1	APPEARANCES:	
2		
3	Los Gatos Planning Commissioners:	Melanie Hanssen, Chair Kathryn Janoff, Vice Chair
4		Jeffrey Barnett Reza Tavana
5		
6	Town Manager:	Laurel Prevetti
7	Community Development Director:	Joel Paulson
8	Town Attorney:	Robert Schultz
9		
10	Transcribed by:	Vicki L. Blandin
11		(619) 541-3405
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

PROCEEDINGS:

CHAIR HANSSEN: We will now move on to Item 3, which is a request for... Oh, Commissioner Burch.

COMMISSIONER BURCH: Oh, sorry. I know that

you're starting; I don't know if this is the right time to

state that I will need to recuse myself from this item.

CHAIR HANSSEN: This is as good a time as any, so yes, thank you very much. All right, so Commissioner Burch is recused. We do have a quorum with the four of us, is that correct? And in the event that we have a split vote 2-2, what do we do, because I haven't encountered the situation yet as Chair?

DIRECTOR PAULSON: We'll look to the Town

Attorney to confirm, but if it's a 2-2 vote then it would

be a denial.

TOWN ATTORNEY SCHULTZ: That is correct.

CHAIR HANSSEN: That is correct? Okay. I don't know that it will happened, but I wanted to ask the question just so I knew. Commissioner Barnett.

COMMISSIONER BARNETT: I have a disclosure to make that I have several accounts at this Schwab branch in its existing location, but I believe that I can make an independent judgment on the application.

CHAIR HANSSEN: Okay. And is that okay with the Town Attorney? That's not a conflict of interest, is it, or is it?

TOWN ATTORNEY SCHULTZ: It certainly could be.

Unfortunately it's being brought up at this late hour, so
we'll move forward. Off the top of my head I don't believe
so, because you make your financial income off of the
stocks I'm assuming that you have with Schwab and not from
Schwab itself, so your income is derived from the stocks
that you earn as opposed to from Schwab, and so we'll move
forward with it.

CHAIR HANSSEN: Okay. Thank you for letting us know.

TOWN ATTORNEY SCHULTZ: And I would just make certain the Planning Commissioners understands to please bring these up as soon as possible when you receive a conflict of interest.

CHAIR HANSSEN: Okay, that sounds good. So,

Commissioner Burch is recused and we will go ahead with the
hearing.

This item is a request for a Zoning Consistency

Determination and approval of Conditional Use Permit U-20
009 for a bank, being Charles Schwab Community Banking and

Investment Services, on property zoned C-2:LHP:PD located

at 35 University Avenue, APN 529-02-044. The property owner is SRI Old Town LLC, the Applicant is Amber DeMaglio, and our project planner is Sean Mullin.

Before we go to the Staff Report I would like to ask for a show of hands from Commissioners who have been able to visit the site. Okay. Probably everyone has been by that part of town. Are there any disclosures beyond what we already heard? Okay.

 $\label{eq:continuous_stand_mr.} \mbox{ Mullin will give us the Staff}$ Report.

SEAN MULLIN: Thank you and good evening. Before you tonight is a request for a determination of the zoning consistency for Charles Schwab Community Banking and Investment Services and consideration of a Conditional Use Permit for that proposed use.

The subject property is located in the Old Town shopping center on the west side of University Avenue in the C-2 zone. The Applicant proposes to occupy a vacant ground floor tenant space near the corner of University and Elm Street.

The C-2 zone is intended for predominantly pedestrian-oriented uses such as retail services and entertainment. Office uses are allowed in the C-2 zone but may not be located on the ground floor per the Town Code.

The bank use is allowed in the C-2 zone on the ground floor with the approval of the Conditional Use Permit.

Traditionally, businesses that provide investment services have been considered office uses and may not be located on the ground floor in the C-2 zone. The Applicant requests that the Planning Commission determine that the proposed use is a bank and seeks approval of a Conditional Use Permit for the use to be located on the ground floor in the tenant space at 35 University Avenue.

The Applicant has provided a Letter of Justification, project description, and a letter describing the proposed use that speaks to the consistency of the use with the C-2 zone.

A Desk Item has been distributed to the Planning Commission providing additional public comment received after the publishing of the Staff Report, and Staff recommends that the Planning Commission review the application materials and determine whether the proposed use is a bank. If the Commission determines the use is a bank, Staff recommends approval of the Conditional Use Permit subject to the recommended Conditions of Approval included with your Staff Report.

This concludes my report and I'm happy to answer any questions.

CHAIR HANSSEN: Before I ask any other
Commissioners, I did want to clarify it's my understanding
after speaking with Staff yesterday that it is two separate
decisions that are related. One is making a decision about
whether or not this is a bank, and then additionally we
would make a decision to approve or not approve the
Conditional Use Permit. Since we have some Commissioners
that haven't been with us as long and we haven't seen a
Conditional Use Permit for a while, I would remind the
Commission that Conditional Use Permits run with the land,
so if we approve it then whatever that use is will be the
one that carries over even if Schwab wasn't to continue
with it. That was something that I wanted to clarify and
make sure that we had right with Staff.

Then I will ask if anybody has any questions? I think that Ms. Renn is also here, our Economic Vitality Manager. Go ahead, Commissioner Tavana.

COMMISSIONER TAVANA: Just a quick question. Was it mentioned that there was a Desk Item distributed on this topic?

