R. Rainey
mrainey@burr.com

Direct Dial: (813) 367-5761
One Tampa City Center, Suite 3200
201 North Franklin Street
Tampa, FL 33602

Office (813) 221-2626
Fax (813) 221-7335
December 18, 2023

Lake City, Florida Planning and Zoning Board 205 N. Marion Avenue
Lake City, FL 32055

## Re: Application No. SPR 22-15 <br> Owner - GWC Development Partners, LLC ("GWC") Tenant/Operator - Circle K Stores, Inc. ("Circle K") Location - Lot 2 Gateway Crossings (143 NW Centurion Court)

To the Lake City, Florida Planning and Zoning Board:
Our law firm represents Circle K Stores Inc., and for purposes of these proceedings will also be representing the interests of GWC Development Partners, LLC. This memorandum is provided in advance of a specially set hearing scheduled before the Lake City Planning and Zoning Board ("P\&Z") for Wednesday, January 10, 2024, and Wednesday, January 17, 2024. The general overview of what this proceeding concerns is as follows:

1) Circle K seeks to expand its existing location at U.S. Hwy. 90 and I-75, to provided high speed diesel ("HSD") fueling in addition to its existing gasoline fueling and convenience store operations. The HSD facility will have three bays and three diesel pumps. It is not, nor is it intended to be, a "truck stop," which is defined in the Lake City LDR (Sec 2.1, Pg 2-23) as follows:
"A truck stop is an establishment where the principal use is primarily the refueling and servicing of trucks and tractor trailer rigs. Such establishments and may have restaurants or snack bars and sleeping accommodations for the drivers of such over-the-road equipment and may provide facilities for the repair and maintenance of such equipment. "

- There will be no restaurant, snack bar, or sleeping accommodations.
- There will be no facilities for repair and servicing of trucks.
- There will be no overnight parking.
- There will only be six parking bays to allow the driver to enter the store for a short period of time for the purchase of goods or the use of restrooms.

2) Circle $K$ and GWC have complied with all regulatory and legal requirements throughout the process of applying for and obtaining the original approval of the expanded Circle K development. This includes the necessary approvals of Lake City, Columbia County and the FDOT.

- The site plan for the expanded HSD facility was unanimously approved by the Lake City Planning and Zoning Board on July 6, 2022.
- An appeal of the plan was not filed within 30 days following the decision, as required by the LDR.
- The Construction Permit for the expanded HSD facility was issued February 28. 2023.

The currently scheduled hearing comes before P\&Z as a de novo rehearing of a Site Plan Application that was approved in favor of GWC ("Owner") and Circle K. ("Tenant" or "Operator") on July 6, 2022, as highlighted above. P\&Z approved the Site Plan Application in accordance with the City of Lake City's Land Development Regulations. Copies of the Site Plan Application and P\&Z's approval letter are attached as Exhibits "A" and "B," respectively. Also attached as Exhibit "C" is a copy of the June 22, 2022, Review Report submitted by City staff in advance of the hearing, which raised no issue in opposition to the application.

No appeal was taken from the decision by P\&Z, which must be filed with City Council within thirty (30) days following the decision at issue. See, LDR 11.1.1 and 11.1.2. Instead, Gateway Hotels, LLC, the "Appellant" in these proceedings, and a neighboring hotel operator in the Gateway Crossings development, waited more than eight (8) months to challenge the P\&Z decision by filing a Notice of Appeal with the Lake City, Florida Board of Adjustment challenging the issuance of Circle K's New Commercial Construction Permit, \#000046609 (the "Construction Permit") dated February 28, 2023. For procedural reasons discussed in more detail below, the matter is now being presented for a second time to P\&Z.

The project in question involves Circle K's expansion of an existing convenience store operation to include a high speed diesel fueling facility ("HSD Facility") consisting of three fueling bays and three diesel pumps, immediately behind and to the north of the existing convenience store. The plan for the project is included with the attached Site Plan Application, Exhibit "A," and is further detailed in the construction Plans attached as Exhibit "A-1." A copy of the Construction Permit issued by the City of Lake City is attached as Exhibit "D." Appellant has purported to appeal the issuance of this Construction Permit based on the notion that Circle K's expanded convenience store operation amounts to a "Truck Stop" under the applicable LDRs, and requires a "special use" exception under those regulations. The Appellant has repeatedly referred to the Construction Permit (issued February 28, 2023) as a "Development Order," apparently in an effort to extend its appellate rights and gloss over the fact that the appeal was lodged eight months after the substantive decision by $P \& Z$. . It is the position of both GWC and Circle $K$ that the issuance

Lake City, Florida Planning and Zoning Board
December 18, 2023
Page 3
of the Construction Permit was a ministerial act, meaning a non-discretionary, non-judgmental decision by the City staff, prompted by the approval of Circle K's site plan. The site plan was submitted and approved in accordance with the City's applicable Land Development Regulations. A copy of the Minutes of the July 6, 2022, meeting are attached hereto as Exhibit "E."

