# CITY OF LAKE WORTH BEACH CITY MANAGER PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

### **RATING:**

1. Unsatisfactory

#### **COMPLETED BY:**

D Needs strengthening

Vice Mayor Dr. Christopher McVoy

- 3. Satisfactory Performance
- 4. Good Performance
- 5. Outstanding Performance

| I. Organizational Management  | RA | RATING: |   | 6÷4=1.5 |   |
|---|----|---------|---|---------|---|
| FREE TO THE TRANSPORT OF T<br>THE TRANSPORT OF THE TRANSPORT OF T | 1  | 2       | 3 | 4       | 5 |
| 1. Provides that the organization complies with appropriate established board procedures.   | Х  |         |   |         |   |
| 2. Plans and organizes ongoing programs and services for the City Commission.   |    | х       |   |         |   |
| 3. Plans and organizes areas of concern brought to the attention of the City Manager by the City Commission or responses to public requests.  |    | Х       |   |         |   |
| 4. Establishes a standard of excellence based on industry best practices for the organization.  | х  |         |   |         |   |

### **COMMENTS/SUGGESTIONS:**

City Mgr reports almost non-existent; content minimal when present; has not kept Comm/public informed Does not use City Mgr unique position in Sunshine Law to promote consensus Has not significantly moved forward most areas of concern: city beautification; historic preservation; tree canopy; tree policy; Pool; L&M St; review of zoning,...

| II. Fiscal Management and Budgeting  | RATING: |   | G: | 14÷6= <b>2.3</b> 3 |   |
|--|---------|---|----|--------------------|---|
|  | 1       | 2 | 3  | 4                  | 5 |
| 1. Plans and prepares the annual budget in accordance with the priorities established by the Mayor and the City Commission with documentation and full explanation of the annual budget. | X       |   |    |                    |   |
| 2. Administers the adopted budget within the framework of the approved revenues and expenditures.  |         | X |    |                    |   |
| 3. Plans and provides for a system of reports, as requested, for the City Commission to evaluate expenses and revenues.  |         | X |    |                    |   |
| 4. Prepares and presents to the City Commission appropriate budgetary transfers, as necessary.   |         |   | Х  |                    |   |
| 5. Ensures actions and decisions reflect an appropriate level of responsibility for financial planning and accountability.   |         |   | Х  |                    |   |
| 6. Plans for, organizes and supervises the most economical utilizations of staff, materials and equipment for City services.   |         |   | Х  |                    |   |

Did not develop and present to Comm/public clear, accessible & meaningful overviews of budget Did not systematically assess what is working well, what not as basis for redirection of budget Slow to (or did not) correct repeated financial issues in electric utility

| III. Intermediate and Long-Range Planning   | RA | RATING: |   | 8÷6= | ÷6=1.33<br>4   5 |  |
|---|----|---------|---|------|------------------|--|
| 마르는 사용하는 것도 있는 사람들이 되면 되었다. 그는 아이 아이와 되는 사용 등에 대한 경험을 받는 것을 되었다. 그는 것을 모르는 것을 보는 것을 보는 것을 보는 것을 보는 것을 받는 것을 받는<br>  | 1  | 2       | 3 | 4    | 5                |  |
| 1. Carries out intermediate and long-range planning concerns approved by the City Commission.   | X  |         |   |      |                  |  |
| 2. Plans and organizes maximum utilization and maintenance of City owned assets.  |    | Х       |   |      |                  |  |
| 3. Plans and organizes a program of addressing the current needs and requirements of infrastructure and infrastructure needs of the future.                             | X  |         |   |      |                  |  |
| 4. Progress towards implementing the City Commission's vision of innovation, problem solving and solution-oriented action.  | X  |         |   |      |                  |  |
| 5. Keeps the Mayor and City Commission aware of new or impending legislation, potential grants and developments in public policy, which may have an impact on the city. |    | X       |   |      |                  |  |
| 6. Maintains knowledge of new technologies, systems and methods that may enhance the City's operations.   | X  |         |   |      |                  |  |

