City of Lake Forest Park - Planning Commission Draft Regular Meeting Minutes: January 10, 2023 In-person and Zoom Hybrid Meeting

5 6 7

15

1 2

3

4

8 <u>Planning Commissioners present</u>: Chair Maddy Larson, Ira Gross, David Kleweno Melissa Cranmer,
 9 Ashton Alvarez McCartney, Meredith LaBonte, Walter Hicks, Vice Chair Lois Lee
 10

<u>Staff and others present</u>: Steve Bennett, Planning Director; Nick Holland, Senior Planner; Councilmember
 Lorri Bodi

14 Members of the Public: Cherie Finazzo, LeAnne Nelson

16 <u>Planning Commissioners absent</u>: n/a
 17

18 <u>Call to order</u>: Chair Larson called the meeting to order at 7:02 pm.

20 <u>Land Acknowledgement:</u> Cmr. Gross read the land acknowledgement.
 21

22 Approval of Agenda

Cmr. Gross made a motion to approve the agenda, Cmr. Cranmer seconded, and the motion to approve the
 agenda carried unanimously.

26 Approval of Meeting Minutes

27 Cmr. McCartney made a motion to approve the December 13, 2022, meeting minutes. Cmr. Gross seconded
28 and the motion carried unanimously.
29

30 Meeting Dates:

The next regular meeting is scheduled for February 14, 2023. Discussion occurred regarding rescheduling the meeting. It was tentatively decided that the next meeting would be scheduled for February 7th.

34 <u>Citizen Comment</u>

35 Leanne Nelson said that she has worked for local government and would like to be a planning commissioner.

37 <u>Report from City Council Liaison</u>

Councilmember Bodi said the Council will consider the sign code and reasonable use exception code change
 recommendations during February. She said that she plans on continuing to function as Council liaison.

40 Chair Larson asked if a member of the Planning Commission should be at the meeting for the code

41 recommendations and Councilmember Bodi responded and said that she would expect Planning Commission

42 representation at the meeting. Chair Larson asked the other commissioners to consider attending that

- 43 meeting.
- 44

45 Vice Chair Lee thanked Councilmember Bodi for her time.

46

49

47 <u>Old Business</u>

48 • Comprehensive Plan Update-

- 50 Chair Larson introduced the topics. Director Bennett provided some background information on the
- 51 elements up for discussion.
- 52

• Review of 2015 Comprehensive Plan Transportation Element

2 3 Cmr. Cranmer asked about the transportation benefit district funds mentioned on page 86 in volume one of 4 the comprehensive plan. Director Bennett provided his understanding of how the Council serves and the 5 district board. . Cmr. Hicks provided some input on the topic as well. Director Bennett proceeded to explain 6 the purpose of the transportation element of the comprehensive plan. He said that there had been a lot of 7 planning initiatives since 2015 on the topic of transportation. He said that the plan serves as a source for city 8 policy and describes how the City Council prioritizes certain transportation projects so they can eventually 9 qualify for funding by way of grants. Chair Larson provided her perspective on the purpose of the 10 transportation element of the comprehensive plan. She said that some funds have been difficult to obtain 11 because of the lack of formal policies surrounding certain transportation projects. Director Bennett said that 12 public works staff is valuable in identifying the areas where transportation projects should be prioritized. He 13 talked about how the comprehensive plan serves as the overarching policy document to support regulations 14 and code changes.

15 16 Director Bennett presented the multi-modal transportation portion of the transportation element and said 17 that there haven't been any regulations adopted to implement the multi-modal policy and suggested that the 18 policy could be removed from the plan if it no longer is of priority to the city. Cmr. Kleweno asked for clarity 19 on the consultant's role for the comprehensive plan update. Director Bennett indicated that the consultant 20 would perform a gap analysis to determine what needs to be amended on the comprehensive plan. Cmr. 21 Kleweno asked about the purpose of the comprehensive plan. Director Bennett responded and provided an 22 explanation of how the comprehensive plan is used to establish policies which in turn can lead to the 23 adoption of new regulations. Chair Larson thanked Cmr. Kleweno for his questions and said that the 24 community may have similar questions about the purpose of the comprehensive plan. Cmr. Cranmer said 25 that she would like to see more state funding for improvements to state highways. Director Bennett 26 explained how elements and plans such as the city's safe streets program help to get infrastructure installed, 27 because their priority is listed within the plan. A discussion ensued regarding how the consultant for the 28 comprehensive plan can assist in identifying priority updates to the plan. Chair Larson suggested highlighting 29 the elements and policies that might bring questions for the consultant. Cmr. Gross asked if the consultant 30 would attend planning commission meetings and Director Bennett responded and said that they would be 31 attending.