After issuance of the Construction Permit, and in reliance on the City's land development procedures, Circle K finalized a long term Ground Lease with GWC for the new expansion, and entered into contract with U.S. General Construction, Inc. for the construction of its expansion project. Simply put, the Appellant missed its opportunity to challenge this project as the time for appeal had long since expired, and then attempted to use the issuance of the Construction Permit as way to revive its procedural posture. A plain reading of the 27 page Notice of Appeal reflects a detailed challenge to the Board's July 2022 decision, issues that should have been presented at the public hearing, or at a minimum through a timely appeal of that decision. The permit itself involved no discretionary decision making by the City or any of its boards, but again, was a ministerial act, i.e. a non-discretionary action, that required no decision. In fact, the Appellant acknowledges the site plan approval in its Notice of Appeal, but then argues that there was a modification that was not properly noticed for public hearing. This is a complete "red herring" as there was no material change from the original site plan to the modified version (see attached Exhibit "F") which is included in the Notice of Appeal

In preparing for the upcoming hearing, it appears that the Appellant has been looking at the wrong issue and applicable regulations as they relate to the July 2022 hearing. Appellant has argued since they launched their untimely appeal that a "special use exception" was required for Circle K's HSD Facility, and that the requirements for such an exception were not met. But the reliance on LDR 12.4 is misplaced. This case involves site plan review and approval. Therefore, as a site plan review, as opposed to a "special use exception," no notice was required to be published. The applicable LDR specifically states:

> 13.11.3 Action on Site and Development Plan. The Land Development Regulation Administrator shall forward the application for site and development plan approval along with any comments or criticisms to the Planning and Zoning Board for consideration. The Planning and Zoning Board shall handle such matters in a public session as part of a previously prepared agenda, however, no public notice and hearing is required. All matters relating to Planning and Zoning Board consideration of site and development plans shall be a public record and approval, approval with conditions, or denial shall require formal action of the Planning and Zoning Board. A petition for a zoning amendment and an application for site and development plan approval shall not be handled concurrently. Rather, an application for site and development plan approval shall be heard only after the applicant has secured the appropriate zoning on the subject parcel. Appeals from decisions of the Planning and Zoning Board shall be heard as set out in Article 12 of these land development regulations.

Appeals of such decisions are then governed by Article 12 of the LDRs, and the 30 day limitation period is applicable. Thus, we would again submit that this appeal is untimely as filed, and should certainly not be given an opportunity for reconsideration contrary to Lake City's regulations.
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For these reasons alone, Circle K and GWC would submit that a rejection or dismissal of the appeal is the appropriate response in this instance. Furthermore, a plain reading of the Site Plan Application filed for GWC on April 1, 2022, reflects a "Proposed use of Property" as a "Circle K gas station and high speed diesel station." There was no reference to a "truck stop" which the Appellant seems intent on arguing, and which is defined by the LDRs and referenced in their Notice of Appeal.

> A truck stop is an establishment where the principal use is primarily the refueling and servicing of trucks and tractor trailer rigs. Such establishments may have restaurants or snack bars and sleeping accommodations for the drivers of such over-the-road equipment and may provide facilities for the repair and maintenance of such equipment.

There will be no "servicing of trucks" at this site, there will be no "restaurant or snack bar, "there will be no "sleeping accommodations," and there will be no "repair and maintenance" of trucks. The crux of the issue is that this Appellant is dissatisfied with a substantive decision that the Planning and Zoning Board made in July of 2022. They aren't taking issue with a construction permit, there is no flaw in that piece of paper, except that Appellant argues with the underlying decision that led to its issuance.

Accordingly, as the time for that appeal expired eight months before it was filed, Circle K and GWC would strongly urge dismissal or rejection of the Notice of Appeal and confirmation of the July 2022 site plan approval to be the appropriate remedy in this instance.

Notwithstanding the procedural objections made by GWC and Circle K, and assuming P\&Z intends to rehear the application and reconsider the proposed site plan, there a several substantive points that should be highlighted in advance of that presentation. First, an updated Traffic Impact Analysis has been conducted to include current traffic counts and estimates as to the volume impact this expanded facility will have on local traffic. A copy of that analysis is included for your use and convenience with this memorandum as Exhibit "G.". As one can see, the impacts to traffic on U.S. Hwy 90 will be minimal and of no appreciable adverse impact. In fact, the expansion of Circle K's facility would likely generate significantly less traffic volume going in and out of NW Centurion Court than the new restaurants (Sonic and Rib Crib), the self-storage facility (U-Haul) or a planned second hotel (on a parcel owned by Gateway Hotels, the "Appellant")). Copies of the concurrency analyses, including trip generation estimates, for Sonic, Rib Crib and U-Haul are attached as Composite Exhibit "H."

Circle $K$ had submitted a public records request to the City for copies of any Traffic Impact Analyses for other developments in the Gateway Crossings development. On October 18, 2023, the City produced three Site Plan Applications prepared by JB Pro for U-Haul, Rib Crib and Sonic, and nothing for the Appellant's hotel. All of the applications included a brief Concurrency Impact Analysis, and the Transportation Mobility section of these analyses were all based on the City's Level of Service Standards ("LOS") for traffic impacts. No independent Traffic Impact Analyses were conducted for these other sites. This fact suggests that the other site plan approvals in Gateway Crossing were not put to the same rigorous review that has been required of Circle
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K. Nevertheless, given the marginal increased traffic that is expected to be added due to the HSD expansion, Circle K and GWC would submit that they have carried the burden of establishing, now twice, that the estimated traffic impacts are insufficient grounds for the denial of this site plan application.

Circle K would also add that the FDOT approved this expansion project, and had expressed no concern in connection with the traffic or drainage impacts. Copies of the Driveway Connection Permit and the Drainage Connection Permit issued by FDOT on or about May 18, 2022, are attached as Composite Exhibit "I." Whether the FDOT has changed its position due to communications from the Appellant remains an open issue, but as of the date of this memorandum GWC and Circle K remain in open communication with FDOT concerning the extent and anticipated impact of the proposed HSD facility, and the two permits remain in place.

