

Melissa Thurman

From: Derek Pitcher <derekpitcher@yahoo.com>
Sent: Sunday, October 6, 2024 1:11 PM
To: Districts; City Council; Public Comment
Subject: Council Meeting Oct 8,2024, Item 4: District map boundary comment - specifically between districts 3 and 4

Los Altos City Council Members:

Major communities in Los Altos are formed in our elementary schools. These are communities where parents and children create lifelong friendships.

It would serve the City well if the district boundaries are drawn to encompass school boundaries within a district.

As such, the boundary between Districts 3 and 4 in the current scenario should be changed so that Loyola school is contained within district 4, which aligns better with the elementary school boundary.

This can be achieved by including including the area between South Springer Road and Covington Road into District 4, which is currently in District 3.

This change would contain the Loyola community in the same district.

Thank you,
Derek and Anne Pitcher
886 Clinton Road

Melissa Thurman

From: Debby Meredith <debbymeredith@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, October 6, 2024 2:40 PM
To: Public Comment
Subject: Fwd: Input on redistricting maps

Honorable Council Members,

I've been a resident of the wonderful city of Los Altos for over 36 years and during that time have lived in three different homes in two very distinct and terrific neighborhoods. We are fortunate to live in such a great city with many vibrant and different communities! The past 22 years, I have lived with my family on Van Buren Street and love our current long term neighborhood.

In considering the difficult task of drawing district lines for future representation, I feel, on Van Buren Street, we have a very strong identification with Downtown Los Altos, and hence believe it is important to be represented by an official in this same area. My family and I intentionally shop for food, products, and dine downtown because we feel part of that community and wish to continually and in a meaningful way support that community. When we look beyond our street, we view Downtown Los Altos as our extended home.

In looking at the potential redistricting maps, assuming I'm reading things correctly, it seems that four of the five maps proposed by the demographer for the City has Van Buren Street as part of the same district as Downtown Los Altos, while one map proposed by the demographer for the City and some of those submitted by the public has our neighborhood and Downtown Los Altos in separate districts.

As a long term and involved resident, I would respectfully ask that you consider my input in your redistricting decision and have Van Buren Street in the same district as Downtown Los Altos.

Thank you!
Debby Meredith
Van Buren Street, Los Altos, CA 94022

Melissa Thurman

From: Brenda <brendataussig@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, October 6, 2024 8:09 PM
To: Public Comment
Subject: Districting feedback

Dear Councilmembers,

Thank you for tackling the difficult task of dividing Los Altos into election districts.

I live near Santa Rita School in north Los Altos, north of Los Altos Ave. I urge you to choose a map which keeps our neighborhood in the same district as downtown Los Altos. (MapF1 and MapF3 make the most sense to me, with the worst choice being F2)

Walking distance proximity to downtown is the primary reason we bought our house in our north Los Altos neighborhood.

We patronize downtown restaurants, stores and community buildings nearly every day. Downtown IS our neighborhood and our civic interests align with that section of the city. It should be part of our election district.

Thank you for your consideration,

Brenda Taussig
Van Buren Street, Los Altos

Melissa Thurman

From: Bruce W Tolley <bruce.tolley@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, October 7, 2024 1:09 PM
To: Public Comment
Cc: Melissa Thurman
Subject: Re: Comment about proposed districts (edited to remove info not for disclosure)

Hello City Council,

I live in the Grant Park neighborhood. My kids attended Montclair School, Cupertino USD, Cupertino Middle School, CUSD, and Homestead High, Fremont USD. The public schools and the friendships made through children and adult fellowship and activities define our sense of a true community of interest.

I have perused the maps of the various proposals and all of them split the Grant Park neighborhood into two parts, violating any reasonable definition of community of interest.

Most of the maps join my area of Grant Park with the Oak School neighborhood. Since those folks sent their kids to Oak School, LASD, they are outside my community of interest.

And why so many districts? The number of districts seems to over constrain the problem. Why not a smaller number?

