ORDINANCE 2020-14 - AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY OF LAKE WORTH BEACH, FLORIDA, AMENDING CHAPTER 23 "LAND DEVELOPMENT REGULATIONS," ARTICLE 2, "ADMINISTRATION", DIVISION 2 "PROCEDURES," SECTION 23.2-16 "QUASI-JUDICIAL PROCEDURES" AND SECTION 23.2-17 "APPEALS" TO UPDATE AND CLARIFY THE QUASI-JUDICIAL PROCESS FOR LAND USE AND ZONING MATTERS; PROVIDING FOR SEVERABILITY, THE REPEAL OF LAWS IN CONFLICT, CODIFICATION; AND PROVIDING AN EFFECTIVE DATE

WHEREAS, as provided in Section 2(b), Article VIII of the Constitution of the State of Florida, and Section 166.021(1), Florida Statutes, the City of Lake Worth Beach (the "City"), a municipal corporation, enjoys all governmental, corporate, and proprietary powers necessary to conduct municipal government, perform municipal functions, and render municipal services, and may exercise any power for municipal purposes, except as expressly prohibited by law; and

WHEREAS, as provided in Section 166.021(3), Florida Statutes, the governing body of each municipality in the state has the power to enact legislation concerning any subject matter upon which the state legislature may act, except when expressly prohibited by law; and

WHEREAS, the City wishes to amend its regulations pertaining to quasi-judicial procedures and appeals to provide clarity to the processes; and

WHEREAS, the Planning and Zoning Board, in its capacity as the local planning agency, considered the proposed amendments at a duly advertised public hearing; and

WHEREAS, the Historic Resources Preservation Board, in its capacity as the local planning agency, considered the proposed amendments at a duly advertised public hearing; and

WHEREAS, the City Commission has reviewed the proposed amendments and has determined that it is in the best interest of the public health, safety, and general welfare of the City to adopt this ordinance.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF LAKE WORTH BEACH, FLORIDA, that:

Section 1. The foregoing "WHEREAS" clauses are ratified and confirmed as being true and correct and are made a specific part of this Ordinance as if set forth herein.

<u>Section 2.</u> Chapter 23 "Land Development Regulations," Article 2, "Administration," Divisions 2 "Procedures," Section 23.2-16 "Quasi-Judicial Procedures" of the City's Code of Ordinances, is hereby amended by adding the words shown in <u>underlined</u> type and deleting the words as <u>struck through</u>.

51 Sec. 23.2-16. - Quasi-judicial procedures.

53 a) 54

52

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

82

83

84

85

86

- In general. The provisions of this section apply to all quasi-judicial hearings held pursuant to these LDRs. Quasi-judicial hearings shall be conducted generally in accordance with the following order of presentation:
 - 1. Disclosure of ex parte communications and personal investigations pursuant to subsection h below.
 - Presentation by city staff. 2.
 - 3. Presentation by the applicant.
 - 4. Presentation by affected party, if applicable.
 - 45. Public comment.
 - 56. Cross-examination by city staff.
 - 67. Cross-examination by the applicant.
 - Cross-examination by affected party, if applicable. 8.
 - 79. Cross-examination Questions by the decisionmaking body.
 - 810. Rebuttal or closing argument by the applicant.
 - Closing of the public hearing. 911.
 - 1012. Deliberation by the decisionmaking body. Motion by the decisionmaking body with explanation.
 - Discussion among members of the decisionmaking body.
 - 12.13. Action by the decisionmaking body making reference to and entry of specific findings. In the case of denials a citation(s) shall be provided referencing to the legal authority (e.g., code citation) forming the basis of the denial.

The chairperson, upon motion or by consensus of the decisionmaking body, may change the order of presentation. Each party shall have the right to call and examine witnesses, to introduce evidence/exhibits into the record, to cross-examine opposing witnesses on any relevant matter, subject to the rules contained herein, and to rebut evidence.