#### **COMMENTS/SUGGESTIONS:**

Drafting of plans has been encouraged but has not materialized

Underutilization of available grant opportunities; lack of plan to identify

Very little visible planning

Do not see particular interest in innovative approaches – e.g., smart cities, forward thinking parking, imaginative data analysis

| IV. Intergovernmental Relationships   | RA' | RATING: |   | 6÷3= <b>2.0</b> |   |
|---|-----|---------|---|-----------------|---|
|   | 1   | 2       | 3 | 4               | 5 |
| 1. Maintains awareness of developments and plans in other jurisdictions, which may impact the City.                                     | Х   |         |   |                 |   |
| 2. Maintains communication with governmental jurisdictions in area of service that improves or enhances City programs.                  |     | Х       |   |                 |   |
| 3. Initiates communication with other governmental entities or outside parties, which the City may be involved with or become involved. |     |         | Х |                 |   |

### **COMMENTS/SUGGESTIONS:**

Has been late in planning for legislative asks

Has not developed plans, built consensus for future asks

Not aware of successful obtaining of city benefits from County

Has there been progress in obtaining more social service benefits from County?

Has there been progress in getting County to send back developer funds?

| RATING: 10÷7 |        | 10÷7=     | =1.43             |   |
|--------------|--------|-----------|-------------------|---|
| 1            | 2      | 3         | 4                 | 5   |
| Х            |        |           |                   |   |
| Х            |        |           |                   |   |
|              | Х      |           |                   |   |
|              |        | Х         |                   |   |
|              | X      |           |                   |   |
|              |        | Х         |                   |   |
| Х            |        |           |                   |   |
|              | 1<br>X | 1 2 X X X | 1 2 3 X X X X X X | 1 2 3 4 X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X |

Severe lack of timely, proactive communication of factual information has deepened chasms in community Customer service issues appear to persist

Deficiencies in communication worsen the impression of service and professionalism

| VI. Management of Employee Relationship  | RA | RATING: |   | 15÷6= <b>2.</b> : |   |
|--|----|---------|---|-------------------|---|
| vi. Wanagement of Employee Trouble in the second of the se | 1  | 2       | 3 | 4                 | 5 |
| 1. Plans, organizes and maintains training of employees through in-house training or outside training.   |    | X       |   |                   |   |
| 2. Maintains regular staff meetings.   |    |         |   | Х                 |   |
| 3. Maintains contact and professional interaction with subordinates at all levels of the organization.   |    | Х       |   |                   |   |
| 4. Encourages teamwork, innovation, and effective problem-solving among the staff members.   |    | X       |   |                   |   |
| 5. Maintains an organization that is efficient, helpful and courteous to the employees.  |    |         | Х |                   |   |
| 6. Establishes and maintains high standards for hiring and retaining employees.  |    | X       |   |                   |   |

Points for courteousness

Serious employee concerns have gone unaddressed for long periods

| VII. Relationship with City Commission   | <b>RATING:</b> 13÷7= |   | 1.86 |   |   |
|--|----------------------|---|------|---|---|
| 는 마시크 하는 사람들은 마시크 등록 하는 사람들이 되었다. 그 전략 등록 시간 등록 기를 함께 함께 되었다. 그는 사람들이 되었다. 그는 사람들이 되었다. 그 사람들이 되었다.<br>소개를 하는 사람들이 되었다. 그는 사람들이 되었다. 그는 사람들이 가장 보고 있는 것이 되었다. 그는 사람들이 되었다면 되었다. 그는 사람들이 되었다면 되었다. 그는 사람들이 되었다면 되었다면 되었다면 되었다면 되었다면 되었다. 그는 사람들이 되었다면 되었다면 되었다면 되었다면 되었다면 되었다면 되었다면 되었다면 | 1                    | 2 | 3    | 4 | 5 |
| 1. Maintains effective communication, both verbal and written with the City Commission.  |                      | Х |      |   |   |
| 2. Maintains availability to the City Commission.  |                      |   | Х    |   |   |
| 3. Provides information needed for City Commission action in a timely manner and is prepared to answer questions of the City Commission.   | Х                    |   |      |   |   |
| 4. Provides regular updates to the Council, keeping them informed about current and critical issues.   | Х                    |   |      |   |   |
| 5. Provides for clear presentations to the City Commission in the most concise, clear and comprehensive manner possible.   |                      | Х |      |   |   |
| 6. Provides the City Commission with various perspectives of an issue and provides a recommendation and reason to support that recommendation.   | X                    |   |      |   |   |
| 7. Implements City Commission actions in accordance with the intent of the Commission and supports the actions after a decision has been reached, both inside and outside the organization.  |                      |   | Х    |   |   |

### **COMMENTS/SUGGESTIONS:**

Very little communication, guidance or involvement during many Commission meetings Backup for critical agenda items frequently insufficient

Very few City Mgr reports; limited content when presented

Uneven handling of Commissioners

| VIII. Professional Development  | RA' | RATING: |   | 9÷4=2.2 |   |  |
|---|-----|---------|---|---------|---|--|
|   | 1   | 2       | 3 | 4       | 5 |  |
| 1. Is the City Manager viewed with respect as compared to others in Public Administration?                                      |     | X       |   |         |   |  |
| 2. Does the City Manager enthusiastically seek and support professional improvement through pertinent seminars and conferences? |     |         | Х |         |   |  |
| 3. Does the City Manager deal effectively with other governmental managers?   |     |         | Х |         |   |  |
| 4. Is the City Manager always interested in learning new techniques or envisioning new ways to conduct business?                | Х   |         |   |         |   |  |

### **COMMENTS/SUGGESTIONS:**

Do not have firsthand observation of respect, effective dealing with other govt mgrs.; guess satisfactory Have not observed interest in innovation, new techniques

**RATING:**  $10 \div 5 = 2.0$ 

| IX. Personal Characteristics   | _ |   |   | _ |  |
|--|---|---|---|---|--|
| 1. Imagination: Does the City Manager show originality in approaching problems? Is she able to visualize the implications of various approaches? | Х |   |   |   |  |
| 2. Objectivity: Is the City Manager unemotional and unbiased? Does she take a rational viewpoint based on facts and qualified opinions?          |   |   | X |   |  |
| 3. Drive: Is the City Manager energetic, willing to spend whatever time is necessary to do a good job?   |   | X |   |   |  |
| 4. Decisiveness: Is the City Manager able to reach timely decisions and initiate action?   | Х |   |   |   |  |
| 5. Attitude: Is the City Manager enthusiastic, cooperative and willing to adapt?   |   |   | Х |   |  |

The City Mgr may well spend long hours but unfortunately they are not effective

Does not appear to be good at delegating

In time management appears to have difficulty winnowing – separating wheat from chaff

Delayed perf review by one year

Lost good candidate for asst director due to delays; very slow to hire asst mgr

Tabulation: Total of 9 categories (average) =  $\_17.2 \div 9 = \_1.9$ Average

| Personal Characteristics Kati  | ngs        | 10: | 15°= . | R = 01 |  |
|--|------------|-----|--------|--------|--|
| 1. Imagination: Does the City Manager show originality in approaching problems? Is she able to visualize the implications of various approaches? | <i>y</i> x |     |        |        |  |
| 2. Objectivity: Is the City Manager unemotional and unbiased? Does she take  |            |     | Х      |        |  |
| a rational viewpoint based on facts and qualified opinions?  |            |     | ^      |        |  |
| 3. Drive: Is the City Manager energetic, willing to spend whatever time is necessary to do a good job?   |            | Х   |        |        |  |
| 4. Decisiveness: Is the City Manager able to reach timely decisions and initiat action?  | e X        |     |        |        |  |
| 5. Attitude: Is the City Manager enthusiastic, cooperative and willing to adapt?   |            |     | Х      |        |  |
| COMMENINGICAL CECENOMIC  |            |     |        |        |  |

The City Mgr may well spend long hours but unfortunately they are not effective

Does not appear to be good at delegating

In time management appears to have difficulty winnowing – separating wheat from chaff

Delayed perf review by one year

Lost good candidate for asst director due to delays; very slow to hire asst mgr

Tabulation: Total of 9 categories (average) =  $_17.2 \div 9 = _1.9$ Average

# **ACHIEVEMENTS**

| List the top three achievements or strong points of the City Manager for the past twelve (12) months.              |
|--|
| Negociation of PBSO contract   |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
| FUTURE DEVELOPMENT   |
| List three performance objectives for the City Manager that you feel are the most important targets for this year. |
| Timely, proactive communication of factual information through website and other means                             |
| Development of written standards for agenda backup   |
| Preparation and presentation of written plans for key areas of city improvement                                    |
| Develop approach for written assessment of city's most critical needs  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
| Signature of Evaluator   |