RFQ_2023-002

CONTINUING PROFESSIONAL SERVICES

EVALUATION SCORES

TOTAL SCORE	COMPANY NAME
87	Tocoi Engineering
86	Locklear & Associates
85	Michael G. Czerwinski PA Environmental Consul
83	Kisinger Campo & Associates Corp.
81	Menadier Engineering
79	Johnson Mirmiran & Thompson
77	ECS Florida LLC
72	Monarch Design Group
7a	Infrastructure Consulting & Engineering PLLC
دمام	Balmoral Group
65	Campbell Spellicy Engineering
43	Walker Architects
59	Kam Design Inc.
1	

CONTINUING PROFESSIONAL SERVICES

EVALUATION TOTAL SHEET

COMPANY NAME: Tocoi Engineering

CRITERIA	MAXIMUM POINTS	EVALUATOR 1	EVALUATOR 2	EVALUATOR 3
1.	35	35	30	30
2.	35	35	33	30
3.	5	2.5	2.5	2.5
4.	25	10	23	90
EVALUATOR	TOTALS:	88.5	6,88	82.5
EVALUATION SCORE *(TOTAL POINTS DIVIDED BY NUMBER OF EVALUATORS 3)*				83

CONTINUING PROFESSIONAL SERVICES

EVALUATION TOTAL SHEET

COMPANY NAME: Locklear & Associates

CRITERIA	MAXIMUM POINTS	EVALUATOR 1	EVALUATOR 2	EVALUATOR 3
1.	35	35	30	33
2.	35	35	34	33
3.	5	O	0	0
4.	25	160	29	99
EVALUATOR	TOTALS:	86	85	88
EVALUATION SCORE *(TOTAL POINTS DIVIDED BY NUMBER OF EVALUATORS 3)*				86

CONTINUING PROFESSIONAL SERVICES

EVALUATION TOTAL SHEET

COMPANY NAME: Michael G. Czerwinski PA Environmental Consul.

CRITERIA	MAXIMUM POINTS	EVALUATOR 1	EVALUATOR 2	EVALUATOR 3
1.	35	35	35	30
2.	35	30	35	30
3.	5	D	0	0
4.	25	25	10	90
EVALUATOR	TOTALS:	90	86	80
EVALUATION SCORE *(TOTAL POINTS DIVIDED BY NUMBER OF EVALUATORS 3)*				85

CONTINUING PROFESSIONAL SERVICES

EVALUATION TOTAL SHEET

COMPANY NAME: Kisinger Campo & Associates Corp

CRITERIA	MAXIMUM POINTS	EVALUATOR 1	EVALUATOR 2	EVALUATOR 3
1.	35	33	16	30
2.	35	35	35	30
3.	5	2.5	2.5	2.5
4.	25	23	16	90
EVALUATOR	TOTALS:	95.5	69.5	82.5
EVALUATION SCORE *(TOTAL POINTS DIVIDED BY NUMBER OF EVALUATORS 3)*				83

CONTINUING PROFESSIONAL SERVICES

EVALUATION TOTAL SHEET

COMPANY NAME: Menadier Engineering

CRITERIA	MAXIMUM POINTS	EVALUATOR 1	EVALUATOR 2	EVALUATOR 3
1.	35	35	99	30
2.	35	16	35	90
3.	5	5	5	5
4.	25	16	25	90
EVALUATOR	R TOTALS:	72	97	75
EVALUATIO	01			
3)*				81

CONTINUING PROFESSIONAL SERVICES

EVALUATION TOTAL SHEET

COMPANY NAME: Johnson Mirmiran & Thompson

CRITERIA	MAXIMUM POINTS	EVALUATOR 1	EVALUATOR 2	EVALUATOR 3
1.	35	32	16	30
2.	35	30	35	90
3.	5	2.5	2.5	2.5
4.	25	25	12	20
EVALUATOR	R TOTALS:	89.5	65.5	82.5
EVALUATION 3)*	N SCORE *(TOTAL POINTS D	DIVIDED BY NUMBER	OF EVALUATORS	79