Regards,

Bruce Tolley
Grant Park Neighborhood
Los Altos, CA

bruce.tolley@gmail.com

Melissa Thurman

From: Anne Paulson <anne.paulson@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, October 7, 2024 11:00 AM
To: Public Comment
Subject: October 8, 2024 Council meeting, Item 4

Dear Mayor Weinberg and City Council,

Please do not accept redistricting maps D or E. They chop up my little neighborhood, the Highlands/Woodland Acres (South Los Altos southeast of Foothill). It makes no sense that our little enclave, which is separated from the rest of Los Altos by Foothill Expressway, be split into two. The initial five maps from Redistricting Partners had my neighborhood kept together, for good reason, because that's the only choice that makes sense. My neighborhood already tends to get ignored, and we are not a pawn to be chopped up and distributed for the benefit of a different part of the city.

School issues affect the city, but all too often, Councilmembers and city officials tend to forget that a good chunk of the city is in the Cupertino Union and Fremont Union districts. For example, until recently, when affordable housing units became available, units that were available to teachers of our children, the city told the Los Altos/Mountain View districts but neglected to inform the Cupertino teachers who would also have been eligible. If we'd had our own representative, that might not have happened.

Although it's not possible to have one district that includes all of Los Altos that's served by Cupertino schools, it is possible to have a district that includes most of that area and no area not served by Cupertino schools. We need a district like that, a district that would be formed by Maps A, B, or C. Maps D and E cut out my area (served by Cupertino schools) in order to add an area on the far side of Fremont Avenue (not served by Cupertino schools).

Don't chop up my neighborhood. Don't cut me off from the Councilmember who would be most concerned about Los Altos children in Cupertino Schools. Pick Map A, B, or C.

--

-- Anne Paulson

Melissa Thurman

From: Carolyn Berkoz <czokreb@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, October 7, 2024 12:16 PM
To: Public Comment
Subject: Redistricting

I'm in the Cupertino school district and don't want your latest map
C Berkoz 1266 Windimer drive

Sent from Carolyn's **iPhone**

Melissa Thurman

From: Diane Guinta <diane.guinta@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, October 7, 2024 12:17 PM
To: Public Comment
Cc: Paul Goldstein
Subject: Please do NOT approve City Council district maps D and E

Dear City Council Members,

Please do not approve the proposed City Council Maps D or E. Doing so would divide our Los Altos community that is in the Cupertino School District. As you are well-aware, school communities build very strong, life-long relationships of working together for the public good. These two options, D and E, would also divide many of us who have the same steep hillside home environments which are similarly impacted by building and planning rules and school time traffic concerns.

Thank you for considering our perspective.

Sincerely yours,
Diane Guinta and Paul Goldstein
Highlands neighborhood
2173 Sierra Ventura Dr.
Los Altos, CA 94024

Sent from my iPad

Melissa Thurman

From: Marlene Zimmerman <zcarpenterz@comcast.net>
Sent: Monday, October 7, 2024 1:06 PM
To: Public Comment
Subject: Item 4 October 8, 2024 City Council Agenda

With respect to Item 4 of the October 8, 2024 City Council Agenda:

I would like to encourage the City Council to keep the Highlands and Woodland Acres together in one district for representation on the City Council. Among other things, this area is part of the Cupertino Union School District and not the Los Altos School District. The Council, over the years, has often forgotten this fact in favoring the LASD and neglecting the CUSD. In general, residents of the southern part of Los Altos feel that the Council tends to overlook them and their needs.

Melissa Thurman

From: Paul B Van Buren <paul@vanburen.us>
Sent: Monday, October 7, 2024 1:08 PM
To: Public Comment
Subject: District elections

Please keep our Highlands in one district. Do not split it. Thanks.

