Traffic Impact Analysis & TPS Review Letter



Department of Engineering and Public Works

P.O. Box 21229 West Palm Beach, FL 33416-1229 (561) 684-4000 FAX: (561) 684-4050 www.pbcgov.com

Palm Beach County Board of County Commissioners

Gregg K. Weiss, Mayor

Maria Sachs, Vice Mayor

Maria G. Marino

Michael A. Barnett

Marci Woodward

Sara Baxter

Mack Bernard

County Administrator

Verdenia C. Baker

"An Equal Opportunity Affirmative Action Employer" Anna Lai, P.E., PTOE Simmons & White, Inc. 2581 Metrocentre Blvd, Suite 3 West Palm Beach, FL 33407

RE: Madison Terrace Project #: 230520 Traffic Performance Standards (TPS) Review

Dear Ms. Lai:

The Palm Beach County Traffic Division has reviewed the above referenced project Traffic Impact Statement, dated May 11, 2023, pursuant to the Traffic Performance Standards in Article 12 of the Palm Beach County (PBC) Unified Land Development Code (ULDC). The project is summarized as follows:

Municipality:	Lake Worth Beach
Location:	East side of S H Street, about 500 feet north of 10th
	Avenue S
PCN:	38-43-44-21-15-253-0010 (additional PCNs in file)
Access:	Two access driveway connections onto S H Street
	(As used in the study and is NOT necessarily an approval
	by the County through this TPS letter)
Existing Uses:	Vacant
Proposed Uses:	Senior Residential (55+ Attached) = 176 DUs
New Daily Trips:	570
New Peak Hour Trips:	35 (12/23) AM; 44 (25/19) PM
Build-out:	December 31, 2028

Based on our review, the Traffic Division has determined the proposed development is located within the Coastal Residential Exception Area; therefore, the project is exempt from the TPS of Palm Beach County.

Please note the receipt of a TPS approval letter does not constitute the review and issuance of a Palm Beach County Right-of-Way (R/W) Construction Permit nor does it eliminate any requirements that may be deemed as site related. For work within Palm Beach County R/W, a detailed review of the project will be provided upon submittal for a R/W permit application. The project is required to comply with all Palm Beach County standards and may include R/W dedication.

No building permits are to be issued by the City after the build-out date specified above. The County traffic concurrency approval is subject to the Project Aggregation Rules set forth in the Traffic Performance Standards Ordinance.

The approval letter shall be valid no longer than one year from date of issuance, unless an application for a Site Specific Development Order has been approved, an application for a Site Specific Development Order has been submitted, or the





Anna Lai, P.E., PTOE June 15, 2023 Page 2

approval letter has been superseded by another approval letter for the same property.

If you have any questions regarding this determination, please contact me at 561-684-4030 or email <u>HAkif@pbcgov.org</u>.

Sincerely,

lanan

Hanane Akif, P.E. Professional Engineer Traffic Division

QB:HA:jb

ec:

Erin Fitzhugh Sita, AICP, Assistant Director-Planning, Zoning, & Preservation Community Sustainability Department, City of Lake Worth Beach Quazi Bari, P.E., PTOE, Manager – Growth Management, Traffic Division Alberto Lopez, Technical Assistant III, Traffic Division

File: General - TPS - Mun - Traffic Study Review F:\TRAFFIC\HA\MUNICIPALITIES\APPROVALS\2023\230520 - MADISON TERRACE.DOCX;



INSIGNIFICANT TRAFFIC IMPACT STATEMENT

MADISON TERRACE PALM BEACH COUNTY, FLORIDA

Prepared for:

New South Residential 558 W. New England Avenue Suite 250 Winter Park, Florida 32789

Job No. 23-064

Date: May 11, 2023

Anna Lai, P.E., PTOE FL Reg. No. 78138

Anna Lai, P.E., State of Florida, Professional Engineer, License No. 56934

This item has been digitally signed and sealed by Anna Lai, P.E., on <u>05/11/2023</u>.

