1	APPEARANCES:	
2		
3	Los Gatos Planning Commissioners:	Emily Thomas, Chair Jeffrey Barnett Susan Burnett
4		Steve Raspe
5		Joseph Sordi
6	Town Manager:	Chris Constantin
7	Community Development	Joel Paulson
8	Director:	
9	Town Attorney:	Gabrielle Whelan
10	Transcribed by:	Vicki L. Blandin
11	Transcribed by.	(619) 541-3405
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

a

2:LHP:PD.

PROCEEDINGS:

CHAIR THOMAS: We will now move on to our public hearings, starting with Item 2. Item 2 is to consider a request for approval to modify Planned Development

Ordinance 2025 to allow modifications to Building E; an Architecture and Site Application for exterior modifications to an existing commercial building in the University/Edelen Historic District; and a Conditional Use

Permit for formula retail over 10,000 square feet, and for

a restaurant with alcohol service on property zoned C-

Located at 31 University Avenue. APN 529-02-044,
Planned Development Modification Application PD-25-001,
Architecture and Site Application S-25-004, and Conditional
Use Permit Application U-25-001.

Categorically exempt pursuant to California Environmental Quality Act Guidelines Section 15301, Existing Facilities.

Property Owner is SRI Old Town, LLC. The Applicant is Rick Nelson, MBH Architects. The project planner is Erin Walters.

a

Before the Staff Report, may I have a show of hands of Commissioners that visited the property? All of us. Are there any disclosures that we need to make? No.

Mr. Mullin, will you be presenting the Staff Report this evening? Thank you.

SEAN MULLIN: Yes, thank you, Chair. The project before you this evening is composed of several applications, an amendment to the governing Planned Development Ordinance; an Architecture and Site Application for exterior modifications; and a Conditional Use Permit for a formula retail greater than 10,000 square feet, and a restaurant with alcohol service.

The existing PD Ordinance governing Old Town was adopted in 1996 and includes specific performance standards for the subject Building E. The Applicant proposes amendments to the PD to eliminate the requirements that Building E be designed so that it appears to be a collective of individual structures that abut each other. The Applicant requests these changes to allow for a more cohesive and contextually appropriate façade, as discussed in the Staff Report and the Applicant's Letter of Justification.

Regarding the Architecture and Site Application, the Applicant proposes exterior modifications to Building E

to establish a cohesive Mediterranean style architectural character for a new single-tenant occupancy. All four elevations of the building would be modified.

The design incorporates step-backs at the ground level along the street-facing facades, alternating rectangular and semicircular fenestration, and includes a varied parapet line at the roof. The proposed windows have deep recesses for shadow lines, and the design includes louvered and fabric awnings, which add texture and depth to the new façade.

The project proposes a centrally located restaurant, which would be illuminated by a new skylight, bringing natural light into the interior space.

Exterior finish materials, including multicoat cement plaster finish accented with decorative steel and aluminum architectural elements, and architectural aluminum windows.

Sidewalk replacement and removal of two trees is also included with the proposal.

As detailed in the Staff Report, the Historic Preservation Committee considered the exterior design modifications and the proposed PD amendments that would allow for those modifications. The HPC was ultimately unable to support these aspects of the project and

forwarded a recommendation of denial to the Planning Commission.

The proposed project also includes a Conditional Use Permit for a formula retail establishment exceeding 10,000 square feet, which will include the sale of home furnishings, interior design services, and an integrated restaurant. The restaurant component will offer onsite alcohol service and is designed to be complementary to the retail experience within the same space.

When reviewing a Conditional Use Permit, the Commission should consider the information in the Applicant's business plan; however, the key consideration should be the proposed use, since the business plan can change from owner to owner. The CUP runs with the land, and the Commission should review the application based on the use described in the recommended CUP in Exhibit 6 as opposed to the Applicant's business plan.

An Addendum and Desk Item were distributed ahead of tonight's hearing with additional public comments received after publishing the Staff Report.

As discussed in the Staff Report, staff recommends denial of the application reflecting the recommendation provided by the HPC. Your Staff Report

includes alternatives to this recommendation, including approval with modifications and continuation.

Planning, engineering, and economic vitality

Staff are on hand this evening to support your discussion,
and that concludes Staff's presentation.

DIRECTOR PAULSON: Thank you. Through the Chair,
I just wanted to add an update related to the
recommendation for the Conditional Use Permit. We should
have, in hindsight, probably split that out separately,
because the Conditional Use Permit is not the purview of
the Historic Preservation Committee, so we have reevaluated
that analysis and we are modifying our recommendation for
the Conditional Use Permit to recommended to the Planning
Commission that they recommend approval of the CUP as we
move forward through the process.

CHAIR THOMAS: Thank you for that clarification.

Are there any questions for Staff at this time? We will now open the public portion of the public hearing on Item 2 and give the Applicant an opportunity to address the Commission for up to five minutes.

I have a card for Gary Friedman. Great, thank you. I understand that you will be splitting your time, so if you could just introduce yourself, and you have five minutes. Oh, you aren't. Okay.

GARY FREIDMAN: First, thank you for having us here, Commission. I'm Gary Freidman; I'm the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of RH. I have been with the company now 25 years. I'm proud to have opened our gallery that's up here just behind the location that we're presenting, and where we've been, we believe, an active member of the community now for 15 years.

Let me start with maybe we can go to the first slide. I'm going to spend just a few minutes on who we are. RH is the leading luxury home furnishings brand in North America. We do about \$3.6 billion in sales, not just in North America today, be we also are a global organization; we're opening in Europe and across the UK.

We're best known for creating the most compelling collection of luxury home furnishings presented in the most inspiring spaces in the world. We say we are obsessed with great architecture. We either find it and readapt it, or we build it; spaces that are a reflection of human design, a study of balance, symmetry, and perfect proportions.

Our design ethos in our company dates all the way back to the 1st Century BC and to Marcus Vitruvious, who is the author of the ten books on architecture, De architectura. Vitruvious' principles inspired Leonard da Vinci's world-famous sketch of the Vitruvian Man 1,500

years after his death, so we're very deep thinkers about what we do, the buildings we inhabit, and the investments we make to create great architectural experiences for the public.

We say we don't build retail stores; we build inspiring spaces. Spaces that blur the lines between residential and retail, indoors and outdoors, and home and hospitality. Spaces that activate all of the senses and spaces that cannot be replicated online. If you think about it, most retail stores are somewhat archaic, windowless boxes that lack any sense of humanity. There is usually no natural light, no fresh air, plants die in department stores or most retail stores, and they can't be very good for humans either, and that is why we take a different view of that.

A few examples of some of our work. This is a compound we built in the town of Yountville, California. I remember when we were first pursuing this, everybody said that a branded store won't be allowed north of the City of Napa; it never has been. We presented to the council up there that we don't build retail stores; what we try to do is conform and elevate any environment that we go into.

We aggregated a historic building here, another property, and we built the five-building compound that we

said was an integration of food, wine, art, and design, and it was an integration of food, wine, art, and design because that is what the Napa Valley was about first and primarily, so we have a design aspect to the business, but it's after the food and wine aspect.

Here, you can see the inside of the restaurant we built. It won't be too unlike what we would do here where we have trees, light coming through with the glass roof, fountains, and so on and so forth, so they're not typical restaurants.

