Title 17 - Subdivisions; Title 18 - Planning & Land Use Regulation

Code Section	Titte	Changes needed?	Recommendations	Notes	Status
TITLE 17	SUBDIVISIONS	Possibly, but different			
Chapter 17.04	General Provisions	Possibly, review recommendations	Consider including zero lot line provision here under Scope (B).		
17.040.050	Definitions	Yes	Add definitions for "Parent lot", "Unit Lot", "Lot Split". "unit lot subdivision", and "zero lot line subdivision". Amend definitions for short	17.04.050 definitions were added as recommendations to consider in addressing definition gaps the middle housing legislation as well as future work that will be needed to address the unit lot subdivisions legislation (Engosed Second Substitute Senate Bill (ESSSB) 5258)	Recommended definitions added. Review warranted.
Chapter 17.08			Consider unit-lot subdivision standards - described well in Commerce middle housing user guide in 17.12	regulation (Englosses Sectina Substitute Senate bit (ESSS6) (22.6)	
Chapter 17.12	Short Subdivisions and Dedications	Yes	Add unit-tot subdivision standards - described well in Commerce middle housing user guide	This is likely the best spot for the eventual unit lot subdivision language given the state legislation. Placeholder language from the state unit lot subdivision guidance has been added for review and consideration.	language from the state has
TITLE 18	PLANNING AND LAND USE REGULATION	Yes			
Chapter 18.08	Definitions	Yes	Add the required definitions and recommended definitions of "condominiums", "unit density", and "Tier 3 city".	Partially complete, but needs renumbering once list of definitions is finalized. May want to reconsider providing a number for each separate definition. Perhaps a number for each letter of the alphabet instead.	Needs confirmation of defintion and eventual renumbering.
Chapter 18.12	Zoning Map	Possibly	Unless further edits change the name of the zones, no edits needed	makedu.	No edits needed at this time, b may change in the future.
Chapter 18.14	Rezoning				
Chapter 18.16	RS-20 Single Family Residential, Low	Yes	Review frontage, setbacks, lot coverage, and impervious surface as it relates to		
18.16.010	Permitted uses	Yes	development feasibility  Review C and E for possible edits; add middle housing types (at a minimum, duplexes,	Single family residences can still be allowed in this zone but the requirement is to add middle housing to the permitted uses.	Made edits but how the City would like to address the required changes can vary. Review again 18.18 changes.
	Conditional uses Lot area	No No			
18.16.040 18.16.050	Street rostage  Lot coverage	Possibly	Left comments for what development feasibility would look like based on this	No changes are needed but should review for development feasibility.	May be helpful to develop graphics to show this code in action, waiting for City to revie before this next step.
18.16.060	Yards	Possibly	Review for development feasibility	Edited language for clarity. The original text started by saying 5ft set backs when that wasn't the ultimate desired outcome.	Review recommended languar and whether to change.
18.16.070	Building height limit	No	Height limit does not need to change under	works with that wash the dishlate desired outcome.	und wiretier to change.
18.16.080	Impervious surface	Possibly	Review for development feasibility	No changes are needed but should review for development feasibility.	May be helpful to develop graphics to show this code in action, waiting for City to review before this next step.
Chapter 18.18	RS-15 Single-Family Residential, Moderate	Yes	Needs to remove single family from title		before unstream step.
18.18.010	Permitted uses	Yes	Remove "Single-family" terminology; add middle housing types (at a minimum, duplexes, stacked flats, cottage housing, and courtyard apartments) as permitted uses in this zone	This permitted uses offers a different structure than how it was done in 18.16.	Review for requirement preference.
	Conditional uses	No	Ensure no standards are required that would		
18.18.030	Lot area	Possibly	be more restrictive for middle housing than for single-family units		
18.18.040	Street frontage	Possibly	Ensure no standards are required that would be more restrictive for middle housing than for single-family units		
18.18.050	Lot coverage	Possibly	Ensure no standards are required that would be more restrictive for middle housing than for single-family units Ensure no standards are required that would		
18.18.060	Yards	Possibly	be more restrictive for middle housing than for single-family units		
18.18.070 18.18.080	Building height limit Impervious surface	No Possibly	Height limit does not need to change under legislation.  See Section 18.16.080 comments		
18.18.080 Chapter 18.20	RS-10 Single-Family Residential, Moderate/High	Yes	Needs to remove single family from title		
18.20.010	Permitted uses	Yes	Decide to remove or leave "Single-family" terminology; add middle housing types (at a minimum, duplexes, stacked flats, cottage housing, and courtyard apartments) as permitted uses in this zone		Waiting on direction for how to structure required change.
