

WVMA's Objections to New Conditions of Approval
05/08/2026

Address: 16769 Farley Road, Los Gatos CA 95032

Property Zoned: R-1:8

APN: 424-21-062

Owner and Applicant: West Valley Muslim Association (WVMA)

Conditional Use Permit Application: U-24-010

Planner: Jocelyn Shoopman, Senior Planner, Town of Los Gatos

The West Valley Muslim Association (“WVMA”) respectfully submits this letter to express serious concerns about the conditions added at the April 22, 2026 hearing. To put those concerns in context: Staff recommended 25 conditions for WVMA – more than apply to any other religious institution in Los Gatos. The Commission then added 18 more at the hearing, bringing the total to 43 conditions. No faith institution in Los Gatos – or, to our knowledge, in Santa Clara County – has been subject to anything approaching this number of restrictions on its place of worship. The cumulative burden is unprecedented, and the addition of the new conditions raise serious concerns under RLUIPA, as discussed below. We respectfully request that the Planning Commission amend the conditions identified below before the approval is finalized.

The comments from neighbors have focused on two major concerns – hours of operation, and safety:

- **Hours of Operation:** The neighbors ultimately wanted us to compromise on the number of prayers, the length of Ramadan prayers, or change the time when they occur. This is something we cannot do. And it is precisely the burden RLUIPA prohibits.
- **Safety:** The neighbors believe that Farley Road cannot handle the traffic, is not wide enough, is unsafe for parking, and is unsafe for pedestrians. The neighbors have not introduced actual evidence of safety concerns caused by WVMA nor have they provided any expert opinion on the issue—they have relied solely on their own subjective sense that WVMA’s operations create a safety issue. WVMA disputes this assertion and points out that the Town’s own Public Works Engineers, the Los Gatos Police, and the Santa Clara Fire Department have all been involved and have not raised any concerns.

The Planning Commission did not thoroughly consider the RLUIPA strict scrutiny test when it adopted the 18 additional conditions at its April 22, 2026 hearing. As discussed below, many of the conditions (1) address ancillary neighbor complaints that do not rise to the level of a compelling governmental interest and fall outside of the Town’s primary safety and zoning mandates; (2) impose a substantial burden on WVMA’s exercise of religion; and (3) are not the least restrictive means to address the concerns expressed. In addition, as no other religious institution has these limitations, the Commission, by singling out WVMA, has not met RLUIPA’s equal terms provision (e.g., as compared to Faith Lutheran Church in a similar R-1:8 zone). Please refer to Appendix A, Department of Justice RLUIPA Guidelines.

We find the following to be the most problematic and discriminatory conditions being placed on WVMA:

1. **Annual Review:**

Substantial Burden Violation: A perpetual annual review gives neighbors a recurring forum to relitigate the CUP every year – forever – creating uncertainty about our use and casting a chilling effect on all WVMA’s operations. It also creates a tremendous annual expense as WVMA has spent substantial board time, legal fees, and operational

resources over 21 months to address every concern raised, a process it would need to repeat each year. We agreed to a single one-year review as a good-faith measure. Beyond that one-year review, no further review should be required.

Least Restrictive Means Violation: There is no compelling governmental interest in requiring the annual review, and even if there were the Town's Municipal Code and Code Compliance process already offer less restrictive means to meet any interest. The Town already has the ability under its Municipal Code to modify a use permit on its own motion. (See Los Gatos Municipal Code section 29.20.310.) The Town's existing Code Compliance process is the appropriate and equal mechanism for addressing any future concerns, as it is for every other religious institution in Los Gatos.

Equal Terms Violation: No other religious institution in Los Gatos is subject to annual review of its Conditions of Approval. This violates RLUIPA's Equal Terms provision.

We respectfully request the Commission to limit the review to the single one-year review already agreed to, with no further review thereafter.