CONTINUING PROFESSIONAL SERVICES

EVALUATION TOTAL SHEET

COMPANY NAME: ECS Florida LLC

CRITERIA	MAXIMUM POINTS	EVALUATOR 1	EVALUATOR 2	EVALUATOR 3
1.	35	10	10	30
2.	35	30	35	30
3.	5	0	0	0
4.	25	25	25	25
EVALUATOR	TOTALS:	71	70	85
EVALUATION SCORE *(TOTAL POINTS DIVIDED BY NUMBER OF EVALUATORS 3)*				77

CONTINUING PROFESSIONAL SERVICES

EVALUATION TOTAL SHEET

COMPANY NAME: Monarch Design Group

CRITERIA	MAXIMUM POINTS	EVALUATOR 1	EVALUATOR 2	EVALUATOR 3
1.	35	35	25	25
2.	35	35	25	90
3.	5	0	0	0
4.	25	16	ao	15
EVALUATO	R TOTALS:	86	70	40
EVALUATION 3)*	72			

CONTINUING PROFESSIONAL SERVICES

EVALUATION TOTAL SHEET

COMPANY NAME: Infrastructure Consulting & Engineering PLLC

CRITERIA	MAXIMUM POINTS	EVALUATOR 1	EVALUATOR 2	EVALUATOR 3
1.	35	25	10	30
2.	35	30	10	30
3.	5	2.5	2.5	2.5
4.	25	25	16	20
EVALUATOR	TOTALS:	82.5	50.5	82.5
EVALUATION SCORE *(TOTAL POINTS DIVIDED BY NUMBER OF EVALUATORS 3)*				72

CONTINUING PROFESSIONAL SERVICES

EVALUATION TOTAL SHEET

COMPANY NAME: Balmoral Group

CRITERIA	MAXIMUM POINTS	EVALUATOR 1	EVALUATOR 2	EVALUATOR 3
1.	35	25	10	90
2.	35	25	10	25
3.	5	5	5	5
4.	25	15	15	25
EVALUATOR	TOTALS:	70	54	75
EVALUATION SCORE *(TOTAL POINTS DIVIDED BY NUMBER OF EVALUATORS 3)*				ldo

CONTINUING PROFESSIONAL SERVICES

EVALUATION TOTAL SHEET

COMPANY NAME: Campbell Spellicy Engineering

CRITERIA	MAXIMUM POINTS	EVALUATOR 1	EVALUATOR 2	EVALUATOR 3
1.	35	19	32	12
2.	35	35	30	10
3.	5	0	0	0
4.	25	25	ao	13
EVALUATOR	TOTALS:	72	8a	40
EVALUATIOI 3)*	65			

CONTINUING PROFESSIONAL SERVICES

EVALUATION TOTAL SHEET

COMPANY NAME: Walker Architects

CRITERIA	MAXIMUM POINTS	EVALUATOR 1	EVALUATOR 2	EVALUATOR 3
1.	35	32	16	25
2.	35	30	16	20
3.	5	0	0	0
4.	25	30	100	15
EVALUATOR	TOTALS:	83	48	60
EVALUATION 3)*	N SCORE *(TOTAL POINTS E	DIVIDED BY NUMBER	OF EVALUATORS	63

CONTINUING PROFESSIONAL SERVICES

EVALUATION TOTAL SHEET

COMPANY NAME: KAM Design Inc.