Printed copies of this document are not considered signed and sealed and the signature must be verified on any electronic copies.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0 SITE DATA	.2
2.0 PURPOSE OF STUDY	.2
3.0 TRAFFIC GENERATION	.3
4.0 RADIUS OF DEVELOPMENT INFLUENCE	.3
5.0 TRIP DISTRIBUTION	.4
6.0 TEST 1 BUILD-OUT ANALYSIS	.4
7.0 SITE RELATED IMPROVEMENTS	.4
8.0 CONCLUSION	.4

1.0 SITE DATA

The subject parcel is located at 821 S. Dixie Highway in the City of Lake Worth, Florida and contains approximately 1.62 acres. The Property Control Numbers (PCNs) for the subject parcel are as follows:

38-43-44-21-15-253-0010	38-43-44-21-15-253-0040
38-43-44-21-15-253-0020	38-43-44-21-15-253-0110
38-43-44-21-15-253-0032	

Proposed site development consists of 176 55+ age-restricted multifamily dwelling units with a project build-out of 2028. Site access is proposed via two (2) full access driveway connections to South H Street. For additional information concerning site location and layout, please refer to the Site Plan.

2.0 PURPOSE OF STUDY

This study will analyze the proposed development's impact on the surrounding major thoroughfares within the project's radius of development influence in accordance with the Palm Beach County Unified Land Development Code Article 12 – Traffic Performance Standards. The Traffic Performance Standards state that a Site Specific Development Order for a proposed project shall meet the standards and guidelines outlined in two separate "Tests" with regard to traffic performance. However, the proposed 42 residential dwelling units would qualify for the Coastal Residential Exception as outlined in the TPS.

Test 1, or the Build-out Test, relates to the build-out period of the project and requires that a project not add traffic within the radius of development influence which would have total traffic exceeding the adopted LOS at the end of the build-out period. This Test 1 analysis consists of two parts and no project shall be approved for a Site Specific Development Order unless it can be shown to satisfy the requirements of Parts One and Two of Test 1.

Part One – Intersections, requires the analysis of major intersections, within or beyond a project's radius of development influence, where a project's traffic is significant on a link within the radius of development influence. The intersections analyzed shall operate within the applicable threshold associated with the level of analysis addressed.

Part Two – Links, compares the total traffic in the peak hour, peak direction on each link within a project's radius of development influence with the applicable LOS "D" link service volumes. The links analyzed shall operate within the applicable thresholds associated with the level of analysis addressed.

This study will verify that the proposed development's traffic impact will meet the above Traffic Performance Standards.

The Palm Beach County Unified Land Development Code Article 12 requires that for any application for a site specific development order on property on which there are vested uses shall be subject to the Palm Beach County Traffic Performance Standards to the extent the traffic generation projected for the site specific development order exceeds the traffic generation of the vested uses.

The generation rates and capture rates of the vested uses shall be updated to current pro forma traffic generation and passer-by rates and shall be used to calculate vested uses/current approval traffic.

The traffic to be generated by the proposed site modifications has been calculated in accordance with the traffic generation rates listed in the *ITE Trip Generation Manual, 11th Edition* and rates published by the Palm Beach County Engineering Traffic Division as shown in Tables 1, 2, and 3. Table 1 shows the daily traffic generation associated with the proposed development in trips per day (tpd). Tables 2 and 3 show the AM and PM peak hour traffic generation, respectively, in peak hour trips (pht). The traffic to be generated by the proposed 176 55+ age-restricted multifamily dwelling units may be summarized as follows:

Proposed Plan of Development

Daily Traffic Generation	=	570 tpd
AM Peak Hour Traffic Generation (In/C)ut) =	35 pht (12 In/23 Out)
PM Peak Hour Traffic Generation (In/C)ut) =	44 pht (25 In/19 Out)

The proposed 176 residential dwelling units would qualify for the Coastal Residential Exception as outlined in the TPS.

4.0 RADIUS OF DEVELOPMENT INFLUENCE

Based on Table 12.B.2.D-7 3A of the Palm Beach County Unified Land Development Code Article 12 – Traffic Performance Standards, for a net trip generation of 44 peak hour trips, the radius of development influence shall be 0.5 miles.