Gateway Hotels also argues that P\&Z somehow failed to apply the design standards from Section 4.2.6 of the LDRs relating to Automotive Service and Self-Service Stations, but fails to state how those standards were violated. The Appellant's position appears to be based on the conclusion that the provision of diesel fuel that can be accessed by a semi-tractor trailer truck eliminates the location's standing as an Automotive Service Station. This convenient argument ignores the fact that the overwhelming majority of traffic at the combined location is anticipated to be automobiles and that the anticipated truck traffic that will be generated will not materially impact the traffic counts already measured at this intersection. The Appellant ignores the key wording in LDR Section 2.1, which defines Automotive Service Station as "primarily" for automobiles. That is exactly what this combined location will be after completion of the HSD Facility, which facility will be ancillary to the primary convenience store and gasoline fueling functions.

Gateway Hotels then attacks the proposed expansion as a "special use" (a Truck Stop) requiring a "special exception" under the LDRs for construction of the HSD Facility in the CHI District. This point is addressed in some detail above, but additional analysis is warranted here. First, the addition of the HSD Facility is an expansion of an existing operation, the primary function of which is a retail convenience store and automobile fueling location. The Appellant goes into a tortured analysis of what City staff must have been thinking (as there is no evidence in the record to support this conjecture) and then tries to break down what is meant by "servicing of trucks." As stated above, and as will be testified to at the hearing, there will be no servicing of trucks at this location, no restaurant, no showers, no overnight parking etc., all things one associates with a Truck Stop.

And finally, Gateway Hotels appeals to the emotions of this body and the general public, and assails the HSD Facility as incompatible with the character of the CHI district, a use that will somehow endanger the health and safety of persons within the area. Gateway Hotels claims that trucks entering NW Centurion Court will endanger pedestrians and other drivers, will block traffic on both sides of the road, and will create more "smoke, odor, noise ... fumes, gas, vibration, ... and emission of particulate matter," all in violation of the LDRs. . But the Appellant has ignored that Section 4.15 of the LDRs, relating to a Commercial Highway Interchange, specifically allows for service stations, truck rental, wholesale distribution activities, and light manufacturing, all of
which create truck traffic. As such, Circle K would respectfully submit that the objections here are not only untimely, but quite selective in their application.

For the foregoing reasons, Circle K Stores Inc. and GWC Development Partners, LLC would respectfully request that the Planning and Zoning Board approve SPR 22-15 based upon the competent substantial evidence provided to the Board, and find that the expanded use at this location is consistent with the CHI zoning for the subject area and that the traffic impacts to the surrounding property owners and general public are consistent with the level of service standards that have been adopted by the City of Lake City.

Sincerely,

R. Marshall Rainey, Esq.

Counsel for Circle K Stores Inc.

RR/pt



## APPLLCANT INFORMATION

| Name: | Jarod C. Stubbs, P.E. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Address: | 189 S Orange Ave., Ste 1000, Orlando, FL |
| Phonc: | $\frac{(407) 409-7002}{\text { jarod.stubbs@kimley-horn.com }}$ |
| Email: |  |

Date: 09/01/2021

| Business <br> Name: | Kimley-Horn and Associates |
| :--- | :--- |
| Business <br> Address: | 189 South Orange Ave., Suite 1000 |
|  |  |

## SUBUECC PROPERTYINFORMATION

| Address: | NE comer of US hwy 90 and NWW Carlurion C1 (behtind the Circtio K) | Propenty Owncr : | GWC Development Partners, LLC |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parcel IDA: | 35-3s-16-02524-102; and 35-3s-16-02524-111 |  |  |
| Existing Use: | Vacant Commercial | Owner Address | 2682 NW Noegel Rd |
| Zoning District: | CHI Commercial Highway Intensive |  | Lake City, FL 32055 |

DESCRIPTION OF REOUEST (may be attached, separately)
PLEASE PROVIDE AS MUCH DETAIL AS POSSIBLE SO THAT STAFF CAY BE PRFPARED TO ADDRESS YOUR QUESTIONS. Please include information regarding:

- Proposed use
- Proposed improvements to building and/or sitc

The proposed project is to be a high speed diesel expansion to the existing Circle $K$ with related parking, underground fuel storage tanks, and other necessary improvements. The project is anticipated to take up space on both parcels listed in this application. Expected new impervious area for the project is $+/-49,850$ square feet. The existing Circle K will also have improvements including a building expansion for additional restrooms and an adjustment to the parking spaces to allow space for said expansion. See attached site plan for more detail.

## SUBMIT WITH THIS FORM

- Copy of survey or sketch of location/building
- Sketch of any proposed improvements
- Any other infornation that will help in review of the proposal

SUBAIT COMPLETED FORM AND DOCUMENTS TO:
Mail: Lake City Growth Management Department, 205 N Marion Ave, Lake Cily, FL 32055
Email: growthmanagementable Пa.com
Fax: 386-758-5426
If you have any further questions, please contact Growth Management, 386-719-5750


 $\mathbb{N T E R S T A T E}$




GROWTH MANAGEMENT
205 North Marion Ave.
Lake City, FL 32055
Telephone: (386)719-5750 E-Mail: growthmanagement@lcfla.com

## FOR PLANNING USE ONLY

Application \# SPR22-16
Application Fee: $\$ 200.00$
ReceiptNo.
Filing Date 4/1/22
Completeness Date

