Final 2024 Dog Park Observations and Recommendations

By Teresa Morris and Jeanine Valadez
4/15/2025



Project Objectives

- Observe and record usage of Hillview and McKenzie fenced dog park installations; include usage outside fences
- Use intake forms to :
 - Quantitatively and qualitatively observe and rate dog and human behavior
 - > Record environmental and situational factors to assess their impact on utilization.
- Engage community members at parks to garner opinions, issues, and perspective.
- Observe other parks as possible
- ♣ Tabulate Data, Summarize Trends, Findings, and make Recommendations

Parks Assessed

McKenzie

- > Scope included observation at West and East sections of the park
- > Dog park is in West section along with courts and parking lot
- > 43 Observations made December 2022 through September 2023

Hillview

- Scope included observation in dog park, soccer field, and adjacent sidewalks/paths
- > 40 Observations made January 2024 through December 2024

☆ Lincoln

- > Scope included North park span between 168 and 108 University Ave
- > 26 Observations made February 2024 through December 2024

Heritage Oaks

- Scope included entire park
- > 11 Observations made March 2023 to July 2023

McKenzie Findings

- McKenzie had a few hiccups as it got started
- Dog Park is indeed utilized
- Dog park did not alter behavior of off leash dog owners at East End
- Increased usage during adverse weather compared to Hillview
- Dog Noise is a non-issue at McKenzie
- Community

Hillview Findings

- ♣ Dog Park unusable for much of the year --lost grass and much mud
- A Hillview exhibited generally higher dog counts when aggregating all segments (lots of foot traffic with onleash dogs outside the park)
- A Minimal dog reactivity observed, despite many triggers outside the park; there were no sustained noise/fight incidents.
- Observed a large amount of dog waste not being picked up.
- Offleash activity on the soccer field was light, yet present.
- There was after-curfew use of the dog park at a substantial 10% rate. Reason given by visitors was consistent work hours conflict.
- There were some professional dog handlers that visited, but they stopped once the mud to grass ratio was about 25-30%. Interviews with dog owners was the felt a community benefit from handlers.

Heritage Oaks and Lincoln North Findings

Heritage Oaks

Behavior consistent with observations made during 2021-2022 Hillview Baseball Pilot program

Lincoln North

- > Substantial On- and Off-Leash behavior; mostly solitary or paired humans, only 3 groups (2 training and 1 social) ever observed
- Never saw any parking issue; never saw an owner leave a poop behind
- Did not gather specific data for Lincoln South, but casual observation confirmed that area remains popular with on- and offleash walkers, more often sunny and turf less wet.

Aggregated Data for All Parks

Violation	94	
All Parks	After Curfew	4
All Parks	NOISE	6
All Parks	роор	25
All Parks	offleash outside dogpark	58
All Parks	lack of voice control	1

Violation Types By Park										
Park	After Curfew	NOISE	роор	Offleash outside dogpark	Lack of voice control					
McK	0	6	8	9	1					
Hv	4	0	17	23	0					
Linc	0	0	0	22	0					
НО	0	0	0	4	0					
94	4	6	25	58	1					

Number of Dogs in Dogpark by Day of Week										
Park	Sun	Mon	Tue	Wed	Thu	Fri	Sat	Totals		
McK Dogs	13	5	12	16	9	8	18	81		
McK Observ	4	5	6	11	6	5	6	43		
Dogs/Observ	3	1	2	1	2	2	3			
Hv Dogs	20	12	19	12	14	5	7	89		
Hv Observ	6	7	9	4	5	5	4	40		
Dogs/Observ	3	2	2	3	3	1	2			

Total Observations						
McKenzie	43					
Hillview	40					
Lincoln	26					
Heritage Oaks	11					

Influence of Weather

Usage Per Weather Condition											
		Dogs		Dogs	Dogs			Dogs			
		Outside		Outside		Outside		Outside			
	Dogs	DP	Dogs	DP	Dogs	DP	Dogs	DP			
	inside DP	Onleash	inside DP Onleash i		inside DP	Onleash	inside DP	Onleash			
	when	when	when when		when	when	when	when			
Park	Clear	Clear	Overcast	Overcast	Windy	Windy	Rainy	Rainy			
McKenzie	54	14	11	0	16	21	0	0			
Hillview	76	94	4	4	4	4	5	11			
Lincoln	n/a	45	n/a	0	n/a	18	n/a	0			
Heritage Oaks	n/a	2	n/a	2	n/a	0	n/a	1			

Population totals

4: Total McK visits	total prkg spaces avail during observ period		total	0	35 total dogs onleash outside fence	41	81 total dogs offleash inside fence	total dogs offleash outside fence	20	1	unattended poops inside fence
		52% utilization of parking capacity for days observer counted	of total		26% of total dog hdcount are onleash outside fence		60% of total dog hdcount are offleash inside fence	13% of total dog hdcount are offleash outside fence			of dogs inside fence who left a poop (approx)
Total Hv visits	total prkg spaces avail during observ period		total	2	total dogs onleash outside fence		total dogs offleash inside fence	total dogs offleash outside fence	41	0	51 poops left inside fence
		45% utilization of parking capacity for days observer counted	of total		47% of total dog hdcount are onleash outside fence		36% of total dog hdcount are offleash inside fence	15% of total dog hdcount are offleash outside fence			dogs were observed inside the fence during the data collection period

- Hillview had higher headcounts than McKenzie
- McKenzie had substantially more dogs inside fence than onleash outside.
- Hillview had fewer dogs inside the fence and more dogs onleash outside.
- Interviews cited turf damage or mud as reasons at Hillview

Parking Utilization Indicator

1	Parking Usage by	Day of Week	c McK 45 sp	paces, Hv 50 s	spaces, Linc 2	0 spaces		cksum
Park	Sun	Mon	Tue	Wed	Thu	Fri	Sat	
# McK days when cars counted	3	5	6	11	5	4	5	39
# McK Cars	43	188	120	312	118	35	95	911
# days McK Observd on this DOTW	4	5	6	11	6	5	6	43
Pkg utilization	32%	84%	44%	63%	52%	19%	42%	
#Hv Days when cars countd	5	6	9	4	4	4	4	36
#Hv Cars	101	135	177	54	132	62	150	811
# Days Hv Observd on this DOTW	6	7	9	4	5	5	4	40
Pkg utilization	40%	45%	39%	27%	66%	31%	75%	
#Linc Days where cars countd	0	0	0	0	26	0	0	98
#Linc Cars	0	0	0	0	98	0	0	98
#days Linc Observd on this DOTW	0	0	0	0	26	0	0	0
Pkg utilization	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	19%	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	
#HO days when cars countd	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
#HO Cars	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
#days HO Observd on this DOTW	1	2	1	1	1	3	2	11
utilization	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	

- Utilization varied widely.
- No correlation between dog park usage and community events or court sports
- No correlation between dog park usage and court sport schedules
- If parking was not available, people walked in.
- Did not assess parking at Heritage Oaks

Overall Commentary

- Natural turf cannot tolerate year around usage, even during fair weather usage. Grass lasted less than a quarter.
- Full parking lots do not deter use of dog parks. Mud does.
- After-hours use at Hv supports enabling evening usage there
- There was a community benefit observed at Hillview from the presence of knowledgeable dog handlers in the park.
- Interviews showed there is a subset of users that seek isolated, off-leash space for very specific reasons, including:
 - Dog reactive
 - Desire for isolated training
 - Owner's/handler's disability
- While the occurrence of noise and dog reactivity was low, there is opportunity to explore programming the dog parks to address offleash use.