This is the historic building that we completely readapted. We have outdoor living rooms for wine tasting.

This is the inside of it. We created a two-level space, again, to integrate with wine and food.

This is a project that we just finished last year. This was a historic firehouse in Montecito,

California, and it was a highly aware group of like what we were going to do with it, and we brought it back to life and reimagined it as a beautiful integrated space with not only a store, but hospitality. This is looking down through the space, and we built a beautiful indoor/outdoor courtyard restaurant.

This is a historic building that was vacant for about 35 years, I believe, in San Francisco in the

Dogpatch. This is the historic Bethlehem Steel Building that we reimaged into a gallery space and a restaurant. Here you can see the interior space of a restaurant with natural light.

This is a 17th Century English 73-acre estate in the English countryside; this is RH England. We reimagined this into a retail gallery and architecture and design library, three retail spaces...

Am I done?

CHAIR THOMAS: Yes, that was your five minutes. However, you will have an additional three minutes at the end, and before you leave, we Commissioners may have questions. Are there any questions for the speaker at this time? Commissioner Barnett.

COMMISSIONER BARNETT: Thank you for the presentation, sir. As I understand it, the Historic Preservation Committee was most concerned with the lack of what they thought was an existing illusion of different building as contributing to the Historic District, and I know that that was something that was not accepted by your company, and I guess the question is why not?

GARY FRIEDMAN: Can I show the one slide of the existing conditions, so we can look at it? Just click forward. There we go.

One, I'd say I'd start with what are we looking at today? From our point of view, it's kind of a cacophony of a single building. Like, I'd call it an albatross.

You've got all kinds of different colors, different materials, and it's clear that there is nothing historic here, so to me this is bad architecture, this is something that renders the neighborhood less valuable.

We're pretty firm in our principles about what we do and what we don't do, but we don't do bad architecture. Trying to take this building and create multiple looking facades that aren't even real, honestly, I'm a little surprised that it even comes under historic review. I mean, it's not a well-built building, it's not a good-looking building, so we're here to do something spectacular.

COMMISSIONER BARNETT: Okay, I understand your point.

GARY FRIEDMAN: That's why I say we believe in great architecture.

CHAIR THOMAS: Thank you. Are there any other questions for the speaker at this time? Okay, thank you. I now invite comments from members of the public. If you have not already turned in your speaker card to Staff, please do so at this time, and/or raise your hand on Zoom. I have a number of cards. First I have Jennifer Lynn.

JENNIFER LYNN: Good evening, Chair and

Commissioners. I am Jennifer Lynn, CEO of the Los Gatos

Chamber of Commerce. I am here to express the Chamber's

strong support for the proposed RH gallery and restaurant.

Our support rests on four pillars that reflect our mission

of driving businesses forward and championing a thriving

community.

First, durable economic impact. The RH flagship concept consistently generates millions in sales. This activity means stable sales tax revenue and steady foot traffic, benefits that ripple across our small business community year-round.

Second, balance in our retail ecosystem. A thriving economy isn't about one type of business, it's about balance. According to the U.S. Chamber of Commerce and confirmed by our own local experience, thoughtfully selected anchors like RH serve as regional magnets.

Hospitality, retail, and dining anchors bring more people into the area, who then discover and support our locally owned businesses.

Third, placemaking at a dormant corner. Since The Gap vacated nearly three years ago this prime location has been underutilized. RH transforms it into an all-day

destination from brunch through dinner, bringing renewed energy into the street and a dynamic presence.

Fourth, brand elevation and a defining opportunity. Los Gatos is already recognized for retail, design, and culinary experiences. A flagship RH further elevates that identify and shares it with a wider audience.

This project is a vote of confidence in our community's future. RH's leadership has already reached out to our Chamber, asking how they can support our small business community, a clear signal of partnership.

Anchor businesses like this one also bring quality jobs and supplier relationships, further strengthening the local economy.

I also want to address concerns that the RH gallery and restaurant could feel big, but please note that it fits comfortably within the existing building's footprint. There are no added stories, there is no expansion of borders. What is proposed is an elegant Mediterranean inspired design, a refined architectural refresh. Greenery and design details will add interest, texture, and dimension to the façade.

Los Gatos harmony does not mean sameness; it means thoughtful design that complements historic charm. The three-façade requirement made sense when multiple

1 tenants occupied the building. Today, a unified, sophisticated façade is not only appropriate for one 3 tenant, but it also enhances our character and reflects our 4 identity as both timeless and forward thinking. 5 In short, this project creates a vibrant corner, 6 strengthens our tax base, reinforces Los Gatos' identity as 7 a destination, and delivers broad benefits to small 8 businesses through increased visibility, jobs, and customer traffic. 10 This is a rare and pivotal opportunity, one that 11 deserves thoughtful consideration. On behalf of the 12 Chamber, I urge you to recommend approval of the PD 13 Ordinance modification. 14 CHAIR THOMAS: Thank you. Are there any questions 15 16 for the speaker? Thank you. Next I have Ryan Rosenberg. 17 RYAN ROSENBERG: I'd like to start by thanking 18 this entire Commission for all the great work that you guys 19 do for our town; and to reward that, I'll be really quick. 20 I am in favor of this. There are three reasons. 21 The first reason is I like the idea of two for 22 one. We want a vibrant downtown, that's what we're going 23 for, and here you've got an opportunity to have both retail

24

25

as well as restaurant.

a

I've been to the other RHs. They're really nice, and they do actually elevate the town. They even elevate a place like Yountville; it's really impressive.

The other thing is, I do get what people are saying about this façade being kind of "samey," but if you just turn around and look in the other direction, it's actually even a little more detailed that what you see in Old Town. Old Town is pretty samey already, so I don't think that it will transform that area in a negative way. In fact, I think it will make it look nicer; that's my opinion.

So, I think it will make the Town more vibrant, I think it's a great destination, and I think that it should fit.

The last thing I'll add is I think there is some chance that we might get a little bit of a little corridor there. You've got significant furniture stores next to each other, creating a little bit more of a destination, and I think people will go to one, they go to another, making our Town more active. Thank you.

CHAIR THOMAS: Thank you. Are there any questions for the speaker? Thank you. Next I have Taylor Burke.

TAYLOR BURKE: Hi, thank you for having me. This is my first time doing one of these.

Mine will be a little bit more personal or family oriented. I'm a mom. My husband is also here. We have three kids, and buying a house in Los Gatos at the beginning of COVID was a big stretch for us, but we bought it because we wanted to give our kids the best resources and community in the area.

We grew up here, but we also spent 11 years in New York, and what you find in New York is that the neighborhoods and the walkability become your family and become your community, so having a destination like this where you can walk downtown and socialize and sit at a big table with other people is so important, and I think it lacks a little bit here.

Just this week, two of our kids go to Daves

Avenue, and we're planning a mom's night out with the

second-grade moms. Lots of options were thrown out, only

one of which is in Los Gatos, so we're probably going to

end up at Flowers Saratoga, or in Palo Alto, and that's not

where we want to be. We want to be able to walk downtown

and run into people that we know and have our kids play. My

sister's kids go to Van Meter, so it's so fun to have

places where you can gather a big group and just develop

that kinship and the investment in the community.