# Site Plan Application 

## A. PROJECT INFORMATION

1. Project Name:CIRCLEK - US 90 \& J-75
2. Address of Subject Property: 143 NW Centurion Ctu Lake City, FL 32055
3. Parcel ID Number(s):35-3S-16-02524-001, 35-3S-16-02524-102, 35-3S-16-02524-111
4. Future Land Use Map Designation: Commercial
5. Zoning Designation: CHI - Commercial Highway Interchange
6. Acreage: $\pm 3.46$
7. Existing Use of Property:Existing Circle K gas station and convenience store
8. Proposed use of Property:Circle K gas station and high speed diesel station
9. Type of Development (Check All That Apply):
( ) Increase of floor area to an existing structure: Total increase of square footage $\pm 652 \mathrm{SF}$
( $)$ New construction: Total square footage $\pm 54.470$ SF
() Relocation of an existing structure: Total square footage

## B. APPLICANT INFORMATION

1. ApplicantStatus

- Owner (title holder)
X Agent

2. Name of Applicant(s): Jarod Stubbs P.E. $\qquad$ Title: Civil Engineer
Company name (if applicable): Kimley-Horn
Mailing Address: 189 S. Orange Ave, Suite 1000
City:Orlando
State:EL
Zip: 32801
Telephone:(407)409-7002 Fax:( ,) Email:|arod.stubbs@kimley-horn.com PLBASE NOTE: Florida has a very broad public records law. Most written communications to or from government officials regarding government business is subject to public records requests. Your e-mail address and communications may be subject to public disclosure.
3. If the applicant is agent for the property owner**

Property Owner Name (title holder): Daniel Hotte of GWC Development Partners, LLC
Mailing Address:2682 W Noegel Rd
City:Lake City
State:FL
Zip:32055
Telephone: (407) 580-5173 Fax: $\qquad$ ) Email:dberry@shafferconst.com PLEASE NOTE: Florida has a very broad public records law. Most written communications to or from government officials regarding government business is subject to public records requests. Your e -mail address and communications may be subject to public disclosure. *Must provide an executed Property Owner Affidavit Form authorizing the agent to act on behalf of the property owner.

## C. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

1. Is there any additional contract for the sale of, or options to purchase, the subject property?

If yes, list the names of all parties involved:
If yes, is the contract/option contingent or absolute: $\quad$ Contingent $\square$ Absolute
2. Has a previous application been made on all or part of the subject property? $\square \mathrm{Yes}$ X No Future Land Use Map Amendment: $\quad$ Yes
$\square$ No $\qquad$
Future Land Use Map Amendment Application No.
Site Specific Amendment to the Official Zoning Atlas (Rezoning): םYes $\qquad$ ONo
Site Specific Amendment to the Official Zoning Atlas (Rezoning) Application No.
Variance:ロYes $\qquad$
Variance Application No.
Special Exception: $\quad$ Yes__ $\quad \square$ No
Special Exception Application No.

## D. ATTACHMENT/SUBMITTAL REQUIREMENTS

凹. Vicinity Map - Indicating general location of the site, abutting streets, existing utilities, complete legal description of the property in question, and adjacent land use.
2. Site Plan-Including, but not limited to the following:
6. Name, location, owner, and designer of the proposed development.
b. Present zoning for subject site.

Location of the site in relation to surrounding properties, including the means of ingress and egress to such properties and any screening or buffers on such properties.
U. Date, north arrow, and graphic scale not less than one inch equal to 50 feet.
t. Area and dimensions of site (Survey).
f. Location of all property lines, existing right-of-way approaches, sidewalks, curbs, and gutters.
g. Access to utilities and points of utility hook-up.
b. Location and dimensions of all existing and proposed parking areas and loading areas.

Location, size, and design of proposed landscaped areas (including existing trees and required landscaped buffer areas).

* $^{\prime}$ Location and size of any lakes, ponds, canals, or other waters and waterways.

Structures and major features fully dimensioned including setbacks, distances between structures, floor area, width of driveways, parking spaces, property or lot lines, and percent of property covered by structures.
f. Location of trash receptacles.
m. For multiple-family, hotel, motel, and mobile home park site plans:
i. Tabulation of gross acreage.
ii. Tabulation of density.
iii. Number of dwelling units proposed.
iv. Location and percent of total open space and recreation areas.
v. Percent of lot covered by buildings.
vi. Floor area of dwelling units.
vii. Number of proposed parking spaces.
viii. Street layout.
ix. Layout of mobile home stands (for mobile home parks only).
8. Stormwater Management Plan-Including the following:
a. Existing contours at one foot intervals based on U.S. Coast and Geodetic Datum.
b. Proposed finished elevation of each building site and first floor level.
c. Existing and proposed stormwater management facilities with size and grades.
d. Proposed orderly disposal of surface water runoff.
e. Centerline elevations along adjacent streets.
f. Water management district surface water management permit.
๗. Fire Department Access and Water Supply Plan: The Fire Department Access and Water Supply Plan must demonstrate compliance with Chapter 18 of the Florida Fire Prevention Code, be located on a separate signed and sealed plan sheet, and must be prepared by a professional fire engineer licensed in the State of Florida. The Fire Department Access and Water Supply Plan must contain fire flow calculations in accordance with the Guide for Determination of Required Fire Flow, latest edition, as published by the Insurance Service Office ("ISO") and/or Chapter 18, Section 18.4 of the Florida Fire Prevention Code, whichever is greater.
5. Concurrency Impact Analysis: Concurrency Impact Analysis of impacts to public facilities. For commercial and industrial developments, an analysis of the impacts to Transportation, Potable Water, Sanitary Sewer, and Solid Waste impacts are required.
16. Comprehensive Plan Consistency Analysis: An analysis of the application's consistency with the Comprehensive Plan (analysis must identify specific Goals, Objectives, and Policies of the Comprehensive Plan and detail how the application complies with said Goals, Objectives, and Policies).
D. Legal Description with Tax Parcel Number (In Word Format).
18. Proof of Ownership (i.e. deed).
4. Agent Authorization Form (signed and notarized).