Paul B Van Buren, JD

CA cell: 650-575-4398

Sent from my iPhone 15 Pro Max

Melissa Thurman

From: Lynne Baldwin <lynne.baldwin@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, October 7, 2024 1:18 PM
To: Public Comment
Subject: Keep the Highlands as One Voting District

I live in the Highlands area and we are community-wise and geographically-wise one unified area as it makes little if any sense to split the area in two. Indeed I think we will be lucky if we are able to get candidates to step up and run for city council in one district, whereas lining up candidates to run in two 'mini-districts' based on a chopped up Highlands area is highly unlikely. Please plan to have the Highlands as one unified voting district. Lynne Baldwin

Melissa Thurman

From: Mary Ann Poulos <maspoulos@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, October 7, 2024 1:32 PM
To: Public Comment
Subject: PLEASE DO NOT SPLIT OUR VOTING DISTRICT

As 40 year residents of Los Altos - we respectfully ask that you do NOT split up our Highlands voting district.

1. We are geographically separated by Foothill Expy
2. There are only two transit ways into our area
3. We have Montclair Elementary School
4. We have worked diligently in the past to protect our area. LAROAR - access to Rancho San Antonio.
5. Please do not let one council member (Lynette Lee Eng) who lives in the Grant park area be able to lobby/push her agenda through.

Mary Ann and Bob Poulos
2059 Kent Dr, Los Altos, CA 94024

--

Mary Ann Poulos
"Think Globally, Act Locally"

Melissa Thurman

From: Susanne Tagder <susannetagder@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, October 7, 2024 1:56 PM
To: Public Comment
Subject: Council Meeting Oct 8th, Item #4, Redistricting

To the Council Members

Re: Council Meeting, Oct. 8th 2024, Redistricting, Item #4

Keep Highlands Woodland Acres in one voting district / Do not vote for maps D and E

As a longtime resident of the Los Altos Highlands Woodland Acres Neighborhood, it has come to my attention that there has been a significant lobbying effort by a loud minority to split the Highlands Woodland Acres neighborhood into two separate voting districts. This has not been made public in the way it should have and residents of this neighborhood have not had a fair chance to counter these attempts.

My family and I strongly oppose splitting the Highlands Neighborhood into two districts. This entire neighborhood, Highlands Woodland Acres, is part of the Montclair Elementary School community and we are all feeding into **Cupertino Schools**. I consider the council's discussion of now dividing this neighborhood into two voting districts as arbitrary and as a direct and willful violation of my right to vote. My entire family, all my neighbors, everyone here has always, on election day, voted at Montclair Elementary School.

As one of our neighbors put it in an email today: **Do not forget that this entire area is Cupertino schools. Therefore, we need a district that is ONLY Cupertino schools, not Los Altos schools. Yet, Maps D and E whack our neighborhood, and indeed Montclair School itself, out of the mostly-Cupertino-schools district in order to shoehorn in some neighborhoods on the other side of Fremont that are in the Los Altos school district.**

Maps D and E are not a reasonable plan. Do not vote for these.

It seems that there has been a lot of unfair lobbying going on by one of the council members, Lynette Lee Eng, against Highlands Woodland Acres to make sure her own neighborhood doesn't get split. What she tries to accomplish here, shows how little she knows about the history of the Highlands and how this **entire** area has been developed in the 1950s and 60s. The Highlands Woodland Acres area has been designed as a whole, with Montclair Elementary in the center. The history of this is well documented in an exhibit at the Los Altos History Museum. Residents here feel and have always felt like an afterthought in the City Council's decisions, as if there was no interest in this part of Los Altos that is home to so many engaged and highly skilled people. **Please show us that you care and that the minority opinion of one loud (and in my opinion clearly biased) council member does not get to rule over the wishes of an entire neighborhood.**

Thank you for your consideration.

Susanne Meier
2130 Via Escalera
Los Altos

Melissa Thurman

From: Debbie Crouse <debbie@cdcrouse.com>
Sent: Monday, October 7, 2024 2:10 PM
To: Public Comment; lleweng@lostosca.gov
Subject: Redistricting - keep neighbors together.

Hello -

I've been made aware that you are considering redistricting and have set up Highlands vs. Grant Park as possible split neighborhoods.

Please please please do not split these neighborhoods. You have a small chunk of Grant Park split off. We are in the same school district with common interests that are unique from the rest of Los Altos.