CRITERIA	MAXIMUM POINTS	EVALUATOR 1	EVALUATOR 2	EVALUATOR 3
1.	35	12	25	10
2.	35	16	25	30
3.	5	0	0	O
4.	25	19	25	15
EVALUATOR	TOTALS:	40	75	61
EVALUATIOI 3)*	N SCORE *(TOTAL POINTS [DIVIDED BY NUMBER	OF EVALUATORS	59

The proposals shall be evaluated by the County and will be ranked on the basis of the following point scoring system:

CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION	POOR	FAIR	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING	SUB-TOTAL
	4	8	12	16	35	
Company, Staff & Team Qualifications				16		25 /35
Related Experience				Ho		25
Nelated Experience						/35
DBE/SBE/MBE/WBE Certification						5 /5
Approach to Services				to		15
Total Points:						70/100
Proposal Scorer (Printed): Andrew Carswe	U			Total Sco	ore:	
Signature of Scorer: Andw Cursuly				Date:	10/23	5502/8
Proposer Name: Balmoral Group						
Notes:						

The proposals shall be evaluated by the County and will be ranked on the basis of the following point scoring system:

CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION	POOR	FAIR	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING	SUB-TOTAL
	4	8	12	16	35	
Company, Staff & Team Qualifications			ř	V		<i>/\e</i> /35
Related Experience						<i>16</i> /35
DBE/SBE/MBE/WBE Certification						5 /5
Approach to Services				15		/25
Total Points:						/100
Proposal Scorer (Printed):				Total Sco	ore:	52
Signature of Scorer:				/6 - Date:	23-23	
Proposer Name: Banoral Group Notes:				Roo	id	

The proposals shall be evaluated by the County and will be ranked on the basis of the following point scoring

system: Balmoral Glap

13 talloca glap						
CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION	POOR	FAIR	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING	SUB-TOTAL
	4	8	12	16	35	
Company, Staff & Team Qualifications				30		/35
Related Experience				25		/35
						~
DBE/SBE/MBE/WBE Certification						5 /5
Approach to Services Total Points:				25		/25
Total Follits.						/100
Proposal Scorer (Printed):					ore:	5
Signature of Scorer: Que Haho Proposer Name:	ndi			Date:	10/23/2	2.3
Notes:						

The proposals shall be evaluated by the County and will be ranked on the basis of the following point scoring system:

			- п	92	10
POOR	FAIR	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING	SUB-TOTAL
4	8	12	16	35	
					35/35
					35/35
					<i>y</i> 35
					205/5
					16 /25
					87/100
Proposal Scorer (Printed):					× 88.
<u> </u>			Date:	10-2	3-23
	4	4 8	4 8 12	4 8 12 16 Total Sco	4 8 12 16 35 Total Score:

The proposals shall be evaluated by the County and will be ranked on the basis of the following point scoring system:

10001						
CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION	POOR	FAIR	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING	SUB-TOTAL
	4	8	12	16	35	
Company, Staff & Team Qualifications					30	/35
Related Evneriouse					33	(25
Related Experience						/35
DBE/SBE/MBE/WBE Certification						2.5 /5
Approach to Services					23	/25
Total Points:						/100
Proposal Scorer (Printed):					ore: 88	,5
Signature of Scorer: Olive Harlande Proposer Name:				Date:	10 23 2	1.3

The proposals shall be evaluated by the County and will be ranked on the basis of the following point scoring system:

CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION	POOR	FAIR	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING	SUB-TOTAL
	4	8	12	16	35	
Company, Staff & Team Qualifications						30 /35
						20
Related Experience						/35
DBE/SBE/MBE/WBE Certification						Z.5 /5
Approach to Services						20 _{/25}
Total Points:						72.5/100
Proposal Scorer (Printed): Andrew Cas well					ore:	
Proposal Scorer (Printed): Andrew Cas w Signature of Scorer: Proposer Name: Tocoi Engineering Notes:				Date:	16/2	3/2023
Proposer Name: Tocoi Engineering	<u> </u>					
Notes:	0					

The proposals shall be evaluated by the County and will be ranked on the basis of the following point scoring system:

CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION	POOR	FAIR	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING	SUB-TOTAL
	4	8	12	16	35	
Company, Staff & Team Qualifications						3 <i>O</i> /35
Related Experience						30
DBE/SBE/MBE/WBE Certification						/5
Approach to Services						Z O /25
Total Points:						80/100
Proposal Scorer (Printed): Andrew Carsuell				Total Sco	ore:	
Proposal Scorer (Printed): Andrew Carsuell Signature of Scorer: Proposer Name: Michael G. Geron				Date:	10/23	12023
Proposer Name: Michael G Gzeron	ski					

