

RFQ_2023_002

CONTINUING PROFESSIONAL SERVICES

EVALUATION TOTAL SHEET

COMPANY NAME: Tocoi Engineering

CRITERIA	MAXIMUM POINTS	EVALUATOR 1	EVALUATOR 2	EVALUATOR 3
1.	35	35	30	30
2.	35	35	33	20
3.	5	2.5	2.5	2.5
4.	25	10	23	20
EVALUATOR TOTALS:		88.5	88.5	82.5
EVALUATION SCORE *(TOTAL POINTS DIVIDED BY NUMBER OF EVALUATORS 3)*				87

RFQ_2023_002

CONTINUING PROFESSIONAL SERVICES

EVALUATION TOTAL SHEET

COMPANY NAME: Locklear & Associates

CRITERIA	MAXIMUM POINTS	EVALUATOR 1	EVALUATOR 2	EVALUATOR 3
1.	35	35	30	33
2.	35	35	32	33
3.	5	0	0	0
4.	25	16	20	22
EVALUATOR TOTALS:		86	85	88
EVALUATION SCORE *(TOTAL POINTS DIVIDED BY NUMBER OF EVALUATORS 3)*				86

RFQ_2023_002

CONTINUING PROFESSIONAL SERVICES

EVALUATION TOTAL SHEET

COMPANY NAME: Michael G. Czerwinski PA Environmental Consul.

CRITERIA	MAXIMUM POINTS	EVALUATOR 1	EVALUATOR 2	EVALUATOR 3
1.	35	35	35	30
2.	35	30	35	30
3.	5	0	0	0
4.	25	25	16	20
EVALUATOR TOTALS:		90	86	80
EVALUATION SCORE *(TOTAL POINTS DIVIDED BY NUMBER OF EVALUATORS 3)*				85

RFQ_2023_002

CONTINUING PROFESSIONAL SERVICES

EVALUATION TOTAL SHEET

COMPANY NAME: Kisinger Campo & Associates Corp

CRITERIA	MAXIMUM POINTS	EVALUATOR 1	EVALUATOR 2	EVALUATOR 3
1.	35	33	16	30
2.	35	35	35	30
3.	5	2.5	2.5	2.5
4.	25	23	16	20
EVALUATOR TOTALS:		95.5	69.5	82.5
EVALUATION SCORE *(TOTAL POINTS DIVIDED BY NUMBER OF EVALUATORS 3)*				83

RFQ_2023_002

CONTINUING PROFESSIONAL SERVICES

EVALUATION TOTAL SHEET

COMPANY NAME: Menadier Engineering

CRITERIA	MAXIMUM POINTS	EVALUATOR 1	EVALUATOR 2	EVALUATOR 3
1.	35	35	32	30
2.	35	16	35	20
3.	5	5	5	5
4.	25	16	25	20
EVALUATOR TOTALS:		72	97	75
EVALUATION SCORE *(TOTAL POINTS DIVIDED BY NUMBER OF EVALUATORS 3)*				81

RFQ_2023_002

CONTINUING PROFESSIONAL SERVICES

EVALUATION TOTAL SHEET

COMPANY NAME: Johnson Mirmiran & Thompson

CRITERIA	MAXIMUM POINTS	EVALUATOR 1	EVALUATOR 2	EVALUATOR 3
1.	35	32	16	30
2.	35	30	35	30
3.	5	2.5	2.5	2.5
4.	25	25	12	20
EVALUATOR TOTALS:		89.5	65.5	82.5
EVALUATION SCORE *(TOTAL POINTS DIVIDED BY NUMBER OF EVALUATORS 3)*				79

RFQ_2023_002

CONTINUING PROFESSIONAL SERVICES

EVALUATION TOTAL SHEET

COMPANY NAME: ECS Florida LLC

CRITERIA	MAXIMUM POINTS	EVALUATOR 1	EVALUATOR 2	EVALUATOR 3
1.	35	10	10	30
2.	35	30	35	30
3.	5	0	0	0
4.	25	25	25	25
EVALUATOR TOTALS:		71	70	85
EVALUATION SCORE *(TOTAL POINTS DIVIDED BY NUMBER OF EVALUATORS 3)*				77

