RFQ_2022_001

CR 345

EVALUATION SCORES

TOTAL SCORE	COMPANY NAME
932	Kisinger Campo & Associ
675	FTE
85 3	JB Brown.
97 0	North Florida Professiona I Srus.
794	Jones Edmunds

Proposer Name: Kisinger 345

CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION	POOR	FAIR	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING	SUB-TOTAL
	4	8	12	16	25	
Team Organization, Management and Capacity.					23	/25 13
2. Individual Qualifications.					24	/25 2 4
3. Work Plan. (Evaluation score cannot be higher than 20).					19	19/20
4. Experience and References.					24	24 /25
5. Forms and other required Information.						5 /5
Total Points:						95 1100
Proposal Scorer (Printed):	Total S	core:		95		
Signature of Scorer:	Date:	5-2	-22			

Notes:

78 95

Proposer Name: Kisinger Campo

		,				
CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION	POOR	FAIR	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING	SUB-TOTAL
	4	8	12	16	25	
Team Organization, Management and Capacity.					25	/25
2. Individual Qualifications.					20	/25
3. Work Plan. (Evaluation score cannot be higher than 20).					20	/20
4. Experience and References.					24	/25
5. Forms and other required Information.						5 /5
Total Points:					19	94/100
Proposal Scorer (Printed): Alice Lalande	Total S	core:			94	,
Signature of Scorer:	Date:	6/2				

Proposer Name: <u>Kisinger campor ASS</u>,

J						
CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION	POOR	FAIR	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING	SUB-TOTAL
				N T	DING	ΓAL .
	4	8	12	16	25 25	
Team Organization, Management and Capacity.					/	23/25
2. Individual Qualifications.						23 /25
3. Work Plan. (Evaluation score cannot be higher than 20).				J.		1 7 /20
4. Experience and References.						23/25
5. Forms and other required Information.						5 /5
Total Points:						9//100
Proposal Scorer (Printed):	Total S	core:		(31	
Matt Weldon Signature of Scorer:					7/	
1.	Date:					
Ut Wch	6-	2-27	2			

Proposer Name: Florida Transportation

CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION	POOR	FAIR	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING	SUB-TOTAL
	4	8	12	16	25	
Team Organization, Management and Capacity.			10			/25
2. Individual Qualifications.				14		/25
3. Work Plan. (Evaluation score cannot be higher than 20).			9			/20
4. Experience and References.		B				/25
5. Forms and other required Information.						/5
Total Points:						46/100
Proposal Scorer (Printed): Alice Lalorde	Total S	core:		L	He	
Signature of Scorer: Olive Harbarde	Date:		62	22		

Proposer Name:	FT	1	<u> </u>

CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION						
	POOR	FAIR	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING	SUB-TOTAL
	4	8	12	16	25	
Team Organization, Management and Capacity.				16	Ø	16 /25
2. Individual Qualifications.					21	21 /25
3. Work Plan. (Evaluation score cannot be higher than 20).				16		16 /20
4. Experience and References.					20	20 /25
5. Forms and other required Information.				, ,		\$ /5
Total Points:						78
Proposal Scorer (Printed): Wilhur Dew	Total S		78			
Signature of Scorer:	Date:	6	-2-	22		

Proposer Name: Florida Tranportation Eng

CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION					_	
	POOR	FAIR	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING	SUB-TOTAL
	Ä	υ.	D	.ENT	NDING	DTAL
	4	8	12	16	25	
Team Organization, Management and Capacity.					V	20/25
2. Individual Qualifications.					1	22 /25
3. Work Plan. (Evaluation score cannot be higher than 20).			/			/2/20
4. Experience and References.						18 /25
5. Forms and other required Information.						3 6 /5
Total Points:						75/100
Proposal Scorer (Printed):	Total S	core:				7-
Matt Woldon						15
Signature of Scorer:	Date:					
med William	(a-	2-2	2			

Proposer Name: 18 Brown

CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION						
	POOR	FAIR	G00D	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING	SUB-TOTAL
	4	8	12	16	25	
Team Organization, Management and Capacity.					20	/25
2. Individual Qualifications.					20	/25
3. Work Plan. (Evaluation score cannot be higher than 20).					15	/20
4. Experience and References.					20	/25
5. Forms and other required Information.				O		/5
Total Points:					80	/100
Proposal Scorer (Printed): Alice Lalande	Total S	core:	80			
Signature of Scorer: Olive Harlande	Date:			622	2	