1 Not to mention, I bring up Daves, that our waitlist is huge. Our neighbors bought a \$6.5 million house 3 last year, and their kids are on the waitlist for a public 4 school that is a quarter of a mile from where we live, so 5 it's kind of shocking, and I just think that from an 6 economic perspective that it could have a huge impact on 7 our parks and our public schools. I think that's all. 8 CHAIR THOMAS: Thank you. Are there any questions for the speaker? No. Okay, thank you very much. The next 10

PETER BURKE: Hi, everyone. Peter Burke, local here of Los Gatos, live on Rose Avenue. My wife, Taylor, just spoke. We have three kids at Daves Avenue.

speaker I have is Pete Burke, and if I could just ask you

to state your name for the record. Thank you.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I am in favor of this project for four specific reasons, and I think Gary outlined it very nicely.

We have Los visibly enhanced. That's through the beautiful architecture.

Drive revenue for the community. That culinary experience that my wife mentions that we're lacking. Just the other week, I work for the world's largest CRM company and I had a number of executives come down to lunch, and we ended up going to Teleferic across the street, but really that was my only option outside of Dio Deka in terms of a

quality, high-end restaurant that was open during lunch, so Dio Deka was out. I think that is a great opportunity for us, and also a great opportunity for that customer traffic that Jennifer Lynn alluded to, not only driving people to obviously seek a great location like Restoration Hardware eatery and an experience, but also drive it for the local business.

8

1

3

4

5

6

7

So, again, very much in favor of this project and would like to see it through. Thank you.

10

11

CHAIR THOMAS: Thank you. Are there any questions for the speaker? Next, I have Maria Gerst.

12 13

14

MARIA GERST: Hi, I'm Maria Gerst, and I'm a resident of Los Gatos. I want to thank you guys for what you do and for hearing me speak today.

16

17

18

19

20

15

I'm here also in support of Restoration Hardware. I'm not quite sure why the building that is currently there is considered historic, but I'm a native Californian and I've been coming to Los Gatos since the late seventies, and I can tell you I've seen a lot of change, some of it good, some of it bad. I think this is definitely something good,

21 22

as we need to evolve.

23

24

25

LOS GATOS PLANNING COMMISSION 8/27/2025 Item #2, 31 University Avenue

If you look at where all the Restoration

Hardwares are placed, they are in prominent areas, and I

think we should consider ourselves kind of lucky that we

have been considered as one of those places, because they are destination spots. I have been to many of them in California. I frequent the one in Palo Alto, because I enjoy the overall vibrancy and it is a destination place; there are lots of people there are lunchtime, there are lots of people there in the evening.

I love downtown Los Gatos. I frequent downtown Los Gatos. I'm at the coffee shop all the time, and I'm also at Tereferic, and I'm at The Cellar, and even if you aren't eating at Restoration Hardware, you will be able to enjoy the beautiful views it will give you when you are at either of those places dining out. I think it's going to complete and enhance and elevate our downtown area over there, and I would love to see the space that is currently not being used to be used quickly, to have something in there to retain its vibrancy. Thank you.

CHAIR THOMAS: Thank you. Any questions for the speaker? Thank you. Next I have Annette Badger.

ANNETTE BADGER: Good evening, I'm Annette
Badger. I'm the Director of Construction and Tenant
Services for Federal Realty. We are the owners of Old Town,
and also Santana Row, as some of you may know.

I would like to say that I am in favor of the modification of the Planned Development for several

reasons—many of which have already been stated—not only for the economic growth, as Jennifer mentioned, increasing sales tax, increasing jobs, but it's going to bring world class architecture to this town, as Gary mentioned, and it will enhance the already beautiful architecture that you have here.

surrounding community.

RH has renovated many historical buildings over the years, so they really know what they're doing in a community. Their grand and classical architecture will do an excellent job of integrating into the setting and the

Having RH here will certainly elevate our shopping center, but more importantly, I believe it will elevate and complement Los Gatos. Thank you.

CHAIR THOMAS: Thank you very much. Are there any questions? Thank you for your comments. Next, I have Scot Vallee.

SCOT VALLEE: Thank you, Commissioners, for hearing this item tonight. My name is Scot Vallee; I'm Head of Development for Federal Realty for the west coast.

As Annette had said, we own Old Town, Santana Row, and Westgate in this area. We think we've been a very strong partner in Los Gatos, both for the Town with the events we hold, and with the other businesses around us.

We spent a lot of time and money curating the type of people, and we heard a person earlier talk about Teleferic; that took a long time to find that, and now it's packed every single night. Arhaus is another great addition. The Warby Parkers, the Salt & Straws, we believe that bringing Restoration Hardware is as good, or even better, than adding those. We believe it is going to be a destination for both Old Town and for Los Gatos, and we appreciate any kind of support we can get on this topic tonight. Thank you very much.

CHAIR THOMAS: Thank you. Any questions for the speaker? Next, I have Christian Irwin.

CHRISTIAN IRWIN: Good evening. Thank you for hearing this matter, and thank you for having me. My name is Christian Irwin, I'm the Vice President of Leasing for Federal Realty, and I'm excited to be here.

I think the rest of the speakers have done a good job talking about the economic impact and the vibrancy that RH will bring, so I just wanted to tie this into a more local perspective.

I grew up in a town in Southern California called Pacific Palisades, which I think shares a lot of the same charm and magic that I got introduced to when I joined Federal Realty eight years ago and got to start working on

Old Town. It's been an absolute passion project for me.

I've been intricately involved in every lease that we have signed and every new business that we've opened.

And credit to the community; your community has momentum. Retailers that historically haven't looked at Los Gatos because it wasn't one of the big four in the Bay Area, now all of a sudden, this idea of meeting people where they are at their homes and being in a vibrant, smaller community, that resonates with retailers.

Each move that we've done, whether it was in bringing in a great regional restaurant in Teleferic Barcelona, I'm a partnering with Jovie (phonetic) and bringing that to the community and see what that did from a vibrancy perspective, or providing ice cream for the kids. Each move has been well thought out, and I'm happy to discuss any of them.

RH, to me, is the crown jewel. I was so proud to be a part of this deal. It was one of the most exciting leases that I've worked on, and opportunities to partner with such a great team since I've been at Federal, so I'm a staunch supporter of this.

I'm from a town; my mom owns a hair salon in Pacific Palisades. We moved from Ireland in 1981. My fabric is small businesses, and I think Jennifer said it best: the

balance and what we've created here in Los Gatos, I think is a great balance between small business and brands that people know, and I just think the mix in Los Gatos today is better than it's ever been, so thank you.

CHAIR THOMAS: Thank you. Yes, Commissioner Burnett.

Question. Do you think this is more about welcoming a beautiful Restoration Hardware store in our downtown on University Avenue? Do you think it's about that, or do you think we're really sort of having issues about the Planned Development, the LHP, which was 30 years old and signed by the Planning Commission back then and the Town Council, and in that Planned Development, which is Old Town, Building E was specifically mentioned on how it should be maintained or changed.

There were four items, very direct, very clean, and aside from what was said about the architecture, I think at that time the townspeople put that together, which was part of the Historic Preservation Committee, which I was part of actually, a lot of time and effort went into that from many members of the community.

So, do you think this is not about not wanting Restoration Hardware here, a large store, it's that like

many of their stores in like Chicago, they did a beautiful flagship store, a building of 1914; that was beautiful. So, I'm wondering what are your thoughts on that?