18.20.020 18.20.030	Conditional uses  Lot area	No Possibly	Ensure no standards are required that would		
			be more restrictive for middle housing than for single-family units Ensure no standards are required that would		
18.20.040	Street frontage	Possibly	be more restrictive for middle housing than for single-family units Ensure no standards are required that would		
18.20.050	Lot coverage	Possibly	be more restrictive for middle housing than for single-family units Ensure no standards are required that would		
18.20.060	Yards  Building height limit	Possibly	be more restrictive for middle housing than for single-family units Height limit does not need to change under		
18.20.070 18.20.080	Building height limit Impervious surface	Possibly	legislation. See Section 18.16.080 comments		
Chapter 18.21	RS-9.6 Single-Family Residential, Moderate/High	Yes	Needs to remove single family from title		
18.21.010	Permitted uses	Yes	Decide to remove or leave "Single-family" terminology; add middle housing types (at a minimum, duptexes, stacked flats, cottage housing, and courty ard apartments) as permitted uses in this zone		Waiting on direction for how to structure required change.
	Conditional uses		Ensure no standards are required that would		
18.21.030	Lot area  Lot width	Possibly	be more restrictive for middle housing than for single-family units Ensure no standards are required that would		
		· ·	be more restrictive for middle housing than for single-family units Ensure no standards are required that would		
18.21.050	Lot coverage	Possibly	be more restrictive for middle housing than for single-family units Ensure no standards are required that would		
18.21.060	Yards  Diedifiers besieht lieste	Possibly	be more restrictive for middle housing than for single-family units Height limit does not need to change under		
18.21.070 18.21.080	Building height limit Impervious surfaces	Possibly	legislation. See Section 18.16.080 comments		
Chapter 18.22	RS-7.2 Single-Family Residential, High	Yes	Needs to remove single family from title		
18.22.010	Permitted uses	Yes	Decide to remove or leave "Single-family" terminology; add middle housing types (at a minimum, duptexes, stacked flats, cottage housing, and courtyard apartments) as permitted uses in this zone		Waiting on direction for how to structure required change.
	Conditional uses	No			†

18.22.030	Lotarea	Possibly	Ensure no standards are required that would be more restrictive for middle housing than for signific formilly units		
18.22.040	Lot width	Possibly	single-family units  Ensure no standards are required that would be more restrictive for middle housing than for		
			single-family units Ensure no standards are required that would		
18.22.050	Lot coverage	Possibly	be more restrictive for middle housing than for single-family units Ensure no standards are required that would		
18.22.060	Yards	Possibly	be more restrictive for middle housing than for single-family units		
18.22.070	Building height limit	No	Height limit does not need to change under legislation.		
18.22.080 Chapter 18.24 18.24.010	Impervious surfaces RM-3600 Residential Muttifamity	Possibly Yes No	See Section 18.16.080 comments		
18.24.020	Permitted uses	Yes	Consider adding language to clarify that middle housing types are permitted in this zone		
18.24.030 18.24.040	Conditional uses  Lot area	No Possibly	Ensure no standards are required that would be more restrictive for middle housing than for		
18.24.050	Lot area per dwelling unit	Possibly	single-family units  Ensure no standards are required that would be more restrictive for middle housing than for		
18.24.060	Lot width	Possibly	single-family units  Ensure no standards are required that would be more restrictive for middle housing than for		
18.24.070	Land coverage	Possibly	single-family units  Ensure no standards are required that would be more restrictive for middle housing than for		
18.24.080	Yards	Possibly	single-family units  Ensure no standards are required that would be more restrictive for middle housing than for		
18.24.090	Building height	No	single-family units	Max building height is already 35 feet in this zone, meets requirements of middle housing legislation	
	Signs RM-2400 Residential Multifamily	No Yes			
