtle	20/20	90/85/90	85%-Excellent		Protected			Low	Retain			\$2,415.68	Tree no. 692-704 are all planted in a line along the main driveway. Overall, good health, structure and form-typical for species. Some could benefit from structure pruning.
694	6.00	na	na	<i>Lagerstroemia sp.</i>	crape myrtle	20/20	90/80/90	80%-Good		Protected			Low	Retain			\$2,705.75	Tree no. 692-704 are all planted in a line along the main driveway. Overall, good health, structure and form-typical for species. Some could benefit from structure pruning.
695	6.80	na	na	<i>Lagerstroemia sp.</i>	crape myrtle	20/20	90/80/90	80%-Good		Protected			Low	Retain			\$3,475.38	Tree no. 692-704 are all planted in a line along the main driveway. Overall, good health, structure and form-typical for species. Some could benefit from structure pruning.
696	6.80	na	na	<i>Lagerstroemia sp.</i>	crape myrtle	20/20	90/80/90	80%-Good		Protected			Low	Retain			\$3,475.38	Tree no. 692-704 are all planted in a line along the main driveway. Overall, good health, structure and form-typical for species. Some could benefit from structure pruning.
697	6.70	na	na	<i>Lagerstroemia sp.</i>	crape myrtle	20/20	90/80/90	80%-Good		Protected			Low	Retain			\$3,373.92	Tree no. 692-704 are all planted in a line along the main driveway. Overall, good health, structure and form-typical for species. Some could benefit from structure pruning.
698	6.60	na	na	<i>Lagerstroemia sp.</i>	crape myrtle	20/20	90/20/10	10%-Very Poor		Protected			Low	Retain			\$409.24	Tree no. 698- lost the main leader. The structure and form is severely compromised. Longterm consider removal and replacement.
699	7.00	na	na	<i>Lagerstroemia sp.</i>	crape myrtle	20/20	90/60/90	60%-Fair		Protected			Low	Retain			\$2,762.12	Tree no. 699 has a large included union at approximately 5ft. In addition, there is a torn scaffold, limb from a branch that was close to the light. Recommend pruning to improve structure.
700	6.30	na	na	<i>Lagerstroemia sp.</i>	crape myrtle	20/20	90/80/90	80%-Good		Protected			Low	Retain			\$2,983.09	Tree no. 692-704 are all planted in a line along the main driveway. Overall, good health, structure and form-typical for species. Some could benefit from structure pruning.
701	5.40	na	na	<i>Lagerstroemia sp.</i>	crape myrtle	20/10	90/80/90	80%-Good		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$2,191.66	Tree no. 692-704 are all planted in a line along the main driveway. Overall, good health, structure and form-typical for species. Some could benefit from structure pruning.
702	5.20	na	na	<i>Lagerstroemia sp.</i>	crape myrtle	20/10	90/80/90	80%-Good		Protected			Low	Retain			\$2,032.32	Tree no. 692-704 are all planted in a line along the main driveway. Overall, good health, structure and form-typical for species. Some could benefit from structure pruning.
703	5.20	na	na	<i>Lagerstroemia sp.</i>	crape myrtle	20/10	90/80/90	80%-Good		Protected			Low	Retain			\$2,032.32	Tree no. 692-704 are all planted in a line along the main driveway. Overall, good health, structure and form-typical for species. Some could benefit from structure pruning.
704	3.40	2.7 & 2.4	8.50	<i>Lagerstroemia sp.</i>	crape myrtle	20/10	80/30/80	30%-Poor		Protected			Low	Retain			\$693.63	Tree no. 704 has large scaffold limbs that have been cut leaving "dog eared" stubs and associated decay, several sucker sprouts emerging. Structure is poor and would be difficult to improve with pruning. Longterm consider removal and replacement.

La Rinconada Golf Country Club
Tree Inventory and Valuation Appraisal based on 10th Edition *Guide for Plant Appraisal*
Formula: Functional Replacement Method/Trunk Formula Technique

Tree No.	DBH(in.)	DBH Multi(in.)	Combined DBH(in.)	Species Botanical Name (<i>Genus species</i>)	Species Common Name	Canopy Height/Spread(ft.)	Health/Structure/Form Ratings (0-100%)	Overall Condition Ratings (0-100%)	Photo	Protected	Large Protected	Exempt	Expected Impact Level	Remove, Hazard Remove (HR) or Retain Status	Criteria for Removal Sec. 29.10.0992 & Sec. 29.10.0970	Replacement Tree Quantity & Box Size Recommendation	Appraisal Value of Protected Tree	Notes
705	5.50	na	na	<i>Lagerstroemia sp.</i>	crape myrtle	20/20	90/80/90	80%-Good		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Trees no. 705-711 are located in the main parking area, close to the ball netting, dividing the parking lot from the course.
706	1.00	1,1,1,1,1,1 & 1	9.00	<i>Lagerstroemia sp.</i>	crape myrtle	15/10	90/10/50	10%-Very Poor		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Trees no. 705-711 are located in the main parking area, close to the ball netting, dividing the parking lot from the course. Trees no. 706-709 multi trunk specimens.
707	1.50	1.5,1.5,1.5, 1.5, 1.5, 1.5, 1.5 & 1	13.00	<i>Lagerstroemia sp.</i>	crape myrtle	20/20	90/10/50	10%-Very Poor		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Trees no. 705-711 are located in the main parking area, close to the ball netting, dividing the parking lot from the course. Trees no. 706-709 multi trunk specimens.
708	2.00	2, 1.5, 1.5, 1.5, & 1	9.50	<i>Lagerstroemia sp.</i>	crape myrtle	20/15	90/10/50	10%-Very Poor		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Trees no. 705-711 are located in the main parking area, close to the ball netting, dividing the parking lot from the course. Trees no. 706-709 multi trunk specimens.
709	2.00	2, 1.5, 1.5, 1.5, 1.5, 1, 1, & 1	13.00	<i>Lagerstroemia sp.</i>	crape myrtle	20/20	90/10/50	10%-Very Poor		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Trees no. 705-711 are located in the main parking area, close to the ball netting, dividing the parking lot from the course. Trees no. 706-709 multi trunk specimens.
710	4.00	na	na	<i>Lagerstroemia sp.</i>	crape myrtle	20/20	90/80/90	80%-Good		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Trees no. 705-711 are located in the main parking area, close to the ball net, dividing the parking lot from the course.
711	8.00	na	na	<i>Lagerstroemia sp.</i>	crape myrtle	25/25	90/80/90	80%-Good		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Trees no. 705-711 are located in the main parking area, close to the ball net, dividing the parking lot from the course.
712	6.80	na	na	<i>Platanus acerifolia</i> 'Columbia'	London plane tree	25/20	80/80/80	80%-Good		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Tree no. 712-713 and 717-736 are all young Sycamore trees growing throughout the parking lot. All trees appear to be well-maintained and pruned for clearance over parking spaces and lights. Overall good condition, structure and form.
713	4.50	na	na	<i>Platanus acerifolia</i> 'Columbia'	London plane tree	20/10	80/80/80	80%-Good		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Tree no. 712-713 and 717-736 are all young Sycamore trees growing throughout the parking lot. All trees appear to be well-maintained and pruned for clearance over parking spaces and lights. Overall good condition, structure and form.
714	8.50	8.50	17.00	<i>Quercus agrifolia</i>	coast live oak	20/25	70/70/70	70%-Good		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Small stature, grows on slope and has been pruned up to ~7ft. for clearance, root area heavily exposed. Good health, structure and form.
715	7.50	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	22/10	90/95/95	90%-Excellent		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Tree no. 715 and 716 both young coast redwoods, located on slope between cart path, retaining wall and main parking lot. This is the smaller of the two trees, they are both planted too close together for mature size. Longterm consider removing one.
716	10.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	28/10	95/95/95	95%-Excellent		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Tree no. 715 and 716 are two young coast redwood trees, located on slope between cart path, retaining wall and main parking lot. Both of these trees are planted within 4-5ft. from the retaining wall and iron fence. Overall near perfect health, structure and form-typical for young species.
717	7.30	na	na	<i>Platanus acerifolia</i> 'Columbia'	London plane tree	25/15	80/80/80	80%-Good		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Relatively young tree growing in the main parking lot. Overall good health, structure and form.
718	15.00	na	na	<i>Platanus acerifolia</i> 'Columbia'	London plane tree	45/30	80/80/80	80%-Good		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Relatively young tree growing in the main parking lot. Overall good health, structure and form.
719	12.40	na	na	<i>Platanus acerifolia</i> 'Columbia'	London plane tree	35/30	80/80/80	80%-Good		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Relatively young tree growing in the main parking lot. Overall good health, structure and form.
720	9.30	na	na	<i>Platanus acerifolia</i> 'Columbia'	London plane tree	30/20	80/80/80	80%-Good		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Relatively young tree growing in the main parking lot. Overall good health, structure and form.