CHRISTIAN IRWIN: I got up to speak about what I think it does for the community. Yes, I know the issue that went in front of the Historic Preservation Committee was the storefront. I personally believe that what RH will do to that storefront will be magnificent. It's objective. Do you like the storefront? Do you not?

I know from my own experiences with my mom, when Rick Casuso came to the Palisades and built a new project, there were changes, and some people embraced them, others didn't, but eventually over time things evolve.

I look at things on a case-by-case basis, and relative to this building and the façade that is there today, and what RH is going to do and what it's going to bring to the community, for me, my personal opinion is it's going to be magnificent, and it's going to look way better than what I see there today.

COMMISSIONER BURNETT: Okay, thank you.

CHAIR THOMAS: Any additional questions for the speaker? Thank you. The last speaker card I have is Michael Costigan.

MICHAEL COSTIGAN: Thank you to the Commission.

Michael Costigan, 5930 Rose Avenue. My wife is a native Los

Gatan. We moved back here from San Francisco in 2020. I'm

originally from Ireland, where we're too poor to have good

architecture.

When I look at that block, it reminds me of the buildings I grew up around, built by engineers rather than architects. The only architecturally designed buildings we had were churches, which tended to be larger, and even though they were large, they could also be beautiful and they could complement, so I'm not sure if we need to fetishize smaller storefronts; we can have big, large buildings that add beauty.

As a former resident of San Francisco through more than 15 years, I saw a lot of vacant storefronts and I see what happens when we leave storefronts vacant. I didn't realize it was three years for The Gap, but that's not good. It's not good for foot traffic, it's not good for vibrancy. I think a lot of the other speakers have spoken to that.

We son attends Fusion, we go to the library regularly, we were bringing down the average age of Music in the Park by 20 years on Sunday. We want to be here in this town and we want to be spending our time here, and I

think this is the type of development that will help that to happen.

We've eaten at RH in Napa. I've been into the RH store on North Santa Cruz, but it's too small. There are a lot of things you might want to buy in a house, and I would prefer a larger space. I have bought more things at Arhaus, because it's a bigger floor plan. People will go to larger malls, and they do go out of Los Gatos to San Jose, to these larger malls where they can get access to an overall wider variety of objects and then see them in situ, and I think that's a consideration.

Again, we shouldn't fetishize small in the case of this type of store having a bit more space to showcase more objects, both in the restaurant and in the gallery space; it seems like a useful thing, and again, will drive more people to shop in Los Gatos rather than leaving Los Gatos. It will drive more people to eat in Los Gatos rather than leaving Los Gatos.

I spent the first six months or year of living in Los Gatos and people would say, "Do you like Los Gatos?" and I'd say, "I love Los Gatos, but I live in my house, which could be on the surface of the moon, because we're stuck at home." Now that we're back, now that we're out of COVID, now that we're recovering as a town, I think this is

only going to add to the momentum and drive more community, so I firmly recommend the project.

CHAIR THOMAS: Thank you. Are there any questions for the speaker? Thank you. I have no more speaker cards for anyone here in the chambers. Okay, there's one more.

JIM FOLEY: Thank you, Planning Commission. Jim Foley. It's been awhile. Glad to be back in front of you. I wanted to touch on a couple of things I heard Commissioner Burnett bring up, specifically regarding the PD that was done and the architecture.

I am a member of the Chamber and on the Chamber board, but I'm speaking right now just on behalf of a long-term family and stakeholder. We own the La Canada building in town; that's an historical building and that's something I think we certainly need to protect, and there are a number of other historically designated buildings.

This version of Old Town that we're looking at is important, but it was totally redone, and I think you all took great care in figuring out what that was supposed to look like, and did your best to plan for the future by whatever that designated block, and trying to say let's try to break up certain linear feet of storefront to look like X and Y, and I think it's served its purpose for a long time.

1 2

But when you have RH here, who is known for worldwide design and everything else, I think we ought to put our trust in them. I love the design that they came up with; I think it's a beautiful building, and I think it's going to update everything really nicely.

I heard what you said. I don't think it's a question of whether or not we're asking do we want a bigger RH? Do we want the restaurant? What do we want? I think those questions were answered a long time ago with a lot of policy changes we made in and around town.

Anyway, just wanted to comment on that a little bit, that I think you guys do a really great job trying to keep up with everything. There are so many guiding documents, but we can't tackle them all at once, and so I think it's about time to maybe take a fresh look, and these are the right guys to do it.

CHAIR THOMAS: Thank you. Are there any questions for the speaker? Thank you. Any more speakers?

CAROL CUSTER: Hello, my name is Carol Custer and I live here in town, and I love Los Gatos. I love spending time in downtown Los Gatos, and I very much support the Restoration Hardware project.

I agree with the other reasons that people have articulated here tonight. I think Restoration Hardware is a

stable, well-respected company, and their projects in other locations are very popular. It would definitely draw people to the downtown area, which in turn would support the smaller businesses surrounding the Restoration Hardware project, and it would encourage them to come to downtown Los Gatos instead of going elsewhere, like Santana Row.

The design, in my opinion, is beautiful and

The design, in my opinion, is beautiful and really thoughtfully done. I was here for the Historic Preservation Committee meeting and personally think that there is appropriate variation in the façade of the Restoration Hardware design with the varying rooflines and the awning treatment. My impression is that it has that nice variance across the front. I also think the design is harmonious with the character of downtown Los Gatos and would elevate the downtown area. Thank you.

CHAIR THOMAS: Thank you. Are there any questions for the speaker? Are there any additional speaker cards that are coming forward? Any hands raised on Zoom?

DIRECTOR PAULSON: Thank you, Chair. If you would like to speak and you're on Zoom, please raise your hand.

There are no hands raised.

CHAIR THOMAS: Okay, thank you. Now we will invite the Applicant to come back up and give a closing statement. You can come up to the microphone, and you will

have an additional three minutes to speak, and then Commissioners will have an opportunity to ask some questions.

GARY FRIEDMAN: First, I'd like to just thank the members of the community that spoke out in support of our project; we greatly appreciate that.

Just note, while I might have sounded a little harsh about the existing architecture, we just would love to be here, and we will do something, I think, that will make the community proud. We're also open to your feedback, so it's not that we're just black and white here.

Just know, at our core, everything we do comes through a lens of architecture. We have a design ethos that's printed everywhere in our company, and we plan to be here for the distance.

In the 25 years I've been here, I've never opened a store that I've closed, and we invest, I think, on average three times more than the next closest retail store, so we're prepared to make a meaningful investment.

When you think about us as formula retail, I would also think of the point of view that we actually run three businesses in the building. It is a full restaurant, open for lunch, brunch, and dinner. That could be seen as a separate business in this building. We also run an interior

1 design business. We're the largest residential interior design company in the world today, so we not only are a 3 retail home furnishing store, but we're also an interior 4 design business store, and we have space allocated to that; 5 presentation rooms, offices with the building, and so on 6 and so forth, so it's not just one business or one company. 7 It might be one brand, but there are three real businesses 8 beautifully integrated into a singular building. Thank you. CHAIR THOMAS: Thank you. I think we probably 10 will have some questions for you. Yes, we will have some 11 questions. Do Commissioners have questions? Commissioner 12 Burnett. 13 COMMISSIONER BURNETT: Yes, thank you. I do 14 appreciate that you changed the way the skylight is. You 15 16 can't really see it now; there's just one area that ... so I 17 really appreciate you working on that. 18 Second of all, I'd like to know the length of the 19 building, the front, the whole façade from the courtyard to 20 Elm Street. Do you know the footage on that?

