

AGENDA REPORT SUMMARY

Meeting Date:	February 16, 2023
Subject:	Housing Element implementing ordinance for certain provisions of Housing Program 3.H of the Adopted 6 th Cycle Housing Element.
Prepared by:	Nick Zornes, Development Services Director
Attachment(s):	 Draft City Council Ordinance with Appendix A, B, C, and D Petition for Writ of Mandate – California Housing Defense Fund, Yes In My Back Yard v. City of Cupertino
Initiated by:	Adopted 6 th Cycle Housing Element 2023-2031

Fiscal Impact

No fiscal impacts to the City of Los Altos are associated with the draft ordinance. However, it is important to note that should the adoption of this ordinance not take place the City of Los Altos runs the risk of losing State funding (grants, etc.), and potential legal fees and penalties associated with housing element noncompliance.

Environmental Review

The proposed ordinance is exempt from environmental review pursuant to Section 15061(b)(3) of the State Guidelines implementing the California Environmental Quality Act of 1970.

Staff Recommendation

1. Approve Draft Planning Commission Resolution Recommending approval of City Council Ordinance.

Summary and Key Considerations

The draft resolutions before the City Council are implementing adopted programs from the City's 6^{th} Cycle Housing Element 2023-2031. Both actions are explicitly called out in the adopted housing element and were designed to remove any barriers or impediments to the creation of new housing within Los Altos.

Background



On January 24, 2023, the Los Altos City Council adopted the City's 6th Cycle Housing Element 2023-2031. As required by law the adopted housing element has several housing programs contained within. The City of Los Altos identified specific programs in its housing element that will allow it to implement the stated policies and achieve the stated goals and objectives.

Programs must include specific action steps the City will take to implement its policies and achieve its goals and objectives. Programs must also include a specific timeframe for implementation, identify the agencies or officials responsible for implementation, describe the city's specific role in implementation, and (whenever possible) identify specific, measurable outcomes.

<u>Analysis</u>

The City's adopted 6th Cycle Housing Element 2023-2031, included Program 3.H. The housing program contains several deliverables of those the following necessitate the proposed ordinance amendments: 1. Consolidation of the Design Review Commission and Planning Commission, 2. Designate the Development Services Director as the review authority for projects of five or fewer residential units, 3. Limit the Number of Public Hearing to no more than three (3) for Design Review projects only, 4. Designate the City Council only as the Appeal Authority for Land Use decisions, 5. Clarify that appeal of decisions on housing developments shall be only on objective standards and must be filed within 14 calendar days (this has been highlighted in yellow below). The draft resolution included in this agenda packet effectively completes these deliverables as explicitly called out within the housing program.

Program 3.H: Amend design review process and requirements.

The City's Design Review Commission and Planning Commission had previously been one commission with a Design Review Committee comprised of two assigned Planning Commissioners. In recent years the purview of land use and design review was split into two separate commissions, currently the Planning Commission and Design Review Commission. The current structure of the Design Review Commission is a five-person body appointed by the City Council, while the Planning Commission is a seven-person body. Recent changes in State law drastically reduced the Design Review Commission's purview, and the City's well-developed objective design standards for a variety of development types (adopted in 2021) effectively created an Administrative Design Review that has been well



implemented by City staff. In order to remove constraints arising from design review, the City will:

- Consolidate the Design Review Commission and Planning Commission into one body comprised of a maximum of seven appointed residents which will review mixed-use, multi-family and commercial developments, consistent with the majority of jurisdictions throughout the County of Santa Clara;
- Eliminate 3rd party independent architect review (which applies to projects in the downtown);
- Amend its Zoning Code to allow any design review and discretionary approvals for a project of five or fewer units to be approved by the Development Services Director;
- When hearings are required, limit the number of hearings for solely design review approval (i.e., not including subdivision maps or other applications that may be involved) to no more than three hearings;
- Develop standard conditions of approval to provide consistency and certainty to applicants and approving bodies;
- Modify its design review process and applicability thresholds so that City Council serves only as the decision-making authority for appeal of design review and land use decisions, consistent with the majority of jurisdictions throughout the County of Santa Clara;
- Clarify that decisions on appeals of housing developments must be based on objective standards consistent with State law and any appeal filed with the City shall be done within 14 calendar days post project approval; and
- Amend its Zoning Code to ensure that housing developments and emergency shelters are only subject to objective design standards consistent with State law.

Responsible Body: Development Services Department, Planning Commission, City Council

Funding Source: General Fund

Time Frame: Any code amendments required to be completed by December 2023; Design Review Commission to be dismissed and duties reassigned to Development Services Director upon local adoption of the 6th Cycle Los Altos Housing Element or sooner. Evaluate progress and take additional action if improvements in the design review process have not resulted by January 2027.

Objective: The time for City review of and action on residential, mixed-use and multifamily developments will be shortened compared to typical processing times (see Appendix C, Table C-8) with the reduction of discretionary reviews and commissions.