La Rinconada Golf Country Club
Tree Inventory and Valuation Appraisal based on 10th Edition *Guide for Plant Appraisal*
Formula: Functional Replacement Method/Trunk Formula Technique

Tree No.	DBH(in.)	DBH Multi(in.)	Combined DBH(in.)	Species Botanical Name (Genus species)	Species Common Name	Canopy Height/Spread(ft.)	Health/Structure/Form Ratings (0-100%)	Overall Condition Ratings (0-100%)	Photo	Protected	Large Protected	Exempt	Expected Impact Level	Remove, Hazard Remove (HR) or Retain Status	Criteria for Removal Sec. 29.10.0992 & Sec. 29.10.0970	Replacement Tree Quantity & Box Size Recommendation	Appraisal Value of Protected Tree	Notes
721	8.70	na	na	Platanus acerifolia 'Columbia'	London plane tree	30/20	80/80/80	80%-Good		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Relatively young tree growing in the main parking lot. Overall good health, structure and form.
722	8.70	na	na	Platanus acerifolia 'Columbia'	London plane tree	30/20	80/80/80	80%-Good		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Relatively young tree growing in the main parking lot. Overall good health, structure and form.
723	7.50	na	na	Platanus acerifolia 'Columbia'	London plane tree	30/10	80/80/80	80%-Good		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Relatively young tree growing in the main parking lot. Overall good health, structure and form.
724	11.00	na	na	Platanus acerifolia 'Columbia'	London plane tree	35/20	80/80/80	80%-Good		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Relatively young tree growing in the main parking lot. Overall good health, structure and form.
725	9.00	na	na	Platanus acerifolia 'Columbia'	London plane tree	30/20	80/80/80	80%-Good		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Relatively young tree growing in the main parking lot. Overall good health, structure and form.
726	8.50	na	na	Platanus acerifolia 'Columbia'	London plane tree	30/20	80/80/80	80%-Good		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Relatively young tree growing in the main parking lot. Overall good health, structure and form.
727	7.00	na	na	Platanus acerifolia 'Columbia'	London plane tree	25/10	80/80/80	80%-Good		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Relatively young tree growing in the main parking lot. Overall good health, structure and form.
728	6.00	na	na	Platanus acerifolia 'Columbia'	London plane tree	18/10	80/80/80	80%-Good		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Relatively young tree growing in the main parking lot. Overall good health, structure and form.
729	7.50	na	na	Platanus acerifolia 'Columbia'	London plane tree	20/10	80/80/80	80%-Good		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Relatively young tree growing in the main parking lot. Overall good health, structure and form.
730	6.50	na	na	Platanus acerifolia 'Columbia'	London plane tree	20/10	80/80/80	80%-Good		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Relatively young tree growing in the main parking lot. Overall good health, structure and form.
731	6.00	na	na	Platanus acerifolia 'Columbia'	London plane tree	20/10	80/80/80	80%-Good		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Relatively young tree growing in the main parking lot. Overall good health, structure and form.
732	6.30	na	na	Platanus acerifolia 'Columbia'	London plane tree	20/10	80/80/80	80%-Good		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Relatively young tree growing in the main parking lot. Overall good health, structure and form.
733	9.00	na	na	Platanus acerifolia 'Columbia'	London plane tree	30/15	80/80/80	80%-Good		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Relatively young tree growing in the main parking lot. Overall good health, structure and form.
734	8.50	na	na	Platanus acerifolia 'Columbia'	London plane tree	25/15	80/80/80	80%-Good		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Relatively young tree growing in the main parking lot. Overall good health, structure and form.
735	8.00	na	na	Platanus acerifolia 'Columbia'	London plane tree	30/15	80/80/80	80%-Good		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Relatively young tree growing in the main parking lot. Overall good health, structure and form.
736	8.00	na	na	Platanus acerifolia 'Columbia'	London plane tree	25/15	80/80/80	80%-Good		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Relatively young tree growing in the main parking lot. Overall good health, structure and form.

La Rinconada Golf Country Club

Tree Inventory and Valuation Appraisal based on 10th Edition *Guide for Plant Appraisal*

Formula: Functional Replacement Method/Trunk Formula Technique

Tree No.	DBH(in.)	DBH Multi(in.)	Combined DBH(in.)	Species Botanical Name (<i>Genus species</i>)	Species Common Name	Canopy Height/Spread(ft.)	Health/Structure/Form Ratings (0-100%)	Overall Condition Ratings (0-100%)	Photo	Protected	Large Protected	Exempt	Expected Impact Level	Remove, Hazard Remove (HR) or Retain Status	Criteria for Removal Sec. 29.10.0992 & Sec. 29.10.0970	Replacement Tree Quantity & Box Size Recommendation	Appraisal Value of Protected Tree	Notes
737	6.00	4, 3, & 2	15.00	<i>Olea europaea</i>	olive	15-Dec	85/80/80	80%-Good		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Young tree, heavily pruned for camera clearance.
738	14.00	na	na	<i>Pyrus kawakami</i>	evergreen pear	30/30	10/10/10	10%-Very Poor		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Tree is located next to the cart wash station and underground electrical box. Poor condition. Longterm consider removal and replacement.
739	15.00	13, 12 & 12	52.00	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	red gum	55/40	85/20/40	20%-Very Poor	Photo		Large Protected		None	HR	1	(4X) 24-in. or (2x) 36-in.	Out of Work Area	Growing next to the cart wash station and electrical junction box. Large tree, possibly a stump sprout that has regrown or a volunteer with multiple trunks from ground level. Large inclusions between main unions. Tree leans over the clubhouse and the powerlines. Consider hazardous -Recommend Removal.
740	7.70	na	na	<i>Quercus ilex</i>	holly oak	15/12	85/80/80	80%-Good		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Growing in a poor location, appears to have been removed and re-sprouted. Overall healthy and vigorous growth, recommend maintaining or removing completely.
741	5.50	na	na	<i>Magnolia grandiflora</i>	southern magnolia	20/10	30/30/65	30%-Poor		Protected			Low	Retain			\$328.24	Tree no. 741-743 are all small, Southern magnolia trees growing next to fitness center/parking lot ball netting. Could benefit from additional water and 2-3in. of mulch over the root zone. Tree no. 741-minor deadwood throughout, poor codominant union and included bark at 7ft., tree appears stressed.
742	6.50	na	na	<i>Magnolia grandiflora</i>	southern magnolia	30/15	80/60/85	60%-Fair		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Tree no. 741-743 are all small, Southern magnolia trees growing next to fitness center/parking lot ball netting. Could benefit from additional water and 2-3in. of mulch over the root zone. Tree no. 742 is growing right next to the net pole. Overall good condition - could benefit from structure pruning.
743	3.80	na	na	<i>Magnolia grandiflora</i>	southern magnolia	20/15	30/70/90	30%-Poor		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Tree no. 741-743 are all small, Southern magnolia trees growing next to fitness center/parking lot ball netting. Could benefit from additional water and 2-3in. of mulch over the root zone. Tree no. 743 is a young tree and appears somewhat stressed. There is a large amount of small deadwood in canopy.
744	7.00	na	na	<i>Lagerstroemia sp.</i>	crape myrtle	20/15	90/80/90	80%-Good		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Tree no. 744-747 are all single trunk trees of the same species, growing adjacent to the pool fence on the parking lot side. Tree no. 744 has been planted under the net, between the pool fence and the parking lot. There is a large flush cut over the parking lot side at the main union.
745	6.50	na	na	<i>Lagerstroemia sp.</i>	crape myrtle	20/15	90/70/90	70%-Good		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Tree no. 744-747 are all single trunk trees of the same species, growing adjacent to the pool fence on the parking lot side. Tree no. 745 has a poor main union where all branches originate from. This would have been best to correct when the tree was younger.
746	6.30	na	na	<i>Lagerstroemia sp.</i>	crape myrtle	20/20	90/80/90	80%-Good		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Tree no. 744-747 are all single trunk trees of the same species, growing adjacent to the pool fence on the parking lot side.
747	7.80	na	na	<i>Lagerstroemia sp.</i>	crape myrtle	20/30	90/70/90	70%-Good		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Tree no. 744-747 are all single trunk trees of the same species, growing adjacent to the pool fence on the parking lot side. Tree no. 747 has a poor union and would benefit from structural pruning.
748	16.00	na	na	<i>Butia capitata</i>	Pindo palm	8/10	60/95/95	60%-Fair				Exempt	None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Tree no. 748 is a palm tree located to the right of main pool gate. The foliage appears slightly mottled.
749	20.00	na	na	<i>Butia capitata</i>	Pindo palm	10/15	95/95/95	95%-Excellent				Exempt	None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Tree no. 749 is located to the left main pool gate. Overall health appears good and typical for species.
750	9.00	na	na	<i>Syagrus romanzoffiana</i>	Queen palm	15/02	60/90/90	60%-Fair				Exempt	None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Tree no. 750-757 are all queen palms located inside the pool area. All trees appear to be growing within in a limited growing space and have slightly chlorotic foliage.
751	10.50	na	na	<i>Syagrus romanzoffiana</i>	Queen palm	15/02	60/90/90	60%-Fair				Exempt	None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Tree no. 750-757 are all queen palms located inside the pool area. All trees appear to be growing within in a limited growing space and have slightly chlorotic foliage.
752	10.20	na	na	<i>Syagrus romanzoffiana</i>	Queen palm	15/02	60/90/90	60%-Fair				Exempt	None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Tree no. 750-757 are all queen palms located inside the pool area. All trees appear to be growing within in a limited growing space and have slightly chlorotic foliage.

