From: Phil Koen

Sent: Thursday, April 21, 2022 1:03 PM

To: Arn Andrews < aandrews@losgatosca.gov >

Cc: jvannada; Ron Dickel; Kyle Park; Town Manager < Manager@losgatosca.gov >

Subject: FY 2023 Capital Plan Questions

Hello Arn,

I quickly reviewed the draft FY 2023 Capital Plan and had a few questions that perhaps you could answer.

- 1. The ending GFAR Balance is increasing by approximately \$800 \$900K per year. This results in the June 30, 2027 GRAR balance growing to \$4.5m. Why not program additional capital projects so 100% of the annual capital revenue sources are fully programmed? There certainly appears to be unmet capital needs which could be addressed by the unspent revenue. For example there is no spending programmed for roadside fuel reduction beyond FY 22. Why was the capital plan programmed not to spend all capital sources each year?
- 2. The capital plan has programmed \$1.2m to upgrade the Town's ERP software. \$700k of funding is coming from a transfer from the Internal Services IT Fund which has a projected FY 23 ending balance of \$1.8m. Why not fund the entire \$1.2m program from the Internal Services IT Fund? The Internal Services IT Fund has capacity to do this and would allow the Town to reprogram \$500k to other pressing capital needs. Additionally the fund balance for the Internal Services IT Fund has remained unchanged for years suggesting that a large portion of the balance is surplus.
- 3. The GFAR has \$1.9m programmed for a Streetscape/Economic recovery project. There does not appear to be a specific plan to spend these programmed funds. Why not remove these funds from the GFAR project list and record them as a committed reserve in the General Fund? This would "clean up" the GFAR project list by removing a project that does not have a council approved program spend and properly segregates these funds in a General Fund Committed Reserve that is controlled by the Town Council. By doing this, it is more transparent to the public that these funds exist (currently it is hidden from sight as a project in the GFAR) and the funds are committed for a future capital improvement project. The current treatment frankly makes no sense if the goal is to improve transparency.

Than	ΚŊ	/0	u.
------	----	----	----

Phil Koen

From: Phil Koen  Sent: Friday, April 22, 2022 9:30 AM  To: Arn Andrews <aandrews@losgatosca.gov>; Town Manager <a href="Manager@losgatosca.gov">Manager@losgatosca.gov</a>&gt;  Cc: Ron Dickel; Kyle Park  Subject: Quick question on estimate</aandrews@losgatosca.gov>
Hello Arn and Laurel,
Could you provide a quick explanation for the variance between the adjusted budget and estimate for Streets & Signals and Gas Tax Streets and Signals. The variances combine for over \$3 m.
Thank you.