RFQ_2023_002

CONTINUING PROFESSIONAL SERVICES

EVALUATION TOTAL SHEET

COMPANY NAME: Monarch Design Group

CRITERIA	MAXIMUM POINTS	EVALUATOR 1	EVALUATOR 2	EVALUATOR 3
1.	35	35	25	25
2.	35	35	25	20
3.	5	0	0	0
4.	25	16	20	15
EVALUATOR TOTALS:		86	70	60
EVALUATION SCORE *(TOTAL POINTS DIVIDED BY NUMBER OF EVALUATORS 3)*				72

RFQ_2023_002

CONTINUING PROFESSIONAL SERVICES

EVALUATION TOTAL SHEET

COMPANY NAME: Infrastructure Consulting & Engineering PLLC

CRITERIA	MAXIMUM POINTS	EVALUATOR 1	EVALUATOR 2	EVALUATOR 3
1.	35	25	16	30
2.	35	30	16	30
3.	5	2.5	2.5	2.5
4.	25	25	16	20
EVALUATOR TOTALS:		82.5	50.5	82.5
EVALUATION SCORE *(TOTAL POINTS DIVIDED BY NUMBER OF EVALUATORS 3)*				72

RFQ_2023_002

CONTINUING PROFESSIONAL SERVICES

EVALUATION TOTAL SHEET

COMPANY NAME: Balmoral Group

CRITERIA	MAXIMUM POINTS	EVALUATOR 1	EVALUATOR 2	EVALUATOR 3
1.	35	25	16	20
2.	35	25	16	25
3.	5	5	5	5
4.	25	15	15	25
EVALUATOR TOTALS:		70	52	75
EVALUATION SCORE *(TOTAL POINTS DIVIDED BY NUMBER OF EVALUATORS 3)*				66

RFQ_2023_002

CONTINUING PROFESSIONAL SERVICES

EVALUATION TOTAL SHEET

COMPANY NAME: Campbell Spellicy Engineering

CRITERIA	MAXIMUM POINTS	EVALUATOR 1	EVALUATOR 2	EVALUATOR 3
1.	35	12	32	12
2.	35	35	30	16
3.	5	0	0	0
4.	25	25	20	12
EVALUATOR TOTALS:		72	82	40
EVALUATION SCORE *(TOTAL POINTS DIVIDED BY NUMBER OF EVALUATORS 3)*				65

RFQ_2023_002

CONTINUING PROFESSIONAL SERVICES

EVALUATION TOTAL SHEET

COMPANY NAME: Walker Architects

CRITERIA	MAXIMUM POINTS	EVALUATOR 1	EVALUATOR 2	EVALUATOR 3
1.	35	32	16	25
2.	35	30	16	20
3.	5	0	0	0
4.	25	20	16	15
EVALUATOR TOTALS:		82	48	60
EVALUATION SCORE *(TOTAL POINTS DIVIDED BY NUMBER OF EVALUATORS 3)*				63

RFQ_2023_002

CONTINUING PROFESSIONAL SERVICES

EVALUATION TOTAL SHEET

COMPANY NAME: KaM Design Inc

CRITERIA	MAXIMUM POINTS	EVALUATOR 1	EVALUATOR 2	EVALUATOR 3
1.	35	12	25	16
2.	35	16	25	30
3.	5	0	0	0
4.	25	12	25	15
EVALUATOR TOTALS:		40	75	61
EVALUATION SCORE *(TOTAL POINTS DIVIDED BY NUMBER OF EVALUATORS 3)*				59

COMMITTEE RANKING FORM


The proposals shall be evaluated by the County and will be ranked on the basis of the following point scoring system:

CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION	POOR	FAIR	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING	SUB-TOTAL
	4	8	12	16	35	
Company, Staff & Team Qualifications				16		25 /35
Related Experience				16		25 /35
DBE/SBE/MBE/WBE Certification						5 /5
Approach to Services				16		15 /25
Total Points:						70 /100
Proposal Scorer (Printed): Andrew Carswell				Total Score:		
Signature of Scorer: <i>Andrew Carswell</i>				Date: 10/23/2023		
Proposer Name: Balmoral Group						