La Rinconada Golf Country Club
Tree Inventory and Valuation Appraisal based on 10th Edition *Guide for Plant Appraisal*
Formula: Functional Replacement Method/Trunk Formula Technique

Tree No.	DBH(in.)	DBH Multi(in.)	Combined DBH(in.)	Species Botanical Name (<i>Genus species</i>)	Species Common Name	Canopy Height/Spread(ft.)	Health/Structure/Form Ratings (0-100%)	Overall Condition Ratings (0-100%)	Photo	Protected	Large Protected	Exempt	Expected Impact Level	Remove, Hazard Remove (HR) or Retain Status	Criteria for Removal Sec. 29.10.0992 & Sec. 29.10.0970	Replacement Tree Quantity & Box Size Recommendation	Appraisal Value of Protected Tree	Notes
753	9.00	na	na	<i>Syagrus romanzoffiana</i>	Queen palm	15/02	60/90/90	60%-Fair				Exempt	None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Tree no. 750-757 are all queen palms located inside the pool area. All trees appear to be growing within in a limited growing space and have slightly chlorotic foliage.
754	10.50	na	na	<i>Syagrus romanzoffiana</i>	Queen palm	15/02	60/90/90	60%-Fair				Exempt	None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Tree no. 750-757 are all queen palms located inside the pool area. All trees appear to be growing within in a limited growing space and have slightly chlorotic foliage.
755	10.50	na	na	<i>Syagrus romanzoffiana</i>	Queen palm	15/02	60/90/90	60%-Fair				Exempt	None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Tree no. 750-757 are all queen palms located inside the pool area. All trees appear to be growing within in a limited growing space and have slightly chlorotic foliage.
756	10.50	12.50	23.00	<i>Syagrus romanzoffiana</i>	Queen palm	15/02	90/60/90	60%-Fair				Exempt	None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Tree no. 756 & 757 are twin trunk specimen from grade-likely planted that way for aesthetic purposes
757	10.00	9.50	19.50	<i>Syagrus romanzoffiana</i>	Queen palm	15/02	90/60/90	60%-Fair				Exempt	None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Tree no. 756 & 757 are twin trunk specimen from grade-likely planted that way for aesthetic purposes
758	11.40	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	25/10	50/90/90	50%-Fair		Protected			L	Retain			\$1,289.27	Located close to the driving range along Wedgewood Ave. at fenceline. Sparse canopy with some tip back.
759	9.70	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	15/10	60/10/10	10%-Very Poor		Protected			L	Retain			\$186.68	Lost its main central leader and is regrowing. Rootzone area is limited, grows between fence, cart path, and new sidewalk along Wedgewood Ave. Could prove to have inadequate space for such a large tree at maturity. Irrigation for understory plants appears to have been recently repaired or newly installed.
760	10.40	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	25/10	60/90/80	60%-Fair		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Tree appears to be mildly impacted by recent root zone disturbance.
761	5.60	na	na	<i>Acacia dealbata</i>	silver wattle	25/10	85/40/50	40%-Poor		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Volunteer species growing next to cart path. Visible trunk damage.
762	23.70	19.10	42.80	<i>Quercus agrifolia</i>	coast live oak	35/35	25/20/25	20%-Very Poor			Large Protected		None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Tree has a large amount of decay at the base. Trunk appears to have inspect/pest damage. Tree is actively cracking at the codominant union on the East side. Foliage is affected by, possibly, <i>Cryptocline cinerescens</i> (oak twig blight)-canopy dieback from lower branches upward. Poor overall condition.
763	20.00	na	na	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	red gum	50/30	80/80/80	80%-Good				Exempt	None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Nice tree-typical for species. Tree is under the size requirement for removal permit.
764	43.20	na	na	<i>Quercus agrifolia</i>	coast live oak	35/40	85/40/85	40%-Poor			Large Protected		None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Multi trunk tree with included bark between the unions. Some bark damage from borers on trunk. Tree appears healthy. Recommend removal of Eucalyptus branch (from tree #765) that is impeding upper canopy.
765	17.00	12.00	29.00	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	red gum	50/40	70/06/06	06%-Very Poor		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Large tree, codominant from base. Recommend removal of smaller stem growing into and resting on tree no. 764. Central leader snapped off at approximately 40ft., minor deadwood. Longterm consider removal to allow room for volunteer oaks.
766	14.50	na	na	<i>Acacia dealbata</i>	silver wattle	30/20	50/50/50	50%-Fair		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Tree has the broken tree no. 765, resting in its canopy. Foliage is chlorotic. Poor structure and form, limited growing space, in heavy competition with adjacent trees.
767	5.50	na	na	<i>Cupressus arizonica</i>	Arizona cypress	15/12	60/80/90	60%-Fair		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Trees no. 767-768 are both young trees with slightly chlorotic foliage. Soil is built up at base.
768	4.80	na	na	<i>Cupressus arizonica</i>	Arizona cypress	15/12	80/80/90	80%-Good		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Trees no. 767-768 are both young trees with slightly chlorotic foliage. Soil is built up at base. This tree would benefit from structure pruning.

La Rinconada Golf Country Club
Tree Inventory and Valuation Appraisal based on 10th Edition *Guide for Plant Appraisal*
Formula: Functional Replacement Method/Trunk Formula Technique

Tree No.	DBH(in.)	DBH Multi(in.)	Combined DBH(in.)	Species Botanical Name (<i>Genus species</i>)	Species Common Name	Canopy Height/Spread(ft.)	Health/Structure/Form Ratings (0-100%)	Overall Condition Ratings (0-100%)	Photo	Protected	Large Protected	Exempt	Expected Impact Level	Remove, Hazard Remove (HR) or Retain Status	Criteria for Removal Sec. 29.10.0992 & Sec. 29.10.0970	Replacement Tree Quantity & Box Size Recommendation	Appraisal Value of Protected Tree	Notes
769	5.70	3.50	9.20	<i>Quercus agrifolia</i>	coast live oak	15/15	80/60/70	60%-Fair		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Trees no. 769-770 are young trees planted on the edge of the driving range, adjacent to a well used gravel path. Both trees have poor structure. Tree no. 769 has small cracks in trunk and bleeding on trunk. This tree should have irrigation tubing removed from base of trunk.
770	7.00	na	na	<i>Quercus agrifolia</i>	coast live oak	15/10	80/60/70	60%-Fair		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Trees no. 769-770 are young trees planted on the edge of the driving range, adjacent to a well used gravel path. Both trees have poor structure. Tree no. 769 has small cracks in trunk and bleeding on trunk.
771	9.00	7.5, 6, 5, 5, 4.5, 4, 4, & 3.3	53.30	<i>Acacia dealbata</i>	silver wattle	25/40	70/10/15	10%-Very Poor		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Consists of trunk and stump sprouts, very poor overall structure. Volunteer growing at fenceline. Consider removal.
772	16.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	30/20	65/90/90	65%-Good		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Tree has chlorotic foliage.
773	32.00	na	na	<i>Acacia dealbata</i>	silver wattle	30/45	20/75/85	20%-Very Poor		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Mature specimen that appears to be in the stage of senescence. Large amount of deadwood, no trunk flare at base, and appears to have soil buildup (soil recently added to the area). This tree is located along the fenceline, adjacent to Wedgewood Ave.
774	8.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	20/10	06/06/06	06%-Very Poor		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Tree is buried at the base with excess soil, root zone appears to be compromised, tree appears to be dying- foliage is chlorotic with tip dieback throughout.
775	18.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	40/20	65/90/90	65%-Good		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Chlorotic foliage, grows at edge of a gravel path, root zone is compacted.
776	7.20	na	na	<i>Acacia dealbata</i>	silver wattle	25/15	80/80/80	80%-Good		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Young, volunteer specimen surrounded by seedlings at base or possibly shoots coming off the roots.
777	9.00	na	na	<i>Quercus agrifolia</i>	coast live oak	20/10	75/25/70	25%-Poor		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Young volunteer specimen with codominant structure and included bark at 5ft. This structural defect could be corrected with pruning. The root zone has recently been impacted with excess soil on the southside. Recommend pruning and removal of soil.
778	4.30	2.50	6.80	<i>Quercus agrifolia</i>	coast live oak	15/15	70/70/80	70%-Good		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Young tree with small, slightly stunted foliage. This change in growth could be related to the excess soil buildup around the root zone. This tree could benefit from structure pruning and soil removal.
779	11.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	35/15	65/90/80	65%-Good		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Chlorotic foliage with some minor tipped dieback. There has been a recent change in soil grade, recommend removal of excess soil from around the root zone.
780	5.70	4.30	10.00	<i>Acacia baileyana</i>	Cootamundra wattle	25/20	75/60/65	60%-Fair		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Form is asymmetrical due to competition with adjacent pine trees. Also a changing in grade with soil built up in the root zone, recommend removal of excess soil.
781	12.00	na	na	<i>Pinus halepensis</i>	Aleppo pine	35/10	70/60/60	60%-Fair		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Young tree that has experienced change in soil grade, recommend excess soil removal from the root zone before it impacts the tree
782	15.70	na	na	<i>Pinus halepensis</i>	Aleppo pine	40/15	80/85/85	80%-Good		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Tree no. 782-783 Grow towards the southwest. Soil grade has recently changed within the last year. Tree no. 782 is a single trunk tree, growing within a grove. Overall condition appears typical for healthy species.
783	21.00	na	na	<i>Pinus halepensis</i>	Aleppo pine	50/25	80/85/85	80%-Good		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Tree no. 782-783 Grow towards the southwest. Soil grade has recently changed within the last year. Tree no. 782 is a single trunk tree, growing within a grove. Overall condition appears typical for healthy species.
784	8.50	na	na	<i>Pinus halepensis</i>	Aleppo pine	25/8	20/20/20	20%-Very Poor		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Tree no. 784 Grows with 782 & 783 but is shorter than the previous two Pines. Overall structure is poor. The tree is being out competed by adjacent trees.

La Rinconada Golf Country Club
Tree Inventory and Valuation Appraisal based on 10th Edition *Guide for Plant Appraisal*
Formula: Functional Replacement Method/Trunk Formula Technique