2. **Limitation on Number of Vehicles / Attendees for Prayers:**

Substantial Burden Violation: Limiting the number of attendees burdens WVMA's ability to practice its faith by forcing it to monitor attendance and turn away congregants who wish to participate. The record shows a deliberate substitution – commissioners who could not cap attendees directly reframed the same limitation as a cap on vehicles, arriving at the identical result. This substitution does not cure the RLUIPA violation; it confirms it. Tying attendance at mandatory religious prayers to on-site parking requirements – a metric the Town controls – is not a neutral land use standard. It is a mechanism for the Town to determine how many Muslims may worship at one time. This places a direct substantial burden on WVMA's ability to conduct the five daily prayers that are obligatory under Islamic practice.

Please note that whenever there are seminars, religious talks, or events that are held in the evening, they are always punctuated with the sunset (Maghrib) and night (Isha) prayer. This is similar to the 15-minute break we took during the last 3 Planning Commission Hearings. This is obviously impractical, and impossible to administer, as capping attendance at Isha night prayer effectively caps attendance at the sunset (Maghrib) prayer, as well as at every evening program that precedes or follows it, including our Tuesday Young Professionals, Friday Family Nights, weekend community events. The Commission has created, through a parking condition for night (Isha) prayer, a de facto attendance ceiling on sunset (Maghrib) prayer, and all evening religious and community life at WVMA.

There is no compelling governmental interest being served by limiting attendance to the number of off-street parking spaces available. Town staff conceded at the March 22 hearing that there was no legal way to prevent anyone from parking on the street.

Equal Terms Violation: Staff testified at the April 22, 2026 hearing that no other religious institution in Los Gatos is subject to a limitation on the number of attendees, other than maximum occupancy limits specified by the Fire Department.

We respectfully request the Commission to eliminate any condition that limits attendance based on the number of off-street parking spaces available.

3. **Parking Lot Resurfacing:**

Substantial Burden Violation: We received quotes ranging from \$629,861 to over \$1,000,000 – see Appendix B – Parking Lot Quotes – solely to document the financial burden this condition imposes. Parking lot contractors have stated in writing that “significant noise reduction cannot be guaranteed” as a result of resurfacing. Requiring a non-profit religious organization to redirect approximately \$750,000 to \$1,000,000 or more, for an uncertain outcome, that would have gone to programming and operations to resurface the parking lot substantially burdens WVMA’s practice of its faith. The least expensive base bid quote is \$629,861 – and that figure expressly excludes additional costs for sloping, drainage, piping, as well as for permits, traffic control, engineering, weekend and evening premiums, and potential change orders, with real costs likely exceeding \$800,000 or more once exclusions are added. Because the lot cannot be closed during prayer times, weekend and evening work premiums are unavoidable, making the true cost materially higher. The quote is also valid for only 15 days due to petroleum market volatility, meaning costs could rise further before any work could begin.

The Commission has not demonstrated any compelling interest as it did not review or utilize any objective data to suggest that vehicles traveling at about 10 to 15 miles an hour inside a parking lot (rather than a freeway) create any noise that is disturbing or that violates any of the Town’s noise ordinances. No acoustical engineer testified that the pavement surface contributes to measurable off-site noise. No noise reading was entered into the record showing a violation of the Town’s ordinance attributable to parking lot surface conditions. Yet the Commission has required full resurfacing within a period of only 6 months.

Least Restrictive Means Violation: Resurfacing the entire parking lot is not the least restrictive means to address the perceived issue. Noise can be dampened in other ways, including landscaping and fences. In addition, not all parts of the parking lot are utilized in the same way. The entry and exit ways handle the most traffic, while all other parts of the parking lot have minimal traffic. We have speed bumps on the entry driveway for safety purposes, and removing them to reduce noise can create a safety hazard. We have not heard any complaints from our eastern neighbor, and are unwilling to remove the speed bumps and compromise safety.

Equal Terms Violation: No other religious institution in Los Gatos appears to have been required to resurface its parking lot as a condition of approval for its use.