Phil Koen

# DEPARTMENTAL EXPENDITURES By Program

			2018/19 Actuals		2019/20 Actuals		2020/21 Actuals		2021/22 Adjusted	_	2021/22 Estimated		2022/23 Adopted
Parks &	& Public Works												
5101	Administration	\$	570,096	\$	614,753	\$	636,015	\$	628,201	\$	627,119	\$	595,779
5201	Engineering Program Services		1,215,300		1,480,068		1,385,830	•	1,311,552		1,442,088	·	1,551,610
5202	Engineering Development Srvcs		742,264		757,990		759,203		842,533		855,663		844,226
5203	Non-Point Source Fund		628,241		162,839		166,173		221,045		185,952		178,666
5301	Park Services		1,737,287		1,965,843		2,013,263		2,206,816		2,102,442		2,275,527
5302	Environmental Services		337,937		278,918		362,378		477,128		246,955		438,062
5401	Street & Signals		2,220,248		2,084,477		1,950,873		2,484,223		1,019,490		2,667,362
5402	Equipment Replacement		601,961		540,036		254,194		713,267		733,421		816,240
5403	Vehicle Maintenance		252,204		-		-		-		-		-
5404	Facilities Maintenance		1,011,905		1,161,003		1,160,152		1,223,193		1,234,999		1,240,344
5405	Property Damage		56,226		18,632		5,197		25,000		25,000		25,000
5406	Vehicle Maintenance Management		221,062		199,072		251,390		268,729		302,190		247,015
5407	Facilities Maintenance Management		305,042		316,130		333,628		393,171		356,929		401,319
5501	Lighting & Landscape Districts		27,266		54,572		53,958		95,279		33,143		95,642
5999	Pass Thru Accounts		564,170		452,157		481,981		140,000		140,000		140,000
8011	GFAR		7,552,806		5,567,263		4,743,911		20,507,902		6,272,006		6,082,937
8021	Grant Funded CIP Projects		379,494		484,403		698,512		7,869,300		4,126,407		4,967,041
8031	Storm Drain #1		-		-		-		200,000		-		-
8032	Storm Drain #2		-		-		-		200,000		13,400		-
8033	Storm Drain #3		29,700		11,000		611		259,447		25,848		-
8041	Traffic Mitigation		64,626		76,290		354,436		1,431,246		541,315		-
8042	Utility Undergrounding		-		49,000		24,626		348,003		427		-
8051	Gas Tax - Street & Signals		960,881		1,719,384		43,241		2,544,576		868,215		1,497,689
Total P	arks & Public Works	\$	19,478,716	\$	17,993,830	\$	15,679,572	\$	44,390,611	\$	21,153,009	\$	24,064,459
Library	,												
7101	Administration	\$	451,284	\$	469,563	\$	512,166	\$	540,756	\$	518,065	\$	531,661
7201	Adult Services		548,199		544,326		643,929		688,224		701,284		840,163
7202	Children's Services		502,330		510,064		570,048		630,300		533,812		608,497
7204	Circulation Services		969,174		1,149,220		1,091,598		1,194,427		982,965		994,171
7801	Operating Grant		22,632		27,629		11,133		9,000		9,000		-
7301	Library Trust		69,029		72,637		17,895		70,000		70,000		95,000
7302	Clelles Ness Bequest Trust		21,048		-		-		20,755		-		20,755
7304	Betty McClendon Trust		-		-		609		700		700		1,000
7305	Barbara J Cassin Trust		-		9,989		611		2,500		2,500		4,500
Total L	ibrary	\$	2,583,696	\$	2,783,428	\$	2,847,989	\$	3,156,662	\$	2,818,326	\$	3,095,747
Success	sor Agency to the Los Gatos RDA												
9402	SA- Housing Trust Others	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$	_	\$	
	<del>-</del>	ş	400 556	ş	400.075	ş		Ş	-	ş	7.050	Ş	
9403	SA- Admin Services		109,556		108,276		104,747		8,043		7,959		8,006
9404	SA- Debt to 2002 COP		1,346,321		1,342,984		1,347,180		1,347,110		1,347,010		1,347,236
9405	SA-Debt to 2010 COP		2,417,921		2,412,222		2,413,838		2,456,310		2,456,210		2,444,510
Total S	SA to the Los Gatos RDA	\$	3,873,798	\$	3,863,482	\$	3,865,765	\$	3,811,463	\$	3,811,179	\$	3,799,752
Total C	Operating Expenditures	\$	61,235,570	\$	63,330,976	\$	63,431,568	\$	91,595,903	\$	66,776,840	\$	71,106,750
	Transfers Out		4,714,131		9,996,516		5,267,697		3,436,186		4,056,563		4,245,514

From: Phil Koen

Sent: Friday, April 22, 2022 10:07 AM

To: Town Manager < Manager@losgatosca.gov>; Arn Andrews < aandrews@losgatosca.gov>

**Cc:** Ron Dickel; Kyle Park; <u>ivannada</u>; Rick Van Hoesen; Rob Rennie < <u>RRennie@losgatosca.gov</u>>; Matthew

Hudes < <a href="MHudes@losgatosca.gov">MHudes@losgatosca.gov">MHudes < <a href="MHudes@losgatosca.gov">MHudes@losgatosca.gov">MHudes@losgatosca.gov</a>>; Rick Tinsley; Stacey Dell; Shelley Neis < <a href="meis@losgatosca.gov">sneis@losgatosca.gov</a>>

Subject: Additional disclosure around the FY 23 draft operating budget

Hello Laurel and Arn,

Attached please find additional information the public and the FC received last year regarding the FY 22 operating budget. It would be very helpful if the Staff provided the same information for this year's budget discussions. The additional disclosure will provide valuable insight into the planned increase in salary and benefit expenditures for FY 23 vs. historical trends.

Also, could you please disclose whether the FY 22 GF salary and benefit expense estimate includes an estimate of any POA "make whole" payment that might be required as a result of the salary negotiation. In prior years the Town has made the POA "whole" for the period of time from the expiration of the labor agreement and the date of the new agreement. I believe the POA has been working for 7 months without an agreement. The "make whole" payment could be material.

Lastly, could you provide a best case and worse case outcome for FY 23 regarding the salary increases under negotiation. It appears that the draft budget is based on a 2% increase. This seems very optimistic given the current inflationary environment and increases other neighboring jurisdictions have recently approved for their bargaining units. If the actual increase agreed to exceed the planned increase, the FY 23 budget is not "balanced" and will require incremental funding to "rebalance the budget".