1. Proof of Payment of Taxes (can be obtained online via the Columbia County Tax Collector's Office).
$\downarrow$. Fee. The application fee for a Site and Development Plan Application is $\$ 200.00$. No application shall be accepted or processed until the required application fee has been paid.

## NOTICE TO APPLICANT

All eleven (11) attachments are required for a complete application. Once an application is submitted and paid for, a completeness review will be done to ensure all the requirements for a complete application have been met. If there are any deficiencies, the applicant will be notified in writing. If an application is deemed to be incomplete, it may cause a delay in the scheduling of the application before the Planning \& Zoning Board.

A total of ten (10) copies of proposed site plan application and all support materials must be submitted along with a PDF copy on a CD. See City of Lake City submittal guidelines for additional submittal requirements.

THE APPLICANT ACKNOWLEDGES THAT THE APPLICANT OR AGENT MUST BE PRESENT AT THE PUBLIC HEARING BEFORETHE PLANNING AND ZONING BOARD, AS ADOPTED IN THE BOARD RULES AND PROCEDURES, OTHERWISE THE REQUEST MAY BE CONTINUED TO A EUTURE HEARING DATE

I hereby certify that all of the above statements and statements contained in any documents or plans submitted herewith are true and accurate to the best of my knowledge and belief.


Applicant/Agent Name (Type or Print)


Applicant/Agent Signature

Applicant/Agent Name (Type or Print)

$\square$
$\qquad$
Date

STATE OF FLORIDA
COUNTY OF Orange
The foregoing instrument was acknowledged before me this $8^{\text {th }}$ day of June 2022 by (name of person acknowledging).











|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |

















## EXHIBIT

$\square$


July 7, 2022

Circle K - US 90 \& I-75
143 NW Centurion Ct
Lake City Fl 32055

To Whom it May Concern

This is to inform you that Petition \# SPR22-15 requesting a Site Plan Review on parcel 35-3S-16-02524-001, 102, and 111 which is in a Commercial Highway Interchange (CHI) zoning district was approved by the Planning and Zoning/Board of Adjustment on July 6, 2022.

If I can be of further assistance to you, please feel free to contact me at 386-752-2031 ext 820 or email at angelor@lcfla.com.

Sincerely,

Robert Angelo
Planning and Zoning Tech.

## EXHIBIT



## REVIEW REPORT TO PLANNING AND ZONING, BOARD OF ADJUSTMENT AND HISTORICAL COMMITTEES' BY STAFF <br> FOR SITE PLAN REVIEW, SPECIAL EXCEPTIONS, VARIANCES, COMPREHENSIVE PLAN AMENDMENTS/ ZONING AND CERTIFICATE OF APPROPRIATENESS

## Date: 6/15/22

Request Type: Site Plan Review (SPR) $\square$ Special Exception (SE) $\square$ Variances (V) $\qquad$
Comprehensive Plan Amendment/Zoning (CPA/Z) $\square$ Certificate of Appropriateness (COA) $\square$
$\qquad$ e-mail

The City of Lake City staff has reviewed the application and documents provided for the above request and have determined the following:

Growth Management - Building Department, Planning and Zoning, Code Enforcement, Permitting Building Department: Approved $\square$ Disapproved $\qquad$ Reviewed by: $\qquad$ Comments: $N / A$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
Planning and Zoning: Approve $\square$ Disapprove $\square$ Reviewed by: Robert Angelo
Comments: No Concerns at this time
No Concerns at this time

Business License: Approve $\square$ Disapprove $\square$ Reviewed by: Marshall Sova Comments: No Concerns at this time
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
Code Enforcement: Approve $\triangle$ Disapprove $\square$ Reviewed by: Marshall Sova

## Comments: <br> No Concerns at this time

$\qquad$
$\qquad$

Permitting: Approve $\triangle$ Disapprove $\square$ Reviewed by: Ann Jones
Comments: No Concerns at this time

## No Concerns at this time

Utilities - Water, Sewer, Gas, Water Distribution/Collections, Customer Service

Water Department: Approved $\square$ Disapproved $\square$ Reviewed by: $\qquad$ Comments: N/A
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

Sewer Department: Approved $\square$ Disapproved $\square$ Reviewed by: $\qquad$ Comments: N/A
$\qquad$
$\qquad$ Gas Department: Approved $\triangle$ Disapproved $\square$ Reviewed by: Steve Brown Comments: No Concerns at this time
$\qquad$
$\qquad$ WaterDistribution/Collection:Approved $\triangle$ Disapproved $\square$ Reviewed by Brian Scott Comments:
If they do not use the taps in place they will be required to make new ones and cut and cap sewer and dig to water main and shut off before construction.