WVMA is committed to improving our parking lot due to root damage, and to add additional spaces, as we have proactively demonstrated by removing the gate track, and previously submitting plans to increase number of parking space. Towards that commitment, we would kindly request an alternate condition such as:

The permittee shall work with the Community Development Director to identify and make improvements to the paving conditions in the parking lot to reduce noise from cracks and bumps on the entry and exit driveways, without compromising safety, and to add additional parking spaces.

4. 60 Day Notice of Events:

Substantial Burden Violation: Requiring 60 days in advance to plan poses a substantial burden on our congregation. Islamic community life, like all faith communities, includes events that arise naturally – responding to a visiting scholar, a community need, a life event. Requiring 60 days' advance notice would eliminate a meaningful portion of WVMA's religious and community programming,

There is no compelling governmental interest served by requiring notice of events in advance. The notice would not limit any of the impacts neighbors are concerned about. The Town has no compelling interest in making sure neighbors are notified about events that will take place, much less that they be notified further in advance of those events.

Even if there were some conceivable compelling governmental interest being served, there was absolutely no deliberation about other ways to address that interest that would not interfere with WVMA's ability to continue its existing operations.

Equal Terms Violation: No other faith institution, regardless of the zone their facility is located, is subject to such requirement.

We respectfully request that the Commission remove this condition.

5. Deliveries 8 AM to 6 PM, Mon to Fri:

Substantial Burden Violation: Placing WVMA on a stricter standard for deliveries will prevent WVMA, and the residents in its living quarter, from normal deliveries of catered food, delivery of audio equipment, or equipment deliveries for events held on weekends. It would even prohibit food deliveries for the daily breaking of our fast in Ramadan. This would place a substantial burden on our ability to operate. We understand and appreciate that heavy vehicle deliveries should be limited, and voluntarily agreed with Staff to have a restriction on heavy vehicles based on Federal Highway Administrations Gross Vehicle Weight Rating (GVWR) Class Identification ¹. Light and medium duty vehicles (e.g., USPS, UPS, FedEx, Amazon, etc. delivery vehicles) should not be limited, and especially since it is out of our control when they deliver. We worked with Staff and voluntarily agreed to limit Class 7 or above vehicle delivery hours.

There is no compelling governmental interest being served by restricting these deliveries to weekdays or during these hours. Section 15.40.070 of the Town's code already regulates delivery trucks on residential streets.

In addition, even if there were a conceivable governmental interest in regulating delivery vehicles beyond what the Town's Municipal Code already requires, there was absolutely no deliberation about other ways to address that interest that would not interfere with WVMA's ability to continue its existing operations.

Equal Terms Violation: Faith Lutheran Church in a similar R-1:8 has no such limitation, and there is no such limitation on any of the neighbors on Farley Road. In general, the Town Code already accounts for this on residential streets, and no other religious institution has these additional limitations.

We respectfully suggest that the current language in the Draft Conditions of Approval be maintained:

Deliveries by vehicles exceeding 26,000 pounds GVWR shall occur between 8:00 a.m. and 8:00 p.m., Monday through Saturday.

6. Written Notice for Events with 100 or more persons:

Substantial Burden Violation: Requiring advance written notice before each congregational prayer is administratively impossible and would constitute a government-imposed barrier to religious worship that no secular organization faces. WVMA regularly has events with 100 or more persons, including our Friday Jumu'ah service, Friday Family Nights, Tuesday Young Professionals meetup, weekend events, and several of the obligatory prayer services. The 100-person threshold can be crossed by virtually any of the five obligatory daily prayers. It is precisely the kind of individualized, case-by-case burden that RLUIPA was enacted to prevent.

¹ Federal Highway Administrations Gross Vehicle Weight Rating (GVWR) Class Identification: https://cmvid.nisrinc.com/CMV_ID/GVWRClass.asp

There is no compelling governmental interest being served by requiring written advance notice. As with the 60-day notice of events discussed above, requiring notice would not limit any of the impacts neighbors are concerned about. The Town has no compelling interest in making sure neighbors are notified about events that will take place, much less that they be notified about each congregational prayer. Even if there were some conceivable compelling governmental interest being served, there was absolutely no deliberation about other ways to address that interest that would not interfere with WVMA's ability to continue its existing operations.