SEAN MULLIN: Yes, there was a Desk Item distributed this morning for additional public comment received after the publishing of the Staff Report.

COMMISSIONER TAVANA: I don't think I received that, but okay, I'll take a look for it.

CHAIR HANSSEN: I'm actually not sure I received it either; I checked and thought maybe I missed it, but I think it would be helpful.

I'm just going to make a suggestion while we're still on the Staff Report that if we could hear from Ms.

Renn about her thoughts about this application and if it came to that where there was concern about the Conditional Use Permit, are there other opportunities to fill this vacancy left by The Gap?

MONICA RENN: Without giving any personal opinion on the Staff Report or the application I can just say that I've been working with the Applicants for over a year now. They do have a current location in town which to date we have looked at as a legal nonconforming space. As I understand it, they intend to relocate their space to the one that's on the application today, the former Gap building.

It's really hard to say what could fill this space other than this use at this time. Retail was already changing, it was already shrinking and the format was looking much different, and then we had the pandemic of

course hit in March which has really just turned our economic situation upside down.

It's hard to predict what's going to be next. I can say that we have had some new businesses looking to come into town. Very few are retail; they're mostly food uses or kind of hybrid uses since service isn't really something that can be done in a lot of places, so it's hard to predict, not knowing how long we'll be with this pandemic and what that economic outcome is going to be, how retail with look in a couple of months.

I can just say that to date I haven't received any inquiries on this space other than from Charles Schwab and I don't know that we've really had a flood of any other type of retail. I would say if anything I'm seeing people looking for a small footprint so that they can have maybe a brick and mortar footprint as well as some space in the online world.

If you have any other specific questions let me know if I missed something.

CHAIR HANSSEN: Do any Commissioners have any questions for Ms. Renn or Staff? Vice Chair Janoff.

VICE CHAIR JANOFF: Just a quick question for Ms. Renn. The Desk Item. One of the statements in the Desk Item indicated that we were on the precipice of an economic boom

and that we were going to see... I'm paraphrasing it, I don't have it in front of me, but the gist of it was we're just so close on the cusp of this big economic recovery and why would we install a so-called bank in a retail space? Am I to understand from previous comments that the likelihood—well, I guess it's hard to say but I'll say it anyway—the likelihood of a retail footprint being in this space seems low at the moment?

MONICA RENN: It's really hard to say for this specific space, mostly because Old Town works a little bit differently than the rest of downtown predominantly because it has one single owner. They are able to do a little bit more programming and do a little bit more kind of synergistic programming where they're looking at certain retailers and certain businesses that follow one another around, whereas downtown you may have any owner that owns one or two spaces next door to each other, so there's less of that opportunity to really program a larger space. To me, Old Town is almost a center inside our downtown, it's a little hub inside of downtown; it even looks a little bit different than the rest of downtown in my opinion.

I really don't know. I did see the letter that came in. I think there are some really interesting business concepts that are going to come out of the pandemic. I

think people have really been forced to look at things differently and get creative. I think we will see new business models. I've been very impressed with how well Los Gatos has weathered this storm and how so many businesses have stayed relevant and have changed their business models.

I just don't know what could come in here.

Federal Realty has over and over proven to do a good job with their tenanting, but I just don't know, I can't speak for them to know if they have somebody else or could find somebody else.

VICE CHAIR JANOFF: Just a quick follow up, if I might?

CHAIR HANSSEN: Go ahead, Vice Chair.

one owner and it has so many stores and the opportunity to do, as you say, programming and try to recruit stores into

VICE CHAIR JANOFF: If the Old Town is owned by

the spaces that would kind of logically fit with the other

retail, and that's not happened—I mean, Gap isn't gone yet—

but presumably they would be looking for a retail fit if

they could find one. Is that a fair statement?

MONICA RENN: Well, I think there are a couple

things that go on. Not all the time does a business leave right when they decide to or right when they stop paying

rent. Sometimes a property owner will keep them around. I have heard this could be the case with Gap. I don't know that for a fact but I've heard that they have been given the opportunity to stay in order to not have a vacant space sit on the corner there.

Again, it's hard to say. I know that the formula that they work with has specifics to it, so it's not like they can necessarily say we have six people who will backfill this if this doesn't work out. I think on the flip side of that they may be looking at if this does work out this could then provide a draw for another set of retailers or another set of businesses.

It would probably be a question that you could ask more specifically of one of the representatives that I see here tonight in the attendee list; they may be able to give you some more insight on their formula.

VICE CHAIR JANOFF: Thank you.

CHAIR HANSSEN: Thank you for all that insight,
Ms. Renn. Do any other Commissioners have questions for
Staff at this time? There will be another chance to ask
Staff questions later. Then we will go ahead and open up
the public hearing to allow that Applicant to address the
Commission for up to five minutes.

DIRECTOR PAULSON: Thank you, Chair. It looks like Mr. Kreshek is going to speak on behalf of the property owner, and so I'm going to allow him to talk for up to five minutes on the application.

JEFFREY KRESHEK: Thank you, Commission and Ms.

Renn. I appreciate the thoroughness of the report and the dialogue thus far. My name is Jeff Kreshek. I'm Senior Vice President for Federal Realty; I handle the west coast leasing. We're a publicly traded real estate investment firm, over 50 years publicly traded on the New York Stock Exchange.