21

22

GARY FRIEDMAN: Give us 15 seconds and we'll probably have it. Do you have it, Jordan?

23

24

COMMISSIONER BURNETT: Then, the color of the plaster versus the stucco that's there now, which is there are many colors of stucco, which I think gives the building

25

	a little more interest and variation, and I know yours is		
2	just one color for a very long distance, so I think that		
3	that does give the texture of it more of a modern look,		
4	like the Stanford store, let's say.		
5	GARY FRIEDMAN: I would say, we're not using		
6	blown on stucco or slapped on stucco, we only use Venetian		
7	plaster. It is the most expensive, hand-trowelled finish,		
8	which gives it a beautiful variation, and you'll see it,		
10	it's like a work of art when you do Venetian plaster.		
11	COMMISSIONER BURNETT: But it is one color.		
12	GARY FRIEDMAN: Yes, that's true. Just like humar		
13	beings are generally one color.		
14	COMMISSIONER BURNETT: And it's a smooth quality,		
15	not like a rough texture like it is there now.		
16	GARY FRIEDMAN: Yes, it's a hand-trowelled,		
17	smooth finish. It's 131' distance from one end to the		
18	other.		
19	COMMISSIONER BURNETT: Thank you.		
20	GARY FRIEDMAN: And broken up how many times?		
21	Five times? There are five varying breaks within the		
22	building as it articulates in and out over that 131'.		
23	CHAIR THOMAS: Anyone else have any questions? I		
24	have a couple of questions		

25

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I'm looking at some of our Commercial Design Guidelines; there are a couple of things that I wanted to ask about. I know that in this plan you plan to change and then repair the sidewalk, if needed, for some of the architectural changes that you're planning on. Right now, there is some brick there. You will be planning on replacing that or making sure that that fits seamlessly into the current situation?

GARY FRIEDMAN: Yes, we would upgrade everything

CHAIR THOMAS: Okay, perfect. Then, as far as other pedestrian amenities, will you have any benches outside? Previously, Steamer's was there and they had, obviously, some outdoor seating for eating, but are you planning on having any seats by your windows or anything for people?

GARY FRIEDMAN: I don't know. Do you they have the renderings of the interior of the restaurant?

CHAIR THOMAS: Yes.

GARY FRIEDMAN: You do. Okay, so the interior restaurant is basically like sitting outside 365 days a year, so we have that. Then on the corridor we have benches and so on and so forth. We actually have outdoor furniture in the corridor between the two buildings. Our plan is to

1 kind of use that as a promenade and try to upgrade it going all the way through. 3 CHAIR THOMAS: Okay, great. That's good to hear. 4 Then, there are a lot of very big, beautiful windows. At 5 what level will those be openable or not? None? 6 GARY FRIEDMAN: The tough thing about doing 7 operable windows is two things. When you have a restaurant 8 it messes with the whole air extraction, and for a kitchen, and so on and so forth. And also, can mess up your air 10 conditioning system. We will have two entrances into the 11 gallery today, one inside. Yes, so we'll have two 12 entrances, those are operable, but currently the other ones 13 are not operable. 14 CHAIR THOMAS: Okay, thank you. My last question 15 16 is related to the outdoor dining. Obviously, it's a loss in 17 the community to lose some outdoor dining space. I 18 understand you design your dining to be like an outdoor 19 experience, but did you think at all about trying to use 20 some of the outdoor space for dining? 21 GARY FRIEDMAN: You mean along the sidewalk? 22 CHAIR THOMAS: Yes. 23 GARY FRIEDMAN: No really. We're trying to focus 24 our investment and do something spectacular, and so nothing 25 really was considered along the sidewalk. Is that something

that's really meaningful to the Commission? I mean, I could look at having some tables out there.

CHAIR THOMAS: No, I mean like it is just one of the... It's encouraged; like we appreciate... I mean, if you have been down to the space a lot across the street, all of those outdoor areas. We don't have a lot of outdoor dining space in town, and we have beautiful weather and people like to sit outside. The outdoor space was very much utilized for the previous restaurant, so I was just wondering if that was in any part of your plan, if you had a reason for not doing it or doing it.

GARY FRIEDMAN: No, I mean, one of the reasons we started building restaurant pavilions with glass rooftops is to give you the feeling that you're sitting outside, and you don't have to sit under an umbrella, because we have UV filtered glass.

At first everybody told me in the Napa Valley, when I was trying to build those buildings with the olive trees, that we'd never pass Title 24 for the energy, because the sun was going to beat down on that building, and I almost gave up on that. Then I was Las Vegas for the National Real Estate Convention, and at 3:00 o'clock in the afternoon I had to get something out of my room, and I walked up and I realized it was 107-degrees in Las Vegas

1 and the sun was blasting in my room, and I realized there had to be glass that could control temperature and control 3 UV, and that's how we were able to figure out how to build 4 a glass roof with olive trees in it in the Napa Valley. 5 I think we give you the best of kind of usable 6 tables all year round. You're completely under sunlight, 7 it's filtered, so hopefully it doesn't aggravate skin 8 cancer or anything, and it's at a 68-72 degrees setting. It's not fresh air, but the closest thing to it. 10 CHAIR THOMAS: Thank you. Are there any other 11 questions? Commissioner Sordi does have a question. 12 COMMISSIONER SORDI: I did have one question. 13 Thank you for clarifying the three businesses, because I 14 was a little confused with that. 15 16 I guess my question is what are the operational 17 hours of those three businesses? Is there overlap? Are 18 there hours in which the restaurant is going to be open and 19 the other two are closed? 20 GARY FRIEDMAN: Sure. Sometimes it depends. We 21 kind of look at each of these locations on an individual

22

23

24

25

LOS GATOS PLANNING COMMISSION 8/27/2025

Item #2, 31 University Avenue

basis. We know that at the restaurant we seat generally

until sometimes in the winter, 8:00 o'clock last seating,

meaning we're open till about 9:30-10:00 before people are

exiting; but most generally summer hours at 9:00 o'clock last seating.

Because this is a central courtyard restaurant, the gallery will be open to be able to look through and shop around. We may not be fully functioning with interior designers and everything, because we'll operate the restaurant fully functioning, and a semi-functioning gallery, if you will.

CHAIR THOMAS: Any other questions? Thank you very much. We will now close the public portion of the public hearing on Item 2, and I invite Commissioners to ask questions of Staff, provide comments, and eventually hopefully propose a motion.

Before everyone gets started, I just do have a couple of questions for Staff that I think it would be helpful for everyone to understand before we start our discussion.

Can someone please explain why this went to the Historic Preservation Committee first, even though it's not a historic building, and what that means? Thank you.

SEAN MULLIN: Thank you for that question. This property, including Old Town, is included in the University/Edelen District; this is an adopted historic district that the Council approved many years ago.

As with any of our historic districts, there can be a number of newer buildings, or residences in most context, with the district, and while they're not old, they're not pre-1941; they support the district, so any exterior modifications to buildings or residences within a district need a recommendation by the Historic Preservation Committee.