The proposals shall be evaluated by the County and will be ranked on the basis of the following point scoring system:

Michael Czerwinski,	PA					
CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION	POOR	FAIR	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING	SUB-TOTAL
	4	8	12	16	35	
Company, Staff & Team Qualifications					35	/35
Related Experience					30	/35
DBE/SBE/MBE/WBE Certification						() /5
Approach to Services Total Points:					25	/25
Proposal Scorer (Printed):					ore: 90	/100
Signature of Scorer: Que Ya kode Proposer Name:				Date:	10/23/2	3

The proposals shall be evaluated by the County and will be ranked on the basis of the following point scoring system:

CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION	POOR	FAIR	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING	SUB-TOTAL
	4	8	12	16	35	
Company, Staff & Team Qualifications						35 /35
						35
Related Experience						35/35
DBE/SBE/MBE/WBE Certification						<i>O</i> /5
Approach to Services						1 4 /25
Total Points:						1 6 /25 86 /100
Proposal Scorer (Printed):	Proposal Scorer (Printed):					84
Signature of Scorer:				Date:	10-23	.25
Proposer Name:	A					
Notes:						

The proposals shall be evaluated by the County and will be ranked on the basis of the following point scoring system:

CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION	POOR	FAIR	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING	SUB-TOTAL
	4	8	12	16	35	
Company, Staff & Team Qualifications						Z5 /35
						20
Related Experience						/35
DBE/SBE/MBE/WBE Certification						C) /5
Approach to Services						15
Total Points:						60/100
Proposal Scorer (Printed): Andrew Carsnell					ore:	
Proposal Scorer (Printed): Andrew Cars Signature of Scorer:				Date:		
Proposer Name: Monarch Design Notes:	Grong	0				
NOTES.	V					

The proposals shall be evaluated by the County and will be ranked on the basis of the following point scoring system:

CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION	POOR	FAIR	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING	SUB-TOTAL
	4	8	12	16	35	
Company, Staff & Team Qualifications						35 /35
						nc.
Related Experience						35 /35
DBE/SBE/MBE/WBE Certification						6 /5
Approach to Services						/6 /25
Total Points:						/100
Proposal Scorer (Printed):				Total Sco	ore:	
Signature of Scorer: Proposer Name: Monac				Date:	10-23	- 23
Notes:				17	VHC	

The proposals shall be evaluated by the County and will be ranked on the basis of the following point scoring system:

CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION	POOR	FAIR	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING	SUB-TOTAL
Monarch	4	8	12	16	35	
Company, Staff & Team Qualifications					25	/35
Related Experience					25	/35
DBE/SBE/MBE/WBE Certification						<i>O</i> /5
Approach to Services					20	/25
Total Points:						/100
Proposal Scorer (Printed):				Total Sco	ore: 7	0
Signature of Scorer: Olive Jaronde Proposer Name:				Date:	0 23 23	
Notoci						

The proposals shall be evaluated by the County and will be ranked on the basis of the following point scoring system:

CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION	POOR	FAIR	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING	SUB-TOTAL
	4	8	12	16	35	
Company, Staff & Team Qualifications				16		16 /35
Related Experience					30	30
neiateu Experience						/35
DBE/SBE/MBE/WBE Certification						/5
Approach to Services					25	25 /25
Total Points:						7/ /100
Proposal Scorer (Printed): Andrew Carsu	rell			Total Sco	ore:	
Signature of Scorer: And Curily Proposer Name: ECS Florida				Date:	10/23	12623
Proposer Name: ECS Florida						
Notes:						

The proposals shall be evaluated by the County and will be ranked on the basis of the following point scoring system:

CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION	POOR	FAIR	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING	SUB-TOTAL
	4	8	12	16	35	
Company, Staff & Team Qualifications						(6 /35
Related Experience						35/35
DBE/SBE/MBE/WBE Certification Approach to Services						35 /25
Total Points:						a/ /100
Proposal Scorer (Printed):		-		Total Sco	ore:	
Signature of Scorer: Proposer Name: FCS				Date:	10-23	'-23
Notes:						
INDIES.						