And please do not put Grant Park back together at the sacrifice of Highlands. We are a very cohesive neighborhood with parties, only 2 ways in and out (thus emergency response considerations). We are also very close knit where neighbors know neighbors.

To Lynette - We voted for you to represent us, please don't throw us under the bus in favor of Grant Park.

Best,
Debbie Crouse
1273 Windimer Dr

Melissa Thurman

From: CHRIS DAVIS <jcbd@aol.com>
Sent: Monday, October 7, 2024 2:20 PM
To: Public Comment
Subject: Voting districts in South Los Altos

Dear Council members.

Our home is in the Highlands, and we feel strongly that we should not be separated from our other Highland community regarding district voting.

Please consider maps that keep us as one integrated voting group. Splitting us up and combining us with other distinct areas will make issues of importance to this geographically distinct area between 280 and Foothill much more difficult to resolve. It will make future voting on issues that affect the Highlands differently than other groups more challenging. Also intricate dividing lines give the appearance of distinct layouts that were defined with special intent, Perhaps the most straightforward boundaries are the best.

Thank you

John Davis
1060 Nottingham Way
Los Altos Ca
6502243677

Melissa Thurman

From: Jo Estill <estilljo@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, October 7, 2024 2:52 PM
To: Public Comment
Subject: Voting Districts

Please keep the Highlands and Grant Park in one district.
JoAnne Estill
1972 Deodara Drive

Melissa Thurman

From: Heather Kellogg Marklein <hmarklein@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, October 7, 2024 3:15 PM
To: Public Comment
Subject: Highlands Should be ONE Voting District

Dear Council Members:

Please keep the Highlands in one voting district. We are geographically separated by Foothill Expressway from the rest of South Los Altos. We have neighborhood parties, a neighborhood newsletter, only two ways to transit in and out of the Highlands (per Capt. Katie Krauss of the Los Altos Police Dept), and our own Monclair Elementary school. Based on all these assets and geographical separation, I believe we are a true community of interest deserving to stay united - not separated.

Thank you for your consideration.

Heather Marklein
Highlands Resident
2271 Via Maderos, Los Altos, CA 94024

Melissa Thurman

From: Evelyn Merritt <ewmerritt18@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, October 7, 2024 3:38 PM
To: Public Comment
Subject: Voting Districts

Hello,

I would like to ask the City Council not to divide The Highlands community in Los Altos.

I believe that Lynette Lee Eng is showing favoritism toward Grant Park since she lives nearby. This is a violation of public trust as Council members are supposed to look at all matters objectively, and not what benefits themselves or their friends.

If you do keep Grant Park intact while dividing the Highlands, I would like to know the reasoning behind it from all member's point of view.

Thanks for listening!

Evelyn Merritt
1062 Yorkshire Dr,
LA, 94024

Melissa Thurman

From: Nancy Calhoun <nanckodi@aol.com>
Sent: Monday, October 7, 2024 4:34 PM
To: Public Comment
Subject: Highlands Voting Block

Vote to keep the Highlands as one cohesive voting block

Nancy Calhoun
nanckodi@aol.com

Melissa Thurman

From: Betty Burch <betty@burchhome.com>
Sent: Monday, October 7, 2024 5:31 PM
To: Public Comment
Cc: lleweng@lostosca.gov
Subject: Highlands voting unit

ABSOLUTELY -- HIGHLANDS MUST BE KEPT AS ONE "ADHESIVE" UNIT - NOt SPlit as is possibly suggested

ABSOLUTELY -- HIGHLANDS MUST BE KEPT AS ONE "ADHESIVE" UNIT - NOt SPlit as is possibly suggested

THANK YOU!! Elisabeth Burch
Nottingham Way

Melissa Thurman

From: Sarah Darby <sarahpdarby@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, October 7, 2024 6:03 PM
To: Public Comment
Subject: Keep the Highlands in one voting district

We strongly feel the Highlands should not be split. It should be one voting district. The Highlands is geographically distinct and our children attend Cupertino schools.