Tree No.	DBH(in.)	DBH Multi(in.)	Combined DBH(in.)	Species Botanical Name (<i>Genus species</i>)	Species Common Name	Canopy Height/Spread(ft.)	Health/Structure/Form Ratings (0-100%)	Overall Condition Ratings (0-100%)	Photo	Protected	Large Protected	Exempt	Expected Impact Level	Remove, Hazard Remove (HR) or Retain Status	Criteria for Removal Sec. 29.10.0992 & Sec. 29.10.0970	Replacement Tree Quantity & Box Size Recommendation	Appraisal Value of Protected Tree	Notes
785	20.00	na	na	<i>Pinus halepensis</i>	Aleppo pine	35/20	80/40/40	40%-Poor		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Tree becomes codominant at approximately 10ft. with a good union. Grows out from larger tree at the edge of the stand. 100% of the canopy grows to the South. Top of the main stem has failed.
786	11.40	na	na	<i>Pinus halepensis</i>	Aleppo pine	35/20	90/90/90	90%-Excellent		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Young tree located between the fence and no. 785. This tree has a single trunk, straight tree-good specimen overall.
787	17.00	na	na	<i>Pinus halepensis</i>	Aleppo pine	40/25	75/90/85	75%-Good		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Single trunk tree that has minor dead wood, good form and structure. Some chlorotic, stunted foliage noted. Could be related to recent change on south side.
788	19.00	na	na	<i>Quercus agrifolia</i>	coast live oak	30/30	40/85/65	40%-Poor		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Appears to be suffering from <i>Cryptocline cinerescens</i> (oak twig blight) with the lower foliage being affected. The canopy is sparse overall, the form is impacted by the neighboring trees, and the recent soil buildup at the base may be affecting condition.
789	17.50	na	na	<i>Pinus halepensis</i>	Aleppo pine	45/20	90/90/70	70%-Good		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Tree is competing with the adjacent oak tree. There has been a recent soil grade change around the base. Tree appears to be healthy and unaffected at this time- recommend removal of excess soil.
790	19.20	14.00	33.20	<i>Quercus agrifolia</i>	coast live oak	30/30	80/70/70	70%-Good			Large Protected		None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Nice looking tree that grows against the fencelineat Wedgewood Ave. The structure is good, but the form is being affected by clearance pruning on the southwest side, over the road. No visible trunk flare.
791	6.00	5.2 & 4.5	15.70	<i>Acacia dealbata</i>	silver wattle	25/20	80/40/50	40%-Poor		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Twin trunk tree, with codominant at ground level. It was likely planted for screening or came up as a volunteer. Recent soil grade change around the base.
792	7.50	6.50	14.00	<i>Acacia dealbata</i>	silver wattle	28/20	80/06/50	06%-Very Poor		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Codominant structure with a very poor union. Failure is likely to occur unless the tree is pruned to remove one of the trunks.
793	9.00	na	na	<i>Pinus halepensis</i>	Aleppo pine	30/10	60/60/50	50%-Fair		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Young tree- typical for species. Codominant trunk at 12ft., form is very asymmetrical. Appears there was possibly another tree behind it that failed. Majority of the foliage growth is to the south-Could benefit from structure pruning.
794	5.00	4, 3, 3 & 2	17.00	<i>Acacia dealbata</i>	silver wattle	25/20	80/20/40	20%-Very Poor		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Tree appears to be a volunteer cluster of small trunks. Good health and form, poor structure. It is growing at the base of adjacent pine no. 795.
795	21.00	na	na	<i>Pinus halepensis</i>	Aleppo pine	45/15	80/85/70	70%-Good		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Single trunk tree growing on a lean to the south, towards the sun. Overall good health for a young tree, typical for species
796	16.00	na	na	<i>Pinus halepensis</i>	Aleppo pine	40/15	80/85/80	80%-Good		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Young tree, grows with a bow in the trunk, to the north-appears to have self corrected. There is a slight amount of soil buildup around the root zone.
797	14.00	12.00	26.00	<i>Pinus halepensis</i>	Aleppo pine	25/15	65/40/50	40%-Poor		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Codominant from 4ft. with poor union. This is one of several trees in a grove. There has been a recent change in soil grade within the root zone. Minor browning of needles noted throughout the canopy.
798	16.80	na	na	<i>Pinus halepensis</i>	Aleppo pine	35/15	60/80/50	50%-Fair		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Young tree located next to the fence. Minor deadwood in the lower canopy. Recent change in soil grade, may have negative affect, longterm. Recommend removal of soil.
799	6.70	4.70	11.40	<i>Acacia dealbata</i>	silver wattle	20/20	70/30/45	30%-Poor		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Volunteer specimen with poor form, grows on a lean to the south. Multiple trunks and recent soil buildup around the root zone.
800	10.30	na	na	<i>Pinus halepensis</i>	Aleppo pine	22/15	30/40/30	30%-Poor		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Tree grows up beneath the canopy of a larger, older coast live oak. Structure, form, and health are compromised by competition.

La Rinconada Golf Country Club
Tree Inventory and Valuation Appraisal based on 10th Edition *Guide for Plant Appraisal*
Formula: Functional Replacement Method/Trunk Formula Technique

Tree No.	DBH(in.)	DBH Multi(in.)	Combined DBH(in.)	Species Botanical Name (<i>Genus species</i>)	Species Common Name	Canopy Height/Spread(ft.)	Health/Structure/Form Ratings (0-100%)	Overall Condition Ratings (0-100%)	Photo	Protected	Large Protected	Exempt	Expected Impact Level	Remove, Hazard Remove (HR) or Retain Status	Criteria for Removal Sec. 29.10.0992 & Sec. 29.10.0970	Replacement Tree Quantity & Box Size Recommendation	Appraisal Value of Protected Tree	Notes
801	25.50	14.80	40.30	<i>Quercus agrifolia</i>	coast live oak	30/40	70/06/50	06%-Very Poor			Large Protected		None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Low, sprawling oak tree, actively splitting apart. Lost central leader in the past. Recommend removal of the codominant trunk that is included from ground level and again at 6–8ft., poor overall structure.
802	13.50	na	na	<i>Pinus halepensis</i>	Aleppo pine	35/30	80/60/65	60%-Fair		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Young tree surrounded by other young volunteer oaks, which should be encouraged grow as they make a nice vegetative screen. This tree in particular is codominant at approximately 5ft. with a good union.
803	17.00	12.6, 12 & 7.7	49.30	<i>Quercus agrifolia</i>	coast live oak	35/45	85/20/50	20%-Very Poor			Large Protected		None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Large sprawling tree with multiple leaders. Three main unions branch out at 2.5ft. Borer damage to the bark and no trunk flare. The canopy competes for space with the street tree. Funal fruiting bodies and borer activity noted in the main union. The tallest main leader is codominant with included bark and swelling at approximately 10ft. This tree will split eventually if not tended to.
804	7.80	na	na	<i>Quercus agrifolia</i>	coast live oak	25/10	85/60/70	60%-Fair		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Young tree, most likely a volunteer. Grows adjacent to the fence and power pole. The trunk is codominant at 15ft. Limited growing space for a tree of this size. It will require regular maintenance around the power line, if it is to remain.
805	9.80	14.00	23.80	<i>Quercus lobata</i>	valley oak	25/20	85/20/80	20%-Very Poor		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Growing at fence line, most likely a volunteer. Fence embedded at the base of the trunk. Structure is codominant with included bark.
806	4.30	na	na	<i>Quercus agrifolia</i>	coast live oak	10/10	50/75/50	50%-Fair		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Young tree that appears to have stunted foliage. It could be root related from the nursery or soil compaction, around its root zone.
807	12.00	10.50	22.50	<i>Quercus agrifolia</i>	coast live oak	28/25	85/45/65	45%-Fair		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Most likely a volunteer and grows in the southeast corner of the driving range, against chain-link fence. Structure is codominant with included bark from ground level. Tree could benefit from structure, pruning.
808	4.30	3.50	7.80	<i>Quercus agrifolia</i>	coast live oak	10/8	85/40/40	40%-Poor		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Young tree growing at fence line, most likely a volunteer. The structure is codominant with poor union and limited in its growth due to its location. Chain-link fence will end up embedded in the trunk long-term.
809	16.50	na	na	<i>Quercus agrifolia</i>	coast live oak	30/20	60/20/50	20%-Very Poor		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Growing along the chain-link fence that separates the course from the creek. Structure is codominant with included bark at 5ft. Chain-link fence is embedded in the union from ground to 6ft.
810	10.20	na	na	<i>Quercus agrifolia</i>	coast live oak	30/10	60/25/50	25%-Poor		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Growing at fence line, codominant structure with included bark at 7ft. Canopy appears healthy and provides a good screen.
811	3.40	1.80	5.20	<i>Quercus agrifolia</i>	coast live oak	15/10	80/80/80	80%-Good		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Young volunteer growing at fenceline.Structure is codominant at 2ft., fair union. Tree would benefit from structure pruning. Eventually, the fence will become embedded in the bark.
812	12.00	na	na	<i>Quercus agrifolia</i>	coast live oak	30/20	80/20/50	20%-Very Poor		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Grows along the creek fenceline. Codominant structure with included union at approximately 10ft.
813	7.70	4 & 4	15.70	<i>Quercus ilex</i>	holly oak	25/20	80/20/40	20%-Very Poor		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Grows along the fenceline, fence embedded at ground level. Structure is codominant from zero to 2ft., form is asymmetrical. Tree has been topped and regrown with multiple stems at 10ft.
814	7.50	5.3 & 3.5	16.30	<i>Quercus ilex</i>	holly oak	30/15	80/50/60	50%-Fair		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Multiple leaders from 2ft. Very codominant structure, grows along fenceline and is competing for space with adjacent oak. Could benefit from structure pruning.
815	5.00	na	na	<i>Acacia dealbata</i>	silver wattle	30/15	90/85/85	85%-Excellent		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Tree no. 815–820 are all volunteers growing along the fenceline.
816	7.70	na	na	<i>Acacia dealbata</i>	silver wattle	25/08	90/65/75	65%-Good		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Tree no. 815–820 are all volunteers growing along the fenceline. Tree no. 816 is codominant at 5ft. with fair union.