Notes:

Er.

The proposals shall be evaluated by the County and will be ranked on the basis of the following point scoring system: ECS

100						
CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION	POOR	FAIR	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING	SUB-TOTAL
	4	8	12	16	35	
Company, Staff & Team Qualifications					30	/35
Related Experience					30	/35
DBE/SBE/MBE/WBE Certification						
Approach to Services					25	/25
Total Points:						/100
Proposal Scorer (Printed):				Total Sco	ore: 8	5
Signature of Scorer: Olive Haharde Proposer Name:				Date:	10/23	(23
Notes:						

The proposals shall be evaluated by the County and will be ranked on the basis of the following point scoring system:

CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION	POOR	FAIR	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING	SUB-TOTAL
	4	8	12	16	35	
Company, Staff & Team Qualifications			12			17/35
Related Superiors				16		16
Related Experience						/35
DBE/SBE/MBE/WBE Certification						<i>O</i> /5
Approach to Services			12			12/25
Total Points:						40 /100
Proposal Scorer (Printed): Andrew Carswell				Total Sco	ore:	
Signature of Scorer: Proposer Name: Campbell Notes:				Date:	0/23	12123
Proposer Name: Campbell						
Notes:						

The proposals shall be evaluated by the County and will be ranked on the basis of the following point scoring system:

Campbell OUTSTANDING **EXCELLENT** SUB-TOTAL GOOD POOR CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION 35 4 8 12 16 32 Company, Staff & Team Qualifications /35 30 Related Experience /35 0 /5 DBE/SBE/MBE/WBE Certification 20 **Approach to Services** /25 **Total Points:** /100 82 Proposal Scorer (Printed): **Total Score:** ain la lode

Date:

Notes:

Signature of Scorer:

Proposer Name:

The proposals shall be evaluated by the County and will be ranked on the basis of the following point scoring system:

CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION	POOR	FAIR	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING	SUB-TOTAL
	4	8	12	16	35	
Company, Staff & Team Qualifications			12_			12/35
Related Experience					35	3 5 /35
DBE/SBE/MBE/WBE Certification						_
Approach to Services					15	/25
Total Points:						/100
Proposal Scorer (Printed):				Total Sco	ore:	82
Signature of Scorer: Cambell Proposer Name:				Date:	0-23	23
Proposer Name.						
Notes:				1111	00	

HVAC

The proposals shall be evaluated by the County and will be ranked on the basis of the following point scoring system:

Willer			,		,	
CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION	POOR	FAIR	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING	SUB-TOTAL
	4	8	12	16	35	
Company, Staff & Team Qualifications					32	/35
Related Experience					30	/35
DBE/SBE/MBE/WBE Certification					20	
Approach to Services					20	/25
Total Points:						/100
Proposal Scorer (Printed):				Total Sc	ore: 8	2
Signature of Scorer: Que Yakade Proposer Name:				Date:	10 23 2	3

The proposals shall be evaluated by the County and will be ranked on the basis of the following point scoring system:

CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION	POOR	FAIR	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING	SUB-TOTAL
	4	8	12	16	35	
Company, Staff & Team Qualifications						16 /35
Related Experience						/
The state of the s						. (/33
DBE/SBE/MBE/WBE Certification						D /5
Approach to Services						/6 /25
Total Points:						/ 100
Proposal Scorer (Printed):				Total Sco	ore:	48
Signature of Scorer:				Date:	10-23	1-23
Proposer Name:	D.A.					
Notes:						

The proposals shall be evaluated by the County and will be ranked on the basis of the following point scoring system:

CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION	POOR	FAIR	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING	SUB-TOTAL
	4	8	12	16	35	
Company, Staff & Team Qualifications						Z5 _{/35}
						20
Related Experience						/35
DBE/SBE/MBE/WBE Certification						<i>O</i> /5
Approach to Services						15 /25
Total Points:						<i>∞</i> _{/100}
Proposal Scorer (Printed): Andrew Casa	ell	96 4) Jagon Hill (176 5)		Total Sco	ore:	
Proposal Scorer (Printed): Andrew Casa Signature of Scorer: Dalker Architects				Date:	10/23	1/2023
Proposer Name: Walker Architects						
Notes:						

The proposals shall be evaluated by the County and will be ranked on the basis of the following point scoring system:

CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION	POOR	FAIR	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING	SUB-TOTAL
	4	8	12	16	35	
Company, Staff & Team Qualifications					30	36 /35
Related Symposium					30	30,25
Related Experience						/35
DBE/SBE/MBE/WBE Certification						Z.5 /5
Approach to Services				20		ZO /25
Total Points:						82.5/100
Proposal Scorer (Printed): Andrew Care	swell			Total Sc	ore:	
Signature of Scorer: Antico Cursus Proposer Name: Infrastructure Consu	W/	For	n <i>Rem</i>	Date:	10/23	12023
Notes:	Ting	Ugi	11cer	5		

The proposals shall be evaluated by the County and will be ranked on the basis of the following point scoring system:

	T			Т			
CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION	POOR	FAIR	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING	SUB-TOTAL	
	4	8	12	16	35		
Company, Staff & Team Qualifications				V		/35	
				V		,	
Related Experience						L6/35	
DBE/SBE/MBE/WBE Certification						2.5/5	
Approach to Services						l 4 /25	
Total Points:						50.5/100	
Proposal Scorer (Printed):				Total Sco	ore:		
Signature of Scorer:					Date: 10-23		
Proposer Name: Intrastore							
Notes:							



The proposals shall be evaluated by the County and will be ranked on the basis of the following point scoring

system: Infrastructure Consulting

myas tructure consuming		,	,	,		
CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION	POOR	FAIR	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING	SUB-TOTAL
	4	8	12	16	35	
Company, Staff & Team Qualifications					25	(25)/35
Related Experience					30	/35
DBE/SBE/MBE/WBE Certification						a.5 /5
Approach to Services					25	/25
Total Points:						/100
Proposal Scorer (Printed):					ore: 82	,5
Signature of Scorer: Oliv Jafonde Date: 10 23/23 Proposer Name:						

The proposals shall be evaluated by the County and will be ranked on the basis of the following point scoring system:

CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION	POOR	FAIR	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING	SUB-TOTAL	
	4	8	12	16	35		
Company, Staff & Team Qualifications					30	30/35	
Related Experience					30	30 /35	
						25	
DBE/SBE/MBE/WBE Certification						/5	
Approach to Services				20	_	2O _{/25}	
Total Points:						825/100	
Proposal Scorer (Printed): Andrew Carswell					ore:		
Proposal Scorer (Printed): Anchew Carswell Signature of Scorer: Proposer Name: Johson Microry + Thompson				Date:	10/23/01	12023	
Proposer Name: Johson Mirmon + Thompson							
Notes:							

The proposals shall be evaluated by the County and will be ranked on the basis of the following point scoring system:

CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION	POOR	FAIR	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING	SUB-TOTAL	
	4	8	12	16	35		
Company, Staff & Team Qualifications					32	/35	
Related Experience					30	/35	
DBE/SBE/MBE/WBE Certification					2.5	/5	
Approach to Services Total Points:					25	/25	
Total Follies.						/100	
Proposal Scorer (Printed):					Total Score: 89.5		
Signature of Scorer: Date: 10 23 23 Proposer Name:						23	

CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION	POOR	FAIR	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING	SUB-TOTAL
	4	8	12	16	35	
Company, Staff & Team Qualifications						/6 /35
Related Experience						35 /35
DBE/SBE/MBE/WBE Certification						2.5/5
Approach to Services						12 /25 65.7100
Total Points:						
						65.7100
Proposal Scorer (Printed):				Total Sco	ore:	
Signature of Scorer: ###################################				Date: 10 -23 - 23		
Notes:					0 /	
TOLCS.					Road	

The proposals shall be evaluated by the County and will be ranked on the basis of the following point scoring system:

CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION	POOR	FAIR	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING	SUB-TOTAL		
	4	8	12	16	35			
Company, Staff & Team Qualifications				16		16 /35		
Related Experience					30	36		
DBE/SBE/MBE/WBE Certification						O /5		
DBL/ SBL/ WBL Certification						/5		
Approach to Services				15		15/25		
Total Points:						6/ /100		
Proposal Scorer (Printed): Andrew Carsuell					ore:			
Signature of Scorer:				Date:				
Proposer Name: KZM Design ha								

The proposals shall be evaluated by the County and will be ranked on the basis of the following point scoring system:

Mail Design						
CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION	POOR	FAIR	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING	SUB-TOTAL
	4	8	12	16	35	
Company, Staff & Team Qualifications					25	/35
Related Experience			4		25	/35
Neidled Experience						733
DBE/SBE/MBE/WBE Certification						<u>()</u> /5
Approach to Services					25	/25
Total Points:						/100
Proposal Scorer (Printed):					ore: 76	Ō
Signature of Scorer: Date: 10 23 23 Proposer Name:						13

The proposals shall be evaluated by the County and will be ranked on the basis of the following point scoring system:

CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION	POOR	FAIR	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING	SUB-TOTAL	
	4	8	12	16	35		
Company, Staff & Team Qualifications						/ 2_/35	
Related Experience						16 /35	
DBE/SBE/MBE/WBE Certification						<i>O</i> /5	
Approach to Services						12/25	
Total Points:						/100	
Proposal Scorer (Printed):	Total Sco	ore:	40				
Signature of Scorer:					Date: 10-23-23		
Proposer Name: K2m							

Notes:

Permite g

The proposals shall be evaluated by the County and will be ranked on the basis of the following point scoring system:

CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION	POOR	FAIR	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING	SUB-TOTAL		
	4	8	12	16	35			
Company, Staff & Team Qualifications					30	30/35		
Related Experience				à	30	<i>30</i> /35		
Related Experience			,			/55		
DBE/SBE/MBE/WBE Certification						2,5		
Approach to Services					20	20/25		
Total Points:						82.S _{/100}		
Proposal Scorer (Printed): Andraw Carswell					Total Score:			
Proposal Scorer (Printed): Andrew Corsum Signature of Scorer: Proposer Name: KISINGER & Associ				Date:	10/23	17023		
Proposer Name: KISINGER & Associates								

CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION	POOR	FAIR	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING	SUB-TOTAL
	4	8	12	16	35	
Company, Staff & Team Qualifications				V		16/35
					_	20-
Related Experience						35 /35
DBE/SBE/MBE/WBE Certification						2.5/5
Approach to Services				~		16 /25
Total Points:						69/100
Proposal Scorer (Printed):				Total Sco	ore:	
Signature of Scorer: ### KCA	Date:	10-23	°-23			
Notes:				/	Road	

The proposals shall be evaluated by the County and will be ranked on the basis of the following point scoring

system: KCA - Kisinger Campo

KISHIGE CAM							
CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION	POOR	FAIR	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING	SUB-TOTAL	
	4	8	12	16	35		
Company, Staff & Team Qualifications					33	/35	
Related Experience					35	/35	
DBE/SBE/MBE/WBE Certification						25 /5	
Approach to Services Total Points:					25	/25	
Proposal Scorer (Printed):					Total Score: 95.5		
Signature of Scorer: Olive Karkorde Date: 100 Proposer Name:					10/23/	23	