For Test 1, a project must address those links within the radius of development influence on which its net trips are greater than one percent of the LOS "D" of the link affected on a peak hour, peak direction basis AND those links outside of the radius of development influence on which its net trips are greater than five percent of the LOS "D" of the link affected on a peak hour, peak direction basis up to the limits set forth in Table 12.B.2.C-1 1A: LOS "D" Link Service Volumes.

For Test 2, a project must address those links within the radius of development influence on which its net trips are greater than three percent of the LOS "E" of the link affected on a peak hour, peak direction basis AND those links outside of the radius of development influence on which its net trips are greater than five percent of the LOS "E" of the link affected on a peak hour, peak direction basis up to the limits set forth in Table 12.B.2.C-4 2A: LOS "E" Link Service Volumes.

5.0 TRIP DISTRIBUTION

The project trips were distributed and assigned on the links within the radius of development influence based on the existing and anticipated traffic patterns. Figure 1 presents the trip distribution percentages.

6.0 TEST 1 BUILD-OUT ANALYSIS

Test 1, or the Build-Out Analysis, relates to the build-out period of the project and requires that a project not add traffic within the radius of development influence which would have total traffic exceeding the adopted LOS at the end of the build-out period. Tables 4 and 5 show the project's assignment is less than 1% of the applicable LOS "D" threshold and is insignificant for all links within the project's radius of development influence. This project therefore meets the requirements of Test 1.

7.0 SITE RELATED IMPROVEMENTS

The AM and PM peak hour volumes at the project entrances for the overall development with no reduction for pass by credits are shown in Tables 2 and 3 and may be summarized as follows:

Directional Distribution (Trips IN/OUT)

AM = 12 / 23 PM = 25 / 19

Figure 2 presents the AM and PM peak turning movement volume assignments at the project driveway based on the directional distributions. As previously mentioned, site access is proposed via two (2) full access driveway connections to South H Street.

Based on the Palm Beach County Engineering Guidelines used in determining the need for turn lanes of 75 right turns or 30 left turns in the peak hour, and on the existing and proposed lanes, additional turn lanes are not warranted or recommended.

8.0 CONCLUSION

The proposed development has been estimated to generate 570 trips per day, 35 AM peak hour trips, and 44 PM peak hour trips at project build-out in 2028. The proposed 176 residential dwelling units would qualify for the Coastal Residential Exception as outlined in the TPS. A review of the links within the project's radius of development influence reveals that capacity is available to support the project and the project meets the requirements of the Palm Beach County Traffic Performance Standards.

PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

TABLE 1 - Daily Traffic Generation

	ΞL				Dir Sp	olit		Inte	rnalization		Pass-	by	
Landuse	Code	1	Intensity	Rate/Equation	ln I	Out	Gross Trips	%	Total	External Trips	%	Trips	Net Trips
55+ SF Attached	252	176	Dwelling Units	3.24		Н	570		0	570	%0	0	570
			Grand Totals:				570	0.0%	0	570	%0	0	570

TABLE 2 - AM Peak Hour Traffic Generation

	35	23	12	0	%0	35	23	12	0	0	0	0.0%	35	23	12				Grand Totals:		ļ	
	35	23	12	0	%0	35	23	12	0	0	0	0.0%	35	23	12	0.66	0.34	0.2	Dwelling Units	176	252	55+ SF Attached
_	Total	Out	Ľ	Trips	%	Total	Out	Ľ	Total	Out	ln	%	Total	Out	h	Out	h	Rate/Equation	Intensity		Code	Landuse
	sd	Vet Tri	2	-by	-ssed	Trips	ernal	EX		ation	ernaliz	Inte	rips	DSS TI	ŝ	blit	Dir S				Ξ	

