1	APPEARANCES:			
2				
3	Los Gatos Planning Commissioners:	Emily Thomas, Chair Kendra Burch, Vice Chair		
4		Jeffrey Barnett Susan Burnett		
5		Steve Raspe Joseph Sordi		
6		Rob Stump		
7	Town Manager:	Chris Constantin		
8	Community Development	Joel Paulson		
9	Director:	JOEL FAULSON		
10	Town Attorney:	Gabrielle Whelan		
11				
12	Transcribed by:	Vicki L. Blandin (619) 541-3405		
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

^

PROCEEDINGS:

CHAIR THOMAS: ...number 4, the public hearing for Item 4, which is to consider a request for approval to modify Planned Development Ordinance 1281 to increase the maximum Floor Area Ratio (FAR) and lot coverage for property zoned R-M:5-12:PD. Located at 130 Vasona Oaks Drive. APN 424-42-008. This request for modification of a Planned Development Ordinance is not considered a project pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act. Planned Development Amendment Application PD-25-002. Applicant is Elad Batito, Green Bay Remodeling Inc., and the property owner is Ken MacDonald.

Before the Staff Report, may I have a show of hands from Commissioners who visited the property? Are there any disclosures? Yes, Commissioner Barnett.

COMMISSIONER BARNETT: I did legal work for this association in 2004. I think that's wasted away by now in terms of conflict, and also notable that the HOA is in support of the application.

CHAIR THOMAS: Okay, thank you. Ms. Merchant, will you be presenting the Staff Report tonight? Thank you.

SAMINA MERCHANT: Thank you, Chair, for the introduction. Good evening, Commissioners.

Before you tonight is a request to modify Vasona
Oaks Planned Development Ordinance 1281 to allow an
increase in the maximum Floor Area Ratio and lot coverage,
specifically for Lot 8.

In addition to the CEQA discussion in the Staff Report, Staff would like to add that the proposed Planned Development Amendment is exempt from CEQA, and that the Commonsense Exemption, as the limitations allow an additional square footage of 400 square feet, is not considered a significant change.

The subject property is just under 2,000 square feet, located in the northern portion of the subdivision. A detached single-family residence of 2,001 square feet in size, and with a 460 square-foot attached garage stands, on the property.

The Town Council adopted the Planned Development Ordinance in 1975 for 17 single-family lots and one common area lot. The original approved plan shown in Exhibit 3 provides details like gross area and building coverage for the subdivision as a whole, and not for individual lots.

Town records for the subject property, 130 Vasona
Oaks Drive, show that in 1999 a Minor Residential

Development Application was approved to convert attic space to living area, indicating the original approved home was two stories and 1,800 square feet.

The proposed Planned Development Amendment would allow for an increase to the maximum allowable Floor Area Ratio from 1.01 to 1.22, and lot coverage from 66% to 73.4%, for the subject property.

The proposed future development includes an increased total of living area from approximately 2,000 square feet to 2,400 square feet, with additions proposed for the second floor, and conversion of attic space to living area. The first floor and garage size would remain unchanged.

The Applicant submitted a Letter of Justification for the request, approval correspondence with the Vasona

Oaks HOA, and a summary of their public outreach visits.

As of today, Staff has received no public comments regarding this application. Exhibit 4 includes a Draft Planned Development Ordinance detailing new Performance Standard 9, reflecting the Applicant's request.

At this time, no development is proposed. Future proposals for additions would be subject to review under a Minor Residential Development Application.

1	Based on the findings presented in the Staff	
2	Report, Staff recommends forwarding a recommendation of	
3	approval for amendment of Planned Development Ordinance	
4	1281 to the Town Council.	
5	This concludes Staff's presentation, and Staff is	
6	available for questions. Thank you.	
7	CHAIR THOMAS: Vice Chair Burch.	
8	VICE CHAIR BURCH: If we make a change to this	
10	today, is it only for this specific lot, or does then the	
11	allowable increase in FAR pertain to all the lots?	
12	SAMINA MERCHANT: This is only specific to Lot 8.	
13	VICE CHAIR BURCH: So, any time any of these want	
14	to go over, they have to come through this same process.	
15	SAMINA MERCHANT: Yes.	
16	VICE CHAIR BURCH: Okay, thank you.	
17	CHAIR THOMAS: Any other questions? Okay, thank	
18	you.	
19	We will now open the public portion of the public	
20	hearing on Item 4 and give the Applicant an opportunity to	
21	address the Commission for up to five minutes, and I	
22	believe the Applicant's team, or whoever is speaking for	
24	them, is on Zoom.	
25	DIRECTOR PAULSON: That's what I understand as	
	well, so if you're going to be speaking on behalf of the	

project, please raise your hand in Zoom. SLC Design, you can speak.