Equal Terms Violation: No other faith institution, regardless of the zone their facility is located, is subject to such requirement.

We voluntarily agreed to Condition 24, High Attendance Guidelines, as a good-faith measure. That agreement adequately addressed these concerns. Layering additional notice requirements on top of it serves no compelling governmental interest and also violates RLUIPA's equal terms provision, and should be removed.

7. **Raising Fence Height to 8 feet:**

Substantial Burden Violation: Requiring a non-profit religious organization to redirect funds from programming and operations to instead replace 1,403 linear feet of property line fencing substantially burdens WVMA's practice of its faith. Raising the fence from 6 to 8 feet, or adding a 2-foot lattice, cannot be accomplished by adding 2 feet to the top of existing posts – the entire fence must be replaced to achieve 8-foot structural stability in wind and storms. Fence have stated in writing that “The fence might be vulnerable in strong winds”. 8-foot fences require 10-foot posts (two feet are buried in concrete below ground), as well as 8-foot boards – these are non-standard materials and are significantly more expensive. WVMA already replaced a third of the fence within the last 3 years, including about 150-foot section adjacent to Mr. and Mrs. Ratcliff's property - at WVMA's full expense. Replacing all 1,403 linear feet to 8-foot height represents a significant and unjustified financial burden, particularly where the record contains no independent assessment that the current fence, combined with the existing mature hedges documented in Appendix C, are inadequate.

There is no compelling governmental interest being served by this condition because light from headlights is already addressed by Condition 20, which WVMA agreed to and which requires sufficient shrubs to obscure vehicle headlights along Chirco Drive, Corcel Court, and 16793 Farley Road within one year of approval. Light from parking lot lighting is already addressed by Condition 13(c), which requires “[a]ll site and parking lot lighting shall be full-cutoff, downward-directed, and dimmed to security levels during Quiet Hours. The 8-foot fence requirement duplicates these conditions already in place, at great expense to WVMA, without any evidence that the existing conditions are insufficient.

Least Restrictive Means Violation: Even if there were a compelling governmental interest being served, there was absolutely no deliberation about other ways to address that interest that would not interfere with WVMA's ability to continue its existing operations. Before requiring a costly full fence replacement, the Commission should first allow the required plantings to mature and lighting to be updated and assess whether the concern is resolved. That is what “least restrictive means” requires. Where any gaps remain, lower-cost screening and privacy mesh solutions are readily available at a fraction of the cost of full fence replacement. The eastern side of the facility (sections O to S) borders vacant land, has a chain link fence, and should be excluded from any fence requirement entirely.

Equal Terms Violation: No other faith institution, regardless of the zone their facility is located, is subject to such requirement.

We respectfully suggest that the current language (Condition 20) in the draft conditions of approval be maintained.

8. No Outdoor Amplified Sound:

Substantial Burden Violation: Prohibiting amplified sound of all sorts without exception substantially burdens WVMA's practice of its faith by limiting the use of the outdoor areas. Child birthday parties, weddings, prayers, and other activities would be possible only in small groups.

There is no compelling governmental interest being served by a complete prohibition on outdoor amplified sound. Section 16.20.040(a) of the Town's code already prohibits amplified sound without a use permit issued by the Town. Section 16.20.015 of the Town's noise ordinance already imposes tight restrictions on outdoor noise generally.

Even if there were some conceivable compelling governmental interest being served, there was absolutely no deliberation about other ways to address that interest that would not interfere with WVMA's ability to continue its existing operations.

Equal Terms Violation: No other religious institution has this limitation that is stricter than the Town noise ordinance.

We respectfully request that the condition prohibiting all amplified sound be omitted. The noise restrictions in the Town's code are adequate to protect against unreasonable amplified sound levels.