We've owned this property for 23 years, and I think that's a very important distinction. We're not a development company that comes in to develop something, own something, turns it around and does something else with it. We stay with our communities for a very long time. Our average length of hold is well over 23 years on our portfolio nationally.

I think Ms. Renn really hit the point that we'd like to make here today: We were going through an evolution in retail before COVID. So, Vice Chair Janoff, to your comment in that letter about this precipice, I couldn't disagree more. Are we on the precipice of the next normal? Yes. This revitalization, I don't know that I can call it

that and I don't know that it goes directly that way, we are on the precipice of the evolution of retail, and I want to make sure that it's really understood.

When COVID ends, what's happening in retail doesn't end. What's happening today in retail, and the changes that are happening, and why community-serving uses such as Schwab are so important to these downtowns was happening long before COVID. I believe, Ms. Renn, you and I met on the property maybe two years ago when we identified some of these issues and some of these problems, and we pointed out that retail is evolving, and community-facing, Amazon-proof type of use such as a bank is a vital part of any downtown of any project, and you can see it in areas like Palo Alto, Walnut Creek, even in our very own Santana Row in San Jose.

So, this is not an unusual use to find in a vibrant retail community and it is customer-centric, and again—I think it's an important point—it is somewhat Amazon—proof as well. This is not a service that many people are doing online, certainly not on the investment side, but even on the banking side. People still like to have that relationship, that direct connection, so I think that's very important to note.

I'm happy to take any questions as far as what the merchandizing strategy is, how we evolve the project. But you'll notice Sephora is under construction. We recently were able to add that.

And to answer the question that was asked, yes, it is safe to say that if we could find a retail tenant to come into this space we would do so, but keep in mind, and to Ms. Renn's earlier point, retailers today are much, much smaller. That Gap space is almost 9,000 square feet.

There's not a big universe of large-scale users. As a matter of fact, if you look at what's happening on the landscape, the large-scale users have been closing stores and filing bankruptcies, including Sur la Table, Brooks Brothers, J. Crew, Forever 21, and a list that goes on and on; it's been a virtual hit parade lately of store closings and bankruptcies.

But the real focus here is that Schwab's business has evolved over 20 years. What they were when they took the original space is not what they are today, and I do believe we have members of the Schwab team that would like to speak within the three minutes about how their business has evolved and how they are a community-serving bank.

CHAIR HANSSEN: How much time does the Applicant have left?

DIRECTOR PAULSON: We've got a little over a minute-and-a-half and I see a couple of hands up, so I'm not sure which person would like to speak. I've got a Mr. Zac and a Mr. O'Marah it looks like, and so I am going to let Mr. Zac speak, see if he is speaking on behalf of Schwab.

RICHARD ZAC: Thank you, everyone, for the opportunity. My name is Richard Zac; I'm the Regional Manager for Charles Schwab Bank and I just want to take a couple of minutes to describe a little bit about the evolution, and then my colleague Pat O'Marah can talk about our involvement in the community.

Schwab is a modern wealth management company.

We're committed to helping our clients reach their goals through integrating a human, a local, a branch platform, along with a very robust online and mobile platform. We leverage both the power of people and technology. We find in the branch network many clients like to meet with us live in person, particularly when they're developing their plan to manage finances, which is why we have the branch footprint in the first place.

We're not new to Los Gatos. We've been here for 20 years. In that time our business has really evolved and has grown dramatically. Schwab Bank was created in April of

2003 after we started in Los Gatos 20 years ago. In that time, right now today, Charles Schwab Bank is the eleventh largest bank in the country, \$348 billion dollars in assets. We are a bank for investors that provides all the traditional things you might think of with banking: checking, bill pay, ATM, mortgage, home equity lines, trust services. And lastly, before I turn it over to Pat, J.D. Power ranked Schwab Bank the highest in customer satisfaction in the United States two years in a row.

I think my colleague Pat has a few things to add with respect to our involvement in the community. Pat.

DIRECTOR PAULSON: Chair, we've got about five seconds, so Mr. O'Marah, you're going to need to make this quick, but the Commission may have questions. Mr. O'Marah, you can unmute yourself.

PAT O'MARAH: Yeah, I don't know that I can add much in five seconds. I apologize; I had intended for these to be separate comments.

CHAIR HANSSEN: The Applicant will have a chance to speak again after we take public comments in response to any public comments that we get, so why don't we go with that and then let me ask if any Commissioners have a questions for the Applicant at this moment? I'm not seeing anyone with their hands raised. Commissioner Barnett.

1 COMMISSIONER BARNETT: Is Schwab chartered as a 2 bank in the State of California? 3 DIRECTOR PAULSON: Mr. O'Marah or Mr. Zac, either 4 one, I'm going to allow both of you to talk. 5 RICHARD ZAC: Our registration is in Nevada. 6 COMMISSIONER BARNETT: Okay, thank you. 7 CHAIR HANSSEN: Are there other questions for the 8 Applicant at this time? I'm not seeing anyone, so that being said we will move on to public comments. If any 10 members of the public would like to speak on this item you 11 may do so for up to three minutes. 12 DIRECTOR PAULSON: The first speaker we have, 13 Chair, is Ms. Somers. 14 CATHERINE SOMERS: Great, can you hear me? 15 16 CHAIR HANSSEN: We can hear you. 17 CATHERINE SOMERS: Wonderful. Good evening, 18 Planning Commissioners. My heart is kind of pounding right 19 now, I have to say, because this is one of the hardest 20 deliveries I've given, but I have to leave you with 21 actually just three words: It's not a bank. And it will be 22 really a bummer if we allow for that space to turn over 23 into office space. 24 I'm hoping that all of you will read the Desk 25 Item, or at least before you make decisions tonight read