While I appreciate the Town is in confidential negotiations with various labor groups, these discussions should not prevent the Town from having a transparent discussion regarding the most likely aggregate outcome given the potential FY 23 financial impact.

ha	n	 10	

Phil Koen

#### PAGE 4 OF 8

SUBJECT: Finance Commission Budget Recommendations to the Town Council per

Measure A

DATE: May 11, 2021

## **DISCUSSION** (continued):

**Motion** by **Commissioner Rick Tinsley** to recommend to Council the allocation of the \$1.2 million Surplus Property Reserve (Winchester property sale proceeds) to the Capital/Special Project Reserve for capital budgeting purposes as determined by the Town Council's priorities. **Seconded** by **Chair Ron Dickel**.

Motion passed unanimously

**Motion** by **Commissioner Rick Tinsley** to recommend to Council the allocation of the accumulated Measure G funds through June 30, 2020 in the Measure G Reserve (\$1.2 million) to the General Fund Appropriated Reserve (GFAR) for capital budgeting purposes as determined by the Town Council's priorities. **Seconded** by **Chair Ron Dickel.** 

Motion passed 4 to 1, Commissioner Loreen Huddleston opposed.

Motion by Chair Ron Dickel to allocate 50% Measure G proceeds for capital purposes in FY 2020/21 and all periods after. Seconded by Commissioner Stacey Dell. Motion passed 3 to 2, Commissioners Kyle Park and Rick Tinsley opposed.

## **Capital Improvement Plan Expenditure Recommendations**

In addition to the recommended additional allocations to the Capital Program, the Commission believed that the continuation of the roadside vegetation management program was essential to be included in the workplan for FY 2021/22.

Motion by Chair Ron Dickel to add \$500k from the previously recommended additional allocations to the Capital Budget for continuation of the roadside vegetation management project. Seconded by Commissioner Kyle Park. Motion passed unanimously.

## **Operating Budget Expense Recommendations**

The Commission reviewed historical time series and other data provided by staff as it relates to the organization's base salary and benefit expenses. As previously mentioned, the Commission observed that higher percentage increases in the primary expenditures of Salary and Benefits relative to revenues is constraining other investments. The table on the following page illustrates the salary and benefit trends from FY 2014/15 through FY 2019/20 used by the Commission during their deliberations.

## PAGE **5** OF **8**

SUBJECT: Finance Commission Budget Recommendations to the Town Council per

Measure A

DATE: May 11, 2021

# **DISCUSSION** (continued):

Combined GF & ISF Fund Salaries												
	2014	_15	2015	_16	2016	_17	2017	_18	2018	_19	2019	_20
Salaries-Total	\$	15,324,291	\$	15,416,095	\$	15,758,451	\$	16,921,167	\$	17,942,181	\$	19,254,973
Salaries-Temp	\$	867,364	\$	869,152	\$	913,362	\$	811,194	\$	648,097	\$	881,908
Salaries-OT	\$	436,917	\$	415,372	\$	545,427	\$	583,001	\$	707,046	\$	708,955
Benefits-Total	\$	6,526,096	\$	7,224,479	\$	9,470,413	\$	8,238,405	\$	8,861,044	\$	9,678,595
Benefits-PERS	\$	3,362,328	\$	3,818,073	\$	6,088,841	\$	4,739,853	\$	5,282,681	\$	6,059,646
Adjustments for Temporary & OT S	Salarie	!S										
Salaries	\$	15,324,291	\$	15,416,095	\$	15,758,451	\$	16,921,167	\$	17,942,181	\$	19,254,973
Less:Temp Salaries		(867,364)		(869,152)		(913,362)		(811,194)		(648,097)		(881,908)
OT Salaries		(436,917)		(415,372)		(545,427)		(583,001)		(707,046)		(708,955)
	\$	14,020,010	\$	14,131,571	\$	14,299,662	\$	15,526,972	\$	16,587,038	\$	17,664,110
Year Over Year % Change												
Based Upon Actuals	Salar	ries		0.80%		1.19%		8.58%		6.83%		6.49%
	Bene	efits		10.70%		31.09%*		-13.01%		7.56%		9.23%
					SALA	RY CHANGE	ANAL	YSIS				
					Tota	Change FY 1	4/15 t	o FY 19/20			\$	3,644,100
* Benefits impacted by Implement	tation				Tota	l % Change						25.99%
beginng in FY 2016/17 of					Annı	ual Change Ui	nadju	sted				5.20%
annual calculated Pension Expense	e											
for Internal Service Funds as						Change FY 1					\$	3,644,100
per provisions of GASB 68						ss One-Time I			S			
(Accounting and Financial Reporting	ng					18/19 & 19/2	•				\$	(1,558,864)
for Pensions)					To	tal Adjusted (	Chang	e			\$	2,085,236
					Tota	Five Year %	Chang	ge Adjusted				14.87%
					Annı	ual Change Ad	djuste	d For One-Ti	me Eq	juity Adj.		2.97%
					BENE	FITS CHANG	E ANA	LYSIS				
					Total	Change FY 1	4/15 t	o FY 19/20			\$	3,152,499
					Total	l % Change						48.31%
					Annı	ual Change Ui	nadju	sted				9.66%
					Tota	l Change FY 1	4/15 t	o FY 19/20			\$	3,152,499
					Les	ss One-Time I	Equity	/ Adjustment	s			
					FY	18/19 & 19/2	0 (bei	nefits only)			\$	(580,321)
					To	tal Adjusted (	Chang	e			\$	2,572,178
					Tota	l Five Year %	Chang	ge Adjusted				39.41%
					Annı	ual Change Ad	djuste	d For One-Ti	me Eq	juity Adj.		7.88%