SLC DESIGN: Hi, I am the building designer for this project here. I just want to give a light introduction to what we did for the homeowner.

We are proposing a residential renovation addition project that includes the new sunroom and a new addition to the existing structure.

On the interior, the second level would feature a relocated kitchen and expanded family room to improve the functionality and the circulations.

On the third level are the primary bedrooms and bathroom, which we will be remodeling; and adjacent to the primary bedroom is an existing mezzanine, which they currently use as a shared home office. Both homeowners work from home full-time and currently share this single office space.

We are proposing to convert this mezzanine into a new ensuite bedroom, which is an interior addition, by constructing a new staircase to provide direct access to the new ensuite. This will also allow one of the homeowners to use this new space as a dedicated office or a guest room. The additional bedroom also provides much needed flexibility for the household, as the couple's elderly

1	parents visit multiple times a year and stay for extended			
2	periods, and the family's two sons would then have to share			
3	a bedroom; so, with the new bedroom, the home will be			
4	better equipped to accommodate for everyone comfortably.			
5	Part of the planning process, I know it seems to			
6	be exceeding the normal floor area ratio, but it seems like			
7	HOAwe have obtained the HOA approval, so we're just asking			
8	to see if you guys would approve this project as well.			
10	That's it. Thank you.			
11	CHAIR THOMAS: Thank you. Are there any questions			
12	for the Applicant team at this time? Commissioner Sordi.			
13	COMMISSIONER SORDI: Don't have the whole packet,			
14	because my iPad crashed. Is the square footage addition al			
15	being captured under the same roof without any walls moving			
16	out? That's what I recall from my reading, but I don't have			
17	it front of me anymore, so I just want to make that			
18	clarification.			
19	DIRECTOR PAULSON: Is this a question of the			
20	Applicant, or Staff?			
21	COMMISSIONER SORDI: I'll direct that one to the			
22	Applicant.			
23	SLC DESIGN: No, the addition is an additional			
	square footage to the existing rear yard.			

1	COMMISSIONER SORDI: Okay, so it's all	
2	encapsulated under the existing roof? It's not.	
3	SLC DESIGN: No.	
4	COMMISSIONER SORDI: Okay.	
5	SLC DESIGN: No, it's not.	
6	COMMISSIONER SORDI: So, the square footage as	
7	well as a bump-out to the back.	
9	SLC DESIGN: Yes.	
10	COMMISSIONER SORDI: Okay.	
11	CHAIR THOMAS: Do you have a follow-up question?	
12	COMMISSIONER SORDI: No, I don't have a follow-	
13	up. I understand now, thank you.	
14	CHAIR THOMAS: Okay. Any additional questions for	
15	the Applicant team at this time? So, thank you, and I now	
16	invite comments from the public. Is there anyone on Zoom?	
17	DIRECTOR PAULSON: There are no hands raised.	
18	CHAIR THOMAS: Then if there are no hands raised,	
19	I guess now if the Applicant would like to make a closing	
20	statement, you will have an additional three minutes to	
21	speak.	
22	SLC DESIGN: Closing statement. Well, on behalf	
23	of the homeowner I just want to We have done a lot of back	
2425	and forth with doing just the existing footprint of the	
4 3	home, and it doesn't seem like it would work for the	

family, because as I mentioned before, having the family and the extended parents coming, so with the addition, providing the new bedrooms, and then enlarging the space, it helps them. The functionality of the space where we added the rear yard addition, and also making that additional bedroom in the mezzanine, it really accomplished a lot for them, and so I just hope that you guys will approve this project for them. Thank you.

CHAIR THOMAS: Thank you. Are there any questions for the Applicant right now? We will now close the public portion of the public hearing on Item 4, and I invite Commissioners to ask questions of Staff, provide comments, or propose a motion.

I am going to jump in first. I have a question for Staff, a couple clarifying questions. First, we are just forwarding a recommendation to Town Council, correct?

SAMINA MERCHANT: Correct.

CHAIR THOMAS: I just want to say to my fellow

Commissioners that sometimes when something seems a little

bit straightforward, and then we forward a recommendation

to Town Council, we haven't discussed some things of our

findings that they are required to make, because they are

making the final description, so I just want to make sure

that we voice our thoughts and opinions and have a

discussion about this, even though it's late, for their sake.

My second question for Staff is that we are just looking at changing the existing ordinance for the Planned Development today. It seems like the plans for the entire project are somewhat ready to go. They're doing this in two phases, because the proposed plan would be considered a minor residential application and wouldn't have to come in front of the Planning Commission?

SAMINA MERCHANT: That could be at the discretion of the Planning Director. Yes, you are correct, Chair, that this is in two phases. The PD amendment would enable the property to have an increase in the maximum floor area ratio and lot coverage.

CHAIR THOMAS: Okay.