CHAIR THOMAS: Okay, thank you for that explanation. Then, can you just explain the purview of the Historic Preservation Committee? What they're supposed to be looking at and making decisions about?

SEAN MULLIN: I touched on it in my presentation, but I can elaborate a little bit. There are three components to this application.

There is the CUP, which we've been talking a bit about tonight. Then there are the Architecture and Site Application, which really deals with the exterior modifications to the building, and the modification to the Planned Development. The Architecture and Site and Planned Development Applications are tied together, because the Planned Development dictates for this building that it's broken up to feel like multiple buildings.

The Historic Preservation Committee was looking at the exterior modifications, the design, and its

compatibility with Commercial Design Guidelines and the Historic District. Because they're connected, they touched on the Planned Development Amendment as well.

CHAIR THOMAS: So, then a follow-up question to that related to the Planned Development Ordinance. Can you explain what a Planned Development Ordinance is, and what findings typically need to be made to modify one?

SEAN MULLIN: A Planned Development Ordinance is an overlay that dictates additional what we call performance standards that govern beyond the base zoning designation. So, while this property is located in the C-2 district, which has its own set of zoning requirements and the Commercial Design Guidelines, this Planned Development allows for additional sometimes allowances, so it could be taller buildings, it could be more floor area, or it could be really specific design, like we see in this case.

The Planned Development findings, I'm not going to be able to rattle them off in real time. They're provided in your Staff Report, as I search here.

CHAIR THOMAS: They're on page 33 of the Staff Report.

SEAN MULLIN: Thank you.

CHAIR THOMAS: Mainly it's about aligning with specific parts of the General Plan.

SEAN MULLIN: Yes, so there is General Plan compliance that the other provisions of the Town Code, so we'll look at that from a technical standpoint, and that the proposed amendment includes the development standards or uses that deviate from the underlying zoning, and so the findings as included in Exhibit 2 are articulated the way that Staff thinks they can be achieved.

CHAIR THOMAS: Okay, thank you. Before I open up for more questions to Staff, I do just want to say that as a planning commission—for those of you that are new here, this is your first time—essentially our job is to look at our Town rules that we have set up, our ordinances, our General Plan, and make decisions based on what exists in those documents. So, all of us could sit up here and think that this building is the most beautiful thing that's ever going to come to town, but we have to scrutinize and we have to make sure that it aligns with all of our Town guidelines; essentially, that's our job.

So, that's really our job here tonight, and as Mr. Mullin and Mr. Paulson both said, there are two separate parts that I think that we should talk about, and one is the PD Ordinance possible change, and this Architecture and Site, and then separately the CUP. We can do it in either order, but that's my recommendation just

because I think that they are separate things, and we've done that before in the past.

That being said, I would like to open it up to questions from other people. Yes, Commissioner Barnett and then Commissioner Burnett.

COMMISSIONER BARNETT: Thank you. Question for Staff. To me, the crux of this hearing seems to be about the PD Ordinance 2025 specifically requiring for Building E to appear as a collection of individual structures that abut each other. I'm just curious if there is any historic knowledge about where that came from.

DIRECTOR PAULSON: Surprisingly, that even precedes me. I do not have any of that historic knowledge, but ultimately, when a Planned Development comes through, and I think Commissioner Burnett mentioned that when that PD was coming through HPC had some input, Planning Commission had some input, that ultimately, Council makes the final decision. But I think ultimately the Planning Commission tonight is going to make a recommendation on all three of these applications, which will then go on to the Town Council for consideration.

COMMISSIONER BARNETT: Thank you.

CHAIR THOMAS: Commissioner Burnett.

COMMISSIONER BURNETT: Yes, question for Staff. I		
actually wanted you just to go over the four areas that		
Building E was commented on in the Ordinance 2025, and as I		
recall, when this Planned Development was done, it was to		
keep in tune with the way Los Gatos has been laid out, the		
Town itself: any small stores, individual shops, a lot of		
articulation, a lot of movement. So, that's sort of the		
idea of the plan that was behind developing this Planned		
Development 30 years ago, and Building E, which is		
Steamer's, there were four directions given specifically		
for that building in the Architecture and Site. So, Mr.		
Mullin, would you mind just reading those four?		

SEAN MULLIN: Thank you for that question.

Performance Standard 15 included in the governing ordinance talked about opening up the center pathway. This is all relative to Building E. "Design the structure so it appears to be a collective of individual structures that abut each other, that the roof design shall be reflective of the individual structures." In other words, break up the roof lines. "The corner tower shall be redesigned into a clipped corner design," and lastly, "Provide more variety in the buildings."

COMMISSIONER BURNETT: Okay, thank you.

CHAIR THOMAS: Do you have any other questions for Staff? Commissioner Raspe.

COMMISSIONER RASPE: Thank you, Chair. I have no questions, but if you want, I can begin our discussion. You wanted to break it up in two parts, correct?

CHAIR THOMAS: Yes, do we want to do the CUP...

Commissioner Sordi.

COMMISSIONER SORDI: Just following on the historic discussion, I wanted to understand a little bit more about the nature of a nonparticipating structure versus participating, and if, for example, we had a participating structure that qualified, if it's not opening a can of worms, like succinctly, would it be a different set of design guidelines that would apply to a participating structure versus nonparticipating?

SEAN MULLIN: Thank you for that question. The guidelines make a distinction between contributing and noncontributing structures; this would be considered noncontributing since it's not old. The modifications that can be made to a contributing structure, I wouldn't say that they're limited, but they're guided more strongly through the guidelines.

Whereas a newer structure and noncontributing structure, the guidelines would reinforce that these

modifications should further support the district. So, when we typically look at residence, it's about taking something that may not be as ornate in the Almond Grove and improving it to getting closer to a contributing status, and further enhancing the Almost Grove in that scenario. So, that's what we'd look at for this, as a noncontributing structure if any changes are going to be made, how does it continue to support the character and strengthen the cohesiveness of that district?

COMMISSIONER SORDI: Okay, thank you.

CHAIR THOMAS: Commissioner Raspe, did you want to start us off?

COMMISSIONER RASPE: Sure. I have some notes here in no discernable order, and it occurs to me that perhaps I should have started this night with a disclosure, and that my house stuffed full of RH furniture, but notwithstanding that, I believe I can sit here and judge in a neutral fashion.

The three asks for us tonight are the modification of the PD Ordinance; the Architecture and Site Application, which includes the exterior modifications; and the CUP to allow retail greater than 10,000 square feet with the restaurant.

Turning first to the first two components, that is the PD Ordinance and the Architecture and Site

Application. Really, I think there are three or four changes to the PDO, depending on how we look at it, and largely it is to break up the façade, or to allow the façade to be non-broken-up. Under the current ordinance, it's required to appear to be separate storefronts, and the change requested is to allow it to be one.

I suspect back in the day—we don't have the historical evidence—this was probably done, I think, to preclude the use of big box stores in Los Gatos, and we've done that, I think, successfully. If that is what this ordinance was intended to do, I think we are not harming ourselves then by modifying this ordinance to allow Restoration Hardware.

The modified design really does create an articulated storefront. It allows step-backs on the ground floor, there are alternating fenestrations, it's got a varied roof line, there are deeply recessed windows, and then there are awning. I think those changes will help articulate the frontage to this store, which will preclude what I think our forefathers were trying to preclude, that is, a single, flat base surface facing University Avenue.