In addition to the above issues, we object to the following conditions as arbitrary and capricious based on WVMA's inability to ensure the condition will be met:

9. **County Fire Condition:** The Commission imposed a condition requiring County Fire to assess occupancy and compliance with occupancy limit. WVMA cannot perform this condition. Conditions that are not in control of WVMA should not be included, or they should be phrased in such a way as to indicate that "*WVMA will request the County Fire to conduct...*".
10. **Los Gatos Police Department to Increase Patrols During Ramadan:** Similar to the County Fire, WVMA can request, but cannot be held accountable whether LGPD increases or does not increase patrols.
11. **Offsite Parking in Ramadan:** We will make every attempt to secure nearby offsite parking in Ramadan, but we are dependent on the availability and cost of parking lots nearby that are in close walking distance, and do not require pedestrians crossing major streets like Los Gatos Blvd. We want to ensure that any condition placed in the CUP is limited to us making a good faith effort to secure nearby offsite parking within walking distance that does not require pedestrians to cross arterial roads, and at a cost that is operationally feasible for a non-profit organization.

Equal Terms Violation by Requiring Regular Monitoring of WVMA Activities: We are concerned that certain conditions, especially when taken together, subject WVMA, the only mosque in Los Gatos, to regular monitoring, something other religious institutions are not subject to as a condition of their use or otherwise. This includes conditions relating to increased police patrols, County Fire's compliance assessment regarding occupancy limits, written notice for events and prayers with at least 100 persons, 60-day notice requirements for events, as well as an annual review. This raises additional concerns about RLUIPA violations, including its Equal Terms provision.

We have not reviewed the specific language being proposed for these new conditions, and as details matter, we may have additional concerns beyond what are listed above. We will make every attempt to provide feedback once we have had an opportunity to review them when Staff publishes them prior to the meeting.

Finally, neighbors have frequently mischaracterized WVMA's permitted activities as "violations." Codifying 18 additional, highly granular conditions would not only burden WVMA but would also place an unsustainable administrative strain on the Town's Code Compliance Division, the Los Gatos Police Department, and the Santa Clara County Fire Department. We have already seen Town resources diverted: the Police Department has been called multiple times to investigate standard operations, distracting officers from critical life-safety needs. Similarly, Code Compliance has been forced to dedicate significant hours to investigating perceived infractions that resulted in no enforcement action. Just this week, the County Fire Department will be conducting another facility review—the second in only six months. This level of unprecedented scrutiny on WVMA is an inefficient use of public funds. We urge the Commission to consider the preventable downstream burden these granular conditions will create for Town staff and emergency responders when applied to a facility already operating in good faith.

If the conditions placing an undue burden on our religious practice cannot be resolved through modifications at the Planning Commission level, or the appeals process, our attorneys have advised us of available federal remedies, which we hope will not be necessary. That is not our preferred path – our preference has always been the cooperative approach that has guided us throughout this 21-month process. But we have a fiduciary duty and an obligation to protect our community's constitutional right to worship freely, and we will act on that obligation. We note that RLUIPA provides for attorney's fees to prevailing parties, which underscores the importance of the Commission acting within the law. We raise these concerns in the hope that the Commission will act to avoid that outcome.

Appendix A: RLUIPA Guidelines

We request the Planning Commission to review the RLUIPA Guidelines issued by the Department of Justice at: https://www.justice.gov/sites/default/files/crt/legacy/2010/12/15/rluipa_guide.pdf

A summary of the key provisions listed in the above document are:

- **Substantial Burden:** A land use regulation that puts significant pressure on a religious institution to change its behavior or violates its core beliefs, rather than a mere inconvenience.
- **Religious Exercise:** Defined broadly to include the use, building, or conversion of real property for religious activities.
- **Equal Terms Provision:** Prohibits governments from treating religious assemblies or institutions on less than equal terms with nonreligious institutions (e.g., allowing clubs but banning churches).
- **Nondiscrimination Provision:** Prohibits land use regulations that discriminate against any assembly or institution on the basis of religion or religious denomination.
- **Compelling Government Interest:** To restrict religious land use, the government must prove they are pursuing a critical, high-priority interest (e.g., safety) using the "least restrictive means" possible.
- **Excluded/Unreasonable Limits:** Prohibits regulations that totally exclude religious assemblies from a jurisdiction or impose unreasonable limitations on them.
- **Individualized Assessments:** RLUIPA applies when a government makes case-by-case decisions on land use, such as zoning variances or special use permits.
- **Under Color of Law:** Refers to actions taken by state or local governments that utilize power given by law, which RLUIPA strictly regulates.