Notes:

COMMITTEE RANKING FORM

The proposals shall be evaluated by the County and will be ranked on the basis of the following point scoring system:

CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION	POOR	FAIR	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING	SUB-TOTAL
	4	8	12	16	35	
Company, Staff & Team Qualifications			.	✓		16 /35
Related Experience				✓		16 /35
DBE/SBE/MBE/WBE Certification						5 /5
Approach to Services				15		/25
Total Points:						/100
Proposal Scorer (Printed):				Total Score: 52		
Signature of Scorer: 				Date: 10-23-23		
Proposer Name: Benoral Group						

Notes:

Road

COMMITTEE RANKING FORM

The proposals shall be evaluated by the County and will be ranked on the basis of the following point scoring system:


Balmoral Group

CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION	POOR	FAIR	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING	SUB-TOTAL
	4	8	12	16	35	
Company, Staff & Team Qualifications				20		/35
Related Experience				25		/35
DBE/SBE/MBE/WBE Certification						5 /5
Approach to Services				25		/25
Total Points:						/100
Proposal Scorer (Printed):				Total Score: 75		
Signature of Scorer: <i>Alice Yakhondu</i>				Date: 10/23/23		
Proposer Name:						

Notes:

COMMITTEE RANKING FORM

The proposals shall be evaluated by the County and will be ranked on the basis of the following point scoring system:

CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION	POOR	FAIR	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING	SUB-TOTAL
	4	8	12	16	35	
Company, Staff & Team Qualifications						35/35
Related Experience						35/35
DBE/SBE/MBE/WBE Certification						205/5
Approach to Services						16/25
Total Points:						86/100
Proposal Scorer (Printed):					Total Score:	86 88.5
Signature of Scorer:					Date:	10-23-23
Proposer Name:	XXXXXXXXXX TOCOZ					

Notes:

COMMITTEE RANKING FORM

The proposals shall be evaluated by the County and will be ranked on the basis of the following point scoring system:

TODI

CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION	POOR	FAIR	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING	SUB-TOTAL
	4	8	12	16	35	
Company, Staff & Team Qualifications					<i>30</i>	/35
Related Experience					<i>33</i>	/35
DBE/SBE/MBE/WBE Certification						<i>2.5</i> /5
Approach to Services					<i>23</i>	/25
Total Points:						/100
Proposal Scorer (Printed):				Total Score: <i>88.5</i>		
Signature of Scorer: <i>Oliver Yakande</i>				Date: <i>10/23/23</i>		
Proposer Name:						

Notes:

COMMITTEE RANKING FORM

The proposals shall be evaluated by the County and will be ranked on the basis of the following point scoring system:

CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION	POOR	FAIR	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING	SUB-TOTAL
	4	8	12	16	35	
Company, Staff & Team Qualifications						30 /35
Related Experience						20 /35
DBE/SBE/MBE/WBE Certification						2.5 /5
Approach to Services						20 /25
Total Points:						72.5 /100
Proposal Scorer (Printed): Andrew Caswell				Total Score:		
Signature of Scorer: <i>Andrew Caswell</i>				Date: 10/23/2023		
Proposer Name: Toco Engineering						

Notes:

COMMITTEE RANKING FORM

The proposals shall be evaluated by the County and will be ranked on the basis of the following point scoring system:

CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION	POOR	FAIR	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING	SUB-TOTAL
	4	8	12	16	35	
Company, Staff & Team Qualifications						30 /35
Related Experience						30 /35
DBE/SBE/MBE/WBE Certification						0 /5
Approach to Services						20 /25
Total Points:						80 /100
Proposal Scorer (Printed): Andrew Carswell				Total Score:		
Signature of Scorer: <i>Andrew Carswell</i>				Date: 10/23/2023		
Proposer Name: Michael G Gzeranski						

Notes:

COMMITTEE RANKING FORM

The proposals shall be evaluated by the County and will be ranked on the basis of the following point scoring system:

Michael Czerwinski, PA

CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION	POOR	FAIR	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING	SUB-TOTAL
	4	8	12	16	35	
Company, Staff & Team Qualifications					<i>35</i>	/35
Related Experience					<i>30</i>	/35
DBE/SBE/MBE/WBE Certification						<i>0</i> /5
Approach to Services					<i>25</i>	/25
Total Points:						/100
Proposal Scorer (Printed):				Total Score: <i>90</i>		
Signature of Scorer: <i>Oliver Kofode</i>				Date: <i>10/23/23</i>		
Proposer Name:						

Notes:

COMMITTEE RANKING FORM

The proposals shall be evaluated by the County and will be ranked on the basis of the following point scoring system:

CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION	POOR	FAIR	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING	SUB-TOTAL
	4	8	12	16	35	
Company, Staff & Team Qualifications						35 /35
Related Experience						35 /35
DBE/SBE/MBE/WBE Certification						0 /5
Approach to Services						14 /25
Total Points:						84 /100
Proposal Scorer (Printed):				Total Score: 84		
Signature of Scorer: <i>JFL</i>				Date: 10-23-25		
Proposer Name: <i>MIDA</i>						

Notes:

COMMITTEE RANKING FORM

The proposals shall be evaluated by the County and will be ranked on the basis of the following point scoring system:

CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION	POOR	FAIR	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING	SUB-TOTAL
	4	8	12	16	35	
Company, Staff & Team Qualifications						25 /35
Related Experience						20 /35
DBE/SBE/MBE/WBE Certification						0 /5
Approach to Services						15 /25
Total Points:						60 /100
Proposal Scorer (Printed): Andrew Carswell				Total Score:		
Signature of Scorer: <i>Andrew Carswell</i>				Date:		
Proposer Name: Monarch Design Group						

Notes:

COMMITTEE RANKING FORM

The proposals shall be evaluated by the County and will be ranked on the basis of the following point scoring system:

CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION	POOR	FAIR	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING	SUB-TOTAL
	4	8	12	16	35	
Company, Staff & Team Qualifications						35 /35
Related Experience						35 /35
DBE/SBE/MBE/WBE Certification						0 /5
Approach to Services						16 /25
Total Points:						/100
Proposal Scorer (Printed):				Total Score:		
Signature of Scorer: <i>J. Fil</i>				Date: <i>10-23-23</i>		
Proposer Name: <i>Monac</i>						

Notes:

17 VAC

COMMITTEE RANKING FORM

The proposals shall be evaluated by the County and will be ranked on the basis of the following point scoring system:

CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION	POOR	FAIR	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING	SUB-TOTAL
<i>Monarch</i>	4	8	12	16	35	
Company, Staff & Team Qualifications					<i>25</i>	/35
Related Experience					<i>25</i>	/35
DBE/SBE/MBE/WBE Certification						<i>0</i> /5
Approach to Services					<i>20</i>	/25
Total Points:						/100
Proposal Scorer (Printed):				Total Score: <i>70</i>		
Signature of Scorer: <i>Alvin Yarbode</i>				Date: <i>10/23/23</i>		
Proposer Name:						

Notes:

COMMITTEE RANKING FORM


The proposals shall be evaluated by the County and will be ranked on the basis of the following point scoring system:

CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION	POOR	FAIR	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING	SUB-TOTAL
	4	8	12	16	35	
Company, Staff & Team Qualifications				16		16 /35
Related Experience					30	30 /35
DBE/SBE/MBE/WBE Certification						0 /5
Approach to Services					30 25	25 /25
Total Points:						71 /100
Proposal Scorer (Printed): Andrew Carswell				Total Score:		
Signature of Scorer: <i>Andrew Carswell</i>				Date: 10/23/2023		
Proposer Name: ECS Florida						

Notes:

COMMITTEE RANKING FORM

The proposals shall be evaluated by the County and will be ranked on the basis of the following point scoring system:

CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION	POOR	FAIR	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING	SUB-TOTAL
	4	8	12	16	35	
Company, Staff & Team Qualifications				✓		16 /35
Related Experience						35 /35
DBE/SBE/MBE/WBE Certification						0 /5
Approach to Services						35 /25
Total Points:						86 /100
Proposal Scorer (Printed):				Total Score:		
Signature of Scorer: 				Date: 10-23-23		
Proposer Name: XXXX ECS						

Notes:

Er.