Sarah and Jim Darby
1200 Via Huerta
Los Altos
Sent from my iPhone

Melissa Thurman

From: 03-echelon-patters@icloud.com
Sent: Monday, October 7, 2024 6:47 PM
To: Public Comment
Subject: Create a WiN-WIN for election districting

Hello Council,

One might reasonably expect that that both Grant Park and Highlands would like to remain whole in the election districting process.

- Districting should NOT become an adversarial contest between neighboring communities.
- Naturally, neither neighborhood would want to have segments of their community **amputated** in the districting process. Both can understand this, because neither would want it to happen to their community. Each community can generate ample reasons to stay whole. But they should not have to do so in order to remain whole.

A WIN-WIN solution, would be to keep BOTH communities whole AND attached. I recognize that this would create a fairly large election district. But, that large size should be able to meet districting criteria, by adjusting other districts such that the total deviation is under 10% per districting guidelines.

Please work in harmony to achieve our common goals to remain as whole communities. If council needs more time to achieve this, then please take the time.

Thank you,
Richard Kane
1709 Newcastle Drive, Los Altos

Melissa Thurman

From: Cori Mehring <cmehring@me.com>
Sent: Tuesday, October 8, 2024 8:19 AM
To: Public Comment; Districts
Subject: Election District Maps - Keep Grant Park Neighborhood together!

Dear Los Altos City Council,

As a member of the "Grant Park Neighborhood" (delineated by Grant Rd, Fremont Ave, Stevens Creek, and Homestead Rd./Foothill Expwy), I support keeping the voting district as the entire community surrounding Grant Park.

Our day to day life centers on living in this great neighborhood of wonderful neighbors - strengthened by common interests such as schools, parks, churches, grocery stores. We are a tight-knit area, where we know our neighbors *because* we spend time doing activities with them and talking to them on a regular basis. Daily dog walks and school carpools, weekly bike group rides, and monthly book group meetings are the core of this cohesiveness, and our shared interests would be reflected in voting and council representation.

Thank you for your time in getting the mapping fair and appropriate for all in our great city.

Respectfully,
-Cori Mehring, 29 year resident

Melissa Thurman

From: Reena Kapoor <reena1988@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, October 8, 2024 8:40 AM
To: Public Comment; Districts; City Council
Subject: Please keep GRANT PARK area as one voting district

Hello All --

I support keeping Grant Park neighbourhood together (roughly Grant Rd/Fremont Ave/the creek/Homestead) as a voting district. We have common concerns, geographic factors and interests and it makes no sense to split up this area.

Moreover, I strongly encourage all council members to work along with the Mayor to achieve keeping Grant Park whole, even if it means that they might need to put forth renewed effort so that this goal is possible before finalizing election districts.

Thanks!

Reena Kapoor

1927 Annette Ln

Los Altos 94024

~*~*~*~

REENA KAPOOR

[Subscribe to my blog](#) | [About me](#) | [Executive Mentor](#)
reena1988@gmail.com | rkapoor@alumni.northwestern.edu

Melissa Thurman

From: Megan Allen <meganallen@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Tuesday, October 8, 2024 8:48 AM
To: Public Comment
Subject: Districts

Keep Grant Park neighborhood together as delineated by Grant Rd. Fremont. The Creek, Homestead Regards Megan allen Newcastle Dr Sent from my iPhone

Melissa Thurman

From: Eric Muller <eric.muller@efele.net>
Sent: Tuesday, October 8, 2024 9:10 AM
To: Public Comment
Subject: Public comment - December 8, 2024 City Council meeting - item not on the agenda - Parks and underground parking

Dear City Council,

A few observations on the proposed downtown parks with underground parking:

