## 23CV410817 Santa Clara – Civil

**Electronically Filed** 1 Dylan Casey by Superior Court of CA, CALIFORNIA HOUSING DEFENSE FUND County of Santa Clara, 2 360 Grand Avenue #323 on 2/3/2023 10:13 AM Oakland, CA 94160 3 Reviewed By: L. Quach-Marcellana (443) 223-8231 Case #23CV410817 4 No fax number Envelope: 11102865 dylan@calhdf.org 5 State Bar No. 325222 Attorney for Petitioner California Housing Defense Fund 6 7 Keith E. Diggs YIMBY LAW 8 57 Post Street #908 San Francisco, CA 94104 (703) 409-5198 10 No fax number keith@yimbylaw.org 11 State Bar No. 344182 Attorney for Petitioner Yes In My Back Yard 12 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 13 FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA 14 23CV410817 CALIFORNIA HOUSING DEFENSE FUND, a Case No.: 15 California nonprofit public benefit corporation; 16 VERIFIED PETITION FOR WRIT OF and YES IN MY BACK YARD, a California **MANDATE** nonprofit public benefit corporation; 17 (Code Civ. Proc. § 1085; Gov. Code §§ 65587, 18 Petitioners, 65751) 19 v. 20 CITY OF CUPERTINO, 21 Respondent. 22 Petitioners CALIFORNIA HOUSING DEFENSE FUND and YES IN MY BACK YARD allege as 23 follows: 24 1. "California has a housing supply and affordability crisis of historic proportions." (Gov. Code 25  $\S$  65589.5, subd. (a)(2).)<sup>1</sup> 26 2. To address this crisis, the State's Housing Element Law (Gov. Code §§ 65580 et seq.) required 27 Bay Area cities and counties to adopt the sixth revisions of their housing elements by January 31, 2023. 28 <sup>1</sup> Subsequent references are to the Government Code unless otherwise specified. -1-

Law apply to general-law and charter cities alike. (§ 65700, subd. (b).)

27

28

//

29. A housing element must also "remove governmental constraints to the maintenance,
improvement, and development of housing for all income levels" where "appropriate and legall
possible." (§ 65583, subd. (c)(3).)
30. A revision to a housing element must be prepared long before its adoption.
31. Housing Element Law spells out detailed requirements for public and administrative review

- 32. "[T]he first draft revision of a housing element" must be made "available for public comment for at least 30 days." (§ 65585, subd. (b)(1).)
- 33. "[I]f any comments are received, the local government shall take at least 10 business days after the . . . public comment period to consider and incorporate public comments into the draft." (*Ibid.*)
- 34. "At least 90 days prior to adoption of a revision of its housing element," the locality must submit the draft to HCD for administrative review. (*Ibid.*)
- 35. HCD then makes "written findings" as to whether the draft "substantially complies" with the Housing Element Law. (*Id.*, subd. (d).)
  - 36. Only after HCD has had time to review a draft may the locality adopt it.
- 37. If HCD finds that a draft "does not substantially comply," the locality can either "[c]hange" its draft to comply or "[a]dopt" with "written findings" rebutting HCD's findings. (*Id.*, subd. (f).)
  - 38. Housing Element Law specifies consequences for failure to substantially comply.
- 39. A locality without a "revised housing element . . . in substantial compliance" is prohibited from using its general plan and zoning standards to "disapprove" or "render[] . . . infeasible" any housing development project meeting certain affordability requirements. (§ 65589.5, subds. (d)(5), (h)(3).)
- 40. As described above, a locality that fails to obtain HCD's finding of substantial compliance within 120 days of the statutory deadline must complete all required rezoning within one rather than three years. ( $\S$  65583, subd. (c)(1)(A); see above  $\P$  27.)
- 41. "[A]ny interested party" may petition for a writ of mandate compelling "compliance with the provisions" of the Housing Element Law. (§ 65587, subd. (b); see also § 65751.)
- 42. "[I]f the court" in such a proceeding enters "final judgment in favor of the . . . petitioner," then the locality must "bring its . . . [housing] element . . . into compliance . . . within 120 days." (§ 65754.)

	1
	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
1	0
1	1
1	2
1	3
1	4
1	5
1	6
1	7
1	8
1	9
2	0
2	1
2	2
2	3
2	4
2	5

67. Petitioners are thus entitled to a writ of mandate.

## **DEMAND FOR JUDGMENT**

WHEREFORE, Petitioners demand judgment against Respondent as follows:

- 1. A writ of mandate directing the City to adopt a sixth revised housing element according to the schedule in Section 65754.
  - 2. An injunction or order providing relief under Section 65755.
  - 3. A declaration that:
    - a. the City is out of compliance with the Housing Element Law from February 1, 2023, until the City lawfully adopts a sixth revision of its housing element that substantially complies with the Housing Element Law;
    - b. the City must rezone as necessary to execute such sixth revision of its housing element by the deadlines set forth in Articles 10.6 and 14 of Chapter 3 of Division 1 of Title 7 of the Government Code;
    - c. the City may not rely on paragraphs (1) or (5) of subdivision (d) of Section 65589.5 of the Government Code, also known as the Housing Accountability Act or "HAA," to disapprove a housing development project—or condition approval in a manner that renders such project infeasible—so long as such project meets the affordability requirements described in paragraph (3) of subdivision (h) of the HAA;
  - 4. Costs of suit;
  - 5. Attorneys' fees under Code Civ. Proc. § 1021.5 and as otherwise allowed by law; and
  - 6. Such further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

26

27

28

Dated: February 2, 2023.

CALIFORNIA HOUSING **DEFENSE FUND** 

YIMBY LAW

By: Dylan Casey Attorney for Petitioner California

Housing Defense Fund

By: Keith E. Diggs

Attorney for Petitioner Yes In My

Back Yard

1	<u>VERIFICATION</u>
2	I, Dylan S. Casey, declare:
3	1. I am an employee of and hold the position of Executive Director at Petitioner California Housin
4	Defense Fund, and am familiar with the matters discussed in the foregoing Petition.
5	2. I have read the Petition and know the contents thereof. The statements of fact therein are true
6	and correct of my own knowledge.
7	I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.
8	Executed on February 2, 2023 at Alameda, California.
9	
10	S Col
11	
12	Dylan S. Casey
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

I, Sonja K. Trauss, declare:

- 1. I am the Executive Director of Yes In My Back Yard, the Petitioner in this action.
- 2. I have read the foregoing Petition, and know the stated facts to be true of my own knowledge.

VERIFICATION

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on February 2, 2023 at Oakland, California.

By: Sonja K. Trauss

Executive Director, Yes In My Back Yard