PLANNING COMMISSION – September 8, 2021 REQUIRED FINDINGS FOR:

<u>17200 Los Robles Way</u> Subdivision Application M-20-012

Consider an Appeal of a Development Review Committee Decision Approving a Lot Line Adjustment Between Three Adjacent Lots on Properties Zoned R-1:20. APNs 532-36-075, -076, and -077. PROPERTY OWNERS: Daren Goodsell, Trustee and Mark Von Kaenel. APPLICANT: Tony Jean. APPELLANTS: Alison and David Steer, Terry and Bob Rinehart, Nancy and Jim Neipp, Gary and Michelle Gysin, and Gianfranco and Eileen De Feo. PROJECT PLANNER: Ryan Safty.

FINDINGS

Required findings for CEQA:

The project is not subject to the California Environmental Quality Act pursuant to the adopted Guidelines for the Implementation of CEQA, Section 15061(b)(3): A project is exempt from CEQA when the activity is covered by the common sense exemption that CEQA only applies to projects which have the potential for causing a significant effect on the environment. Where it can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that the activity in question will have a significant effect on the environment, the activity is not subject to CEQA. The project proposes to modify lot lines between three legal, adjacent parcels. No development is proposed at this time.

Required findings to deny a Subdivision application:

As required by Section 66474 of the State Subdivision Map Act the map shall be denied if any of the following findings are made: None of the findings could be made to deny the application.

Instead, the Planning Commission makes the following affirmative findings:

- a. That the proposed map is consistent with all elements of the General Plan.
- b. That the design and improvement of the proposed subdivision is consistent with all elements of the General Plan.
- c. That the site is physically suitable for the type of development.
- d. That the site is physically suitable for the proposed density of development.
- e. That the design of the subdivision and the proposed improvements are not likely to cause substantial environmental damage nor substantially and avoidably injure fish or wildlife or their habitat.
- f. That the design of the subdivision and type of improvements is not likely to cause serious public health problems.

g. That the design of the subdivision and the type of improvements will not conflict with easements, acquired by the public at large, for access through or use of, property within the proposed subdivision.