I would be in favor of modifying the Planned Development Ordinance.

As for the Architecture and Site Application, again, I find that the design is, I think, very attractive. To the extent it's in an historic district, not itself an historic building, it does contain a cohesive Mediterranean design, which I think complements Old Town across the way.

I'll note for the record that the overall height of the building is reduced from 27'-4" to 26'-8", and by the way, this is in an area where 45' is allowed, and so in a time when I know this Town is very concerned about some of our building heights, this is a building that could have gone higher, and they've elected not to.

The Applicant is not asking for any new parking, and there is some minor tree removal, but I think that's handled well.

So, without turning to the CUP-I'll reserve those comments until later—my view with respect to the PD Ordinance and the Architecture and Site Application is that this is a thoughtful design. I think it protects our historic area and our downtown and would be a good add, so I would support it as currently presented. Thank you, Chair.

CHAIR THOMAS: Thank you. Commissioner Burnett.

COMMISSIONER BURNETT: Yes. In response to Commissioner Raspe, I would have to say that the present building there has many colors of stucco, with one entire storefront in brick, breaking up the textures. There are wonderful, unique roof designs, and heights reflective of the different stores—that was requested in the PD—versus a long, flat roof with the same parapet across.

The Applicant's design is homogeneous. It's one color, 131' feet or whatever, exactly not what the Planned Development Ordinance had in mind.

The present building has belly bands, it has wainscots, it has different parapets, it has small windows below the roof line, it has decorative elements, it has brackets, it has corbels, it has eaves, it has bases.

The Applicant's building has limited variation.

There are no metal glass canopies in Building E, which the Applicant's building has metal glass canopies.

The present building has canvas awnings. I think that's a big one.

The Applicant wants the façade to be symmetrical and cohesive, which was said over and over again, massing mirror images versus asymmetrical, creating visual interest, conveying movement and dynamism, contributing with the cooler stability of symmetry.

I think there are a lot of differences, and I think as Mr. Foley said, these guys can do anything. We're not saying we don't want wonderful Restoration Hardware, we're just saying we need something a little different, something a little more like you've done to other buildings all over the place. A little more personality, a little more charm, I think, in my estimation. So, those are my feelings.

And asking us to change an ordinance that's been around for 30 years, a Planned Development that a lot of effort went into from the townspeople at that time, I think it's a lot to ask, for myself. Thank you.

CHAIR THOMAS: Commissioner Sordi.

Ordinance, I should mention I'm a city planner in my day job. The one thing I love about PD ordinances is you can change them. You can change them a little bit easier than you can change an entire zoning designation, for example, and they're intended to be tailor fit for the properties, and I think that this isn't all just about design.

A lot of the comments we heard, and a lot of the considerations I think that we need to apply to this property, are market driven. We're dealing with a site

that's now been vacant, at least The Gap portion, for going on three years.

It's not just a design driven discussion; we have to take in the totality of all these issues when we make our decision. I think that, and the market, supports a fresh look at this, and we have the opportunity here to make a big change the PD Ordinance, and I think we should.

COMMISSIONER BARNETT: I have a couple of comments. I agree with fellow Commissioners Sordi and Raspe. I respect the detail that Commissioner Burnett mentioned about the differentiation of the existing buildings, and the articulation and whatnot.

But to me, just looking at the building, I don't think it's convincing that they're separate buildings. I look across at Old Town the shopping center; there is articulation there. But I look at other buildings downtown and I see... Mr. Foley mentioned the La Canada building. Except for the (inaudible) on the corner, it's a pretty rectangular building, a linear building. The same thing is true of the Purple Onion building, except for the corner, which is cut out.

So, I don't find in incompatible with the existing historic structures downtown, and I certainly agree that's a beautiful plan that has a tremendous amount

of consideration that went into it; obviously, an attractive building that would be inviting to customers, as was mentioned.

I think it's important for us to keep in mind the General Plan goals relating to economic vibrance and vitality and creating a community, so I in all certainly agree with Commissioner Raspe and Commissioner Sordi that this makes a lot of sense for the Town.

CHAIR THOMAS: I want to echo my fellow

Commissioners comments. I first also want to say that I sit

on the Historic Preservation Committee, and I joined that

committee in between the two initial meetings for this, and

I agree that the current proposed building structure does

not comply with the PD Ordinance.

However, I also agree with my fellow

Commissioners that I don't have a problem changing it based
on really looking closely at our Commercial Design

Guidelines, and looking at the Land Use Element and

Community Design Element of our General Plan.

That being said, I think that there are a couple of key parts. In one part in our Commercial Design Guidelines there is a goal that says, "Break larger facades into smaller segments," but I read through the entire thing, and that was the only aspect that this conflicted

with, and I do think that it does break it into smaller segments with some of the articulation, even though it is going to be all the windows will be relatively consistent, and the material will be consistent.

I understand that it's a slight conflict, but I also found many aspects that this building improves, including larger windows, high transparency of windows, high-quality storefront materials, access to pedestrians, prioritizing pedestrians, adding seats and opportunities for pedestrians to gather outside, in addition to many other things.

From that perspective, from our Commercial Design Guidelines and what my other Commissioners have said, I also support changing the Planned Development Ordinance, because I do believe that it is not necessarily serving the purpose that it once did in the late nineties.

In order to make the findings for amending this Planned Development, we also need to make sure that it complies with the Land Use Element of our General Plan, specifically 1.4, which states that, "In-fill projects shall be designed in context with the neighborhood and surrounding zoning with respect to the existing scale and character of surrounding structures, and should blend rather than compete with the established character of the

area," and I do really think that across the street when you look at the original Old Town, that is one large building. When this building was originally built at the time in the late nineties, or whenever it was finished, it was nice, but it looks dated at this point, and I don't think that it doesn't tie in as much with the history aspect of the Town as the rest of Old Town does at this point. Those are a few the findings I feel like I could make.

In addition, with the aspects for the Commercial Design Guidelines, it specifically says that "Development on all elevations shall be high-quality design and construction, a positive addition to and compatible with, the Town's ambiance. Development shall enhance the character and unique identity of existing commercial and/or residential neighborhoods." That's Policy CD-1.4, and I really believe that this proposed project does that.

With those aspects being said, I am in support of making a recommendation to Town Council to approve the amending of the Planned Development and the Architecture and Site Application aspect of this.

Obviously we are not going to have a motion or whatnot now, and we do also need to discuss the CUP, but Commissioner Burnett, do you have additional?

COMMISSIONER BURNETT: I have a question for the Chair. You bought up outside seating/dining. I don't know where that ended, because I know Steamer's now has that outside in the courtyard there, and I don't know what the final outcome was of that. I think it would be a nice thing to add on if it's going to be forwarded to the Town Council.

agree, the more outside space that people can use, the better. I was just referring to the part of our Commercial Design Guidelines that talked about benches and places for seating. Like not for food service or things, but I do agree that if that is something... I wouldn't want to make any conditions of approval based on that, but if there is any opportunity for additional outdoor space. It sounds like they're planning on using the passageway with outdoor furniture and everything, so that would be nice.

COMMISSIONER BARNETT: Question for Staff. Since this PD Ordinance 2025 specifically related to Building E, are there other ordinances for noncontributing commercial buildings that also would be affected by the concept of separate buildings? It's not a very well-articulated question, but is that enough to answer? I can try again.