## PAGE 6 OF 8

SUBJECT: Finance Commission Budget Recommendations to the Town Council per

Measure A

DATE: May 11, 2021

#### **DISCUSSION** (continued):

In addition, the Commission received information from staff regarding current staffing vacancies and associated savings if unfilled for six months.

Vacant Position	Department	Vacancy Date	Salary Range	Hourly Rate	Current Status	6 Mos. Salary/Benefits Cost
1 Communications Dispatcher	Police	Dec-20	TEA - 14	\$39.05 - \$50.41	New employee scheduled to start in May	\$ 76,902
2 Communications Dispatcher	Police	Jan-21	TEA - 14	\$39.05 - \$50.41	Background	\$ 76,902
3 Communications Dispatcher	Police	Mar-21	TEA - 14	\$39.05 - \$50.41	Background	\$ 76,902
4 Communications Dispatcher	Police	Apr-21	TEA - 14	\$39.05 - \$50.41	Recruitment in progress	\$ 76,902
5 Legal Administrative Assistant	Town Attorney	Jan-21	Conf - 02	\$33.43 - \$43.26	Dept Review of Applications	\$ 67,344
6 Parks & Maintenance Worker*	Parks & Public Works	Oct-20	AFSCME - 05	\$29.90 - \$38.17	Interviews Scheduling	\$ 59,794
7 Police Officer	Police	Dec-20	POA - 02-0710	\$48.90	New employee scheduled to start June - Police Officer Trainee	\$ 81,317
8 Police Officer	Police	Apr-21	POA - 02-0711	\$51.47 - 62.57	Final stages of background	\$ 133,224
* Recruitment Timeline Events:						
- Oral Board 12/8/20						
- Invited 6, 3 no show						
- 2 offers extended, both decline	d					
- Next Oral Board 5/13/21						

The following recommendations are based on the Commission's desire to slow the growth in expenses in FY 2021/22 and reflect historic trends in expense assumptions in the Five-Year Forecast:

**Motion** by **Commissioner Park** to freeze budgeted FTE's at 145 for six months. **Seconded** by **Chair Ron Dickel**.

Motion passed unanimously.

**Motion** by **Chair Ron Dickel** to use a 2% inflation factor for development of base salary expenses for FY 2021/22 and the remaining forecast period, without implying a particular outcome for negotiations with bargaining units. **Seconded** by **Stacey Dell.** 

Motion passed unanimously.

In addition, the Commission recommended the inclusion of a destination marketing allocation into the budget to expedite the return of Transient Occupancy Tax revenues.

**Motion** by **Chair Ron Dickel** to allocate \$55K to destination marketing utilizing ARPA funds. **Seconded** by **Loreen Huddleston.** 

Motion passed 4-0-1, Rick Tinsley abstained.

### **Operating Budget Balancing Recommendations**

Based on the recommendation to divide Measure G proceeds evenly (50%/50%) between eligible Operating and Capital uses, the Commission recommended utilizing anticipated Other Post-Employment Benefit (OPEB) actuarial contributions as the budget balancing strategy for FY 2020/21 through the forecast period. This recommendation would replace the proposed use of Measure G allocations for eligible 100% Operating purposes.