the Desk Item that was given to you I think by Jim Foley. Perhaps his words were a little aggressive when he said we're on a precipice of new era, but my board has been working really hard... I don't think I mentioned that I was the Executive Director of the Chamber of Commerce. My board has been working really hard on bringing new businesses to town and we are seeing a lot of interest, and I think during this pandemic businesses are changing, they're adapting to new circumstances, we're starting to see some new developments in different things, we've had a lot of new businesses open in town and more are coming.

We've really worked hard to reach out to Federal Realty, and that Old Town space is a gem, probably one of the most valuable and beautiful in our town, and I think really what needs to happen is that we need to work more closely possibly with Jeff and Zac and all these other guys that are on the line here, and develop that as it really could be developed. I mean, that in and of itself could make Los Gatos a destination like no other in Northern California. Better than Santana Row, and I say that seriously. I think we have just allowed that space to sort of become a little desolate and a little downtrodden and I just think if there's something that we can do to work together.

I mean, I agree, the GAP space is a big space, but I don't think we need to put something in there where if you look at the floor plans it's office space and that's all it is. And we need something in there. Maybe it's divided into a couple of different spaces, but we're more creative than this and I think working with them we can actually come up with something that's different and cutting edge. Maybe it's pop-up studios, I don't know what it looks like, but I just think that we are selling out if we allow that to become a Charles Schwab. Thanks.

CHAIR HANSSEN: Thank you for your comments. It looks like Vice Chair Janoff has a question for you.

VICE CHAIR JANOFF: Thank you, Ms. Somers. You stated emphatically that Charles Schwab is not a bank.

That's contrary to the limited research that I've done to determine that question. Can you tell us why you are adamant that they are not a bank?

CATHERINE SOMERS: I may be a little ignorant here. I look at the banking institutions that we have along North Santa Cruz Avenue, which by the way are taking up some really dead space on North Santa Cruz right now, and very few customers are going in, the parking is left empty all the time where we could use that for other uses, but I've never equated... So, this is just my own personal

feelings. I don't know too many people that go into Charles Schwab to whatever, cash checks or do the regular banking that we do at a Bank of America or a Wells Fargo or even a Heritage Bank; it just seems completely different to me. I agree with you, I perhaps don't know all my facts on that, but it just seems that...

VICE CHAIR JANOFF: That's fine. That's fine, you've answered the question.

CATHERINE SOMERS: I'm sorry.

CHAIR HANSSEN: Do other Commissioners have questions for the speaker? Okay, seeing none, are there other members of the public that would like to speak in Public Comments?

DIRECTOR PAULSON: I don't see any other hands raised from the public, so we can go back to the Applicant and their team, and they would have three minutes.

CHAIR HANSSEN: Okay, so the Applicant has up to three minutes to respond to comments from the public or comments from the Commission and then Commissioners will have another chance to ask the Applicant any questions.

DIRECTOR PAULSON: I'm going to allow Mr. Kreshek and Mr. Di Geronimo and Mr. Zac to speak and they can figure out how they would like to split up their three minutes.

JEFFREY KRESHEK: I'm going to go very quickly.

I'm more than happy to speak with Ms. Somers. Nobody from
the Chamber has reached out to me; I don't know if you've
reached out to others at Federal Realty.

As far as demising the space, we will be demising it into two spaces. Unfortunately, it does not physically demise into more spaces given the grade change in the sidewalk on I believe it's the north side, as well as the bank of gas meters and the limited frontage. This really does become the most efficient demise of the space so it doesn't break up into several other smaller spaces. But I'll let Mr. De Geronimo respond from there.

MICHAEL DI GERONIMO: Yes, I just wanted to make a couple of really quick comments and then turn it over to Charles Schwab. I'm a land use attorney, a ten-year planning commissioner of the city that I live in, although I've been off for a while, but I wanted to make two points.

One, that you have broad discretion to sort of interpret the code the way you want to interpret it, and I think there's clear evidence here that Schwab is a bank. I represent several relatively well known banks and I can tell you that when we do their leases or other deals they insist on having exclusives that preclude Charles Schwab

and similar because the fact is they really have merged into the same... You know, Wells Fargo offers investment services, so does Bank of America, and basically they've all turned into the same sort of type of use.

In terms of a good use, if you go to downtown Walnut Creek there's a Capital One that's literally right in the middle of downtown that's a very vibrant use and this is a very similar use that Schwab is proposing, and I think with the design they have and with the team they have they will certainly bring customers and people to the area and that's really what you want in retail today, something that will activate the area.

I think Federal Realty, you've got to trust them.

They're great operators and I think they deserve your support given how long they've been there and their expertise.

With that, I'd like to turn over the rest of the time to the members of the Schwab team.

RICHARD ZAC: This is Richard Zac again. I would love to take Ms. Somers on a tour of our branch and to show our modern approach to wealth management and banking and how we integrate. We are the eleventh largest bank in the country, ranked the highest in customer satisfaction. Our clients and those in the community voted two years in a row

the best service. We're a bank for investors. We do all of the traditional banking services that a Wells Fargo or a Bank of America would do.