- b) Sworn testimony. The applicant, staff, and all participants requesting to speak shall 80 81 be collectively sworn by oath or affirmation.
 - Waiver by applicant. The applicant may waive its right to make a presentation if it agrees with the staff recommendation and no one from the audience wishes to speak for or against the application. The decisionmaking body may then take public comment and vote on the item, based upon the staff report and any other materials entered by staff from the official file into the record of the hearing.
- Decorum. The chair shall keep order, and without requiring an objection, may direct 87 a party conducting cross-examination to stop a particular line of questioning that 88 merely harasses, intimidates or embarrasses the individual being cross-examined; is 89 unduly repetitious and not relevant; or is beyond the scope of the testimony by the 90 individual being cross-examined. If the party conducting the cross-examination 91 continuously violates directions from the chair to end a line of questioning deemed 92

- irrelevant and merely designed to harass, intimidate or embarrass the individual, the chair may terminate the cross-examination.
- Affected parties. Affected parties, as defined in section 23.1-12 (Definitions), (1) shall 95 be allowed to present evidence, to produced witnesses, and to cross-examine 96 97 witnesses produced by others; (2) may appeal final decisions of staff, HRPB, planning and zoning board, or city commission; and (3) may file suit to enforce the provisions 98 of this article should the city fail or decline to do so. Notwithstanding the foregoing: 99 however, in any suit brought by an affected party, the applicable circuit court shall 100 determine whether the affected party has the requisite standing to bring suit. An 101 affected party who wishes to participate as a party in the quasi-judicial hearing must 102 fill out a city form and deliver it to the Department of Community Sustainability at least 103 five (5) days before the hearing. Failure to follow the process shall be deemed a 104 waiver and the affected party will not be allowed to participate in the quasi-judicial 105 hearing. 106
- f) Deliberation. After the presentations, and at the conclusion of any continuances, the decisionmaking body shall deliberate on the application or appeal, as the case may be. Once the decisionmaking body begins its deliberations no further presentations or testimony shall be permitted except at the sole discretion of the decisionmaking body. The decisionmaking body's decisions must be based upon competent substantial evidence in the record.
- g) Continuance. The decisionmaking body may, on its own motion or at the request of an applicant, continue the hearing to a fixed date, time and place. The applicant shall have the right to one (1) continuance; however, all subsequent continuances shall be granted at the sole discretion of the decisionmaking body. Notwithstanding the foregoing, a continuance shall not be granted if to do so would delay a decision on an appeal from the HRPB regarding a certificate of appropriateness beyond the ninety-day requirement specified in section 23.2-17.
- h) Ex parte communications. Members of the decisionmaking body shall disclose on the record any ex parte communications and personal investigations regarding pending quasi-judicial decisions in accordance with applicable Florida law.
- 1. Members of the decision-making body shall disclose on the record any ex parte communications, site visits, expert opinions sought, and personal investigations regarding pending quasi-judicial decisions prior to any final action on the matter.
 - The substance of any ex parte communication shall be disclosed including the subject of the communication and the identity of the person, group, or entity with whom the communication took place.
- 3. Any written communication shall be made part of the record.

127

128

- 4. Any site visit, personal investigation or expert opinions received shall be disclosed and made part of the record.
- 5. Pursuant to section 286.0115(1), Florida Statutes, the foregoing process removes the presumption of prejudice from ex parte communications.
- i) Official file. All written communication received by a decisionmaking body or staff concerning an application, the staff report on the application, any petitions or other submissions from the public, and all other documents pertaining to the application

- upon receipt shall be filed in the official file for the application, which shall be maintained by staff. The comprehensive plan and the City Code of Ordinances shall be deemed to be part of the official file. The official file shall be available for inspection during normal business hours.
- j) Record of the hearing. All evidence admitted into the record at the hearing, and the adopted development order of the decisionmaking body shall be maintained by the city in a hearing file available for public review for a period of at least forty-five (45) days from the rendering of the decision.
 - k) First Reading. For all quasi-judicial matters which require more than one (1) reading, the first reading shall constitute the quasi-judicial hearing. If a decision is rendered to grant or grant with conditions the relief sought by the applicant, then the second reading shall be procedural in nature with the quasi-judicial body ratifying and affirming its prior decision. If new evidence is introduced which, if brought to the attention of the quasi-judicial body at the first reading, would have had a material impact on its decision, the quasi-judicial body may reopen the quasi-judicial hearing and give all parties the opportunity to address the new evidence.