Proposer Name: J.B. Brown

CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION						
CHITCHIA FOR EVALUATION	POOR	FAIR	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING	SUB-TOTAL
	4	8	12	16	25	
 Team Organization, Management and Capacity. 					24	24 /25
2. Individual Qualifications.					23	/25 23
3. Work Plan. (Evaluation score cannot be higher than 20).					18	8/20
4. Experience and References.					2	/25 2 (
5. Forms and other required Information.						5 /5
Total Points:						9 (100
Proposal Scorer (Printed): Wilhur Dean	Total S	core:			91	
Signature of Scorer:	Date:	6 -	2-2	2		

Proposer Name: Brown

CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION	T					
	POOR	FAIR	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING	SUB-TOTAL
	4	8	12	16	25	
Team Organization, Management and Capacity.				Work load.		16/25
2. Individual Qualifications.					/	23 /25
3. Work Plan. (Evaluation score cannot be higher than 20).						7 /20
4. Experience and References.						23 /25
5. Forms and other required Information.						5/5
Total Points:						84100
Proposal Scorer (Printed):	Total S	core:				
Matt Weden				84		
Signature of Scorer:	Date:			,		
Wet Wah	6-	2-2	12			

Proposer Name: NFOS

CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION						
	POOR	FAIR	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING	SUB-TOTAL
	4	8	12	16	25	
Team Organization, Management and Capacity.					25	/25
2. Individual Qualifications.					25	/25
3. Work Plan. (Evaluation score cannot be higher than 20).					20	/20
4. Experience and References.					25	/25
5. Forms and other required Information.						/5
Total Points:					100	/100
Proposal Scorer (Printed):	Total S	core:		-		
Alice Lalorde			1	W		
Signature of Scorer:	Date:					
Signature of Scorer:			62/2	2		

Proposer Name:

CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION						
	POOR	FAIR	G00D	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING	SUB-TOTAL
	4	8	12	16	25	
Team Organization, Management and Capacity.					24	24/25
2. Individual Qualifications.					24	24 /25
3. Work Plan. (Evaluation score cannot be higher than 20).					19	/20
4. Experience and References.					24	/25
5. Forms and other required Information.						5/5
Total Points:						96/100
Proposal Scorer (Printed): Wilkur Deaw	Total S	core:		96		
Signature of Scorer:	Date: 6 - 2 - 2 2					

COMMITTEE RANKING FORM-RFQ_2022_001

Proposer Name: North Florida Prof Services

CDITEDIA FOR SIZALIZATION	1					т
CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION	POOR	FAIR	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING	SUB-TOTAL
	4	8	12	16	25	
Team Organization, Management and Capacity.						24/25
2. Individual Qualifications.						23 /25
3. Work Plan. (Evaluation score cannot be higher than 20).						19/20
4. Experience and References.						23 /25
5. Forms and other required Information.	Z 45					57 5
Total Points: 94						94/100
Proposal Scorer (Printed): Mutt Welder	Total S	core:		9	4	
Signature of Scorer:	Date:				(
Itel With	6-	-2-	22	_		

ones + Edmunds Proposer Name: __ CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION OUTSTANDING SUB-TOTAL **EXCELLENT** FAIR 4 8 12 16 25 1. Team Organization, Management and /25 20 Capacity. 2. Individual Qualifications. /25 22 3. Work Plan. (Evaluation score cannot be /20 higher than 20). 4. Experience and References. /25 18 5. Forms and other required Information. /5 **%**1/100 **Total Points:** Proposal Scorer (Printed): Total Score: Wilbur Dean Date: 6-2-22 Signature of Scorer:

Proposer Name: Jones + Edmunds

CRITERIA FOR EV	/ALUATION						
		POOR	FAIR	G00D	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING	SUB-TOTAL
		4	8	12	16	25	
Team Organi Capacity.	ization, Management and				15		/25
2. Individual Qu	ualifications.						/25
						20	
3. Work Plan. (I	Evaluation score cannot be 20).					20	/20
4. Experience a	nd References.					18	/25
5. Forms and o	ther required Information.						/5
Total Points:						78	/100
Proposal Scorer	(Printed):	Total S	core:		b	78	
Signature of Sco	latorde rer: in fahorde	Date:	it	6/2/2	12		

Proposer Name: JONES EDMUNDS

CRITERIA FOR EVALUATION	POOR	FAIR	GOOD	EXCELLENT	OUTSTANDING	SUB-TOTAL
	4	8	12	16	25	
Team Organization, Management and Capacity.						16 /25
2. Individual Qualifications.						20 /25
3. Work Plan. (Evaluation score cannot be higher than 20).						18 /20
4. Experience and References.						20 /25
5. Forms and other required Information.						5/5
Total Points: 79						79/100
Proposal Scorer (Printed):	Total S	core:	7	9		
Matt Weldow Signature of Scorer:	Date:			/		
Mout wall	6-2-22					