1 SEAN MULLIN: Thank you for that question. I can 2 try. The existing PD Ordinance talks about a lot of things, 3 but that performance standard is specific to Building E, so 4 everything else in the existing PD Ordinance would be 5 carried forward. The draft ordinance in front of you this 6 evening would just eliminate performance standards 15-B, C, 7 and D, which relate to breaking it up; B and C really to 8 breaking up into individual structures; and D talks about changing the massing on the corner, which was already 10 achieved, because that's how it's built, so its purpose has 11 been served. 12 13

COMMISSIONER BARNETT: I think that responds to my question, thank you.

CHAIR THOMAS: Do we want to discuss the CUP, or do you have additional comments?

COMMISSIONER RASPE: No. If you like, I can begin that discussion as well. No additional comments on the other.

CHAIR THOMAS: Okay.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER RASPE: On the CUP, again, according to my notes I think we as a commission have to make four findings. That is that the CUP is essential to the public convenience and welfare, that it doesn't impair the existing use in the zone, that it's not detrimental to the

public health or welfare, and that it is in harmony with the General Plan.

Based upon just even the comments we received tonight from the public I think it's clear that this facility, including the restaurant, especially the restaurant perhaps, is going to be a large add to the public convenience and welfare of Los Gatos. It's going to create a destination for eating, for shopping, and would create foot traffic. I think overall it's clear that the project is in compliance with the General Plan, it's in compliance with the Commercial Design Guidelines, zoning requirements, height, parking, etc.

We've noticed strong public support, including the Chamber of Commerce, noting again the strong revenue that is derived just from the restaurant component of Restoration Hardware alone.

Frankly, it seems to me that the project is, as noted, a "lift" for Los Gatos. It really does show who we are, puts us higher up on the map, and so for all those reasons I think the creation of the CUP to allow the retail in greater that 10,000 square feet, and restaurant which is serving alcohol, should be part of our recommendation to Town Council. Thank you.

CHAIR THOMAS: I have question for Staff about some other CUPs in this area. Do we have any idea what the business hours are for maybe some of the restaurants across the street, if they are under that, or what Steamer's had before?

SEAN MULLIN: I don't have that information ready, but I can pull some of it in the next few minutes if you want to return.

CHAIR THOMAS: Would that impact any of our decision making, or do we feel like we've seen enough CUPs that we're comfortable with the hours?

COMMISSIONER RASPE: I can only speak personally, and it seems to me if the hours proposed comports with what I would want to make seen in town, and especially if we are going to cast ourselves as a sophisticated, upscale destination location, these are the hours I think that would be appropriate for that kind of destination.

CHAIR THOMAS: Yes. You don't have to look that up, Mr. Mullin. I think from my perspective also, sitting on the Commission now for a while, this is in line with other CUPs in the area that we have seen, so I'm not concerned with that. Commissioner Barnett.

COMMISSIONER BARNETT: One small point to add is that we haven't seen any opposition to this application

whatsoever from the public, and it's been widely advertised. The Staff Report and the public comment have been available for review by members of the public, and I think it's telling that there has been no opposition.

CHAIR THOMAS: Do anyone maybe want to try for a motion?

COMMISSIONER RASPE: I can try, Chair, if you'd like. All right, one second. For Staff, how would you like it? I'm not sure we are in consensus on all three points.

Do you want one motion for all three points? How would you like that?

ATTORNEY WHELAN: It sounds like there might be consensus on the CUP, but not on the Planned Development and Architecture and Site, so maybe you could do two votes, one on each.

COMMISSIONER RASPE: Very good. I will then create two motions.

First, I move to forward a recommendation of approval to Town Council to modify the Planned Development Ordinance 2025 to allow modifications to existing Building E, as well as an Architecture and Site Application for exterior modifications to an existing commercial building in the University/Edelen Historic District for property zoned C-2:LHP:PD located at 31 University Avenue. APN 529-

02-044. Planned Development Modification Application PD-25-001. Architecture and Site Application S-25-004. The project is categorically exempt pursuant to CEQA Guideline Section 15301.

I can make the required findings that the project is categorically exempt from CEQA; that pursuant to Section 29-0.80.095 of the Town Code the proposed PD Amendment is consistent with Chapter 29, Article 8, Division 2 of the Town Code; the proposed PD Amendment is in conformance with the goals, policies, and applicable land use designations and standards of the Town's General Plan; the proposed PD Amendment is in conformance with all applicable provisions of the Town Code, including Town Council adopted guidelines; that the proposed amendment includes development standards for uses that deviate from the underlying zone in a way that results in innovative site planning and provides public benefit to the citizens of the Town by providing additional dining opportunities, and available, if goods and services, tax revenue.

Staff, is that an adequate motion?

ATTORNEY WHELAN: Did you want to consider the Architecture and Site Application with this vote?

COMMISSIONER RASPE: Yes, with respect to the Architecture and Site Application, let's see...

1	ATTORNEY WHELAN: We don't have findings for
2	that; we have considerations.
3	COMMISSIONER RASPE: Okay, how about I will just
4	include the considerations as provided in the Staff Report
5	One question for my fellow commissioners before either. Di
6	we want to include a side recommendation of outdoor
7	seating?
8	CHAIR THOMAS: It sounds like they already have
9	that in the plans.
10	COMMISSIONER RASPE: Then no further
12	modifications to the motion.
13	CHAIR THOMAS: Does someone have a second?
14	COMMISSIONER SORDI: Second.
15	CHAIR THOMAS: Commissioner Sordi, thank you.
16	Discussion before I call the question? All those in favor
17	of the current motion, raise your hand. The motion passes
18	4-1.
19	Then the second motion for the CUP. Do you want
20	to go again?
21	COMMISSIONER RASPE: I move to forward approval
22	to Town Council to approve the Conditional Use Permit for
23	formula retail over 10,000 square feet, and for a
24	restaurant with alcohol service on property zoned C-

2:LHP:PD located at 31 University Avenue. APN 529-02-044.

Conditional Use Permit Application U-25-001.

I can make the findings that the proposed uses of the property are essential or desirable to the public convenience or welfare; that the proposed uses will not impair the integrity and character of the zone since it is a commercial use located in a commercial zone; that the proposed uses would not be detrimental to public health, safety, or general welfare; and that the proposed uses of the property are in harmony with the various elements or objectives of the General Plan.

I can also make the further findings, as required by Section 29.20.19(b) of the Town Code, that the proposed use of the property is in harmony with specific provisions or objectives of the General Plan, that the proposed use will not detract from the existing balance and diversity of business in the Commercial District, that the proposed use would not create an overconcentration of similar types of businesses, and that the proposed use would not detract from existing land use mix and high urban design standards.

CHAIR THOMAS: Is there a second? Commissioner Barnett.

COMMISSIONER BARNETT: Second the motion.

1	CHAIR THOMAS: Thank you. Discussion? Are we good
2	on the motion? Perfect. I'll call the question. All those
3	in favor, please raise your hand. The motion passes
4	unanimously.
5	I believe we are done with this. Because it is a
6	recommendation, there are no appeal rights.
7	DIRECTOR PAULSON: That's correct.
8	CHAIR THOMAS: Okay, thank you.
9	(END)
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

This Page Intentionally Left Blank