32 33

1

• Review of 2015 Comprehensive Plan Economic Development Element

34 Director Bennett provided some background on the Economic Development element and said that Town 35 Center has been the focus of economic development efforts since the last comprehensive plan update. He 36 said that the Town Center plan and code updates were done in part to promote economic development. He 37 said that for there to be more of revenue generated in town center, more people need to live in the town 38 center area. Director Bennett asked Cmr. Hicks if he has input on this element since he suggested the 39 Commission discuss it at this meeting. Cmr. Hicks responded that there may be other areas where economic 40 development could occur other than town center and suggested that amendments to the goals and policies 41 could enhance economic development in other parts of the city. Chair Larson provided her perspective on 42 this plan element. Cmr. Gross suggested talking to business owners about how economic development might 43 evolve in the city. Cmr. Kleweno asked what the Commission's questions might be for the consultant, so 44 that a thoughtful and productive discussion can occur. Director Bennett responded that the next item on the 45 agenda, consultant scope discussion, could provide a good opportunity for input on that issue. He added that 46 the public engagement process will also generate questions for the consultant to address.

47 48

• Review of draft consultant scope of work for Comprehensive Plan Update

Chair Larson asked Director Bennett what the objective was of the consultant scope discussion. Director
 Bennett responded and said that he wants to see if there is a consensus on what the consultant should be

51 working on. Cmr. McCartney asked how the consultant would work with the Commission. Director Bennett

responded that they will prepare a strategy for public engagement, report on the outcomes of the public engagement, do a gap analysis on the current Comp Plan and then they will draft updates for each element which the Commission will review. Ultimately, the Commission will be able to review the final document as whole. Director Bennett also said that the format of the plan would also be discussed and could change if needed.

6

Cmr. Gross asked how the progress of the consultant's work is tracked. Director Bennett responded that it would differ from code updates other projects that have percentage-based milestones. He suggested that the review would start with the land use element since it drives everything else. He suggested that each element's revisions would be touched on twice prior to compiling the new draft plan into one document. Chair Larson provided her perspective on how the consultant should provide updates on the progress. Director Bennett said that this process should be more predictable than some of the previous code updates the Commission has undertaken.

14

15 Director Bennett talked about the other components that would make up the Request For Proposals (RFP) 16 that would be published on order to hire a consultant. He said a selection committee would be appointed 17 that would make a recommendation to the Mayor and Council regarding their top choice for the consultant

18 to be hired. He said that we may benefit from some of the other cities updating their plans, because

19 consultants can provide input based upon their experiences with other cities. He suggested that this comp

20 plan update process could be fairly selective in what gets updated. There shouldn't be a need to do a

21 wholesale update to the plan like the last one. Director Bennett said that the last RFP for the previous

22 comprehensive plan update largely focused on economic development.

23 24 Chair Larson walked through each bullet point on the draft consultant scope for discussion. Cmr. Cranmer 25 suggested that a report card should be part of the scope. The purpose would be to track progress and an 26 assessment of whether adopted vision and polices are being realized in in actual improvements to the city. 27 She said that it could help create a sense of transparency for the public. Vice Chair Lee said that the 28 commission should define a community identity and vision for the community. Director Bennett agreed that 29 the report card concept is one that could be incorporated into a consultant scope of work and RFP. Cmr. 30 McCartney suggested conducting surveys to connect with the public. Cmr. Hicks said that the consultant's 31 scope should include meetings with the public and Council and asked if there should be specific language to 32 speak to the frequency of meetings like those mentioned. Director Bennett indicated he thought that the 33 consultant should have the opportunity to create a strategy on how they would engage with the public. That 34 engagement strategy would be subject to approval by the Commission and Council. Vice Chair Lee asked 35 how budgeted funds would be spent. Director Bennett responded that a more robust timeline will be 36 developed once a consultant is hired and explained how funds will be allocated for the purpose of paying the 37 consultant. Chair Larson suggested language for the scope to reflect what the commission desires for 38 meeting frequency with key stakeholders. Cmr. Cranmer pointed out that the commission met 29 times 39 during the last update and she asked for clarity on that frequency. Director Bennett responded and said that 40 this update shouldn't be as lengthy and intense as the last one. Vice Chair Lee suggested that the consultant

41 be somewhat responsible for keeping the commission on schedule for the update.

