

City of Lake Worth Beach
Evaluation Matrix

RFP 22-200 KIOSKS

		RANKED:	2	1
Evaluation Criteria Score Sheet:		Weight	DESIGNSTOGO INC	NANOV DISPLAY INC.
1	Responsiveness to RFP 1. Comprehensiveness of proposal 2. Completeness of proposal, respondents complied with all required documentation	5	16	19
2	Cost Effectiveness 1. Prices for all equipment and installation as per requirements of the RFP are provided (up to 5 points) 2. Overall cost is reasonable for goods and services provided and in line with the current market prices (up to 10 points – where the lowest prices should earn highest score and highest price the lowest score) 3. Prices were provided for ongoing cost of operation and maintenance and are reasonable and within market rates (up to 5 points)	20	36	55
3	Successful Experience and Qualification of Firm and Staff including References 1. Did Respondent provide details of relevant information that demonstrates the experience and qualifications of the firm and examples of present and past Kiosk projects successfully launched and operated in other outdoor locations? (up to 6 points) 2. Project manager qualifications and subject knowledge (up to 3 points) 3. Prior experience with supplying & installing similar type of equipment, managing similar content (up to 4 points) 4. References from at least (3) entities for similar projects or work (up to 2 points)	15	36	60
4	Functionality, Content, and Appearance of Kiosks 1. Kiosk Content, features and functions proposed met the requirements of the scope and Respondent provided additional features (up to 15 Points) 2. User interface offered met the requirements of the scope and provide positive experience to users (up to 10 points) 3. Kiosk appearance is pleasing and meets the requirements of the scope (up to 5 points)	30	103	108
5	Kiosk Operating Plan 1. Proposed Kiosks locations are reasonable and meet City's requirements as described in the RFP 2. Installation and project plan is acceptable and meets the City's requirements as described in the scope	5	8	20
6	Content Management and Allocation 1. Did the Respondent provide detailed information in regards to offered content and description of how is content managed? (up to 5 points) 2. Did Respondent provide options for the City of how to manage the content, especially remote options? Kiosk functionality provides an easy remote access for the City (up to 10 points)	15	36	56
7	Training Plan 1. Did Respondent provide detailed training plan acceptable to the City for operating and maintaining kiosks? 2. Did Respondent provide different types and options of training?	5	2	19
8	Default, Termination, Litigation, Debarment, etc. 1. Instances of a default under a similar project or contract; 2. Instances of litigation related to a similar project or contract; 3. Instances of any debarment by a local, state or federal governmental entity (No instances of default and litigations scores maximum points of 5, provided instances shall score accordingly)	5	0	0
Total Points Received:			237	337
Exhibit "B" - City's Campaign Contribution Statement			SUBMITTED	SUBMITTED
Exhibit "C" - Respondent Information Form			SUBMITTED	SUBMITTED
Exhibit "D" - Similar Projects			SUBMITTED	SUBMITTED
Exhibit "E" - References			SUBMITTED	SUBMITTED
Exhibit "F" - Drug Free Workplace Form			SUBMITTED	SUBMITTED
Exhibit "G" - Scrutinized Companies Certification			SUBMITTED	SUBMITTED
Exhibit "H" - Certification Regarding Debarment, Suspension and Other Responsibility Matters			SUBMITTED	SUBMITTED
Exhibit "J" - Certification for Contracts, Grants, Loans, and Cooperative Agreements			SUBMITTED	SUBMITTED
default, termination, litigation statement			NOT PROVIDED	NOT PROVIDED