VULNERABILITY ASSESSMENT

EVALUATION SCORES

TOTAL SCORE	COMPANY NAME
70.2	Dewberny
56	The Balmoral Group
35.3	GHD Services, Inc.

Vulnerability Assessment

EVALUATION TOTAL SHEET

COMPANY NAME: GHO Services, Inc

CRITERIA	MAXIMUM POINTS	EVALUATOR 1	EVALUATOR 2	EVALUATOR 3
1.	25	19	Q	15
2.	35	12	8	15
3.	10	5	6	10
4.	5	Ø	Ø	Ø
5.	25	9	8	19
EVALUATOR	R TOTALS:	38	99	46
EVALUATIO 3)*	N SCORE *(TOTAL POINTS [DIVIDED BY NUMBER	OF EVALUATORS	35.3

The proposals shall be evaluated by the County and will be ranked on the basis of the following point scoring system:

CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION	POOR	FAIR	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING	SUB-TOTAL
	4	8	12	16	35	
Company, Staff & Team Qualifications				X		12/25
Related Experience			X			\ Z /35
Current & Projected Workloads			X			5 /10
DBE/SBE/MBE/WBE Certifications						O /5
Approach to Services			X			7 /25
Total Points:						38 /100
Proposal Scorer (Printed): Andrew Cars well					ore:	38
Signature of Scorer: Andrew Consuell				Date:	12/18/2	2023
Proposer Name: GHD SERVICES	INC				1 1	

The proposals shall be evaluated by the County and will be ranked on the basis of the following point scoring system:

CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION	POOR	FAIR	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING	SUB-TOTAL
	4	8	12	16	35	
Company, Staff & Team Qualifications						Õ /25
Related Experience		8				€/35
		1 3				
Current & Projected Workloads						<i>\$</i> /10
DBE/SBE/MBE/WBE Certifications						0/5
Approach to Services		8				d /25
Total Points:						22/100
Proposal Scorer (Printed):	Total Sc	ore:				
Signature of Scorer:	WA			Date:	2-18	-23
Proposer Name: HIO Service	<u> </u>	. (6)				

The proposals shall be evaluated by the County and will be ranked on the basis of the following point scoring system:

CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION	POOR	FAIR	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING	SUB-TOTAL
	4 3	84	12 9	16/2	3 5	
Company, Staff & Team Qualifications			1			12/25
Related Experience						12/35
O De la de di Wanking de						/ 0 /10
Current & Projected Workloads						70713
DBE/SBE/MBE/WBE Certifications						<i>O</i> /5
A						12/25
Approach to Services Total Points:		-				16123
Total Follits.						46/100
Proposal Scorer (Printed): States					ore:	46
Signature of Scorer: Proposer Name: (3 H)				Date:	12/18	23
Tropossi risino.						

Vulnerability Assessment

EVALUATION TOTAL SHEET

COMPANY NAME: The Balmoral Group

CRITERIA	MAXIMUM POINTS	EVALUATOR 1	EVALUATOR 2	EVALUATOR 3
1.	25	12	12	10
2.	35	10	10	la
3.	10	6	5	10
4.	5	5	5	5
5.	25	100	12	20
EVALUATOR	R TOTALS:	55	50	62
EVALUATIO 3)*	N SCORE *(TOTAL POINTS [DIVIDED BY NUMBER	OF EVALUATORS	56

The proposals shall be evaluated by the County and will be ranked on the basis of the following point scoring system:

CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION	POOR	FAIR	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING	SUB-TOTAL
	4	8	12	16	235	
Company, Staff & Team Qualifications			12			/ 2/25
				1.6		
Related Experience						/35
Current & Projected Workloads			6-			6 /10
DBE/SBE/MBE/WBE Certifications						5 /5
Approach to Services				16		16/25
Total Points:						55/100
Proposal Scorer (Printed):				Total Sc	ore:	
Signature of Scorer: Proposer Name: Ramoral Aroup				/2- Date:	18-2	<i>了</i>
Proposer Name: Bamoral From						

Notes:

1266

The proposals shall be evaluated by the County and will be ranked on the basis of the following point scoring system:

CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION	POOR	FAIR	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING	SUB-TOTAL
	4	8	12	16	35	
Company, Staff & Team Qualifications	3	6	d	X	ひく	12/25
Related Experience			**	X		16 /35
	l	2	3	5	10	
Current & Projected Workloads				X		5/10
DDE (CDE /NADE /NADE Contistions)						5 /5
DBE/SBE/MBE/WBE Certifications	-					→ /5
Approach to Services				Χ		12/25
Total Points:						50/100
Proposal Scorer (Printed): Andrew Carswell					ore:	50
Proposal Scorer (Printed): Andrew Carsus Signature of Scorer: Andrew Carsus				Date:	17/18/	2023
Proposer Name: THE BALMORAL GA	Poup				101	

Notes:

50%

The proposals shall be evaluated by the County and will be ranked on the basis of the following point scoring system:

CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION	POOR	FAIR	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING	SUB-TOTAL
	4	8	12	16	35	
Company, Staff & Team Qualifications						16/25
Related Experience)			12/35
Neidica Experience						100
Current & Projected Workloads						[∂ /10
DBE/SBE/MBE/WBE Certifications						5/5
Approach to Services					rad	20/25
Total Points:			#			63/100
Proposal Scorer (Printed): Staces Lectus					ore:	3
Signature of Scorer: Proposer Name:					12/18/-	7.3
Notes:						

Notes:

Sw modeling

Pilot stadier

Lob of Sucha experimen

Vulnerability Assessment

EVALUATION TOTAL SHEET

COMPANY NAME: Dewberry

CRITERIA	MAXIMUM POINTS	EVALUATOR 1	EVALUATOR 2	EVALUATOR 3
1.	25	25	10	12
2.	35	30	16	35
3.	10	10	8	3
4.	5	2.5	2.5	a.5
5.	25	20	19	12
EVALUATOR	TOTALS:	87.5	58.5	64.5
EVALUATION 3)*	N SCORE *(TOTAL POINTS I	DIVIDED BY NUMBER	OF EVALUATORS	70.2

The proposals shall be evaluated by the County and will be ranked on the basis of the following point scoring system:

CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION	POOR	FAIR	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING	SUB-TOTAL
	4	8	12	16	35	
Company, Staff & Team Qualifications					3 3	30 /25
Related Experience					/T	30 /35
Current & Projected Workloads					12	10/10
DBE/SBE/MBE/WBE Certifications						2.5/5
Approach to Services					<i>j-</i>	20/25
Total Points:						92,5/100
Proposal Scorer (Printed): Stacey Hectus					ore: 9	2.5
Signature of Scorer: Alacy Lette	>			Date:	12/18	3 23
Proposer Name: PW Delly					,	
Notes:					09/1/	13 muc 1

DED Project Clearater, Escarbic Co. + St. Aug.

Hillsborragel - Sl. Johns Rund?

Lots of Fl experience

Sw marter Plan, FP organd.

Musee S.W.FWMD Seem to Vanca lot of de la besps

The proposals shall be evaluated by the County and will be ranked on the basis of the following point scoring system:

					9	S
	PC	ייי	6	EXCELLENT	TST	SUB-TOTAL
CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION	POOR	FAIR	GOOD	E	AND	101
				=	OUTSTANDING	P.
	4	8	12	16	35	
Company, Staff & Team Qualifications				16		16/25
				16		
Related Experience						16/35
				0)		
				8		8/10
Current & Projected Workloads						0710
DBE/SBE/MBE/WBE Certifications						2-575
Approach to Services				16		/25
Total Points:						
						58-700
Proposal Scorer (Printed):				Total Sc	ore:	
Signature of Scorer:				Date:	12-18	3-23
Signature of Scorer: Whe — Proposer Name: Dewberry						

Notes:

166

The proposals shall be evaluated by the County and will be ranked on the basis of the following point scoring system:

CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION	POOR	FAIR	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING	SUB-TOTAL
	4	8	12	16	35	
	3	6	9	12	25	
Company, Staff & Team Qualifications				X	ST	72/25
Related Experience				~ 4	×	35 /35
·	1	2	3	5	10	
Current & Projected Workloads			X	7.	10	3 /10
DBE/SBE/MBE/WBE Certifications						Z.5 _{/5}
Approach to Services				X		12/25
Total Points:						645/100
Proposal Scorer (Printed): Andrew Carsuell				Total Score: 645		
Signature of Scorer: Proposer Name: EWBERRY ENGINEERS, INC.				Date: 17/19/2023		