COMMITTEE RANKING FORM

The proposals shall be evaluated by the County and will be ranked on the basis of the following point scoring system:

ECS

CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION	POOR	FAIR	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING	SUB-TOTAL
	4	8	12	16	35	
Company, Staff & Team Qualifications					<i>30</i>	/35
Related Experience					<i>30</i>	/35
DBE/SBE/MBE/WBE Certification						<i>0</i> /5
Approach to Services					<i>25</i>	/25
Total Points:						/100
Proposal Scorer (Printed):				Total Score: <i>85</i>		
Signature of Scorer: <i>Olivia Yaforde</i>				Date: <i>10/23/23</i>		
Proposer Name:						

Notes:

COMMITTEE RANKING FORM

The proposals shall be evaluated by the County and will be ranked on the basis of the following point scoring system:

CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION	POOR	FAIR	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING	SUB-TOTAL
	4	8	12	16	35	
Company, Staff & Team Qualifications			12			12 /35
Related Experience				16		16 /35
DBE/SBE/MBE/WBE Certification						0 /5
Approach to Services			12			12 /25
Total Points:						40 /100
Proposal Scorer (Printed): Andrew Carswell				Total Score:		
Signature of Scorer: <i>Andrew Carswell</i>				Date: 10/23/2023		
Proposer Name: Campbell						

Notes:

COMMITTEE RANKING FORM

The proposals shall be evaluated by the County and will be ranked on the basis of the following point scoring system: *Campbell*

CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION	POOR	FAIR	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING	SUB-TOTAL
	4	8	12	16	35	
Company, Staff & Team Qualifications					<i>32</i>	/35
Related Experience					<i>30</i>	/35
DBE/SBE/MBE/WBE Certification						<i>0</i> /5
Approach to Services					<i>20</i>	/25
Total Points:						/100
Proposal Scorer (Printed):				Total Score: <i>82</i>		
Signature of Scorer: <i>Alicia LaForte</i>				Date: <i>10/23/23</i>		
Proposer Name:						

Notes:

COMMITTEE RANKING FORM

The proposals shall be evaluated by the County and will be ranked on the basis of the following point scoring system:

CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION	POOR	FAIR	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING	SUB-TOTAL
	4	8	12	16	35	
Company, Staff & Team Qualifications			12			12 /35
Related Experience					35	35 /35
DBE/SBE/MBE/WBE Certification						0 /5
Approach to Services					25	/25
Total Points:						/100
Proposal Scorer (Printed):				Total Score: 82		
Signature of Scorer: <i>Campbell</i>				Date: 10-23-23		
Proposer Name: <i>W. Lee</i>						

Notes:

HVAC

COMMITTEE RANKING FORM

The proposals shall be evaluated by the County and will be ranked on the basis of the following point scoring system:


Walker

CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION	POOR	FAIR	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING	SUB-TOTAL
	4	8	12	16	35	
Company, Staff & Team Qualifications					<i>32</i>	/35
Related Experience					<i>30</i>	/35
DBE/SBE/MBE/WBE Certification						<i>0</i> /5
Approach to Services					<i>20</i>	/25
Total Points:						/100
Proposal Scorer (Printed):				Total Score: <i>82</i>		
Signature of Scorer: <i>Alice Karonde</i>				Date: <i>10/23/23</i>		
Proposer Name:						

Notes:

COMMITTEE RANKING FORM

The proposals shall be evaluated by the County and will be ranked on the basis of the following point scoring system:

CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION	POOR	FAIR	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING	SUB-TOTAL
	4	8	12	16	35	
Company, Staff & Team Qualifications						16 /35
Related Experience						16 /35
DBE/SBE/MBE/WBE Certification						0 /5
Approach to Services						16 /25
Total Points:						48 /100
Proposal Scorer (Printed):					Total Score:	48
Signature of Scorer:					Date:	10-23-23
Proposer Name:	W.A.					