TABLE 3 - PM Peak Hour Traffic Generation

ITE Direction Direction External Trips Pass-by Net Trip Landuse Code Intensity Rate/Equation In Out Total % In Out Total % In Out Total % In Out Total % Trips In Out Out Out Out Out Out 0 <th>sd</th> <th>Total</th> <th>44</th> <th>44</th>	sd	Total	44	44
Landuse Description Dir Split Gross Trips Fasternal Trips Pass-by N 55+ SF Attached 252 176 Dwelling Units 0.25 0.36 0.44 25 19 44 0.0% 0 0 0 0 25 55+ SF Attached 252 176 Dwelling Units 0.25 0.36 0.44 25 19 44 0.0% 0	let Tri	Out	19	61
ITE Direction Direction External Trips Pass-by ITE ITE ITE ITE Internalization External Trips Pass-by Landuse Code Intensity Rate/Equation In Out Ind Val Ind Out Total % In Out Total % Total % Trips 55+ SF Attached 252 176 Dwelling Units 0.25 0.44 25 19 44 0% 0% 0 0% 0 0 0% 0 0%	2	Ч	25	25
Landuse Iternalization External Trips Parse Code Intensity Rate/Equation Dir Split Gross Trips Internalization External Trips Pass 55+ SF Attached 252 176 Dwelling Units 0.25 0.44 25 19 44 0.00 0 0 25 44 0% 0	-by	Trips	0	0
Landuse ITE Internalization External Trips Internalization External Trips Landuse Code Intensity Rate/Equation In Out In 0 1 70tal In Out 100t 100t <t< td=""><td>Pass</td><td>%</td><td>%0</td><td>%0</td></t<>	Pass	%	%0	%0
Landuse Internalization External 55+ SF Attached 252 176 Dwelling Units 0.25 0.56 0.44 25 19 44 0.0% 0 0 25 19 24 0.0% 0 0 25 19 25 19 25 19 25 19 25 10	Trips	Total	44	44
Landuse Dir Split Gross Trips Internalization Extraction Extra	ernal	Out	19	19
Landuse Dir Split Gross Trips Internalization 55+ SF Attached 252 176 Dwelling Units 0.25 0.56 0.44 25 19 44 0.0% 0	Exte	In	25	25
Landuse ITE Internalization 55+ SF Attached 252 176 Dwelling Units 0.25 0.44 25 19 44 0.0% 0 0 55+ SF Attached 252 176 Dwelling Units 0.25 0.56 0.44 25 19 44 0.0% 0		Total	0	0
Landuse ITE Internalize Inter	ation	Out	0	0
ITE ITE Oir Split Gross Trips Inte Landuse Code Intensity Rate/Equation In Out In 0.41 70al % 55+ SF Attached 252 176 Dwelling Units 0.25 0.56 0.44 26 44 0.0% 55+ SF Attached 252 176 Dwelling Units 0.25 0.56 0.44 25 49 44 0.0%	rnaliz	ln	0	0
ITE ITE Gross Trips Landuse Code Intensity Rate/Equation In Out In Out Total 55+ SF Attached 252 176 Dwelling Units 0.25 0.56 0.44 25 19 44 Grand Totals: Grand Totals: 0.25 0.56 0.44 25 19 44	Inte	%	0.0%	0.0%
ITE ITE Dir Split Gross Ti Landuse Code Intensity Rate/Equation In Out n Out N 55+ SF Attached 252 176 Dwelling Units 0.25 0.56 0.44 25 19 654 Attached 252 176 Dwelling Units 0.25 0.56 0.44 25 19	rips	Total	44	44
ITE ITE Dir Split Gr Landuse Code Intensity Rate/Equation In Out In Out In Str In Out In <td>oss Ti</td> <td>Out</td> <td>19</td> <td>19</td>	oss Ti	Out	19	19
Landuse ITE Dir Split Landuse Code Intensity Rate/Equation In Out 55+ SF Attached 252 176 Dwelling Units 0.25 0.56 0.44 55+ SF Attached 252 176 Dwelling Units 0.25 0.56 0.44	Ð	ln	25	25
Landuse ITE Dir S 55+ SF Attached 252 176 Dwilling Units 0.25 0.56 55+ SF Attached 252 176 Dwilling Units 0.25 0.56	split	Out	0.44	
Landuse ITE Intensity Rate/Equation 55+ SF Attached 252 176 Dwelling Units 0.25 55+ SF Attached 252 176 Dwelling Units 0.25	Dir (ln	0.56	
Landuse Code Intensity 55+ SF Attached 252 176 Dwelling Units		Rate/Equation	0.25	
Landuse Code I 55+ SF Attached 252 176		ntensity	Dwelling Units	Grand Totals:
Landuse Code 55+ SF Attached 252		-	176	
Landuse 55+ SF Attached	ITE	Code	252	
		Landuse	55+ SF Attached	