The branch that we're proposing in Los Gatos, it is because of our growth and our 20-year history with the community; and the workshops, the community events, and the hospitality events will attract a vibrancy to the downtown area. Clients will come. We just don't have the space for it right now in Los Gatos but in other areas across the country we do, and these are fun opportunities for the community to learn about investing in general and we hope to provide that to the folks of Los Gatos and continue to educate and provide resources to help people have better outcomes.

CHAIR HANSSEN: Is that time?

DIRECTOR PAULSON: That's time.

CHAIR HANSSEN: All right, do Commissioners have questions for the Applicant? Go ahead, Commissioner Barnett.

COMMISSIONER BARNETT: I overlooked asking earlier if the intention was to make any changes to the exterior of the building. I thought I saw something about that in the Staff package.

JEFFREY KRESHEK: The changes to the building would just be to accommodate the demise and make sure we have appropriate storefronts, but we haven't looked at making any specific architectural changes that I'm aware of at this point.

COMMISSIONER BARNETT: Okay, thank you.

CHAIR HANSSEN: I had a couple of questions. One was did I hear correctly that this current space is going to be split into two spaces and so there is going to be another tenant to be sought for the other part?

JEFFREY KRESHEK: Correct. Charles Schwab would be occupying roughly 5,000 square feet of the 8,000 square feet that is the current Gap space, so there will be a second storefront. I wasn't part of the project previous to ten years ago, but if you look at the original plans it looks as if it might have been two spaces at one point in time.

CHAIR HANSSEN: Okay. Thank you for that. And then a question for the Schwab team. If I read the comments that you made that were in our Staff Report, at this new facility you'd have a coffee bar and so on and so forth. It reminded me of I've gone into Chase bank and they have dog biscuits in the lobby, but is that correct that it's going to be set up for really encouraging walk-in traffic?

RICHARD ZAC: Absolutely open. Everyone is welcome. Coffee, the technology bar, folks available to help as needed. In addition to that I mentioned community-centered workshops on investing and how to manage your finances and your banking better, hospitality events that include things like the wine tasting and art. We want to engage in the community as we've done. The idea is to be a vibrant part of the downtown where we're attracting clients into a vibrant location where we tear down the barriers that often occur with banking and investing. Some folks struggle with making great decisions and so we want to provide the right resources and through these community workshops and events to help them become more successful over time.

CHAIR HANSSEN: And kind of a follow up question to that. Is it typical in your evolving business model for non-customers that have never done any business with you before to just walk in?

RICHARD ZAC: Absolutely. I think that's the unique thing about our brand: It does attract folks that are wanting to just get started. In fact, we just recently provided a mechanism for folks that have as little as five dollars to invest or to put into a banking account to come in and open an account with Schwab.

CHAIR HANSSEN: Okay. And then my last question and then I'll ask if Commissioners have questions, will there be an ATM at this location as mentioned as one of the possible functions of one of your branches?

RICHARD ZAC: We don't currently have plans to put an ATM there. Any Schwab client can use any bank in the country. We are part of every ATM in the network, and if there happens to be a charge to one of our clients to use another ATM we will rebate that charge back to the client.

CHAIR HANSSEN: All right, thanks. Do other

Commissioners have questions for the Applicant? I'm not seeing anyone with their hand raised. Okay, so then I will close the public hearing and ask if Commissioners have any additional questions for Staff, have comments on the application, or wish to introduce a motion? And I would say at this time, as I alluded to earlier, that I think it would be best if the Commission made two different motions, one for the determination of the zoning consistency—is Schwab a bank?—and then a second motion about the CUP. So, do any Commissioners have any additional questions or comments? Vice Chair Janoff.

VICE CHAIR JANOFF: Question for Ms. Renn. You mentioned that Schwab is currently in a nonconforming space. Is it nonconforming because it is not considered a

bank and is on the ground floor storefront? What makes it nonconforming?

MONICA RENN: Great question. When they came in—as one of the speakers indicated, they've been with us for over 20 years—they came in as an office use. At that time office was allowed on the ground floor. Since then office has not been allowed on the ground floor, so it becomes essentially what we call legal nonconforming.

When they leave that space there will be a sixmonth period where it can be backfilled with another office
use, and if it does not get backfilled with another office
use in that six months then the use would revert to never
allowing ground floor office there again.

The timeline that I wrote down, and I'm sure you probably heard this as well, is that it was incorporated as a bank in 2003 well after they were already established in Los Gatos, so we never changed their use as the town, we always just considered them an office use, but when they came to us about 18 months ago they said, "We're really a bank, and here's why."

VICE CHAIR JANOFF: Okay, thank you.

CHAIR HANSSEN: I'll make a comment that as far as the determination of whether they're a bank, I haven't banked at Schwab but we do have some accounts at Chase, and

when we go in the branch on Los Gatos Boulevard, as I was mentioning they have water bowls and dog biscuits in the lobby where the ATM is, the tellers are all the way at the back of the branch, and then when you walk in there are seating areas where you can wait to talk to a banker, and this is the business that they're really trying to promote is that kind of thing where you're interacting with bankers and asking questions and everything like that.