42

43 Cmr. Kleweno asked what the overall objective is for the process. He suggested including an objective 44 statement to define the purpose of the effort. Chair Larson suggested adding a gap analysis for each topic 45 that the consultant might work on. Director Bennett summarized what he understood the suggested changes 46 and additions to be. Chair Larson asked if there would be an opportunity for input on the other portions of 47 the RFP and talked about each bullet point within the draft scope. She suggested some differing language for 48 the fourth bullet point in the scope. Director Bennett indicated he appreciated the suggested changes and 49 thought they would improve the RFP. Cmr. Kleweno asked if the commission should have some perimeters 50 surrounding the vision statement. Director Bennett responded and provided a strategy on how the

51 commission may establish a background for a vision.

52

1 Chair Larson asked about the housing needs assessment and how it is defined in the context of the update. 2 Director Bennett said that and the focus had evolved since the original concept was discussed. He provided 3 an update on the scope of the housing needs assessment, which will be part of the housing element update. 4 Discussion continued related to the consultant scope of work. Director Bennett provided an explanation of 5 those items mandated by the growth management act, like the update to the critical areas code. He spoke 6 about the state requirement that codes reflect the adopted comprehensive plan. Director Bennett explained 7 to Chair Larson that the comprehensive plan drives the content of regulations and codes, and that regulations 8 and codes must be consistent with the policies, statements, and goals of adopted comprehensive plans and 9 sub area plans. Discussion ensued about how to develop a public outreach plan and how other boards and 10 committees can become involved in the comprehensive plan update. Cmr. McCartney asked if the 11 commission should review the entire RFP. Director Bennett responded that the commission will get a chance 12 to see the complete RFP but it is a product of the City Administration and he reiterated his appreciation of 13 the Commission's suggestions on the scope.

15 <u>New Business</u>

16 17

25 26

14

• 2022 Planning Commission Annual Report

18 Chair Larson opened the floor for discussion of the 2022 annual report. Chair Larson suggested adding to 19 the October meeting section to reference the recommendation of the reasonable use exception code 20 amendments to Council. She asked how to account for items that didn't get accomplished which were 21 scheduled to be done in the year's work plan. Director Bennett and Cmr. Gross suggested adding a sentence 22 at the end of the report to reflect how the commission didn't get to work plan items. Chair Larson suggested 23 adding, "work on the SMP wasn't prioritized because Council was to finish the updates directly"; She also 24 suggested adding, "work on the comp plan update was pushed to 2023".

• 2023 Planning Commission Work Plan

Chair Larson opened the floor for discussion of this topic. She suggested using the term "housing needs assessment" instead of the language in the draft. Vice Chair Lee suggested spending 100% of Commission time working on the comprehensive plan update. All agreed. Chair Larson asked how the Commission is involved in public outreach and workshops. Director Bennett provided an explanation and Councilmember Bodi said that the Council would prefer that the Commission be a primary point of contact for the community.

Cmr. Alvarez McCartney noted that her last name is McCartney. Cmr. McCartney moved to approve the
 amended 2023 Planning Commission work plan and 2022 Planning Commission annual report; Vice Chair
 Lee seconded; and the motion passed unanimously.

38 **Reports and Announcements**

39 Director Bennett noted that a new city arborist had started work in December and her name is Hannah
40 Swanson.
41

42 Additional Citizen Comments:

43 Leanne Nelson said that her questions were answered during the meeting.44

45 Cherie Finazzo said that she is pleased to be here. She asked how the materials get published and Director46 Bennett responded that the meeting packet is available on the website.

47

37

- 48 Don Fiene said that he may have comments later.
- 49

50 Agenda for Next Meeting:

- Comprehensive Plan updates. Director Bennett suggested reviewing the land use element for the next
- meeting. Chair Larson mentioned that she is interested in learning more about housing needs assessments.
- There was discussion about the content of the planning short course videos.

Adjournment:

 $\begin{array}{c}
1 \\
2 \\
3 \\
4 \\
5 \\
6 \\
7 \\
8 \\
9 \\
10
\end{array}$ Cmr. Hicks made a motion to adjourn the meeting, Cmr. Gross seconded, and the motion carried unanimously. The meeting was adjourned at 8:59 pm.

APPROVED:

- 11 12
- 13

Maddy Larson, Planning Commission Chair