Title 17 - Subdivisions; Title 18 - Planning & Land Use Regulation

	ns; Title 18 - Planning & Land Use Regulation				
Code Section	Title	Changes needed?	Recommendations	Notes	Status Review, discuss, and decide of
TLE 17 hapter 17.04	SUBDIVISIONS General Provisions	Yes	Consider including zero lot line provision		edits.
7.040.050	General Provisions Definitions	Yes	here under Scope (B). Add definitions for "Parent lot", "Unit Lot", "Lot Split". "unit lot subdivision", and "zero	17.04.050 definitions were added as recommendations to consider in addressing definition gaps the middle housing legislation as well as future work that will be needed to address the unit lot	Recommended definitions
:hapter 17.08	Subdivisions and Dedications	No	lot line subdivision". Amend defnitions for short subdivision and subdivision. Consider unit-lot subdivision standards - described well in Commerce middle housing	as ruture work under meter und aduress size unit out subdivisions legislation (Engrossed Second Substitute Senate Bill (ESSSB) 5258)	added. Review warranted.
hapter 17.12	Short Subdivisions and Dedications	Yes	user guide in 17.12 Add unit-lot subdivision standards - described well in Commerce middle housing	This is likely the best spot for the eventual unit lot subdivision language given the state legislation. Placeholder language from the	Placeholder recommended language from the state has
			user guide	state unit lot subdivision guidance has been added for review and consideration.	been added and needs review and discussion.
ITLE 18	PLANNING AND LAND USE REGULATION	Yes			Review, discuss, and decide of edits.
			Add the required definitions and		
hapter 18.08	Definitions	Yes	Add the required definitions and recommended definitions of "unit density" and "Tier 3 city".	Removed the suggestion of a condominium definition. Needs renumbering once list of definitions is finalized.	Needs final confirmation of defintions.
hapter 18.12	Zoning Map	Yes	Remove S in RS zones to remove the connection to it just being single family zones.	Changes were made for residential single family (RS) zone acronyms to now be residential (R) zone. This is removes the connection to it being a single family only zone. Not necessarily a "required" change but it cleans the code up.	Confirm changing RS zones to just R. See RS-20 for example of how additional changes wi be needed.
Chapter 18.14	Rezoning RS-20 Single Family Residential, Low	No Yes	Change title and acronym of zone.		Review for formatting of changes. All other RS zones
			Add required middle housing types in the	Single family residences can still be allowed in this zone but the	will be formatted based on the decisions here. Changes made. Check for any
18.16.010 18.16.020	Permitted uses Conditional uses	Yes	previously agreed upon formatting. Change RS to R.	requirement is to add middle housing to the permitted uses.	typos. Changed acronym to R.
					Changed acronym to R. Changed acronym to R.
18.16.050 18.16.060	Lot coverage Yards	No Yes	Change RS to R. Reword for clarity.	Edited language for clarity. The original text started by saying 5ft set	Changed acronym to R. Review and discuss if changes are preferred.
				backs when that wasn't the ultimate desired outcome.	are preferred. Changed acronym to R. Changed acronym to R.
18.16.080		Yes	Change RS to R. *NEW SECTION* Add language for tree	Per February meeting with planning commission, the following new section was added to ensure tree canopy coverage were accounted	Inserted language suggested
hapter 18.18	RS-15 Single-Family Residential. Moderate	Yes	canopy language	for with the addition of middle housing.	To edit for use of RS after PC
18.18.010		Yes	Needs to remove single family from title Change for middle housing uses.		discussion on specifics. Section changed per PC
18.18.020	Conditional uses	No	Ensure no standards are required that would		feedback in February.
18.18.030	Lot area	No	be more restrictive for middle housing than for single-family units		
18.18.040	Street frontage	No	Ensure no standards are required that would be more restrictive for middle housing than		
		No	for single-family units Ensure no standards are required that would		
	Lot coverage	No	be more restrictive for middle housing than for single-family units Ensure no standards are required that would		
18.18.060	Yards	No	be more restrictive for middle housing than for single-family units		
18.18.070	Building height limit	No	Height limit does not need to change under legislation.		
18.18.090	Impervious surface Tree canopy coverage	Yes Yes	*NEW SECTION* Add language for tree canopy language	Per February meeting with planning commission, the following new section was added to ensure tree canopy coverage were accounted for with the addition of middle housing.	