I'll add also that we also do some banking at Wells Fargo. We went to Wells Fargo recently, I had to do something inside the branch, which is unusual for me, but when I was there there was actually a line going around the corner waiting to go in the bank. There were some people that wanted to go a teller but other ones that were waiting to talk to a banker and they were told that they had a 45-minute wait to talk to a banker.

So, I'm not hearing anything about the Schwab business model that would tell me that they're not a bank. I think that that's kind of where things are evolving to and I agree with all the comments about online banking, that the kind of things that are focusing their business on and their interactions are changing, so as far as that I would feel comfortable saying that they were a bank.

And then I'll also comment a little bit on the use. Now that I heard that the use is being split into two different uses I feel somewhat less concern about putting this use there, knowing that there is going to be something else there as well, because it is a very important space. Vice Chair Janoff, it looked like you had your hand up.

VICE CHAIR JANOFF: I too have no issue with determining that this is in fact a bank. I did some research online, I think the evidence presented before us is pretty clear on that, so I don't have a problem with that.

And I agree, hearing that it's only roughly half of that 9,000 square foot space makes me feel a lot better about what its impact would be should we approve it.

But the other thing that strikes me, we're talking a lot in the General Plan Advisory Committee about neighborhood-serving commercial districts. Now, this is the downtown, it's the beloved downtown, but it still has neighborhoods to serve, and so it strikes me that it would not be inappropriate to locate a bank that's in proximity to both employees and business owners as well as residents nearby. They are already a presence in town, they're already a ground floor—although nonconforming—presence in town, and when you think about cities, when you travel and

you do business and you see banks, you see banks amid retail a lot of places around the world, a lot of places where we live, so I don't have an issue with making that finding as well.

CHAIR HANSSEN: Okay. Commissioner Barnett.

COMMISSIONER BARNETT: I wonder if Staff could help us understand the policy behind making banks available on first floors only with a Conditional Use Permit? Is there some logic to that or is it just historical?

DIRECTOR PAULSON: It's definitely historical, definitely predates my 20-plus years here with the Town. I think it probably goes along the lines of what Commissioner Janoff was speaking about, that banks can be helpful to the vibrancy of a downtown and to the neighboring residential districts. The mechanism the Town put in place—I couldn't even tell you when this went into place, it's been here since I started—probably was okay, we don't want to have all banks, so let's have a Conditional Use Permit process so that the Planning Commission can carefully consider these on a case-by-case basis and determine if it's appropriate.

CHAIR HANSSEN: Does that answer your question, Commissioner Barnett? Commissioner Tavana.

know you can do banking activities with certain Charles
Schwabs. I guess I'll ask my question for Staff, and maybe
this is for the folks with Charles Schwab, but can you
actually deposit a check or withdraw money at this
location? To me, that seems like a standard banking
activity, so I guess will any of those activities be
available on the corner here at this proposed location?

DIRECTOR PAULSON: I'm not sure if Mr. Mullin has that information, but that would have been a great question for the Applicant and maybe Ms. Renn. If Mr. Mullin doesn't have that information, Ms. Renn may have some additional information.

SEAN MULLIN: I think Ms. Renn has much more background with discussing this with the Applicant, so I'll default to her.

MONICA RENN: Unfortunately, I can't answer those questions. We did talk about those questions at great length with them. We talked about the ATM fees; we talked about a lot of those different pieces. And it looks like the attorney has his hand raised. I know that they did have some input on different models that they could use if they were asked to do so.

1 3 4 5 6

7

8

10

11

13

12

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

TOWN ATTORNEY SCHULTZ: It's been a while, but my understanding is that you would be able to deposit checks, you'd be able to have a checking account, a saving account, you'd be able to deposit your work check there, but no, there would be no cash onsite either through the ATM or being able to come in to obtain cash.

DIRECTOR PAULSON: And should the Chair wish to just open up the public hearing for that specific question, we could also do that if that would help Mr. Tavana.

CHAIR HANSSEN: Why don't we do that? Because I mean we only have four of us, so I want the Commissioners to have their questions answered. So, let's go ahead and open up the public hearing to answer Commissioner Tavana's question.

DIRECTOR PAULSON: So, Mr. Zac, you can go ahead and answer that question.

RICHARD ZAC: Thank you for the question. Yes, every traditional banking service is a service that we will provide: checking, bill pay, ATM, check deposits. The only aspect that we will not deal with is cash, so you wouldn't be able to walk into this branch and remove cash. It's our view that modern wealth management is focused...

(Mr. Zac's audio goes silent.)

DIRECTOR PAULSON: Sorry, I just pushed the wrong button for Mr. Zac, so I'm going to push the right button.

Mr. Zac, I cut you off there; if you can jump back on.

RICHARD ZAC: Mr. Paulson, like you, I think some of the best things I say is when I'm on mute.

But thank you for the question. I was saying that we provide all of the traditional banking services that you'd expect: depositing a check right in the branch as well as all the electronic methods to transfer and move money around through a debit card, Apple Pay, Venmo; all of that is available. We feel our vision for the future is that cash is not a feature, not an area that we want to focus our growth on, so that would be the one thing that we would not transact in the branch in Los Gatos. No cash, but everything else you can imagine in traditional banking services.

COMMISSIONER TAVANA: Would I be able to get a cashier's check if I were to walk inside?

