

From: David Weissman
Sent: Tuesday, December 21, 2021 8:48 AM
To: Council
Subject: SB 9 urgency ordinance

When you are considering the objective standards and requirements for a subdivision, I suggest that the 3 year period for which the applicant states that they intend to reside in one of the existing or proposed dwelling units, be tied to the date that the Occupancy Permit is issued and not the date of the Approval of the Subdivision. I think that we have all seen subdivisions where the clock runs for more than 3 years between the time of approval and the actual issue of an occupancy permit, thus defeating the whole reason the 3 year period was added to the state ordinance in the first place.

--

Dave Weissman

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

From: Phil Koen
Sent: Tuesday, December 21, 2021 10:56 AM
To: David Weissman
Cc: Council
Subject: Re: SB 9 urgency ordinance

Agree!

Sent from my iPhone

On Dec 21, 2021, at 8:47 AM, David Weissman wrote:

When you are considering the objective standards and requirements for a subdivision, I suggest that the 3 year period for which the applicant states that they intend to reside in one of the existing or proposed dwelling units, be tied to the date that the Occupancy Permit is issued and not the date of the Approval of the Subdivision. I think that we have all seen subdivisions where the clock runs for more than 3 years between the time of approval and the actual issue of an occupancy permit, thus defeating the whole reason the 3 year period was added to the state ordinance in the first place.

--

Dave Weissman

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]