1. I think that deciding to convert parking plazas to parks does not necessarily imply the construction of underground parking. There is of course synergy between the two projects, but the parking part should be decided on its own merits. Also, plazas #3, 4, 5 and 6 have been eliminated from consideration only because of the parking part of the project; should the underground parking be abandoned, those should be considered again for conversion to park(s).
2. There is general agreement that mitigation of climate change requires fewer cars on the roads, and consequently less demand for non-residential parking. While the reduction in demand will not happen overnight, I do hope that we will have made significant progress by 2030, which is about the earliest the underground parking could become available. Is it wise to build something we may not need? Wouldn't it be better to work more aggressively on reducing the need for parking?
3. Even if we decide that we need parking spaces in 2030, it is far less obvious that we will still need them in 2050. With that in mind, I would encourage the incorporation of adaptive reuse considerations from the start, such as: what other uses could the parking structure have and what would facilitate, in the initial construction, the eventual conversion to those uses? can the parking be built such that it is easier to dismantle, and the components (e.g. walls) can be reused in other buildings (rather than recycled)? There may be no good answer because of the underground location, but it is still worth investigating.
4. The underground parking, besides a dollar cost, also has a CO2 cost. Surely, excavating and hauling away the soil is not going to happen with electric excavators and trucks; there will be a significant amount of concrete and steel, the production of which is known to be CO2-intensive. Then there is probably an operating cost (lights? ventilation?). I wish that these CO2 costs be evaluated on an equal footing to the dollar costs, right from the start. May be we cannot afford those costs? Of course, we probably have less experience with CO2 costs than with dollar costs, so their estimation will be less precise, but still, that is the kind of thinking than one may expect from one of the richest cities in the one of the most innovative regions.
5. The previous three points, reducing as the preferred strategy, anticipating adaptation, and incorporating CO2 accounting from the start, are applicable to any project, not just this underground parking.
6. Please incorporate bicycle parking in the plans. Bicycles deserve "to remain protected from the elements" just as much, if not more, than cars. And "downtown employees" who may consider commuting by bicycle would further appreciate enclosed, locked, nominative bike cages or boxes (much

as there used to be at the Mountain View Caltrain station), so that they don't have to worry about theft of their bicycles or accessories. A few non-nominative boxes (as there is now at the Mountain View Caltrain station) would also be welcome. Also desirable are accommodations for trailers, and charging of electric bikes. And of course, the access ramps have to be practical for cyclists.

7. There is no question that parks would help with "Environmental Sustainability – Enhance from the existing asphalt parking to green space". However, it is worth remembering that with underground parking, a park is just a glorified rooftop garden, and in particular is an impervious surface.

8. It is clear that the Los Altos community needs to be well informed. To that effect, it would have been nice to have a recording of the April 9, 2024 City Council Study Session, and to see public meetings in the City calendar (I see no entries for the February 27 and March 22 meetings mentioned in the Staff Report for the Study Session). I would even go further and suggest that the decision-making process should be more open than is usual for city business.

Sincerely,

Eric Muller

eric.muller@efele.net

Melissa Thurman

From: JAMES HENNESSY <henness@pacbell.net>
Sent: Tuesday, October 8, 2024 9:41 AM
To: Public Comment
Subject: Fw: Redistricting

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: JAMES HENNESSY <henness@pacbell.net>
To: PublicComment@losaltos.gov <publiccomment@losaltos.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, October 8, 2024 at 09:39:12 AM PDT
Subject: Redistricting

I firmly believe this redistricting of Los Altos is a complete farce and utter waste of time for the Council and the public. Having said that, I have reviewed the proposed maps and strongly urge you to keep our Grant Park neighborhood intact. Splitting the Grant Park community into two districts makes no sense to me given our common interests. Thank You for your consideration.

Jim and Pam Hennessy

Melissa Thurman

From: Robin Livengood <robinliv@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Tuesday, October 8, 2024 10:57 AM
To: Public Comment
Subject: South Los Altos Redistricting

To whom it may concern:

I live on Richardson Avenue in South Los Altos. We are the small minority of residents who sent our children to Montclair Elementary School, Cupertino Middle School, and Homestead High School. We are consistently under represented in Los Altos and for this, among other reasons, I feel it is very important that we stay together as one district so that we can advocate for ourselves when necessary. If we are not kept together, our already undersized voice will be further diluted.