Appendix B: Parking Lot Quotes

See following pages

From: Lubna Shaikh lubna@wvmuslim.org
Subject: Fwd: [EVERLINE] Preliminary Assessment: Concrete Repairs & Safety Improvements
Date: May 7, 2026 at 4:58 PM
To: Razi Mohiuddin razi@mohiuddin.com

LS

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **KP Singh** <KPSingh@everlinecoatings.com>
Date: Thu, Apr 30, 2026 at 3:12 PM
Subject: Re: [EVERLINE] Preliminary Assessment: Concrete Repairs & Safety Improvements
To: Lubna Shaikh <lubna@wvmuslim.org>
Cc: Romela Alonzo <romela@everlinecoatings.com>

Dear Lubna,

That's a great question, and I'm glad you brought it up for clarity with the board.

In general, with vehicles traveling at low speeds (under ~10 mph), **significant noise reduction cannot be guaranteed**, regardless of repairs or repaving. That said, repairing uneven surfaces (cracks, uplifted slabs) does help reduce some of the impact noise caused by bumps or irregularities.

One important consideration I wanted to ask you about:

Would you be open to exploring asphalt as an option instead of concrete?

Asphalt typically offers:

- A slightly **quieter ride** due to its flexibility
- A **smoother surface**, which can reduce vibration-related noise
- More **cost-effective installation and maintenance** over time

If noise reduction is an important factor for the board, asphalt may be worth considering alongside concrete. Please let me know and I can include both options in the proposal for comparison if you'd like.

Please let me know your thoughts, and I'll make sure the estimates and notes clearly reflect these considerations.






Warm regards,



Click below to watch our pitch!

KP Singh

CA License# 1123702
PWCR # 2000004834
Owner - San Jose, Santa Clara,
Campbell, Los Gatos, Monte Sereno
EverLine Coatings and Services
"Rethink Pavement Maintenance"

 [1 408-320-5957](tel:14083205957)
 KPSingh@everlinecoatings.com
 <https://everlinecoatings.com/>
 San Jose, Los Gatos, Saratoga, Campbell
 [Book a Meeting](#)



QUOTE #202516211

SENT ON:

05/04/2026

RECIPIENT:

West Valley Muslim Association

16769 Farley Road
Los Gatos, California 95032
Phone: (408) 367-9457

SENDER:

WE LOVE PAVING® INC

Main Office:
5201 Great America Pkwy Suite 320
Santa Clara, California 95054

Phone: (888) 273-0077

Email: main@welovepaving.com

Website: www.welovepaving.com

SUMMARY

Remove Concrete and Replace with Asphalt

- Asphalt up to 65,520 SF
- Asphalt up to 4" thickness

Sealcoat and Re-Stripe to Original

- Sealcoat up to: 65,520 SF
- Restripe to original layout

Remove & Replace Concrete Curbs

- Concrete curb up to: 415 SF
 - Section 1: 155 LF
 - Section 2: 140 LF
 - Section 3: 120 LF
- Concrete curb up to: 12"H (6" Underground) by 6" width

	Lubna Shaikh	Remove concrete & replace with asphalt
	2026 - Commercial Concrete Project	Sealcoat Asphalt
	16769 Farley Rd, Los Gatos	Remove & Replace concrete curb
By: We Love Paving, Estimating Operations		

We Love Paving is here to help!

Lubna,

Thank you for the opportunity to provide a proposal for 16769 Farley Rd, Los Gatos.

Please don't hesitate to reach out at any time with questions or if you need any adjustments. We're excited about the opportunity to work together.