La Rinconada Golf Country Club
Tree Inventory and Valuation Appraisal based on 10th Edition *Guide for Plant Appraisal*
Formula: Functional Replacement Method/Trunk Formula Technique

Tree No.	DBH(in.)	DBH Multi(in.)	Combined DBH(in.)	Species Botanical Name (<i>Genus species</i>)	Species Common Name	Canopy Height/Spread(ft.)	Health/Structure/Form Ratings (0-100%)	Overall Condition Ratings (0-100%)	Photo	Protected	Large Protected	Exempt	Expected Impact Level	Remove, Hazard Remove (HR) or Retain Status	Criteria for Removal Sec. 29.10.0992 & Sec. 29.10.0970	Replacement Tree Quantity & Box Size Recommendation	Appraisal Value of Protected Tree	Notes
817	4.30	na	na	<i>Acacia dealbata</i>	silver wattle	30/08	90/60/50	50%-Fair		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Tree no. 815–820 are all volunteers growing along the fenceline. Tree no. 817 has a codominant structure at 7ft. with a fair union. Tree would benefit from structure pruning.
818	5.00	na	na	<i>Acacia dealbata</i>	silver wattle	30/08	90/90/90	90%-Excellent		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Tree no. 815–820 are all volunteers growing along the fenceline. Tree no .818 is a young, tall, and spindly in form.
819	4.00	na	na	<i>Acacia dealbata</i>	silver wattle	30/10	90/30/10	10%-Very Poor		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Tree no. 815–820 are all volunteers growing along the fenceline. Top of the tree has been lost and regrown at about 10ft., developing multiple leaders.
820	6.80	na	na	<i>Acacia dealbata</i>	silver wattle	30/10	85/85/85	85%-Excellent		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Tree no. 815–820 are all volunteers growing along the fenceline. Tree no. 820 is a young tree typical for species.
821	3.20	3.2 & 2.3	8.70	<i>Pistachio chinensis</i>	Chinese pistache	25/15	80/30/40	30%-Poor		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Tree no 821 is a young volunteer growing up at fenceline.Structure is codominant at 4ft., would benefit from structure pruning.
822	10.00	na	na	<i>Acacia dealbata</i>	silver wattle	30/20	85/75/70	70%-Good		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Tree no. 822-823 are all young trees. Structure is codominant at 5ft. with a good union. Both trees would benefit from structure pruning.
823	10.00	na	na	<i>Acacia dealbata</i>	silver wattle	30/20	85/75/75	75%-Good		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Tree no. 822-823 are all young trees. Structure is codominant at 5ft. with a good union. Both trees would benefit from structure pruning.
824	4.50	4.20	8.70	<i>Cupressus arizonica</i>	Arizona cypress	25/15	85/20/30	20%-Very Poor		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Tree no .824 is a young tree, codominant from ground level and evidence of a third trunk that failed in the past. Form is now asymmetrical. Tree should be pruned to eliminate future failure.
825	4.00	na	na	<i>Cupressus arizonica</i>	Arizona cypress	20/10	85/85/85	85%-Excellent		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Tree no .825 is a young healthy tree -Typical for species.
826	10.00	8, 6 & 5	29.00	<i>Quercus ilex</i>	holly oak	35/30	60/30/70	30%-Poor			Large Protected		None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Tree no. 826 is growing along the fenceline, has multiple trunks from ground level and a large rat nest between the trunks at the base. The chain-link fence is embedded in the trunk, foliage appears thin.
827	4.00	na	na	<i>Quercus agrifolia</i>	coast live oak	5-Oct	80/45/45	45%-Fair		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Tree no. 827 is a small tree with recent root disturbance from excavation work to open trench for water pipeline repair.
828	4.00	3 & 2.8	9.80	<i>Quercus agrifolia</i>	coast live oak	18/15	85/20/80	20%-Very Poor		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Young volunteer that grows at fenceline. Tree has good form and poor structure. Structure is codominant and included from ground level, then again at 4ft.
829	7.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	22/08	70/90/85	70%-Good		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Young, single trunk tree, that appears slightly thin in the canopy. Appears ot have minor mechanical damage to roots and lower canopy.
830	6.70	na	na	<i>Cupressus arizonica</i>	Arizona cypress	22/12	90/90/90	90%-Excellent		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Young, healthy tree typical for species.
831	8.00	na	na	<i>Cupressus arizonica</i>	Arizona cypress	24/10	70/80/70	70%-Good		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Young healthy tree typical for species. Canopy is slightly thin and grows on a lean due to competition with larger oak. Recommend clearance pruning larger oak.
832	15.50	15,15,10, 9,5,9,8,7,6, 6,5, & 4	110.00	<i>Quercus agrifolia</i>	coast live oak	35/60	80/40/80	40%-Poor			Large Protected		None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Large, sprawling tree growing into the fence. Rat nest at the base, multi trunk unions at ground level and fence embedded in numerous places with grafting between some of the unions.

La Rinconada Golf Country Club

Tree Inventory and Valuation Appraisal based on 10th Edition *Guide for Plant Appraisal*

Formula: Functional Replacement Method/Trunk Formula Technique

Tree No.	DBH(in.)	DBH Multi(in.)	Combined DBH(in.)	Species Botanical Name (Genus species)	Species Common Name	Canopy Height/Spread(ft.)	Health/Structure/Form Ratings (0-100%)	Overall Condition Ratings (0-100%)	Photo	Protected	Large Protected	Exempt	Expected Impact Level	Remove, Hazard Remove (HR) or Retain Status	Criteria for Removal Sec. 29.10.0992 & Sec. 29.10.0970	Replacement Tree Quantity & Box Size Recommendation	Appraisal Value of Protected Tree	Notes
833	7.70	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	25/15	90/90/90	90%-Excellent		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Tree no. 833-836 are all young, healthy trees, typical of species.
834	21.50	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	35/25	90/90/90	90%-Excellent		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Tree no. 833-836 are all young, healthy trees, typical of species. Tree no. 834 has a small mechanical wound at the base on the east side
835	21.50	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	35/25	90/90/90	90%-Excellent		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Tree no. 833-836 are all young, healthy trees, typical of species.
836	24.50	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	35/30	90/90/90	90%-Excellent		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Tree no. 833-836 are all young, healthy trees, typical of species.
837	5.50	na	na	<i>Quercus agrifolia</i>	coast live oak	18/10	30/80/80	30%-Poor		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Young tree with extreme chlorosis on the southwest side, overall good form and structure.
838	9.00	na	na	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	red gum	28/20	80/40/20	20%-Very Poor				Exempt	None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Volunteer tree that leans out to the east, away from the fence and coast live oak no. 839. Tree is under the size requirement for removal permit.
839	10.00	7.70	17.70	<i>Quercus ilex</i>	holly oak	28/15	60/30/30	30%-Poor		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Likely a volunteer specimen, buried beneath adjacent, larger canopy tree. Two trunks at ground level with rat nest at base.
840	30.00	na	na	<i>Quercus agrifolia</i>	coast live oak	35/30	80/20/60	20%-Very Poor			Large Protected		None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Trifurcated at 7ft., with fence embedded in the trunk. Very poor structure.
841	4.40	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	15/10	70/90/90	70%-Good		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Young tree growing next to compacted, gravel dirt path. Canopy is think and relatively open, with minor, broken branches.
842	24.00	18.00	42.00	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	red gum	60/30	85/60/80	60%-Fair		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Tree is growing at fence line, structure is compromised by codominant leaders from ground level and included bark.
843	13.50	na	na	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	red gum	50/20	85/85/85	85%-Excellent				Exempt	None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Young, healthy, single trunk tree-typical for species. Tree is under the size requirement for removal permit.
844	14.00	8.00	22.00	<i>Quercus agrifolia</i>	coast live oak	30/30	80/60/70	60%-Fair		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Growing at fenceline, under larger eucalyptus canopies. Small included branch at 4.5ft.-would benefit from structure pruning.
845	19.00	na	na	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	red gum	70/40	70/50/50	50%-Fair				Exempt	None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Growing at fenceline, at the edge of a grove, largely asymmetrical. Tree is under the size requirement for removal permit.
846	11.50	na	na	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	red gum	50/25	50/50/30	30%-Poor				Exempt	None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Growing at fenceline and stunted beneath the larger eucalyptus tree. Tree is under the size requirement for removal permit.
847	7.50	na	na	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	red gum	30/15	50/20/20	20%-Very Poor				Exempt	None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Young tree in a grove of volunteers. Leans out away from larger canopy trees. Tree is under the size requirement for removal permit.
848	9.00	na	na	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	red gum	30/15	30/20/20	20%-Very Poor				Exempt	None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Young volunteer tree, codominant at 7ft. and has a heavy lean. Tree is under the size requirement for removal permit.

La Rinconada Golf Country Club
Tree Inventory and Valuation Appraisal based on 10th Edition *Guide for Plant Appraisal*
Formula: Functional Replacement Method/Trunk Formula Technique