Chapter 18.20	RS-10 Single-Family Residential, Moderate/High	Yes	Needs to remove single family from title	•	review of 18.16.090 To edit for use of RS and the additional tree canopy section after PC discussion on
18.20.010	Permitted uses	Yes	Change for middle housing uses.		specifics. Section changed per PC feedback in February.
			Ensure no standards are required that would		recuback in recitally.
18.20.030	Lot area	No	be more restrictive for middle housing than for single-family units		
18.20.040	Street frontage	No	Ensure no standards are required that would be more restrictive for middle housing than		
18.20.050		No	for single-family units Ensure no standards are required that would be more restrictive for middle housing than		
			for single-family units Ensure no standards are required that would		
18.20.060	Yards	No	be more restrictive for middle housing than for single-family units		
18.20.070 18.20.080	Building height limit Impervious surface	No No	Height limit does not need to change under legislation. See Section 18.16.080 comments		
18.20.090	Tree canopy coverage	Yes	*NEW SECTION* Add language for tree canopy language	Per February meeting with planning commission, the following new section was added to ensure tree canopy coverage were accounted for with the addition of middle housing.	Inserted language suggested Commissioner Sam Castic. Will edit however decided in review of 18.16.090
Chapter 18.21	RS-9.6 Single-Family Residential, Moderate/High	Yes	Needs to remove single family from title		To edit for use of RS and the additional tree canopy section after PC discussion on
18.21.010		Yes	Change for middle housing uses.		specifics. Section changed per PC feedback in February.
18.21.020 18.21.030	Conditional uses Lot area	No No	Ensure no standards are required that would be more restrictive for middle housing than		
18.21.040	Lot width	No	for single-family units Ensure no standards are required that would be more restrictive for middle housing than		
18.21.050	Lot coverage	No	for single-family units Ensure no standards are required that would be more restrictive for middle housing than		
		No	for single-family units Ensure no standards are required that would be more restrictive for middle housing than		
18.21.070	Building height limit	No	for single-family units Height limit does not need to change under legislation.		
	Impervious surfaces		*NEW SECTION* Add language for tree	Per February meeting with planning commission, the following new	Inserted language suggested I
18.21.090	Tree canopy coverage	Yes	canopy language	section was added to ensure tree canopy coverage were accounted for with the addition of middle housing.	Will edit however decided in review of 18.16.090 To edit for use of RS and the
hapter 18.22	RS-7.2 Single-Family Residential, High	Yes	Remove single family from title		additional tree canopy section after PC discussion on specifics.
18.22.010		Yes	Change for middle housing uses.		Section changed per PC feedback in February.
			Ensure no standards are required that would		
18.22.030	Lot area	No	be more restrictive for middle housing than for single-family units Ensure no standards are required that would		
18.22.040	Let width	No	Ensure no standards are required that would be more restrictive for middle housing than for single-family units		
18.22.050	Lot coverage	No	Ensure no standards are required that would be more restrictive for middle housing than		
18.22.060		No	for single-family units Ensure no standards are required that would be many contribution for middle housing these		
			be more restrictive for middle housing than for single-family units Height limit does not need to change under		
18.22.070 18.22.080	Building height limit Impervious surfaces	No No			-

18.22.090	Tree canopy coverage	Yes	*NEW SECTION* Add language for tree canopy language	Per February meeting with planning commission, the following new section was added to ensure tree canopy coverage were accounted for with the addition of middle housing.	Inserted language suggested by Commissioner Sam Castic. Will edit however decided in review of 18.16.090
Chapter 18.24	RM-3600 Residential Multifamily	Yes			Review permitted uses change and discuss RM zones overall for final edits.