RICHARD ZAC: We would be able to get you a cashier's check the next day. We would order it in the branch and we would have it sent to you the next day. It would be processed offsite. We could give you a Schwab check in a same sense; we could have that sent to you.

COMMISSIONER TAVANA: Thank you.

1 CHAIR HANSSEN: All right, so we will go ahead and close the public hearing again and see if Commissioners 3 have any additional questions, and I'd like to encourage to 4 at least get going on the motion about whether or not 5 they're a bank, and then we can tackle the other. Vice 6 Chair Janoff. 7 VICE CHAIR JANOFF: I don't really have a 8 structure for it, but I would move that I can find that Charles Schwab Bank is a bank. 10 CHAIR HANSSEN: And do we have a second for the 11 motion? 12 COMMISSIONER BARNETT: Second the motion. 13 CHAIR HANSSEN: Commissioner Barnett. 14 COMMISSIONER BARNETT: Seconded. 15 16 CHAIR HANSSEN: Second? Okay. All right, so we 17 have a motion and a second. Do Commissioners have any 18 additional comments before we take a roll call vote? And 19 there are only four of us, so it will go pretty quickly. 20 I think I made my comments earlier. I'm going to 21 be supporting the motion. I can find that they're a bank 22 given what I just talked about with some of the other 23 things I deal with, so I'm comfortable with that

LOS GATOS PLANNING COMMISSION 11/11/2020

Item #3, 35 University Avenue (Charles Schwab Bank)

24

25

determination.

1 Do any Commissioners want to make any other 2 comments? Not seeing any. All right, so I will start with 3 Commissioner Tavana. 4 COMMISSIONER TAVANA: I'm going to say no. 5 CHAIR HANSSEN: Commissioner Barnett. 6 COMMISSIONER BARNETT: Yes. 7 CHAIR HANSSEN: Vice Chair Janoff. 8 VICE CHAIR JANOFF: Yes. CHAIR HANSSEN: And I vote yes as well. Okay, so 10 it passes 3-1, and are there any appeal rights on this part 11 of the decision? 12 DIRECTOR PAULSON: There are no appeal rights for 13 that portion of the decision. This is just an 14 interpretation of the determination that it's a bank. 15 16 CHAIR HANSSEN: All right, so that being the 17 case, it passes 3-1 that the Commission in general believes 18 that they are in fact a bank, so then we must turn our 19 attention to the Conditional Use Permit. 20 I would want to ask Staff a question right now 21 though. How does this work with the fact that they're 22 splitting the use into two, and what does the current 23 Conditional Use Permit say about the Gap? Is it general in

LOS GATOS PLANNING COMMISSION 11/11/2020

Item #3, 35 University Avenue (Charles Schwab Bank)

terms of retail, or is it a retailer that does clothing?

24

25

What are we changing the Conditional Use Permit to and from?

SEAN MULLIN: The Gap doesn't have a Conditional Use Permit because it's retail and it's allowed by right in that site, so this would be a new Conditional Use Permit. Your packet includes a floor plan showing the space that would be applied to, and during plan check of the Building Permit we would verify that that space was substantially conforming to the space that was shown in your packet tonight.

CHAIR HANSSEN: So, basically we're proposing a Conditional Use Permit for roughly one-half of this current space for them to operate a bank?

SEAN MULLIN: That's correct. It's shown on the floor plan that they provided.

CHAIR HANSSEN: And then just for everyone's education, so if for some reason things didn't work out for Schwab and they had to vacate that space, the Conditional Use Permit would be...it would be possible for another bank to come in without action by the Town?

SEAN MULLIN: The answer is yes, and as long as they agree to operate under the allowances of that use permit and providing that they come in within I believe

1 it's six months before the uses ceases, then it would be allowed. 3 CHAIR HANSSEN: And then the Federal Realty 4 people also would have the opportunity to come back and 5 propose another use, it's just they would have to get a 6 different Conditional Use Permit, correct? 7 SEAN MULLIN: If the use permit were triggered, 8 if this space were to revert to retail, another use that's allowed by right, then Federal Realty could just have a 10 lease and have a Use and Occupancy Certificate and a 11 business license for that new retailer in that example. 12 CHAIR HANSSEN: Because retail is allowed by 13 right, they wouldn't have to go through any more hurdles if 14 they needed to find a retail tenant for the space if it 15 didn't work out for Schwab? 16 17 SEAN MULLIN: That is correct. 18 CHAIR HANSSEN: Okay, thank you for that. Do 19 other Commissioners have questions for Staff on this? Vice 20 Chair Janoff. 21 VICE CHAIR JANOFF: A question for Ms. Renn 22 again. Can you provide us roughly the vacancy percentage in 23 the downtown Commercial District, just in rough terms? 24 MONICA RENN: I don't have that off the top of my 25 head, I might have to come back with it, but I can tell you

that roughly we're actually seeing more people coming in than people leaving at this point, so while we do know that Gap is leaving, the other half of this space does have an approved CUP and I understand that Federal Realty does have a tenant lined up for that, so we are seeing in general more places becoming full than we are seeing becoming vacant. So, while I don't know the rate, I can say that it's getting better.

VICE CHAIR JANOFF: Thank you.

CHAIR HANSSEN: Anyone else have questions? Okay, I'm going to make a comment.