TABLE 4 TEST 1 - PROJECT SIGNIFICANCE CALCULATION AM PEAK HOUR

0.5 MILE RADIUS OF DEVELOPMENT INFLUENCE TOTAL AM PEAK HOUR PROJECT TRIPS (ENTERING) = 12 TOTAL AM PEAK HOUR PROJECT TRIPS (EXITING) = 23 **2028 BUILD OUT**

				A	A PEAK HOUF	2			TOTAL	
STATION	I ROADWAY	FROM	то	PROJECT DISTRIBUTION	PROJECT TRIPS	EXISTING LANES	CLASS	LOS D STANDARD	PROJECT IMPACT	PROJECT SIGNIFICAN1
N/A	6TH AVENUE SOUTH	1-95	DIXIE HIGHWAY	25%	9	5	=	1770	0.34%	ON
N/A	6TH AVENUE SOUTH	DIXIE HIGHWAY	FEDERAL HIGHWAY	25%	9	2	=	810	0.74%	NO
N/A	DIXIE HIGHWAY	LAKE AVENUE	6TH AVENUE SOUTH	25%	9	4	=	1680	0.36%	ON
N/A	DIXIE HIGHWAY	6TH AVENUE SOUTH	SITE	20%	12	4	=	1680	0.71%	NO
N/A	DIXIE HIGHWAY	SITE	12TH AVENE SOUTH	25%	9	4	=	1680	0.36%	ON

NOTES: * THE RESIDENTIAL PROJECT DISTRIBUTION DETAILED IN THIS TABLE IS FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY. THE PROPOSED PROJECT IS LOCATED IN A COASTAL RESIDENTIAL EXCEPTION AREA AND THE RESIDENTIAL PORTION IS THEREFORE NOT REQUIRED.



TABLE 5 TEST 1 - PROJECT SIGNIFICANCE CALCULATION PM PEAK HOUR

5 0.5 MILE RADIUS OF DEVELOPMENT INFLUENCE TOTAL PM PEAK HOUR PROJECT TRIPS (ENTERING) = TOTAL PM PEAK HOUR PROJECT TRIPS (EXITING) = 2028 BUILD OUT

20	19
ו ה	
ź	ä
Ľ	ž
-	Ε
Z	ĭ
~	2
Ľ	ñ
Ľ	R
	Ξ
נ	ŝ
ž	3
ŕ	ž
Ľ.	~
5	5
2	ç
2	Ż
ζ.	₹
Ľ	ш
_	5
Ξ	-
ž	Ē
ALR	AL PN

				PI	M PEAK HOUR					
					DIRECTIONAL				TOTAL	
				PROJECT	PROJECT	EXISTING		LOS D	PROJECT	PROJECT
STATIO	N ROADWAY	FROM	то	DISTRIBUTION	TRIPS	LANES	CLASS	STANDARD	IMPACT	SIGNIFICAN
N/A	6TH AVENUE SOUTH	1-95	DIXIE HIGHWAY	25%	9	5	=	1770	0.34%	ON
N/A	6TH AVENUE SOUTH	DIXIE HIGHWAY	FEDERAL HIGHWAY	25%	9	2	=	810	0.74%	N
N/A	DIXIE HIGHWAY	LAKE AVENUE	6TH AVENUE SOUTH	25%	9	4	=	1680	0.36%	N
N/A	DIXIE HIGHWAY	6TH AVENUE SOUTH	SITE	50%	13	4	=	1680	0.77%	NO
N/A	DIXIE HIGHWAY	SITE	12TH AVENE SOUTH	25%	9	4	=	1680	0.36%	NO

н

NOTES: * THE RESIDENTIAL PROJECT DISTRIBUTION DETAILED IN THIS TABLE IS FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY. THE PROPOSED PROJECT IS LOCATED IN A COASTAL RESIDENTIAL EXCEPTION AREA AND THE RESIDENTIAL PORTION IS THEREFORE NOT REQUIRED.