Discussion

The actions included within the attached draft ordinance are requirements pursuant to the City's adopted 6^{th} Cycle Housing Element. Once a jurisdiction takes final action by adopting its housing element this requires immediate action in order to remain compliant with State housing law. The City of Los Altos Housing Element contains <u>26</u> major action items or milestones that must be completed within the first 12-months post adoption. The draft ordinance will effectively accomplish the majority of 1 of the 26 items or milestones to be achieved in the first 12-months.

Should the Los Altos Planning Commission not recommend approval of the draft ordinance the City will be vulnerable to penalties and consequences of housing element noncompliance. HCD is authorized to review any action or failure to act by a local government that it determines is inconsistent with an adopted housing element or housing element law. This includes failure to implement program actions included in the housing element. HCD may revoke housing element compliance if the local governments actions do not comply with state law. Examples of penalties and consequence of housing element noncompliance:

- General Plan Inadequacy: the housing element is a mandatory element of the General Plan. When a jurisdictions housing element is found to be out of compliance, its General Plan could be found inadequate, and therefore invalid. Local governments with an invalid General Plan can no longer make permitting decisions.
- Legal Suites and Attorney Fees: local governments with noncompliant housing elements are vulnerable to litigation from housing rights' organization, developers, and HCD. If a jurisdiction faces a court action stemming from its lack of compliance and either loses or settles the case, it often must pay substantial attorney fees to the plaintiff's attorneys in addition to the fees paid by its own attorneys. Potential consequences of lawsuits include: mandatory compliance within 120 days, suspension of local control on building matters, and court approval of housing developments.
- Loss of Permitting Authority: courts have authority to take local government residential and nonresidential permit authority to bring the jurisdiction's General Plan and housing element into substantial compliance with State law. The court may suspend the locality's authority to issue building permits or grant zoning changes, variances, or subdivision map approvals giving local governments a strong incentive to bring its housing element into compliance.
- Financial Penalties: court-issued judgement directing the jurisdictions to bring its housing element into substantial compliance with state housing element law. If a jurisdictions



housing element continues to be found out of compliance, courts can multiply financial penalties by a factor of six.

• Court Receivership: courts may appoint an agent with all powers necessary to remedy identified housing element deficiencies and bring the jurisdiction's housing element into substantial compliance with housing element law.

<u>Petition for Writ of Mandate – California Housing Defense Fund, Yes In My Back Yard v. City of Cupertino.</u>

So that the Planning Commission and public are well-informed the City of Los Altos has included this information with this agenda item so that all circumstances are understood as housing law is rapidly evolving.

As of Friday, February 3, 2023, Californians for Homeownership, California Housing Defense Fund, and YIMBY Law had filed 12 lawsuits in Contra Costa, Santa Clara, Marin, and San Mateo County Superior Courts with the intention to file more in the coming weeks. The cities and counties sued include: Belvedere, Burlingame, Cupertino, Daly City, Fairfax, Martinez, Novato, Palo Alto, Pinole, Pleasant Hill, Richmond, and Santa Clara County. Each municipality has been sued by one or two of the non-profits.

With the Bay Area's 109 cities and counties at widely varied stages in the process of Housing Element adoption and compliance, these twelve lawsuits mark the first round of what will likely be many rounds of judicial review for noncompliance with state housing law in the Bay Area. The initial lawsuits focus on cities with a long history of exclusionary housing practices, cities that adopted housing elements unlawfully, and localities that have made little progress in developing their draft housing elements. The organizations will continue to file suits in the coming weeks, prioritizing cities with the most egregious violations in each organization's judgment.

A Petition for Writ of Mandate in the State of California is used by superior courts, courts of appeal and the Supreme Court to command lower bodies (such as lower level of government agencies, in this case a city) to do or not do specific actions. In this case, a Writ of Mandate can result in the city being directed to adopt a compliant housing element or other associated actions in order to comply with State law. A Writ of Mandate could also be petitioned for and direct a city to specifically implement programs that were included in their adopted housing element.

Given the current legal climate around housing element law it is vital for the City of Los Altos to expeditiously implement the adopted 6th Cycle Housing Element 2023-2031. As noted above the



potential legal risks associated with housing element noncompliance could be further enforced by similar legal actions.

Planning Commission Purview

The Planning Commission Purview is limited to amendments that are contained within Title 14-Zoning of the Los Altos Municipal Code. Contained within the agenda packet this evening are four (4) appendices; Appendix B, and C are contained within Title 14 and under the purview of the commission, while Appendix A, and D are not contained within Title 14, therefore they are not under consideration tonight. The Planning Commission only has recommendation authority for Appendix B, and C.

Next Steps

The Planning Commission recommendation will be taken to the City Council for first reading on February 28, 2023, and second reading on March 14, 2023.