18.24.010 18.24.020	Purpose  Permitted uses	Yes	Consider adding language to clarify that		
18.24.020 18.24.030	Permitted uses  Conditional uses	Yes No	middle housing types are permitted in this zone		
18.24.040	Lot area	Possibly	Ensure no standards are required that would be more restrictive for middle housing than for single-family units		
18.24.050	Lot area per dwelling unit	Possibly	Ensure no standards are required that would be more restrictive for middle housing than for single-family units		
18.24.060	Lot width	Possibly	Ensure no standards are required that would be more restrictive for middle housing than for single-family units		
18.24.070	Land coverage	Possibly	Ensure no standards are required that would be more restrictive for middle housing than for single-family units		
18.24.080	Yards	Possibly	Ensure no standards are required that would be more restrictive for middle housing than for single-family units		
18.24.090 18.24.100	Building height Parking	No No	gen among method		
	RM-1800 Residential Multifamily Purpose	Yes No			
18.24.020	Propose Permitted uses	Yes	Consider adding language to clarify that middle housing types are permitted in this zone		
18.24.030 18.24.040	Conditional uses  Lot area	Possibly	Ensure no standards are required that would		
		1	be more restrictive for middle housing than for single-family units Ensure no standards are required that would		
18.24.050	Lot area per dwelling unit  Lot width	Possibly	be more restrictive for middle housing than for single-family units  Ensure no standards are required that would be more restrictive for middle housing than for		
18.24.070	Lot witten  Land coverage	Possibly	single-family units  Ensure no standards are required that would be more restrictive for middle housing than for		
18.24.080	Yards	Possibly	single-family units Ensure no standards are required that would be more restrictive for middle housing than for		
18.24.090	Building height	No	single-family units	Max building height is already 35 feet in this zone, meets requirements	
18.24.110 18.24.120	Screening and landscaping Signs	No No			
	RM-900 Residential Multifamity Purpose Permitted uses	Yes No	Consider adding language to clarify that		
18.30.020 18.30.030	Permitted uses  Conditional uses	Yes No	middle housing types are permitted in this zone		
18.30.040	Lot area	Possibly	Ensure no standards are required that would be more restrictive for middle housing than for single-family units		
18.30.050	Lot area per dwelling unit	Possibly	Ensure no standards are required that would be more restrictive for middle housing than for single-family units		
18.30.060		Possibly	Ensure no standards are required that would be more restrictive for middle housing than for		
	Lot width		single-family units		
18.30.070	Lot width  Land coverage	Possibly	Ensure no standards are required that would be more restrictive for middle housing than for single-family units		
18.30.080	Land coverage Yards	Possibly	Ensure no standards are required that would be more restrictive for middle housing than for		
18.30.080 18.30.090	Land coverage  Yards  Building height	Possibly	Ensure no standards are required that would be more restrictive for middle housing than for single-family units Ensure no standards are required that would be more restrictive for middle housing than for	Max building height is already 35 feet in this zone, meets requirements of middle housing legislation	
18.30.080	Land coverage Yards	Possibly	Ensure no standards are required that would be more restrictive for middle housing than for single-family units Ensure no standards are required that would be more restrictive for middle housing than for	Max building height to allready 35 feet in this zone, meets requirements of middle bouning legislation	
18.30.090 18.30.100 18.30.110 18.30.120	Land coverage  Yards  Building height  Finking  Screening and buildinging	Possibly No No No	Ensure no standards are required that would be more restrictive for middle housing than for single-family units Ensure no standards are required that would be more restrictive for middle housing than for	Max building height is already 35 feet in this zone, meets requirements of middle housing legislation.  No changes needed, as this is not a predominantly residential zone.	