The proposals shall be evaluated by the County and will be ranked on the basis of the following point scoring system:

CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION	POOR	FAIR	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING	SUB-TOTAL	
	4	8	12	16	35		
Company, Staff & Team Qualifications					33	33/35	
Related Experience					33	33	
Related Experience						/55	
DBE/SBE/MBE/WBE Certification						<i>O</i> /5	
Approach to Services					ZZ	22 /25	
Total Points:						88/100	
Proposal Scorer (Printed): Andrew Carsual					ore:		
				Date:			
Signature of Scorer: Auth Cumul Date: Proposer Name: Lock lear & Associates							
Notes:							

Approach to Services Total Points: Proposal Scorer (Printed): Signature of Scorer: Proposer Name: Notes:		Total Sco	10-23 Lanc	
Total Points: Proposal Scorer (Printed):				
Total Points:		Total Sco	ore:	86
		1		-/ 1
				16 /100
				/25
DBE/SBE/MBE/WBE Certification				⊘ /5
Related Experience				35/35
Company, Staff & Team Qualifications		10	33	35 /35
CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION POOR 4 8	G000D	EXCELLENT 16	OUTSTANDING 5	SUB-TOTAL

The proposals shall be evaluated by the County and will be ranked on the basis of the following point scoring system:

			-			
POOR	FAIR	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING	SUB-TOTAL	
4	8	12	16	35		
				30	/35	
				32	/25	
					/35	
				23	/25	
					/100	
Proposal Scorer (Printed):				Total Score: 85		
			Date:	10/23/2	3	
				4 8 12 16 Total Sco	4 8 12 16 35 30 30 32 32 Total Score: 85	

CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION	POOR	FAIR	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING	SUB-TOTAL
	4	8	12	16	35	
Company, Staff & Team Qualifications						30
						20 35 00
Related Experience						/35
DBE/SBE/MBE/WBE Certification						5 /5
Approach to Services						ZO /25
Total Points:						75/100
Proposal Scorer (Printed): Archew Carswell				Total Sco	ore:	
Proposal Scorer (Printed): Andrew Carsum Signature of Scorer: Andrew Carsum Proposer Name: Menadier Enginer Notes:				Date:		
Proposer Name: Menadier Enginee	gray					
Notes:						

The proposals shall be evaluated by the County and will be ranked on the basis of the following point scoring system:

CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION	POOR	FAIR	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING	SUB-TOTAL	
	4	8	12	16	35		
Company, Staff & Team Qualifications						35 /35	
Related Experience						16 /35	
neiated Experience						. 6 /22	
DBE/SBE/MBE/WBE Certification						5. /5	
Approach to Services						16 /25	
Total Points:						84/100	
Proposal Scorer (Printed):					Total Score:		
Signature of Scorer: MFID— Proposer Name: Menadier				Date:	10-23	3-23	
Proposer Name: Meradeer							
Notes:							

The proposals shall be evaluated by the County and will be ranked on the basis of the following point scoring system:

Approach to Services Total Points:	1110110101101			the second secon			
Company, Staff & Team Qualifications Related Experience 35 DBE/SBE/MBE/WBE Certification 5 Approach to Services Total Points:	CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION	POOR	FAIR	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING	SUB-TOTAL
Related Experience DBE/SBE/MBE/WBE Certification Approach to Services Total Points:		4	8	12	16	35	
DBE/SBE/MBE/WBE Certification 5 Approach to Services Total Points:	Company, Staff & Team Qualifications					32	/35
DBE/SBE/MBE/WBE Certification 5 Approach to Services 25 Total Points:	Related Experience					35	/35
Approach to Services Total Points:	nelaced Experience						733
Total Points:	DBE/SBE/MBE/WBE Certification						5 /5
Total Points:	Approach to Services					25	/25
							/100
Proposal Scorer (Printed): Total Score:	Proposal Scorer (Printed):				Total Score: 97		
Signature of Scorer: Date: 10/23/23 Proposer Name:					Date: \	0 23 23	