

PUBLIC CORRESPONDENCE

The following is public correspondence received by the City Clerk's Office after the posting of the original agenda. Individual contact information has been redacted for privacy. This may *not* be a comprehensive collection of the public correspondence, but staff makes its best effort to include all correspondence received to date.

To send correspondence to the City Council, on matters listed on the agenda please email <u>PublicComment@losaltosca.gov</u>



Please include in the public comment - telecommunication $5 \mbox{G}$

The FCC 5G safety guidelines were overturned by a DC District Court in August 2021. The court ruled that the FCC's decision in 2019, that its 1996 radio frequency emission guidelines adequately protect the public was capricious, arbitrary and not evidence based, in violation of the Administrative Procedures Act. The court also found that the analysis provided by the U.S. Federal Drug Administration, on which the FCC relied for its decision, was also not evidence based. (https://url.avanan.click/v2/__thttps://childrenehalthdefense.org/wpcontent/uploads/chd-v-fcc-we-wondecision.pdf____XXzewxc2FsdG9zY266FTpv0jUxxcQ5vjcwbjFhvjFiYmNiMmRjhjcxMDNhYjxx2Fh0jY6Y212NTpKMwZ1ZTkynjUwZjFmZTIXDD100TQV0TU4ZwJMvZcXWUyMTK50Dk4Mzc4YzrWrZA40DVhYwRkMTYnwZRKMzY10nq6VA).

Since the FCC has NOT demonstrated that 5G is safe. this clearly eliminates any PCC argument that health concerns cannot be considered in determining 5G implementation. A large majority of the residents of Los Altos oppose 5G implementation due to health and environmental concerns, so their position is valid and should be respected by retaining the 5G ban.

I can understand why the carrier lawyers did not raise this relevant and important court decision, as it is not in their favor. I would like to know why Los Altos legal representation was not aware of this important ruling, or did not make residents aware of this ruling. This is not working in the best interest of their clients, the residents of Los Altos. Competent legal representation should be found that can resolve this important issue in alignment with the wishes of the residents of Los Altos.

thanks - Kathleen Richards

From:	roger heyder
To:	Public Comment; City Council
Subject:	Los Altos Council meeting of 6/28, item 9 - public comment
Date:	Saturday, June 25, 2022 6:34:27 AM

Hello,

This is public comment on item 9 - Wireless Telecommunications Facilities. Please read out, and include this public comment in the formal meeting minutes.

regards -- Roger Heyder resident of Los Altos

Los Altos City Council is stepping far over the line by putting resident health and safety at risk with their actions on adopting 5G against resident wishes. Ignoring city laws and spending recklessly are things which residents seem able to ignore, but doing things to harm residents is simply unacceptable and inexcusable.

The court has found the FCC 'safety testing' of 5G to be invalid, so that eliminates the FCC argument that safety concerns cannot be considered with regards to 5G. That also eliminates council's excuse that they can ignore resident demands that the 5G ban be retained due to 'legal constraints'.

The 5G emergency ordinance was enacted after several large public meetings, where many hundreds of residents supported the 5G ordinance, and only 1 or 2 residents opposed the ordinance. Nothing has changed, yet Council is considering altering the ordinance to the extent of basically eliminating it.

The residents of Los Altos have made their opinion very clear on the 5G issue. Council was elected to represent the residents, and implement their wishes. It seems Council supports many outside special interests over the interest of residents. That is unacceptable. Council members that hold that posture should resign immediately, since you are failing in your responsibility to serve the residents of Los Altos.

Council must also inform residents how much money the city will make if the ordinance is lifted - right of way fees paid to the city by the 5G providers. That way residents can see how much it takes to sell us out.