We also invite you to visit our website at [www.welovepaving.com] to learn more about our services and view video testimonials from previous clients who share their experiences working with us.

Best regards,
We Love Paving Inc.
CSLB #1049649



QUOTE #202516211

SENT ON:

05/04/2026

Product/Service	Description	Qty.	Unit Price	Total
REMOVE CONCRETE AND REPLACE WITH ASPHALT	<p>Scope of Work: (see sitemap for reference) Remove Concrete and Replace with Asphalt - Asphalt up to: 65,520 ft² - Asphalt up to: 4" thickness</p> <p>Process: Step 1: Excavate Existing Concrete (Haul Offsite) Step 2: Grade and Compact Existing Baserock Step 3: Asphalt Hot Mix (Produce & Import Fresh Batch) Step 4: Asphalt Paving/ Installation Step 5: Project Cleanup and Delivery</p> <p>*If additional material and or resources are required upon arrival, post-excavation of the existing surface or throughout the project, a Change Order will be generated and sent to the client. Additional materials such as Baserock or additional Asphalt may be required from time to time to ensure a proper base and/or drainage direction are established or for sufficient materials to complete the project.</p>	1	\$588,506.82	\$588,506.82



QUOTE #202516211

SENT ON:

05/04/2026

Product/Service	Description	Qty.	Unit Price	Total
Disclosure and Disclaimer on Surrounding Pavement (Damages)	We would like to inform you that the presence of heavy equipment blemishes, tire marks, and other surface marks in the vicinity of the work area may be inevitable due to the nature of our operations. Please be aware that these are inherent outcomes of our activities for which we cannot be held accountable. Operating heavy equipment on distressed pavement may further compromise or damage it. Therefore, we would like to clarify that you are not eligible for a new pavement, a new repair, a new patch or any other work not on our "scope of work" under these circumstances. If such work is desired, a change order and charge would be required. We hereby waive all liability for any harm caused to distressed, worn, or aged pavement surfaces, such as cracks, potholes, tire marks, scratches, or powder dust from our construction activities.			

A signing deposit of \$100.00 will be required to schedule a start date.

Total	\$629,861.46
-------	---------------------

Attachments

View online <https://l.jbbr.io/0u64lbg>

2026 - 16769 Farley Rd, Los Gatos .pdf



EverLine

Coatings and Services

RETHINK PAVEMENT MAINTENANCE

WEST VALLEY MUSLIM ASSOCIATION - PAVING 2026





CONCRETE POURING SERVICES

Project Location: 16769 Farley Rd, Los Gatos, CA

DESCRIPTION	SUBTOTAL
Concrete Paving	\$1,005,000
DEMOLITION: CONCRETE PARKING 60,000 SF	
Provide labor & equipment to demo & off-haul approx. 60,000 SF (E) concrete parking - 6" to 8".	
CONCRETE: PARKING 60,000 SF	
Provide labor, material & equipment to lay-out, form, reinforce & pour approx. 60,000 SF 5" concrete parking slab w/ #4 rebar 18" o.c. each way over existing baserock compacted with a light broom finish and minimum score joints 10' o.c with 3000 PSI concrete mix. Excludes: Concrete Curbs, apron, walkways & permitting.	
Striping as per new Layout	
Installing Wheel stops (approximately 200)	



Appendix C: Current Fence and Hedge Conditions

See following pages

A-1 – egress driveway



A-2 – egress driveway



B-1 – Egress driveway



B-2 – Egress driveway



C – Farley west fence



D-E – Farley west fence



D1 – Farley west fence



D-2 – Farley west fence



E – Corcel fence



F – Corcel fence



G-1 – Corcel fence



G-2 – Corcel fence



H – Corcel fence



I – Corcel fence



J – Chirco fence



K – Chirco fence



L – Chirco fence



M-1 – Chirco fence



M-2 – Chirco fence



N-1 – Chirco fence



U – ingress driveway



V – ingress driveway



W – ingress driveway



*This Page
Intentionally
Left Blank*