Utility Plan 6.0 dated $05 / 04 / 22$ references a 1 " water meter and an existing 6 " sewer tap. A tap application would be required to access city utilities Comments: $\qquad$
The tap fees, impact fees and utility deposits will be calculated upon approval of the tap application. A floor plan with detailed fixture units of the restroom addition

Public Safety - Public Works, Fire Department, Police Department

Public Works: Approved $\triangle$ Disapproved $\square$ Reviewed by: Steve Brown
Comments: No Concerns at this time
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

Fire Department: Approve $\square$ Disapprove $\square$ Reviewed by: Assistant Chief Boozer Comments: No Concerns at this time
$\qquad$
$\qquad$ Police Department: Approve $\triangle$ Disapprove $\square$ Reviewed by Assistant Chief Andy Comments: No Concerns at this time
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

Please provide separate pages for comments that will not fit in provided spaces and please label the pages for your department and for the project.

## EXHIBIT

## City of Lake City, FL. New Commercial Construction Permit \#000046609 Issued February 28, 2023

*Request inspections by calling 386-719-2023 or visiting https://www.columblacountyfla.com/PermitSearch/lnspectionCalendar.aspx
OWNER: GWC DEVELOPMENT PARTNERS
PHONE: 800-280-0780
LLC
PARCEL: 35-3S-16-02524-102 ZONING:
FLOOD ZONE: X Coords: 30.18,-82.69
SUBDIVISION: GATEWAY CROSSING A REPLAT OF LOTS 2,3 \& 11
LOT: 2 BLK: PHASE: UNIT:
ACRES: 1.03

## CONTRACTORS

NAME: CHRISTOPHER PEDEN

ADDRESS:
11245 OLD ROSWELL RD
ALPHARETTA, GA 30009

PHONE: 770-595-4317
LICENSE: CBC1265254 - BUSINESS: US GENERAL CONSTRUCTION INC

| License | License Title | Contractor | Business |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| EC0001861 | CERT. ELECTRICAL | BILLY J PARMER | K\& D ELECTRIC |
| CFC1427145 | PLUMBING CONTRACTOR | CODY BARRS | BARRS PLUMBING INC |
| CCC1333195 | CERT. ROOFING | JAMES M HORSLEY | HORSLEY CONSTRUCTION GROUP INC |

PROJECT DETAILS
THIS IS THE CONSTRUCTION OF A:: Diesel Canopy and Underground Storage Tanks
DESCRIBE COMMERCIAL USE:: $\quad$ Convenience Store with Fuel $\&$ Diesel
HEATED AREA (SQFT)
TOTAL AREA (SQFT) 1680
STORIES: 0
BUILDING HEIGHT:
DRIVEWAY ACCESS TO PROPERTY: D.O.T. Permil
IS THERE A FIRE SPRINKLER SYSTEM?: No
DEV PERMIT\#:

SERVICE AMPS
800
2020 Florida Building Code 7th Edition and 2017 National Electrical Code
BUILDING CODE EDITION: 12023C0290D
SEALED ROOF DECKING OPTIONS. (MUST SELECT ONE.): Other (explain)

NOTICE: Addition to the requirements of this permit, there may be restrictions applicable to this property that may be found in the public records of this county and there may be additional permits required from other governmental entities such as water management districts, state or federal agencies.
> "WARNING TO OWNER: YOUR FAILURE TO RECORD A NOTICE OF COMMENCEMENT MAY RESULT IN YOUR PAYING TWICE FOR IMPROVEMENTS TO YOUR PROPERTY. A NOTICE OF COMMENCEMENT MUST BE POSTED ON THE JOB SITE BEFORE THE FIRST INSPECTION. IF YOU INTEND TO OBTAIN FINANCING, CONSULT WITH YOUR LENDER OR AN ATTORNEY BEFORE RECORDING YOUR NOTICE OF COMMENCEMENT."

NOTICE: All work is to be completed in accordance with the permitted plans and applicable codes of Columbia County, Florida. In order to maintain a valid permit the work authorized must commence within 180 days of issuance and have an approved inspection within every 180 days thereafter.


## City of Lake City, FL. <br> Additions Permit \#000046606 Issued February 28, 2023

*Request inspections by calling 386-719-2023 or visiting hitps:/hww.columbiacountyfla.com/PermitSearch/InspectionCalendar.aspx
OWNER: ASPRI INVESTMENTS LLC PHONE: 800-280-0780 ADDRESS: 143 NW CENTURION CT LAKE CITY, FL 32055

PARCEL: 35-3S-16-02524-001 ZONING:
SUBDIVISION: GATEWAY CROSSING
LOT: 1 BLK: PHASE: UNIT: ACRES: 1.97

| CONTRACTORS | ADDRESS: | PHONE: 770-595-4317 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| NAME: CHRISTOPHER PEDEN | 11245 OLD ROSWELL RD |  |
| ALPHARETTA, GA 30009 | LICENSE: CBC1265254 - |  |

BUSINESS: US GENERAL CONSTRUCTIONINC

| License | License Title | Contractor | Business |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EC0001861 | CERT. ELECTRICAL | BILLY J PARMER | K \& D ELECTRIC |
| CFC1427145 | PLUMBING CONTRACTOR | CODY BARRS | BARRS PLUMBING INC |
| CCC1333195 | CERT. ROOFING | JAMES M HORSLEY | HORSLEY CONSTRUCTION GROUP INC |

## PROJECT DETAILS

IS THIS AN ADDITION FOR COMMERCIAL OR RESIDENTIAL USE?:
DESCRIPTION OF ADDITION::
TOTAL ESTIMATED COST:
HEATED AREA (SQFT):
TOTAL AREA (SQFT)
STORIES:
BUILDING HEIGHT:
SELECT DRNEWAY ACCESS TO PROPERTY: D.O.T. Permil
FIRE SPRINKLERS?:
SETBACKS FRONT:
SETBACK SIDE 1:
SETBACK SIDE 2:
SETBACK REAR:
SERVICE AMPS:
Commercial
Restroom expansion \& dumpster enclosure 344500

6327

DEV PERMIT \#:
BUILDING CODE EDITION:
2020 Florida Building Code 7th Edition and 2017 National Electrical Code 12023C0290D

NOTICE: Addition to the requirements of this permit, there may be restrictions applicable to this property that may be found in the public records of this county and there may be additional permits required from other governmental entities such as water management districts, state or federal agencies.

## "WARNING TO OWNER: YOUR FAILURE TO RECORD A NOTICE OF COMMENCEMENT MAY RESULT IN YOUR PAYING TWICE FOR IMPROVEMENTS TO YOUR PROPERTY. A NOTICE OF COMMENCEMENT MUST BE POSTED ON THE JOB SITE BEFORE THE FIRST INSPECTION. IF YOU INTEND TO OBTAIN FINANCING, CONSULT WITH YOUR LENDER OR AN ATTORNEY BEFORE RECORDING YOUR NOTICE OF COMMENCEMENT."

NOTICE: All work is to be completed in accordance with the permitted plans and applicable codes of Columbia County, Florida. In order to maintain a valid permit the work authorized must commence within 180 days of issuance and have an approved inspection within every 180 days thereafter.
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# Meeting Minutes <br> Planning and Zoning 

Date: 07/06/2022

## Roll Call:

Mr. Lydick-Present
Mr. Cooper-Present
Mr. Nelson-Present
Ms. Georgalis-Present

Mr. Carter-Present
Mrs. McKellum-Present
Mr. McMahon-Not Present

Approval of Past Minutes-Approve the minutes of the 07/06/2022 Meeting.
Motion By: Mr. Carter
Seconded By: Mr. Lydick

## Comments or Revisions:

Move approval tally to after the motion to approve. Fix Mr. McMahon attendance from not present to present.

Old Business: None

New Business:

Petition \# SPR22-15 Presented By: Theodore Martell
As owner or agent and gives address of: Kimley Horne of Orlando
Petitioner is Sworn in by: Ms.Georgalis

## Discussion:

Robert introduced the project at the request of Ms. Georgalis. Robert stated that the project was to add high flow diesel pumps behind Circle K on Hwy 90 and 1-75. Robert stated that all the director and staff were ok with the project at the current time. Robert stated that is met the requirements of the LDR section 4.15.2.1. Theodore presented the project to the board. He stated that the project was to add high flow diesel pumps to the rear of the building.

Motion to close Public Hearing: Mr. Lydick
Motion Seconded By: Mr. Carter
Motion to Approve/Deny By: Mr. Carter
Motion Seconded By: Mr. Nelson
Voted Approved/Denied: Approved unanimously
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### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

Kimley-Horn has been retained by Circle $K$ to analyze and document the traffic impacts associated with the expansion of a gas station and Circle $K$ convenience market on the northeast quadrant of the intersection of US Highway 90 (US 90) and Centurion Court/SW Florida Gateway Drive in Lake City, Florida.

This Traffic Impact Analysis (TIA) was originally submitted in March 2022 and approved in September 2022. At the request of Lake City staff, the TIA has been updated to reflect existing (2023) conditions and a revised buildout year 2024.

There is an existing 4,968 square foot convenience market with 24 vehicle fueling positions (VFP) on the site. The project location is shown in Figure 1.

The applicant is proposing to add a 900 square foot expansion to the convenience market and 3 vehicle fueling positions designed for diesel trucks. The conceptual site plan is provided in Appendix A.

The study area for this traffic impact analysis includes the project driveways and the signalized intersection of US 90 and Centurion Court/SW Florida Gateway Drive, as shown in Figure 1.


### 2.0 EXISTING CONDITIONS ANALYSIS

### 2.1 EXISTING TRAFFIC DATA

Turning movement counts (TMCs) were collected at the study intersection on Thursday, October 5, 2023, during the AM (7:00 AM - 9:00 AM) and PM (4:00 PM - 6:00 PM) peak periods. Raw turning movement counts are provided in Appendix B.

Turning movement volumes were adjusted using the peak season conversion factor (PSCF) from the Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT) Florida Traffic Online (FTO). Seasonal factor data is included in Appendix B. Existing signal timings were provided by Lake City staff for use in the analysis. Signal timing worksheets are included in Appendix B.

Figure 2 illustrates turning movement volumes for existing peak season conditions at the study intersection. The intersection volume development worksheet can be found in Appendix C.

### 2.2 EXISTING INTERSECTION CONDITIONS

Intersection capacity analyses were performed for existing (2023) conditions using the operational analysis procedures outlined in the latest Highway Capacity Manual, $6^{\text {th }}$ Edition (HCM 6). Specifically, Synchro (v11) software was used to evaluate existing operational conditions at the study area intersection by reporting delay, level of service (LOS), volume-to-capacity ( $\mathrm{V} / \mathrm{c}$ ) ratios, and the $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue for each movement. Table 1 summarizes the operational analyses for the existing AM and PM peak hour conditions at the study intersection. Synchro outputs are provided in Appendix D.