18.30.090  18.30.100 18.30.110 18.30.120 Chapter 18.34 Chapter 18.38	Land coverage  Yards  Building height  Parking  Screening and landscaping  Sign  Bit Neighbarhood Business  CC Corridor Commercial	Possibly  No  No  No  No  No  No  No  No  No  N	Ensure no standards are required that would be more restrictive for middle housing than for single-family units Ensure no standards are required that would be more restrictive for middle housing than for		
18.30.090 18.30.100 18.30.110 18.30.120 Chapter 18.34 Chapter 18.42	Land coverage  Yards  Building height  Parking  Screening and landscaping  Sign  Sign  BN Neightberhood Business	Possibly  No  No  No  No  No  No  No	Ensure no standards are required that would be more restrictive for middle housing than for single-family units Ensure no standards are required that would be more restrictive for middle housing than for		
18.30.080  18.30.100  18.30.110  18.30.120  Chapter 18.34  Chapter 18.42  Chapter 18.42	Land coverage  Yards  Building beight.  Parking Sorresing and bundecapting Signs BN Neighbunhood Business  CC Corridor Commercial Town Center	Possibly  No  No  No  No  No  No  No  No  No  N	Ensure no standards are required that would be more restrictive for middle housing than for single-family units Ensure no standards are required that would be more restrictive for middle housing than for		
18.30.090  18.30.100  18.30.100  18.30.110  18.30.120  Chapter 18.34  Chapter 18.42  Chapter 18.44  Chapter 18.45  18.45.010	Land coverage  Yards  Building Respit  Parking  Parking  Screening and landscaping  Signers  Sin Neighborhood Business  Coverieur Commercial  Town Contex  Repealed  SC-SFR Southern Cateway - Single-Family Residential  Permitted uses	Possibly No No No No No No No No Ves	Ensure no standards are required that would be more restrictive for middle housing than for single-family units.  Ensure no standards are required that would be more restrictive for middle housing than for single-family units.  Add middle housing types (at a minimum, duplexes, stacked flats, cottage housing, and	of model housing legislation  No changes needed, as this is not a predominantly residential zone  No changes needed, as this is not a predominantly residential zone  No changes needed, as this is not a predominantly residential zone  No changes needed, as this is not a predominantly residential zone  Consider changing to "Low Density Residential" so as not to exclude	Added recommended changes and needs additional discussion
18.30,080  18.30,090  18.30,100  18.30,110  18.30,110  Chapter 18.34  Chapter 18.38  Chapter 18.42  Chapter 18.44  Chapter 18.45	Land coverage  Yards  Building heapts  Parking Soverening and landscaping Gups  Soverening and landscaping Counter Counter  Counter Content Town Content Town Content  Town Content Town Co	Possibly  No	Ensure no standards are required that would be more restrictive for middle housing than for single-family units.  Ensure no standards are required that would be more restrictive for middle housing than for single-family units.  Add middle housing types (at a minimum, sluplewers, stacked flats, cottage housing, and countyard apartments) as permitted uses in this zone.  Add language to clarify that up to two	of models housing legislation  No changes needed, as this is not a predominantly residential zone.  No changes needed, as this is not a predominantly residential zone.  No changes needed, as this is not a predominantly residential zone.  No changes needed, as this is not a predominantly residential zone.  Consider changing to "Low Densily Residential" so as not to exclude middle housing types.  Added middle housing types and made Prohibited Uses a separate.	
18.30.080  18.30.090  18.30.100  18.30.110  18.30.110  Chapter 18.42  Chapter 18.45  18.45.010  18.45.010  18.45.010	Land coverage  Yards  Building height  Finking  Screening and bunkeaping  Signs  Neighborhood Business  CC Contribut Commercial  Town Conter  Repealed  SG-SFR Southern Catenay - Single-Family Residential  Permitted uses  Conditional uses  Lot area and maximum density  Lot woods	Possibly No	Ensure no standards are required that would be more restriction for middle housing than for single-family units.  Ensure no standards are required that would be more restrictive for middle housing than for single-family units.  Add middle housing types (at a minimum, sagleses, stacked fulss, cottage housing, and courlyout aportments) as permitted uses in tills zone.	of models housing legislation  No changes needed, as this is not a predominantly residential zone.  No changes needed, as this is not a predominantly residential zone.  No changes needed, as this is not a predominantly residential zone.  No changes needed, as this is not a predominantly residential zone.  Consider changing to "Low Densily Residential" so as not to exclude middle housing types.  Added middle housing types and made Prohibited Uses a separate.	and needs additional discussion  Discuss if the City wants only the