Notes:

COMMITTEE RANKING FORM

The proposals shall be evaluated by the County and will be ranked on the basis of the following point scoring system:

CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION	POOR	FAIR	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING	SUB-TOTAL
	4	8	12	16	35	
Company, Staff & Team Qualifications						25 /35
Related Experience						20 /35
DBE/SBE/MBE/WBE Certification						0 /5
Approach to Services						15 /25
Total Points:						60 /100
Proposal Scorer (Printed): Andrew Caswell				Total Score:		
Signature of Scorer: <i>Andrew Caswell</i>				Date: 10/23/2023		
Proposer Name: Walker Architects						

Notes:

COMMITTEE RANKING FORM


The proposals shall be evaluated by the County and will be ranked on the basis of the following point scoring system:

CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION	POOR	FAIR	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING	SUB-TOTAL
	4	8	12	16	35	
Company, Staff & Team Qualifications					30	30 /35
Related Experience					30	30 /35
DBE/SBE/MBE/WBE Certification						2.5 /5
Approach to Services				20		20 /25
Total Points:						82.5 /100
Proposal Scorer (Printed): Andrew Carswell				Total Score:		
Signature of Scorer: <i>Andrew Carswell</i>				Date: 10/23/2023		
Proposer Name: Infrastructure Consulting + Engineering						

Notes:

COMMITTEE RANKING FORM

The proposals shall be evaluated by the County and will be ranked on the basis of the following point scoring system:

CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION	POOR	FAIR	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING	SUB-TOTAL
	4	8	12	16	35	
Company, Staff & Team Qualifications				✓		16 /35
Related Experience				✓		16 /35
DBE/SBE/MBE/WBE Certification						2.5 /5
Approach to Services				✓		14 /25
Total Points:						50.5 /100
Proposal Scorer (Printed):				Total Score:		
Signature of Scorer: 				Date: 10-23		
Proposer Name: <i>InfraStore</i>						

Notes:



COMMITTEE RANKING FORM

The proposals shall be evaluated by the County and will be ranked on the basis of the following point scoring system:

Infrastructure Consulting

CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION	POOR	FAIR	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING	SUB-TOTAL
	4	8	12	16	35	
Company, Staff & Team Qualifications					<i>25</i>	<i>(25)</i> /35
Related Experience					<i>30</i>	/35
DBE/SBE/MBE/WBE Certification						<i>2.5</i> /5
Approach to Services					<i>25</i>	/25
Total Points:						/100
Proposal Scorer (Printed):				Total Score: <i>82.5</i>		
Signature of Scorer: <i>Devin Karkade</i>				Date: <i>10/23/23</i>		
Proposer Name:						

Notes:

COMMITTEE RANKING FORM

The proposals shall be evaluated by the County and will be ranked on the basis of the following point scoring system:

CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION	POOR	FAIR	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING	SUB-TOTAL
	4	8	12	16	35	
Company, Staff & Team Qualifications					30	30 /35
Related Experience					30	30 /35
DBE/SBE/MBE/WBE Certification						25 /5
Approach to Services				20	-	20 /25
Total Points:						825 /100
Proposal Scorer (Printed): Andrew Carswell				Total Score:		
Signature of Scorer: <i>Andrew Carswell</i>				Date: 10/23/2023		
Proposer Name: Johnson Mirman + Thompson						

Notes:

COMMITTEE RANKING FORM

The proposals shall be evaluated by the County and will be ranked on the basis of the following point scoring system:

JMT

CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION	POOR	FAIR	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING	SUB-TOTAL
	4	8	12	16	35	
Company, Staff & Team Qualifications					<i>32</i>	/35
Related Experience					<i>30</i>	/35
DBE/SBE/MBE/WBE Certification					<i>2.5</i>	/5
Approach to Services					<i>25</i>	/25
Total Points:						/100
Proposal Scorer (Printed):				Total Score: <i>89.5</i>		
Signature of Scorer: <i>Alice Yorlode</i>				Date: <i>10/23/23</i>		
Proposer Name:						

Notes:

COMMITTEE RANKING FORM

The proposals shall be evaluated by the County and will be ranked on the basis of the following point scoring system:

CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION	POOR	FAIR	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING	SUB-TOTAL
	4	8	12	16	35	
Company, Staff & Team Qualifications						16 /35
Related Experience						35 /35
DBE/SBE/MBE/WBE Certification						2.5 /5
Approach to Services						12 /25
Total Points:						65.5 /100
Proposal Scorer (Printed):				Total Score:		
Signature of Scorer: <i>J.F.D.</i>				Date: <i>10-23-23</i>		
Proposer Name: <i>JMT</i>						

Notes:

Road

COMMITTEE RANKING FORM

The proposals shall be evaluated by the County and will be ranked on the basis of the following point scoring system:

CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION	POOR	FAIR	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING	SUB-TOTAL
	4	8	12	16	35	
Company, Staff & Team Qualifications				16		16 /35
Related Experience					30	30 /35
DBE/SBE/MBE/WBE Certification						0 /5
Approach to Services				15		15 /25
Total Points:						61 /100
Proposal Scorer (Printed): Andrew Carswell				Total Score:		
Signature of Scorer:				Date:		
Proposer Name: KZM Design Inc						

Notes:

COMMITTEE RANKING FORM

The proposals shall be evaluated by the County and will be ranked on the basis of the following point scoring system:

Kam Design

CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION	POOR	FAIR	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING	SUB-TOTAL
	4	8	12	16	35	
Company, Staff & Team Qualifications					<i>25</i>	/35
Related Experience					<i>25</i>	/35
DBE/SBE/MBE/WBE Certification						<i>0</i> /5
Approach to Services					<i>25</i>	/25
Total Points:						/100
Proposal Scorer (Printed):				Total Score: <i>75</i>		
Signature of Scorer: <i>Alice Yorlonde</i>				Date: <i>10/23/23</i>		
Proposer Name:						

Notes:

COMMITTEE RANKING FORM

The proposals shall be evaluated by the County and will be ranked on the basis of the following point scoring system:

CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION	POOR	FAIR	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING	SUB-TOTAL
	4	8	12	16	35	
Company, Staff & Team Qualifications						12/35
Related Experience						16 /35
DBE/SBE/MBE/WBE Certification						0 /5
Approach to Services						12 /25
Total Points:						/100
Proposal Scorer (Printed):				Total Score: 40		
Signature of Scorer: <i>J.W.F.I.D.</i>				Date: 10-23-23		
Proposer Name: <i>K2m</i>						

Notes:

Permitting

COMMITTEE RANKING FORM

The proposals shall be evaluated by the County and will be ranked on the basis of the following point scoring system:

CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION	POOR	FAIR	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING	SUB-TOTAL
	4	8	12	16	35	
Company, Staff & Team Qualifications					30	30 /35
Related Experience				2	30	30 /35
DBE/SBE/MBE/WBE Certification						2.5 /5
Approach to Services					20	20 /25
Total Points:						82.5 /100
Proposal Scorer (Printed): Andrew Caswell					Total Score:	
Signature of Scorer: <i>Andrew Caswell</i>					Date: 10/23/2023	
Proposer Name: Kisinger & Associates						

Notes:

COMMITTEE RANKING FORM

The proposals shall be evaluated by the County and will be ranked on the basis of the following point scoring system:

CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION	POOR	FAIR	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING	SUB-TOTAL
	4	8	12	16	35	
Company, Staff & Team Qualifications				✓		16 /35
Related Experience					✓	35 /35
DBE/SBE/MBE/WBE Certification						2.5 /5
Approach to Services				-		16 /25
Total Points:						69.5 /100
Proposal Scorer (Printed):				Total Score:		
Signature of Scorer: <i>JFD</i>				Date: <i>10-23-23</i>		
Proposer Name: <i>KCA</i>						

Notes:

Road

COMMITTEE RANKING FORM

The proposals shall be evaluated by the County and will be ranked on the basis of the following point scoring system:

KCA - Kisinger Campo

CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION	POOR	FAIR	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING	SUB-TOTAL
	4	8	12	16	35	
Company, Staff & Team Qualifications					<i>33</i>	/35
Related Experience					<i>35</i>	/35
DBE/SBE/MBE/WBE Certification						<i>2.5</i> /5
Approach to Services					<i>25</i>	/25
Total Points:						/100
Proposal Scorer (Printed):				Total Score: <i>95.5</i>		
Signature of Scorer: <i>Olivia LaFonde</i>				Date: <i>10/23/23</i>		
Proposer Name:						

Notes:

COMMITTEE RANKING FORM

The proposals shall be evaluated by the County and will be ranked on the basis of the following point scoring system:

CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION	POOR	FAIR	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING	SUB-TOTAL
	4	8	12	16	35	
Company, Staff & Team Qualifications					33	33 /35
Related Experience					33	33 /35
DBE/SBE/MBE/WBE Certification						0 /5
Approach to Services					22	22 /25
Total Points:						88 /100
Proposal Scorer (Printed): Andrew Carswell				Total Score:		
Signature of Scorer: <i>Andrew Carswell</i>				Date:		
Proposer Name: Locklear & Associates						

Notes:

COMMITTEE RANKING FORM

The proposals shall be evaluated by the County and will be ranked on the basis of the following point scoring system:

CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION	POOR	FAIR	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING	SUB-TOTAL
	4	8	12	16	35	
Company, Staff & Team Qualifications						35 /35
Related Experience						35 /35
DBE/SBE/MBE/WBE Certification						0 /5
Approach to Services						/25
Total Points:						16 /100
Proposal Scorer (Printed):				Total Score: 86		
Signature of Scorer: <i>J. F. ID</i>				Date: 10-23-23		
Proposer Name: <i>Locklear</i>						

Notes:

Landfill

COMMITTEE RANKING FORM

The proposals shall be evaluated by the County and will be ranked on the basis of the following point scoring system:

Locklear + Associates

CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION	POOR	FAIR	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING	SUB-TOTAL
	4	8	12	16	35	
Company, Staff & Team Qualifications					<i>30</i>	/35
Related Experience					<i>32</i>	/35
DBE/SBE/MBE/WBE Certification						<i>0</i> /5
Approach to Services					<i>23</i>	/25
Total Points:						/100
Proposal Scorer (Printed):				Total Score: <i>85</i>		
Signature of Scorer: <i>Devin Kayford</i>				Date: <i>10/23/23</i>		
Proposer Name:						

Notes:

COMMITTEE RANKING FORM


The proposals shall be evaluated by the County and will be ranked on the basis of the following point scoring system:

CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION	POOR	FAIR	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING	SUB-TOTAL
	4	8	12	16	35	
Company, Staff & Team Qualifications						30 /35
Related Experience						20 30 Obe /35
DBE/SBE/MBE/WBE Certification						5 /5
Approach to Services						20 /25
Total Points:						75 /100
Proposal Scorer (Printed): Andrew Carswell				Total Score:		
Signature of Scorer: <i>Andrew Carswell</i>				Date:		
Proposer Name: Menadier Engineering						

Notes:

COMMITTEE RANKING FORM

The proposals shall be evaluated by the County and will be ranked on the basis of the following point scoring system:

CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION	POOR	FAIR	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING	SUB-TOTAL
	4	8	12	16	35	
Company, Staff & Team Qualifications						35 /35
Related Experience						14 /35
DBE/SBE/MBE/WBE Certification						5 /5
Approach to Services						14 /25
Total Points:						84 /100
Proposal Scorer (Printed):				Total Score:		
Signature of Scorer: 				Date: 10-23-23		
Proposer Name: Meradier						

Notes:

COMMITTEE RANKING FORM

The proposals shall be evaluated by the County and will be ranked on the basis of the following point scoring system:

Menadier

CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION	POOR	FAIR	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING	SUB-TOTAL
	4	8	12	16	35	
Company, Staff & Team Qualifications					32	/35
Related Experience					35	/35
DBE/SBE/MBE/WBE Certification						5 /5
Approach to Services					25	/25
Total Points:						/100
Proposal Scorer (Printed):				Total Score: 97		
Signature of Scorer: <i>Alicia Parkside</i>				Date: 10/23/23		
Proposer Name:						

Notes: