

Number	Cost	Recommended Cost	Justification	Recommended Action
1A	\$7,611.05	\$7,293.92	Specification 00700 11.07 C.2.a. allows a 15% markup for this work and the contractor claimed 20%.	Approve Cost of \$7,293.92 as the malfunctioning existing valve was an unforeseen site condition.
1B	\$6,385.12	\$6,119.07	Specification 00700 11.07 C.2.a. allows a 15% markup for this work and the contractor claimed 20%.	Approve Cost of \$6,119.07 as this was a requested change in the field and a deviation from the plans.
1C	\$6,776.16	\$6,493.82	Specification 00700 11.07 C.2.a. allows a 15% markup for this work and the contractor claimed 20%.	Approve Cost of \$6,493.82 as this was a requested change in the field and a deviation from the plans.
1D	\$4,063.70	\$3,894.38	Specification 00700 11.07 C.2.a. allows a 15% markup for this work and the contractor claimed 20%.	Approve Cost of \$3,894.38 as this was a requested change in the field and a deviation from the plans.
1E	\$4,267.20	\$0.00	This was the responsibility of the Contractor to find a recipient site and conduct the survey according to the permit. This is a timing issue and was not caused by the City.	Deny Claim.
1F	\$18,960.00	\$18,960.00	Work outside of the original plans that was required by Columbia County. This was all work performed by a sub so the markup of 20% is correct.	Approve Cost of \$18,960.00 as this was a requested change in the field and a deviation from the plans.
1G	\$68,586.60	\$0.00	This rework was required because the Contractor did not follow the requirements in the Contract documents.	Deny Claim.
Total		\$42,761.19		