One of the reasons I was asking all these questions was I was trying to visualize as things continue to evolve, you know, where might this go? And I'm going to say that number one, I feel really comfortable with the real estate owner, that they have a lot of experience, and I take a lot of comfort in the fact that they have had this property for 23 years in Los Gatos and they're not just flipping properties and turning things around, so that gives me some comfort.

The additional issue of the fact that the space is being split in two, giving an opportunity to try something else as well in this prime retail space, gives me comfort.

And then finally, I'll say that I had at one point worked in financial services for seven years. I think the financial services industry has made many, many evolutions and is making a whole other one now in terms of how they do business, and I do concur with Schwab's assessment that things are way less focused on cash now and they're much more focused online, and then your focus is going to be on wealth management. And if it's true that people that aren't their customers walk in as street traffic when they're there, I think it could be a really good use for the area, so I'm generally in support of the CUP. So, let me see what the other Commissioners think.

commissioner barnett: I had one concern, which is the idea of a precedent, although maybe I'm being technically correct because of the CUP requirement. But in the very competitive banking industry I think it would be bad if we had many, many competitive banks going into the downtown area on the first floors. I don't have a good answer for that though.

CHAIR HANSSEN: Okay, so that's a concern?

COMMISSIONER BARNETT: I'll express it as a concern.

CHAIR HANSSEN: Okay. All right, other Commissioners? Vice Chair Janoff.

VICE CHAIR JANOFF: I think what makes me more comfortable about thinking favorably about the application is the property owner's desire to fill the space, and I feel pretty confident if they had a retail tenant that made sense or that they could attract—we've got a lot of salons, you've got a lot of restaurants reducing number of retail—I think if we could fill it with retail the property owner probably would have.

With all due respect to Ms. Somers, I'm sympathetic that we'd like to see more retail in that area but I'm also thinking that, again, as I said, a neighborhood-serving business does also make sense, and if they can create vitality and add to the bustle of an area and draw people that's good for the retail businesses too, and we want to do whatever we can to make sure that they stay as vital as possible, so I'm in favor of approving this application.

CHAIR HANSSEN: Commissioner Tavana.

COMMISSIONER TAVANA: I'm going to have to say I'm against this application for multiple reasons.

Number one, I don't believe it is a bank. You can't do basic banking activities at this branch. While you

1 can do wealth management and other consultation services it 2 seems like more of an office setting that it's going to be, 3 so that's number one. 4 Number two, they already have a good location on 5 Santa Cruz Avenue. I don't see why moving to Old Town will 6 make them any better. I think they can do a lot of what 7 they're doing at their current site, so I think it would be 8 a mistake to approve this. I agree with Catherine Somers. I think we could 10 find something better for this location. Ms. Renn even said 11 that we're not losing tenants, we're actually increasing 12 tenants, and I think somebody will come along that will 13 better fit this space, so I will be voting no tonight. 14 Thank you. 15 16 CHAIR HANSSEN: Well, that's only if there's a 17 motion to approve it. 18 COMMISSIONER TAVANA: Sorry, yeah. I'm against 19 the CUP. 20 CHAIR HANSSEN: All right, thank you. I think it 21 would be helpful maybe to try a motion, and as I asked 22 earlier, if it turns out that we vote 2-2, that is

DIRECTOR PAULSON: That's correct.

tantamount to a denial, is that correct?

23

24

25

1 CHAIR HANSSEN: Okay. So, would someone like to make a motion one way or the other? I think it's fine to 3 try and see where we go. Okay, not everyone at one time. 4 Vice Chair Janoff. 5 VICE CHAIR JANOFF: I'll make a motion. I move to 6 approve the Conditional Use Permit for a bank, Charles 7 Schwab Community Banking and Investment Services, on 8 property zoned C-2:LHP:PD located at 35 University Avenue; APN 529-02-044; property owner SRI Old Town, LLC; Applicant 10 Amber DeMaglio. I can make the required findings for CEQA 11 and I can make the required findings for the Conditional 12 Use Permit. 13 CHAIR HANSSEN: Okay, thank you for that, and do 14 we have a second? 15 COMMISSIONER BARNETT: I'll second the motion. 16 17 CHAIR HANSSEN: Okay, so Commissioner Barnett 18 seconds the motion, and does anyone else want to make 19 comments before we take a vote on this matter? I think I 20 know where everyone stands. Okay, not seeing anyone. So, I 21 will start with Commissioner Barnett. 22 COMMISSIONER BARNETT: Yes. 23 CHAIR HANSSEN: Commissioner Tavana. 24 COMMISSIONER TAVANA: No. 25 CHAIR HANSSEN: Vice Chair Janoff.

VICE CHAIR JANOFF: Yes.

CHAIR HANSSEN: And I vote yes as well, so the motion passes 3-1, and Director Paulson, do we have any appeal rights for this motion?

DIRECTOR PAULSON: Yes, thank you, Chair. Anyone who is not satisfied with the decision of the Planning Commission can file an appeal. The appeal forms are available online. There is a fee for filing the appeal and the appeal must be filed within ten days.

CHAIR HANSSEN: Thank you very much for that. And thank you to the Commissioners for all of your comments and stuff. A lot of times, especially with the downtown, these discussions on CUPs are often difficult because there are people that have really strong feelings one way or the other, so I'm glad we were able to talk about this and get a lot of comments out, and I appreciate everyone's feedback.

This Page Intentionally Left Blank