Tree No.	DBH(in.)	DBH Multi(in.)	Combined DBH(in.)	Species Botanical Name (Genus species)	Species Common Name	Canopy Height/Spread(ft.)	Health/Structure/Form Ratings (0-100%)	Overall Condition Ratings (0-100%)	Photo	Protected	Large Protected	Exempt	Expected Impact Level	Remove, Hazard Remove (HR) or Retain Status	Criteria for Removal Sec. 29.10.0992 & Sec. 29.10.0970	Replacement Tree Quantity & Box Size Recommendation	Appraisal Value of Protected Tree	Notes
849	12.00	5.00	17.00	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	red gum	30/15	10/10/10	10%-Very Poor				Exempt	None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Part of volunteer grove. The top has snapped out at approximately 20ft. and there is mechanical damage at the base. Tree is under the size requirement for removal permit.
850	7.20	na	na	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	red gum	30/15	30/10/10	10%-Very Poor				Exempt	None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Part of volunteer grove of eucalyptus trees. It grows on a heavy lean and has 2–3ft. of soil built up around the base. Tree is under the size requirement for removal permit.
851	12.50	8.50	21.00	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	red gum	30/15	50/30/20	20%-Very Poor				Exempt	None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Part of a grove of volunteers. Smaller stem grows on a 90° angle. Growth is stunted under larger canopy trees. Tree is under the size requirement for removal permit.
852	8.00	8 & 7	23.00	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	red gum	30/15	30/10/10	10%-Very Poor				Exempt	None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Multi trunk tree from ground level, stunted growth, growing at fenceline below larger trees. Base of trunk appears to be buried by rat nest. Tree is under the size requirement for removal permit.
853	9.00	na	na	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	red gum	30/10	06/06/06	06%-Very Poor				Exempt	None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Volunteer specimen growing at fenceline, leans heavily out from under larger canopies. Top of tree has snapped off at approximately 30ft. Very poor condition, tree is barely alive-recommend removal. Tree is under the size requirement for removal permit.
854	6.50	na	na	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	red gum	30/10	50/30/20	20%-Very Poor				Exempt	None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Young volunteer growing at fenceline, has three trunks with poor unions at 12ft. Tree is under the size requirement for removal permit.
855	6.50	na	na	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	red gum	30/10	60/20/50	20%-Very Poor				Exempt	None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Tree no. 855 is a young volunteer growing at fenceline has codominant structure at 20ft., with one side of the tree snapped out. Tree is under the size requirement for removal permit.
856	7.00	na	na	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	red gum	30/10	60/10/50	10%-Very Poor				Exempt	None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Young volunteer growing at fenceline.Structure is codominant with a branch embedded in the union at approximately 10ft. Tree is under the size requirement for removal permit.
857	36.00	7.00	43.00	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	red gum	70/30	50/20/30	20%-Very Poor		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Large, old specimen, growing at fenceline. It has previously lost a few, large scaffold limbs. Notable, large stress fracture appears to have recently formed on the main trunk. This should be monitored.
858	12.00	9, 7 & 6	34.00	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	red gum	40/25	60/40/40	40%-Poor		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Large, volunteer growing under larger canopy trees. Tree has poor structure with multiple trunks from ground level.
859	12.00	7 & 5	24.00	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	red gum	40/10	10/20/40	20%-Very Poor				Exempt	None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Volunteer growing at fenceline.Trunk is buried and the 12in. Main stem appears to be dead. Tree is under the size requirement for removal permit.
860	8.50	na	na	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	red gum	25/15	06/06/06	06%-Very Poor				Exempt	None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Appears to be a small stem of what was once a much larger, multi trunk tree. The main trunk failed and the remaining trunk has split again. Recommend removal. Tree is under the size requirement for removal permit.
861	7.30	na	na	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	red gum	25/15	06/06/06	06%-Very Poor				Exempt	None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Part of a group of volunteer eucalyptus trees growing at fenceline. Evidence of multiple failures throughout the canopy. Tree is under the size requirement for removal permit.
862	19.00	8.00	27.00	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	red gum	50/25	50/10/20	10%-Very Poor		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Twin trunks from grade. Evidence of multiple large failures throughout. Located beside creek/swale.
863	30.00	15 & 12.4	57.40	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	red gum	65/60	80/50/40	40%-Poor			Large Protected		None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Tree no. 863 and 864 both have their trunks buried. Tree no. 863 is a large tree with one main trunk and two smaller branches originating at ground level with good unions. The tree is heavily weighted to one side due to competition of larger trees beside them.
864	33.50	na	na	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	red gum	70/40	70/80/80	70%-Good		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Tree no. 863 and 864 both have their trunks buried. Tree no. 864 is a large specimen tree. There is evidence of large limbs previously removed from the upper canopy. Overall, the tree appears to be in good shape, but has a significant amount of golf ball damage to the trunk.

La Rinconada Golf Country Club
Tree Inventory and Valuation Appraisal based on 10th Edition *Guide for Plant Appraisal*
Formula: Functional Replacement Method/Trunk Formula Technique

Tree No.	DBH(in.)	DBH Multi(in.)	Combined DBH(in.)	Species Botanical Name (<i>Genus species</i>)	Species Common Name	Canopy Height/Spread(ft.)	Health/Structure/Form Ratings (0-100%)	Overall Condition Ratings (0-100%)	Photo	Protected	Large Protected	Exempt	Expected Impact Level	Remove, Hazard Remove (HR) or Retain Status	Criteria for Removal Sec. 29.10.0992 & Sec. 29.10.0970	Replacement Tree Quantity & Box Size Recommendation	Appraisal Value of Protected Tree	Notes
865	12.50	na	na	<i>Quercus lobata</i>	valley oak	28/20	80/80/80	80%-Good		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Young, healthy tree that grows beneath larger canopies of adjacent trees.
866	7.50	7.00	14.50	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	red gum	30/20	70/60/50	50%-Fair				Exempt	None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Young volunteer with twin trunks from 1ft., union is good. Grows on a lean due to larger trees above it. Tree is under the size requirement for removal permit.
867	9.00	na	na	<i>Quercus lobata</i>	valley oak	30/20	70/70/50	50%-Fair		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Young tree that grows on a lean to the north, out from canopies of larger adjacent trees.
868	7.00	na	na	<i>Quercus ilex</i>	holly oak	25/15	70/70/80	70%-Good		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Young, healthy volunteer-typical of species.
869	15.50	na	na	<i>Quercus lobata</i>	valley oak	35/25	80/60/70	60%-Fair		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Fairly young tree with codominant structure and included bark at 6–7ft. Good form , structure could be corrected overtime.
870	21.20	na	na	<i>Pinus radiata</i>	Monterey pine	65/20	50/50/40	40%-Poor		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Tall, thin specimen that grows on a lean over the neighboring property/house. Structure is codominant at 30–35ft. with fair union. Some large sized deadwood noted in the canopy.
871	14.00	na	na	<i>Quercus agrifolia</i>	coast live oak	30/25	50/70/40	40%-Poor		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Grows on a lean out from larger trees above, canopy appears sparse.
872	28.00	14.00	42.00	<i>Pinus radiata</i>	Monterey pine	65/40	80/80/80	80%-Good		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Large, older specimen growing at fenceline. Lateral scaffold limb appears to be heavy-could benefit from pruning. Good condition overall.
873	5.00	3.70	8.70	<i>Quercus douglasii</i>	blue oak	20/08	65/40/40	40%-Poor		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Young tree growing below larger pine tree. Codominant structure at 3ft., majority of growth is to the north, creating asymetrical form.
874	10.00	5.60	15.60	Quercus douglasii	blue oak	25/30	85/80/85	80%-Good		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Young, healthy tree with twin trunks from ground level and good union.
875	13.30	9.40	22.70	<i>Quercus lobata</i>	valley oak	35/35	85/45/80	45%-Fair		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Young tree with codominant structure. Appears to be splitting at 3ft., structure could be corrected with pruning.
876	13.50	na	na	<i>Quercus agrifolia</i>	coast live oak	30/25	75/60/40	40%-Poor		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Tree grows on a heavy lean out from beneath larger canopies. Structure is codominant at 10ft. with good union.
877	7.50	na	na	<i>Quercus agrifolia</i>	coast live oak	20/20	50/50/20	20%-Very Poor		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Young tree that grows on a heavy lean out from below larger canopies.
878	12.00	na	na	<i>Quercus lobata</i>	valley oak	35/30	50/50/30	30%-Poor		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Tall, thin formed tree growing out from larger canopies. The trunk is covered in English ivy; recommend to remove ivy.
879	6.00	na	na	<i>Quercus ilex</i>	holly oak	35/10	20/10/10	10%-Very Poor		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Young tree with multiple leaders arisingfrom the main union, main leader is dead-no future for this tree-recommend removal.
880	12.50	11.5 & 11.5	35.50	<i>Quercus lobata</i>	valley oak	40/35	80/60/80	60%-Fair			Large Protected		None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Multiple trunks from 1ft. with good unions. The trunk is covered in English ivy; recommend to remove ivy.

La Rinconada Golf Country Club
Tree Inventory and Valuation Appraisal based on 10th Edition *Guide for Plant Appraisal*
Formula: Functional Replacement Method/Trunk Formula Technique

Tree No.	DBH(in.)	DBH Multi(in.)	Combined DBH(in.)	Species Botanical Name (<i>Genus species</i>)	Species Common Name	Canopy Height/Spread(ft.)	Health/Structure/Form Ratings (0-100%)	Overall Condition Ratings (0-100%)	Photo	Protected	Large Protected	Exempt	Expected Impact Level	Remove, Hazard Remove (HR) or Retain Status	Criteria for Removal Sec. 29.10.0992 & Sec. 29.10.0970	Replacement Tree Quantity & Box Size Recommendation	Appraisal Value of Protected Tree	Notes
881	12.50	na	na	<i>Quercus lobata</i>	valley oak	40/20	30/40/40	30%-Poor		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Tree is 80% dead, trunk is covered in ivy, grows on a lean due to surrounding canopies. Longterm recommend removal.
882	9.50	na	na	<i>Quercus lobata</i>	valley oak	35/25	80/80/60	60%-Fair		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Young tree, grows on a lean due to the competition of adjacent trees.
883	9.50	na	na	<i>Quercus lobata</i>	valley oak	35/25	20/50/40	20%-Very Poor		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Tree is 75% dead, covered in ivy and grows on a lean due to competition with other trees. Longterm recommend removal.
884	4.40	na	na	<i>Quercus agrifolia</i>	coast live oak	10-Oct	85/85/85	85%-Excellent		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Young, healthy tree-typical for species.
885	6.60	5.50	12.10	<i>Quercus douglasii</i>	blue oak	30/15	50/06/06	06%-Very Poor		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Young tree with extremely poor structure that is twisted around itself. There is no long-term future-recommend removal.
886	6.00	na	na	<i>Quercus douglasii</i>	blue oak	25/10	50/30/20	20%-Very Poor		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Young tree that grows on a heavy lean due to competition with adjacent trees
887	6.00	na	na	<i>Quercus douglasii</i>	blue oak	15-Oct	80/30/10	10%-Very Poor		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	young tree growing a 90° lean away from surrounding trees.
888	9.00	na	na	<i>Quercus agrifolia</i>	coast live oak	25/15	50/50/30	30%-Poor		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Tree no. 888-890 are all young trees growing on lean out from larger blue oak.
889	6.30	na	na	<i>Quercus agrifolia</i>	coast live oak	25/15	50/50/30	30%-Poor		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Tree no. 888-890 are all young trees growing on lean out from larger blue oak.
890	7.50	na	na	<i>Quercus agrifolia</i>	coast live oak	30/25	50/50/30	30%-Poor		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Tree no. 888-890 are all young trees growing on lean out from larger blue oak.
891	14.50	13.3, 12.5,& 7	47.30	<i>Quercus lobata</i>	valley oak	35/40	80/45/70	45%-Fair			Large Protected		None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Codominant with included bark from ground level. The structure is very poor, form is good.
892	3.30	2.00	5.30	<i>Quercus agrifolia</i>	coast live oak	15/10	90/90/90	90%-Excellent		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Young, healthy tree-typical for species.
893	17.50	13 & 8	38.50	<i>Quercus lobata</i>	valley oak	45/50	85/80/70	70%-Good			Large Protected		None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Triple trunk tree from ground level. Good overall form and condition, growing in a grove with other oak trees. Recommend pruning to correct structure.
894	18.50	na	na	<i>Quercus lobata</i>	valley oak	35/40	85/80/70	70%-Good		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Grows on a natural lean to the north from below, larger canopies.
895	8.50	8.5 & 3.5	20.50	<i>Quercus agrifolia</i>	coast live oak	25/20	50/20/40	20%-Very Poor		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Codominant with included bark from ground level to 1ft., union is poor. The lower canopy has died out possibly from <i>Cryptocline cinerescens</i> (<i>oak twig blight</i>) . Ivy at the base, recommend to removal of ivy.
896	7.80	7.00	14.80	<i>Quercus douglasii</i>	blue oak	35/15	80/20/80	20%-Very Poor		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Twin trunks from 1ft. and included bark between 2–3ft. Ivy growing at base, structure is poor, form is good. Recommend to remove ivy from base of trunk.