Sincerely,

Robin Livengood

1326 Richardson Ave

Los Altos

Sent from Robin's iPhone

Melissa Thurman

From: Janet Lorenzin <lorenzins@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, October 8, 2024 12:25 PM
To: Public Comment
Subject: Los Altos voting district proposals

Dear City Council members,

I would like to encourage you to choose an option that keeps the Highlands area of Los Altos as a single district. This area is geographically separated by Foothill Expressway from the rest of South Los Altos and is therefore a natural community. There are only two ways to transit in and out of the Highlands so its traffic needs are quite specific (routes to schools, emergency access etc). Furthermore, this area's children go to Montclair Elementary school which is in the Cupertino Union school district. Ideally, for this reason, both the Grant Park area that uses Montclair Elementary and the Highlands would be in a single voting district.

Thank you,
Janet Lorenzin
Windimer Drive
Los Altos

Melissa Thurman

From: Stacey Hiraoka <staceyhiraoka@sbcglobal.net>
Sent: Tuesday, October 8, 2024 1:21 PM
To: Public Comment
Cc: Dad
Subject: Re/ support- keep grant park neighborhood together

I live only 2 blocks away from Grant park and would not like the city to separate our neighborhood.

Thank you,

Stacey Hiraoka

1922 Kay Drive

Los Altos

Sent from my iPhone

Melissa Thurman

From: Thomas Smith Jr. <tssmithjr@comcast.net>
Sent: Tuesday, October 8, 2024 1:48 PM
To: Public Comment
Subject: Redistricting

I oppose redistricting the current Highlands area.

Thomas S. Smith Jr
2196 Sierra Ventura Drive
Los Altos

Melissa Thurman

From: Thushitha R. Deepak <thushi@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, October 8, 2024 4:58 PM
To: Public Comment
Subject: Keep Grant Park Together

Respected Council Members,

I am a resident and homeowner in the Grant Park area of Los Altos, and I am writing to you to please keep our neighborhood together.

I'm specifically referring to the Grant Park neighborhood together as delineated by Fremont Ave, Grant Rd. to Foothill expressway, and then Grant continuing to Homestead at Stevens Creek and then Stevens Creek completing the circumscription back at Fremont Ave.

We are a strong community and would like to remain this way without any districting changes that would affect us severely. As a council I request you to please redouble your efforts to keep our community whole.

Regards,

Thushitha Deepak

Melissa Thurman

From: AnnaTasha <atbirch76@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, October 8, 2024 5:22 PM
To: Public Comment
Subject: Please do Not split up highlands

Attention: to whom it concerns

This will affect many families including but more serious is safety.

Respectfully, Ms. AnnaTasha Birch

Melissa Thurman

From: Laynette Blackfield <lblackfield@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, October 8, 2024 10:29 PM
To: Melissa Thurman
Subject: Re: My comment

Thank you for your help. Please delete my original comments and identifying information and replace with the following. Thank you very much..My comment should read as follows:

“Please do not separate the houses in the Cupertino School District into two (or more) separate districts. This would be patently u fair to all people who live in the Cupertino School District in Los Altos.

It is definitely important that all houses in the Cupertino School District be placed in one voting area. “

Sent from my iPad

> On Oct 8, 2024, at 9:54 AM, Melissa Thurman <mthurman@losaltosca.gov> wrote:

>

> Hello,

>

> The City does not retract information provided in written public comments. However, if you would like to re-submit your comment, without your personal information, you are welcome to do so. Once that message is received, it will replace the previous version.

>

> Thank you.

>

>

> Melissa Thurman, MMC

> City Clerk

> City of Los Altos

> www.losaltosca.gov

> 1 N. San Antonio Road | Los Altos, CA 94022 Confidentiality Notice:

> This e-mail message, including any attachments, is for the sole use of intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and protected information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure, or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message.

>

> -----Original Message-----

> From: Laynette Blackfield <lblackfield@yahoo.com>

> Sent: Monday, October 7, 2024 6:59 PM

> To: Melissa Thurman <mthurman@losaltosca.gov>

> Subject: My comment

>

> Can you please delete my name and my address from my comment asking to

> keep Cupertino School District homes in the same voting district. I

> did not know they would become part of the public record. Thank you

>

> Sent from my iPad