18.24.010 18.24.020	Purpose Permitted uses	No Yes		(B) mentioned duplex previously but now that the RS zones include	Review and reach final
18.24.020	Conditional uses	No No	Remove redundancy after RS zone edits	duplexes, (B) is redundant.	consensus on edits.
18.24.040	Lot area	No	Ensure no standards are required that would be more restrictive for middle housing than for single-family units		
18.24.050	Lot area per divelling unit	No	Ensure no standards are required that would be more restrictive for middle housing than for single-family units		
18.24.060	Lot width	No	Ensure no standards are required that would be more restrictive for middle housing than for single-family units		
18.24.070	Land coverage	No	Ensure no standards are required that would be more restrictive for middle housing than for single-family units Ensure no standards are required that would		
18.24.080 18.24.090	Yards Building height	No No	be more restrictive for middle housing than for single-family units		
Chapter 18.26 Chapter 18.28					
					Designation in
Chapter 18.45	SG-SFR Southern Gateway - Single-Family Residential	Yes	Add middle housing types (at a minimum,	Title and acronym changed to remove single family	Review section, discsuss, and agree on final decisions/edits. Added required middle housing
18.45.010 18.45.020	Permitted uses Conditional uses	Yes	duplexes, stacked flats, cottage housing, and courtyard apartments) as permitted uses in this zone.	Added middle housing types and made Prohibited Uses a separate number.	language in the agreed upon format from February PC Meeting.
18.45.030	Lot area and maximum density	Yes	Add language to clarify that up to two dwellings per lot must be allowed in this zone.	Required changes made. Review wording.	Review the wording of the changes made. The intent is required, but the wording can change.
	Lot width Lot coverage	No No			
		No No			
			Ensure this doesn't create a standard that is		
18.45.090 18.45.100	Screening, landscaping and tree canopy goal Signs	No No	different for middle housing types than for single-family units		As is, no changes are needed.
18.45.110	Parking requirements and traffic impact mitigation	Yes	Updated section to comply with middle housing parking standard requirements		Review changes and formatting of required changes.
18.45.120 18.45.130	Southern gateway - single-family residential zone design guidelines - Adopted - Rules of interpretation Southern gateway - single-family residential zone design guidelines - Application - Effect	Yes	Remove use of single family in this section as well as amend design guidelines to remove the use as well.		Removed changes from code. Will need to amend design guidelines.
	Administration Bonds or other financial security				
18.45.150 Chapter 18.46	ponos or other mancial security SG-C Southern Gateway - Corridor				Future work could lead to changes in these zones but for the middle housing ordinance,
	SG-T Southern Gateway - Transition	Yes	Remove reference to SG-SFR zone to SG- LDR zone	Need to remove term single family from titles	it is not required. Changed acronym of SG-SFR in this section.
Chapter 18.48 Chapter 18.50	Commercial Site Development Permits Development Standards	No Yes			Review, discuss, and decide on
Chapter 16.50	Development standards	165	Ensure standards for walls and fences are		final edits.
18.50.010 18.50.020	Walls and fences Yards	No No	no different for middle housing types than they are for single-family units		As written, no changes are need
18.50.050	Accessory dwelling units	Yes	Updated for compliance with ADU legislation (HB 1337) and unit lot subdivisions.	Removed previous edit about short term rentals. Needs discussion regarding the removal of item (I) on total occupancy being the same as single family. Wordsmithed garage item (F)	Review, discuss, and decide on final edits.
18.50.060	Accessory structures and buildings	Yes	Remove use of "single family dwelling" zones.		Required change made. Review sizing under (A) during ADU sizing discussions.
	Lighting	No No			
	Recressional marijuana retailers Signage Conditional Uses				
Chapter 18.58	Off-Street Parking	Yes			Reviewed language at February PC Meeting.
	Required off-street parking Parking plan				. C. recong.
18.58.020		Yes	Add parking requirements for middle housing as required per RCW 36.70A.635(6)(d)	Language is closely tied to legislative required wording. Planning Commission "okayed" the required changes.	Reviewed language at February PC Meeting.
			o on.oso(o)(u)		
	General requirements on size of parking spaces Surfacing				
18.58.070 18.58.080	Access Landscaping, pathways and amenifies	No	Ensure these standards are no different for middle housing types than they are for single family units are thousand specific.		No changes needed as is.
			family units - no changes needed.		
Chapter 18.62	Screening and Landscaping	Somewhat.	No changes as it relates to middle housing requirements. Found small typo.		Only small typo changed. No discussion needed.