18.30.080  18.30.080  18.30.080  18.30.110  18.30.110  Chapter 18.32  Chapter 18.42  Chapter 18.45  18.45.010  18.45.010  18.45.010  18.45.010	Land coverage  Varids  Building height  Parking  Screening and landscaping Signa  Streening and landscaping Signa  Streening and landscaping Signa  CC Contribut Commercial  Town Contex  Regnaled  30-SFR Southern Gateway - Single-Family Residential  Permitted uses  Conditional uses  Lot area and maximum density  Lot with  Lot overage  Varids	Possibly No No No No No No No No Yes	Ensure no standards are required that would be more restrictive for middle housing than for single-family units.  Ensure no standards are required that would be more restrictive for middle housing than for single-family units.  Add middle housing types (at a minimum, sluplewers, stacked flats, cottage housing, and countyard apartments) as permitted uses in this zone.  Add language to clarify that up to two	of models housing legislation  No changes needed, as this is not a predominantly residential zone.  No changes needed, as this is not a predominantly residential zone.  No changes needed, as this is not a predominantly residential zone.  No changes needed, as this is not a predominantly residential zone.  Consider changing to "Low Densily Residential" so as not to exclude middle housing types.  Added middle housing types and made Prohibited Uses a separate.	and needs additional discussion  Discuss if the City wants only the two units per lot in this zoning or
18.30.000  18.30.000  18.30.100  18.30.110  18.30.110  18.30.120  18.30.120  18.30.120  18.30.120  18.30.120  18.30.120  18.30.120  18.45.010	Land coverage  Vario  Building height  Purking  Screening and landscaping  Signs  Streening and landscaping  Signs  On Keepinghorhood Business  CC Condidor Commercial  Town Coster  Repaided  SG-SFR Southern Cateway- Single Family Residential  Permitted uses  Lot area and maximum density  Lot worth	Possibly No	Ensure no standards are required that would be more restrictive for middle housing than for single-family units.  Ensure no standards are required that would be more restrictive for middle housing than for single-family units.  Add middle housing types (at a minimum, sluplewers, stacked flats, cottage housing, and countyard apartments) as permitted uses in this zone.  Add language to clarify that up to two	of models housing legislation  No changes needed, as this is not a predominantly residential zone.  No changes needed, as this is not a predominantly residential zone.  No changes needed, as this is not a predominantly residential zone.  No changes needed, as this is not a predominantly residential zone.  Consider changing to "Low Densily Residential" so as not to exclude middle housing types.  Added middle housing types and made Prohibited Uses a separate.	and needs additional discussion  Discuss if the City wants only the two units per lot in this zoning or

18.45.110	Signs Parking requirements and traffic impact mitigation	Yes	Update section to comply with middle housing parking standard requirements - see Section 9(B) of the Commerce model ordinance		
18.45.120	Southern gateway - single-family residential zone design guidelines - Adopted - Rules of Interpretation	Possibly	Review design guidelines and ensure they are inclusive of middle housing types		
18.45.130	Southern gateway - single-family residential zone design guidelines - Application - Effect	No			
	Bonds or other financial security				
Chapter 18.46	SG-C Southern Gateway - Corridor	Possibly		No changes needed, as this is not a predominantly residential zone	Review for what types of housing to include in this zone. Discuss if changes are needed or no.
Chapter 18.47	SG-T Southern Gateway - Transition	Possibly	While this is not a primarily residential zone, single-family units are allowed. May wish to include middle housing types (dupkees, stacked flats, cottage housing, courtyard apartments) as well (see section 18.47.030(B)) or consistency with other residentially zoned areas	Made minor changes to include middle housing language. Recommended, not required.	Review for what types of housing to include in this zone. Discuss if changes are needed or no.
Chapter 18.48	Commercial Site Development Permits				
Chapter 18.50	Development Standards	Yes			
18.50.010	Walts and fences	No	Ensure standards for walls and fences are no different for middle housing types than they are for single-family units		As written, no changes are needed
	Yards	No			
	Boat moorage	No No			
	Home occupations  Day care/adult day care - Type I				
18.50.050	Accessory dwelling units	Yes	Updated for compliance with ADU legislation (HB 1337) and unit lot subdivisions.	С	
18.50.060 18.50.070	Accessory structures and buildings Vision clearance	Yes	Remove use of "single family dwelling" zones.	Could reword to say "zones with single family residences" instead of "residential".	Changed to "residential" to check against how zones are edited for use.
18.50.080	Permitted intrusions into required yards	No			
18.50.090	Location of swimming pools	No			
	Lighting Townson was normits	No			
	Temporary use permits Keeping household pets	No No			
	Collective gardens and dispensaries defined	No			
Chapter 18.52 Chapter 18.54					
Chapter 18.58	Off-Street Parking	Yes			Review changes
18.58.020 18.58.030	Parking plan  Parking spaces required	No Yes	Add parking requirements for middle housing		
			as required per RCW 36.70A.635(6)		
18.58.040	Parking requirements for common facilities	No			
18.58.050 18.58.060	General requirements on size of parking spaces Surfacing	No			
	Access	No			
18.58.080	Landscaping, pathways and amenities	No	Ensure these standards are no different for middle housing types than they are for single-		No changes needed as is.
Chapter 18.62	Screening and Landscaping	Possibly	Standards may not be different for middle housing types than they are for single-family units - consider excluding middle housing types from the applicability of this chapter (section 18.62.010), since the RN zones may contain middle housing types.	Additional	Will need review and discussion as to applying these to all residential zones or keep it to RM and others.