La Rinconada Golf Country Club
Tree Inventory and Valuation Appraisal based on 10th Edition *Guide for Plant Appraisal*
Formula: Functional Replacement Method/Trunk Formula Technique

Tree No.	DBH(in.)	DBH Multi(in.)	Combined DBH(in.)	Species Botanical Name (<i>Genus species</i>)	Species Common Name	Canopy Height/Spread(ft.)	Health/Structure/Form Ratings (0-100%)	Overall Condition Ratings (0-100%)	Photo	Protected	Large Protected	Exempt	Expected Impact Level	Remove, Hazard Remove (HR) or Retain Status	Criteria for Removal Sec. 29.10.0992 & Sec. 29.10.0970	Replacement Tree Quantity & Box Size Recommendation	Appraisal Value of Protected Tree	Notes
897	7.00	7.00	14.00	<i>Quercus douglasii</i>	blue oak	35/20	80/20/60	20%-Very Poor		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Twin trunks from 1ft., form compromised due to crowding by other larger trees.
898	11.00	na	na	<i>Quercus douglasii</i>	blue oak	35/30	90/90/90	90%-Excellent		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Grows between trees no. 897 and 899. It is the dominant tree between the three and has a good overall condition rating.
899	6.50	na	na	<i>Quercus douglasii</i>	blue oak	35/15	80/70/60	60%-Fair		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Smaller tree growing beneath no. 898. Single trunk, good structure, but form is compromised by adjacent trees.
900	13.50	10.50	24.00	<i>Quercus lobata</i>	valley oak	35/40	85/50/50	50%-Fair			Large Protected		None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Twin trunk tree with included bark at 1ft., recommend reducing the smaller of the two trunks overtime.
901	15.20	na	na	<i>Quercus lobata</i>	valley oak	35/30	80/80/50	50%-Fair		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Heavily growing to the north out from under other trees.
902	7.50	na	na	<i>Quercus lobata</i>	valley oak	30/10	60/65/50	50%-Fair		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Young, tall, thin tree grows out from between canopies of adjacent trees and leans to the east.
903	19.50	19, 14 & 9.5	62.00	<i>Quercus lobata</i>	valley oak	30/35	80/60/65	60%-Fair			Large Protected		None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Codominant structure from ground level with fair union. Form is asymmetrical due to competing canopies. Tree appears to be healthy with overall condition typical of species in a forested area.
904	6.00	8.50	14.50	<i>Quercus lobata</i>	valley oak	30/20	80/50/65	50%-Fair		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Young tree in a natural area with brush and ivy growing wild all around. Codominant with included union at 4.5ft. and again at 15ft. Recommend removal of the smaller stem.
905	9.80	7 & 5.5	22.30	<i>Quercus lobata</i>	valley oak	30/25	80/40/40	40%-Poor		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Triple trunk tree from ground level, may possibly be a regrowth over an old stump. Base of the trunk is covered with ivy. Structure is poor and long-term all three stems will continue to grow away from each. Failure is likely occur, consider removal.
906	11.00	8.2, 6.7, 6, 5, 4.8, 4.7 & 3.7	50.10	<i>Quercus lobata</i>	valley oak	30/35	80/20/60	20%-Very Poor			Large Protected		None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Multi trunk tree from ground level, possibly regrowth from a stump. The trunk is included, appears to be stunted. Form is extremely vase shaped. This tree is growing within a naturalized stand of similar species.
907	10.50	10.00	20.50	<i>Quercus agrifolia</i>	coast live oak	25/20	85/20/85	20%-Very Poor		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Codominant with included bark from ground level, with more codominant unions throughout canopy. Structure is very poor, however, it is in a naturalized stand of other oaks, providing a good screen and serving its purpose.
908	6.70	4.70	11.40	<i>Quercus douglasii</i>	blue oak	25/08	85/70/80	70%-Good		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Young blue oak with codominant structure from ground level. Base of tree is surrounded by juniper shrubs. Recommend pruning to reduce from a twin trunk to a single trunk tree while it is young.
909	4.00	3.30	7.30	<i>Quercus douglasii</i>	blue oak	20/06	80/10/75	10%-Very Poor		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Young tree that has codominant structure from ground level with included bark throughout. Overall, very poor structure could be pruned over time to correct.
910	5.00	4.5, 4.3 & 2.5	16.30	<i>Quercus agrifolia</i>	coast live oak	20/15	50/10/70	10%-Very Poor		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Young tree with very poor structure. Multiple trunks from grade, all unions are poor with included bark. Lower canopy foliage appears to have been exposed to chemical overspray or subject to disease/pest.
911	12.00	10.50	22.50	<i>Quercus agrifolia</i>	coast live oak	35/20	40/30/70	30%-Poor		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Twin trunk tree with very poor union and decay. Upper canopy has three main leaders. There are hypoxylon fruiting bodies all over the base on the east side, decay, staining and borers around trunk bottom 3–4.ft. The canopy appears to be good, which it is very deceiving. If failure were to occur it could be whole tree failure. Although this tree is not within the work area, highly recommend long term removal.
912	5.00	na	na	<i>Quercus suber</i>	cork oak	15/06	85/85/85	85%-Excellent		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Young, recently planted tree.

La Rinconada Golf Country Club
Tree Inventory and Valuation Appraisal based on 10th Edition *Guide for Plant Appraisal*
Formula: Functional Replacement Method/Trunk Formula Technique

Tree No.	DBH(in.)	DBH Multi(in.)	Combined DBH(in.)	Species Botanical Name (<i>Genus species</i>)	Species Common Name	Canopy Height/Spread(ft.)	Health/Structure/Form Ratings (0-100%)	Overall Condition Ratings (0-100%)	Photo	Protected	Large Protected	Exempt	Expected Impact Level	Remove, Hazard Remove (HR) or Retain Status	Criteria for Removal Sec. 29.10.0992 & Sec. 29.10.0970	Replacement Tree Quantity & Box Size Recommendation	Appraisal Value of Protected Tree	Notes
913	11.50	7.30	18.80	<i>Quercus lobata</i>	valley oak	25/20	80/80/80	80%-Good		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Fairly young tree growing at the edge of property in a naturalized setting. Structure is codominant from ground level, the union appears fair.
914	5.80	3.5 & 3	12.30	<i>Quercus lobata</i>	valley oak	20/15	60/60/60	60%-Fair		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Young tree with codominant structure from ground level, union is poor, has included bark. The trunk and canopy are swamped in ivy and out competed by surrounding trees. Recommend removal of ivy, at a minimum. Consider whole tree removal.
915	7.00	6.5 & 6.5	20.00	<i>Fraxinus uhdei</i>	Shamel ash	35/25	80/10/70	10%-Very Poor		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Young volunteer growing at fenceline. Structure is poor with mulitple trunks and poor unions. Trunk has fence embedded in it and covered in ivy. This is a long-term failure, waiting to happen-recommend removal.
916	9.00	na	na	<i>Quercus lobata</i>	valley oak	35/15	80/65/50	50%-Fair		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Young tree growing at the edge of property. Surrounded by ivy and privet hedges that have been unmaintained, causing tree to grow predominantly to the north. Longterm consider removal.
917	16.20	na	na	<i>Quercus lobata</i>	valley oak	30/10	80/80/80	80%-Good		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Young, skinny, single trunk, tree growing straight up and out from a grove. Appears to be searching for light with all the foliage at the top of the canopy.
918	7.50	3.50	11.00	<i>Quercus lobata</i>	valley oak	20/20	80/70/60	60%-Fair		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Young, twin trunk tree with a heavy lean to the north, searching for light.
919	19.00	19 & 6	44.00	<i>Quercus lobata</i>	valley oak	35/40	85/80/65	65%-Good			Large Protected		None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Young tree, growing within a large unmaintained, privet hedge. Structure is codominant at 5ft., with smaller branch of 6in. -recommend removal of smaller branch.
920	5.50	na	na	<i>Quercus lobata</i>	valley oak	15/15	70/50/40	40%-Poor		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Young tree growing inside an unmaintained hedge. Canopy growth is primarily to the north, in search of light-outcompeted by larger canopy trees.
921	30.00	na	na	<i>Quercus douglasii</i>	blue oak	35/40	85/85/85	85%-Excellent			Large Protected		Low	Retain			Out of Work Area	Large, older tree with a sprawling canopy, surrounded by privet hedge and growing at property line.
922	8.30	na	na	<i>Quercus agrifolia</i>	coast live oak	25/10	85/80/60	60%-Fair		Protected			Low	Retain			Out of Work Area	Young, tall, skinny tree growing at property line, within privet hedge and under the canopy of no. 921. Tree appears tobe outcompeted and searching for light.
923	5.00	na	na	<i>Quercus lobata</i>	valley oak	15/5	100/100/100	100%-Excellent		Protected			None	Retain			Out of Work Area	Newly planted 60in. box tree. Overall condition is good, typical for species.
924	39.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	55/25	70/90/90	70%-Good	Photo	Protected			High	Remove	4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$35,208.01	Tree that stands alone in the fairway (located south of Tree No. 528.) Canopy is slightly sparse.
925	26.00	20 & 20	66.00	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	red gum	60/55	80/06/70	06%-Very Poor	Photo		Large Protected		Low	HR	1	(6x)24-in. or (3x)36-in.	\$3,385.51	Tree is located in the backyard/edge of chain-link fence of neighboring property. Structure is poor with three main trunks and included bark. Form is large and sprawling. Two large sized stems have already been removed at some point in time. Failures are evident and the overall structure is extremely poor with high target areas, recommend further inspection. At this time, recommend long term removal or severe pruning to reduce two of the three stems.
926	14.50	na	na	<i>Eucalyptus polyanthemos</i>	red box	30/20	85/10/30	10%-Very Poor		Protected			Low	Retain			\$1,290.11	Single trunk tree growing at fenceline, with majority of canopy to the south. Structure is extremely poor. Secondary leader with included bark has formed in upper canopy. Additional leader has been removed at approximately 15ft.
927	15.00	14.00	29.00	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	red gum	55/25	80/40/50	40%-Fair		Protected			Low	Retain			\$6,091.03	Grows next to the fenceline and is codominant with included bark at 4.5ft.
928	24.00	na	na	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	red gum	55/30	80/60/60	60%-Fair				Exempt	Low	Retain			\$12,500.36	Codominant with included bark at approximately 12ft., recommend pruning for structure. Tree overall has good healthy appearance, fair form and structure. Tree is under the size requirement for removal permit.