<u>Section 3.</u> Chapter 23 "Land Development Regulations," Article 2, "Administration," Division 2 "Procedures," Section 23.2-17 "Appeals" of the City's Code of Ordinances, is hereby amended by adding the words shown in <u>underlined</u> type and deleting the words as struck through.

Sec. 23.2-17. - Appeals.

- a) To planning and zoning board and historic resources preservation board. An applicant may appeal a final decision of the development review official to the planning and zoning board or the historic resources preservation board, as applicable,. The procedures set forth below and in subsection d) shall be followed.
- The applicant shall submit to the development review official, a notice of appeal within thirty (30) days of the official's written decision. The appeal shall be in writing on a form provided by city staff. and
- <u>2.</u> The appeal shall be accompanied by the applicable fee and filed with the development review official.
- 3. The appeal shall be heard at a quasi-judicial hearing and based on the record made in the proceeding below. evidence relied upon by the development review official in making his/her decision, which shall include submissions from the applicant.
- 4. Notwithstanding the above, on appeals of administrative decisions regarding certificates of appropriateness, the process shall be guided by Section 23.5-4(n)(1), which provides that a notice of appeal must be submitted within fourteen (14) days of the administrative decision, and that the administrative decision must be reviewed within sixty (60) days and may be reversed only if it was contrary to law or arbitrary and capricious.
- b) To city commission. Should an applicant for development approval or an affected party with demonstrated standing decide to appeal a decision of the planning and

zoning board or the historic resources preservation board <u>the procedures set forth</u> below and in subsection d) shall be followed.

- he The applicant or affected party shall submit to the development review official a notice of appeal within fourteen (14) days of the issuance of the board's written decision.
 - 2. Thereafter, the applicant or affected party shall submit to the development review official in writing the basis for the appeal within thirty (30) days of the board's written decision; except appeals from decisions pertaining to variances shall be appealed directly to circuit court as described in subsection c). The basis of appeal must relate to the evidence and testimony presented to the planning and zoning board or the HRPB. The basis of appeal should include all evidence the appealing party would like to have the city commission review. New evidence is not allowed and shall not be considered.
 - 3. The appeal shall be submitted with a city application and the applicable fee and filed with the development review official. An affected party must have participated in the hearing before the planning and zoning board or HRPB to participate in an appeal before the city commission.
 - 4. The development review official shall forward the appeal, the staff report and other relevant documents reviewed at the planning and zoning board or HRPB meeting, and the board's decision to the city commission for review.
 - 5. The development review official may also have the right to appeal a decision of the planning and zoning board or the HRPB.
 - 46. After courtesy notice as provided in this article, the city commission shall conduct a quasi-judicial hearing, and shall consider those applications on appeal from the planning and zoning board or the HRPB based on the record made in the proceeding below created at the planning and zoning board or the HRPB meeting. The considerations substantiating the decision of the city commission shall be discussed. The city commission shall convey its decision in writing to the appellant applicant, affected parties, if applicable, and to the development review official. The considerations substantiating the decision of the city commission shall be documented.
 - 27. For appeals from the decisions of the HRPB regarding certificates of appropriateness, the city commission shall consider the appeal within ninety (90) days after the filing of the appeal. The city commission may uphold or reverse the HRPB's decision in whole or in part or remand with instructions for further consideration. approve, approve with modifications or disapprove the application within ninety (90) days after the filing of the appeal. A reversal of an HRPB decision, whether in whole or in part, of the historic resources preservation board shall require no less than four (4) votes of the full city commission or by no less than three (3) votes of those in attendance, and in accordance with Section 23.5-4(n)(2), a reversal shall be rendered only if the city commission determines that the HRPB decision was contrary to law or arbitrary and capricious.
- c) To circuit court. Any person or persons, jointly or severally, or entity, aggrieved by any the decision of the city commission, after first exhausting all administrative remedies, may shall present to a circuit court a petition for issuance of a writ of