DIRECTOR PAULSON: That's the first legislative act that has to happen, because that's the ordinance that governs these properties, including the one before you. So, they need to go through, get that approved hopefully, depending on what Council decides, and then they would come back for whatever application, whether that's a Building Permit, a Minor Residential Development Application, or Architecture and Site.

1	Currently, given the parameters of the ordinance,	
2	we didn't find a way to be able to do it as a Minor	
3	Residential Development Application like it was done	
4	previously, and this one also had an added challenge of	
5	increasing the lot coverage, because these are townhomes,	
6	so we need to go through this step first.	
7	CHAIR THOMAS: Okay, thank you for clarifying	
8	that. Now, who else? Commissioner Burnett.	
10	COMMISSIONER BURNETT: Yes, thank you, Chair. I	
11	have a question for Staff. I'm a little confused about the	
12	Homeowners Association. There's nothing really in our	
13	packet that they approved it or that I know the Applicant	
14	did mention that it was okay with the HOA. Do we need more	
15	specification on that?	
16	SAMINA MERCHANT: Thank you for the question,	
17	Commissioner. There was an exhibit that was included in the	
18	Staff Report that is their correspondence with their HOA;	
19	page number 797.	
20	COMMISSIONER BURNETT: Oh, thank you for that.	
21	DIRECTOR PAULSON: It's Exhibit 6.	
22	COMMISSIONER BURNETT: Thank you.	
23 24	CHAIR THOMAS: Commissioner Raspe, did you have a	
<u>. 1</u>	question?	

1	COMMISSIONER RASPE: Chair, you asked my	
2	question. Thank you so much.	
3	CHAIR THOMAS: Anyone else have any questions?	
4	Well, then we should probably discuss. Does anyone want to	
5	kick it off?	
6	COMMISSIONER RASPE: I can be three-for-three	
7	tonight, and start.	
8	CHAIR THOMAS: Thank you.	
9	COMMISSIONER RASPE: It seems to me, again,	
11	completely non-objectionable. The ask, I think, is	
12	relatively minor. The HOA is in approval; the neighbors	
13	seem to agree; so, everybody that is impacted, including	
14	this homeowner, seems to be on the same page.	
15	The redesign, which we're not actually	
16	considering this evening, seems to fit this homeowner and	
17	will help future homeowners.	
18	I would move to forward a recommendation to Town	
19	Council approving the modification of the Planned	
20	Development Ordinance as described in the packet. If the	
21	Commission would like, I can make that motion now.	
22	CHAIR THOMAS: Yes, I think in a moment, perhaps.	
23	COMMISSIONER RASPE: Just let me know.	
24	CHAIR THOMAS: Okay, thank you. I do just want to	
25	add that, although like it is said, we don't have to	
	LOS GATOS PLANNING COMMISSION 8/13/2025, Item #4,	

130 Vasona Oaks Drive

specifically make all these findings, I do think that it fits with changing one of these overlay zones or whatever, and the main thing is does this comply with our General Plan? I think it totally does. I think that's why we don't have a lot to talk about here, because it is a minor change and the HOA and all the neighbors support it, and I believe that it really does comply with the General Plan and everything that is in Section 29.80.095 of the Town Code for the Planned Development Overlay Zone.

So, with that being said, do you want to now?

COMMISSIONER RASPE: I will. Thank you for that input. I will move to forward to Town Council a request to approve to modify Planned Development Ordinance 1281 to increase the maximum floor area ratio and lot coverage for property zoned M:5-12:PD located at 130 Vasona Oaks Drive, APN 424-42-008.

I can make the findings as required by Section 29.80.095 of the Town Code for granting approval of a Planned Development Overlay Zone, and that the amendment to the Planned Development Overlay Zone is consistent with the General Plan.

CHAIR THOMAS: And Commissioner Burnett seconds that. Any discussion?

1		COMMISSIONER BARNETT: I have a question
2	regarding	the findings and considerations on Exhibit 2. Is
3	that only	for Council's consideration, or do we need to
4	make them	as a Planning Commission?
5		DIRECTOR PAULSON: What I understand is those are
6	all in Exl	nibit 2. The Maker of the Motion didn't reference
7	Exhibit 2	specifically, but he mentioned the ordinance
8 9	section, v	which encompasses all of those.
10		COMMISSIONER BARNETT: I see. Okay.
11		COMMISSIONER RASPE: And to the extent my motion
12	was unclear, I will explicitly incorporate those into my	
13	motion.	
14		CHAIR THOMAS: Great, then I will call the
15	question.	All those in favor, please raise your hand. It
16	passes unanimously.	
17		Because this is just a recommendation, there are
18	no appeal	rights?
19		DIRECTOR PAULSON: That's correct.
20		CHAIR THOMAS: Okay, great. Thank you.
21		(END)
22		
23		
2425		
	1	