La Rinconada Golf Country Club
Tree Inventory and Valuation Appraisal based on 10th Edition *Guide for Plant Appraisal*
Formula: Functional Replacement Method/Trunk Formula Technique

Tree No.	DBH(in.)	DBH Multi(in.)	Combined DBH(in.)	Species Botanical Name (<i>Genus species</i>)	Species Common Name	Canopy Height/Spread(ft.)	Health/Structure/Form Ratings (0-100%)	Overall Condition Ratings (0-100%)	Photo	Protected	Large Protected	Exempt	Expected Impact Level	Remove, Hazard Remove (HR) or Retain Status	Criteria for Removal Sec. 29.10.0992 & Sec. 29.10.0970	Replacement Tree Quantity & Box Size Recommendation	Appraisal Value of Protected Tree	Notes
929	20.00	na	na	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	red gum	60/20	70/10/50	10%-Very Poor				Exempt	Moderate	Retain			\$1,446.80	Codominant with bulging reaction wood between stem union at 15ft., this is a likely point of failure. The trunk has been damaged by unknown cause (similar to climbing spur marks). Tree is under the size requirement for removal permit.
930	5.00	na	na	<i>Sequoia sempervirens</i>	coast redwood	18/10	90/80/90	80%-Good		Protected			Moderate	Retain			\$529.10	Small, young tree developing multiple tops, possibly from being topped at approximately 16ft. Leaders should be corrected with pruning if the tree is to remain.
931	24.00	na	na	<i>Eucalyptus camaldulensis</i>	red gum	50/30	80/80/80	80%-Good				Exempt	Low	Retain			\$16,667.15	Located in the corner of the property. Overall, condition of tree is good. Tree is under the size requirement for removal permit.
932	28.50	na	na	<i>Fraxinus velutina</i>	Modesto ash	45/40	10/06/40	06%-Very Poor	Photo	Protected			Low	Remove	1, 4, 10	(4X) 24-in. or (2x) 36-in.	\$1,611.59	Codominant with included bark at 4.5ft. and repeated codominance throughout the canopy. Large sized deadwood, mistletoe and crowding from the adjacent redwood. Tree is cracking at base, and will fail. Recommend removal.
933	19.50	na	na	<i>Fraxinus velutina</i>	Modesto ash	35/25	10/06/40	06%-Very Poor	Photo	Protected			Low	Remove	1, 4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$754.46	Codominant at 5ft., with a third branch that has already been removed from the center. Decay is setting in, whole tree failure probable-recommend removal.
934	20.00	na	na	<i>Fraxinus velutina</i>	Modesto ash	45/40	10/10/40	10%-Very Poor	Photo	Protected			Low	Remove	1, 4, 10	(4X) 24-in. or (2x) 36-in.	\$1,322.74	Codominant with included bark at 5ft. Noted fungal fruity body in wound (possibly <i>Ganoderma sp.</i>). Tree appears to be in severe decline with several points of branch failure in the upper canopy. Recommend removal.
935	22.00	na	na	<i>Fraxinus velutina</i>	Modesto ash	45/20	40/06/20	06%-Very Poor	Photo	Protected			Low	Remove	1, 4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$960.31	Tree is severe decline, actively splitting in half. Mistletoe in canopy. Recommend removal.
936	26.00	na	na	<i>Fraxinus velutina</i>	Modesto ash	45/35	40/06/20	06%-Very Poor	Photo	Protected			Low	Remove	1, 4, 10	(4X) 24-in. or (2x) 36-in.	\$1,341.26	Tree in severe decline. Appears to be dying with mistletoe, decay, and dieback throughout. Recommend removal.
937	24.00	na	na	<i>Fraxinus velutina</i>	Modesto ash	45/20	20/06/10	06%-Very Poor	Photo	Protected			Low	Remove	1, 4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$1,142.85	Same condition as Tree no. 936. This tree has half of canopy left growing to the southeast. Stems are included at 4.5ft. (note: diameter was measured below the split union at 4ft.) Recommend removal.
938	28.00	na	na	<i>Fraxinus velutina</i>	Modesto ash	45/40	06/06/50	06%-Very Poor	Photo	Protected			Low	Remove	1, 4, 10	(4X) 24-in. or (2x) 36-in.	\$1,555.54	Tree is in decline, has mistletoe in canopy, is actively splitting apart. There is a 6in. wide gap from 4.5ft to ground level). Hazardous-Recommend removal.
939	24.00	na	na	<i>Fraxinus velutina</i>	Modesto ash	40/50	10/10/30	10%-Very Poor	Photo	Protected			Low	Remove	1, 4, 10	(6x)24-in. or (3x)36-in.	\$1,904.74	Tree is in active state of decline, with a large amount of deadwood and falling apart. All growht to the south-due to competition from other trees-recommend removal.
940	24.00	na	na	<i>Fraxinus velutina</i>	Modesto ash	45/45	30/40/50	30%-Poor	Photo	Protected			Low	Remove	1, 4, 10	(6x)24-in. or (3x)36-in.	\$5,714.23	Codominant with included bark at 5ft., large amount of deadwood, all growth is to the south-canopy is in competition with others surrounding trees. Recommend removal.
941	22.00	na	na	<i>Fraxinus velutina</i>	Modesto ash	45/40	20/06/45	06%-Very Poor	Photo	Protected			Low	Remove	1, 4, 10	(4X) 24-in. or (2x) 36-in.	\$960.31	All foliage growth is to the south due to competition with other trees. Large amount of deadwood, mistletoe, and galls are persisting in canopy. Structure is poor, codominant within inclusions from ground level to 5.5ft. Evidence of large failures throughout upper canopy. Whole tree failure will occur-recommended removal.
942	26.00	na	na	<i>Fraxinus velutina</i>	Modesto ash	45/40	10/06/40	06%-Very Poor	Photo	Protected			Low	Remove	1, 4, 10	(4X) 24-in. or (2x) 36-in.	\$1,341.26	Tree is in active state of decline. Large amount of deadwood and mistletoe in the canopy. Evidence of scaffold limbs failures and associated decay is setting in. Recommend removal.
943	20.00	na	na	<i>Fraxinus velutina</i>	Modesto ash	40/20	06/06/06	06%-Very Poor	Photo	Protected			Low	Remove	1, 4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$793.64	Tree is in active state of decline. Recently pruned to remove larger size deadwood. Decay forming from 0-4.5ft. in the trunk. Note: Diameter was measured below split union @ 4ft. Recommend removal.
944	21.00	na	na	<i>Fraxinus velutina</i>	Modesto ash	40/15	20/06/10	06%-Very Poor	Photo	Protected			Low	Remove	1, 4, 10	(3x)24-in.	\$874.99	Tree is in an active state of decline, with half of canopy recently pruned, post failure. Recommend removal.

La Rinconada Golf Country Club

Tree Inventory and Valuation Appraisal based on 10th Edition *Guide for Plant Appraisal*

Formula: Functional Replacement Method/Trunk Formula Technique

Tree No.	DBH(in.)	DBH Multi(in.)	Combined DBH(in.)	Species Botanical Name (<i>Genus species</i>)	Species Common Name	Canopy Height/Spread(ft.)	Health/Structure/Form Ratings (0-100%)	Overall Condition Ratings (0-100%)	Photo	Protected	Large Protected	Exempt	Expected Impact Level	Remove, Hazard Remove (HR) or Retain Status	Criteria for Removal Sec. 29.10.0992 & Sec. 29.10.0970	Replacement Tree Quantity & Box Size Recommendation	Appraisal Value of Protected Tree	Notes
945	22.00	na	na	<i>Fraxinus velutina</i>	Modesto ash	45/10	06/06/06	06%-Very Poor	Photo	Protected			Low	Remove	1, 4, 10	(2x) 24-in.	\$960.31	Tree has recently been pruned, same as tree no. 944. Tree is in an active state of decline and failing. Recommend removal.
946	32.00	na	na	<i>Quercus douglasii</i>	blue oak	45/50	50/70/70	50%-Fair			Large Protected		Low	Retain			\$40,393.03	Large sprawling tree that appears to be growing in a moist soil environment and in heavy competition with other trees. No significant trunk flare visible at base, appears slightly buried. Significant amount of deadwood throughout the canopy.
947																	\$13,458,393	