- certiorari pursuant to the Florida law. <u>If a planning and zoning board or HRPB</u>
 variance determination is being appealed and is a part of an overall order being
 appealed for certificates of appropriateness, site plans, etc., then the entire order shall
 be appealed to the circuit court and it is not necessary to exhaust administrative
 remedies by appealing any portion of the order to the city commission.
 - d) Appeal procedure. Hearings on appeals shall be conducted generally in accordance with the following order of presentation, which may be adjusted by the chairperson. At these hearings no new evidence may be introduced and presentations will be limited to ten (10) minutes per party unless the time is extended by majority vote of the decisionmaking body.

- 1. Disclosure of ex parte communications and personal investigations.
- 2. Presentation by city staff.
- 3. Presentation by appealing party.
- 4. Presentation by applicant, if not the appealing party.
- 5. Questions by the decisionmaking body.
- 6. Closing of the public hearing.
- 7. Deliberation by the decisionmaking body. The decisionmaking body shall be restricted to the record developed from the hearing before the appropriate board which shall include submissions from the applicant and affected party, if applicable. The standard of review for these deliberations shall be competent, substantial evidence unless indicated otherwise in these LDRs.
- 8. Action by the decisionmaking body.

<u>Section 4.</u> Severability. If any section, subsection, sentence, clause, phrase or portion of this Ordinance is for any reason held invalid or unconstitutional by any court of competent jurisdiction, such portion shall be deemed a separate, distinct, and independent provision, and such holding shall not affect the validity of the remaining portions thereof.

Section 5. Repeal of Laws in Conflict. All ordinances or parts of ordinances in conflict herewith are hereby repealed to the extent of such conflict.

<u>Section 6.</u> <u>Codification</u>. The sections of the ordinance may be made a part of the City Code of Laws and ordinances and may be re-numbered or re-lettered to accomplish such, and the word "ordinance" may be changed to "section", "division", or any other appropriate word.

Section 7. Effective Date. This ordinance shall become effective 10 days after passage.

The passage of this ordinance on first reading was moved by _______, seconded by ________, and upon being put to a vote, the vote was as follows:

273	
274	Mayor Pam Triolo
275	Vice Mayor Andy Amoroso
276	Commissioner Scott Maxwell
277	Commissioner Omari Hardy
278	Commissioner Herman Robinson
279	
280	The Mayor thereupon declared this ordinance duly passed on first reading on the
281	day of, 2020.
282	
283	
284	The passage of this ordinance on second reading was moved by
285	, seconded by, and upon being put to a vote,
286	the vote was as follows:
287	
288	Mayor Pam Triolo
289	Vice Mayor Andy Amoroso
290	Commissioner Scott Maxwell
291	Commissioner Omari Hardy
292	Commissioner Herman Robinson
293	
294	The Mayor thereunes declared this ardinesses duly passed on the
295	The Mayor thereupon declared this ordinance duly passed on the day of
296	, 2020.
297	
298	LAKE WORTH BEACH CITY COMMISSION
298 299	EARL WORTH BEACH ON I COMMISSION
300	
300 301	Rv:
302	By: Pam Triolo, Mayor
302 303	i ani inolo, mayor
303 304	ATTEST:
305	7.1.201.
306	
307	
30 <i>7</i> 308	Deborah Andrea, CMC, City Clerk
500	2 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5