Table 1: Existing Intersection Conditions

|  |  | AM Peak Hour |  |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Delay (sec/veh) | LOS | v/c Ratio | 95th percentile queue (veh) | Delay (sec/veh) | LOS | v/c Ratio | 95th percentile queue (veh) |
|  | Overall Intersection | 14.4 | B | - | - | 10.6 | B | - | - |
|  | Eastbound | 13.1 | B | - | - | 8.0 | A | - | - |
|  | EBL | 68 | A | 0.11 | 05 | 56 | A | 01 | 03 |
|  | EBT | 133 | B | 061 | 161 | 81 | A | 045 | 109 |
|  | EBT/R | 132 | $B$ | 061 | 167 | 80 | A | 045 | 113 |
|  | Westbound | 9.3 | A | - | - | 7.4 | A | $\bullet$ | - |
| US 90 | WBL | 88 | A | 010 | 04 | 52 | A | 017 | 07 |
| d | WBT | 95 | A | 046 | 105 | 7.6 | A | 051 | 11.9 |
| Cen | WBR | 65 | A | 0.07 | 1.0 | 4.6 | A | 007 | 11 |
|  | Northbound | 52.1 | D | - | - | 65.4 | E | $\bullet$ | - |
|  | NBL | 51.9 | D | 010 | 1.1 | 660 | $E$ | 015 | 15 |
|  | NBT/R | 522 | D | 027 | 29 | 648 | E | 02 | 18 |
|  | Southbound | 59.8 | E | - | - | 70.3 | E | - | - |
|  | SBL | 61.4 | E | 058 | 64 | 71.8 | E | 052 | 53 |
|  | SBT/R | 505 | D | 009 | 10 | 642 | E | 014 | 13 |

The intersection of US 90 and Centurion Court operates with LOS B during existing (2023) AM peak hour and PM peak hour conditions. All movements operate with v/c ratios less than 1.00 under existing (2023) AM and PM peak hour conditions. The northbound approach operates with LOS D during the AM peak hour and LOS E during the PM peak hour. The southbound approach operates with LOS E during the AM and PM peak hours. The higher delay on the northbound and southbound approaches is due to the prioritization of green time for the mainline US 90 movements.


### 3.0 PROJECT DEVELOPMENT

The existing site currently has 24 VFPs and a 4,968 square foot Circle K convenience store. The proposed expansion will add approximately 900 square feet to the existing convenience market and 3 VFPs north of the existing site. The latest industry standards were referenced to evaluate the amount of new external trips to be generated by the site at buildout.

### 3.1 SITE ACCESS

Access to the site is proposed via two existing driveways and one new driveway along Centurion Court, as shown in the site plan provided in Appendix $\mathbf{A}$.

### 3.2 TRIP GENERATION

Trip generation and pass-by rates for the proposed development were calculated using the $11^{\text {th }}$ Edition of the Institute of Transportation Engineers' (ITE) Trip Generation Manual. Land Use Code (LUC) 945 (Gas Station with Convenience Market) was used to calculate the trip generation potential for the existing and proposed development.

The trip generation potential of the existing Circle K convenience store and gas station was compared to observed traffic volumes on Centurion Court north of US 90 in the reviewed and approved TIA dated March 2022. Table 2 summarizes the comparison of the calculated trip generation potential of the existing development and the observed peak hour volumes on Centurion Court.

Table 2: Existing Site Trip Generation Comparison

|  | AM Peak Hour |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | In (NB) | Out (SB) | Total | In (NB) | Out (SB) |
| ITE Trip Generation Manual | 649 | 325 | 324 | 546 | 273 | 273 |
| Observed Peak Season Traffic | 201 | 106 | 95 | 220 | 115 | 105 |

Since the existing AM and PM peak hour traffic volumes were significantly less than the trip generation potential of the existing development, the trip generation calculations for the proposed expansion to the convenience store and gas station were adjusted proportionately to reflect actual conditions anticipated at the site under buildout conditions.

Table 3 provides the AM peak hour and PM peak hour trip generation calculations for the proposed expansion and the adjustment applied based on the existing trip generation comparison. A factor of 0.31 (201/649) was applied to the AM peak hour trip generation calculations, and a factor of 0.40 (220/546) was applied to the PM peak hour trip generation calculations in accordance with the comparison illustrated in Table 2.

As summarized in Table 3, the proposed expansion is anticipated to generate 16 net new AM peak hour trips ( 8 inbound and 8 outbound) and 18 net new PM peak hour trips ( 9 inbound and 9 outbound) to the external roadway network at buildout. In addition, the proposed expansion is anticipated to generate 48 AM peak hour pass-by trips ( 24 inbound and 24 outbound) and 54 PM peak hour pass-by trips (27 inbound and 27 outbound). A detailed table, including all trip generation calculations and adjustments, is provided in Appendix E.

Table 3: Trip Generation Summary

|  | AM Peak Hour |  |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | In (NB) | Out (SB) | Total | In (NB) | Out (SB) |  |  |
| ITE Trip Generation Manual (Net New) | 50 | 25 | 25 | 46 | 23 | 23 |  |  |
| ITE Trip Generation Manual (Pass-by) | 204 | 102 | 102 | 180 | 90 | 90 |  |  |
| Adjustment Factor | 0.31 |  |  |  |  | 0.40 |  |  |
| Adjusted Net New Trips | 16 | 8 | 8 | 18 | 9 | 9 |  |  |
| Adjusted Pass-by Trips | 48 | 24 | 24 | 54 | 27 | 27 |  |  |

### 3.3 TRIP DISTRIBUTION

The project's trip distribution was developed based on observed traffic patterns within the study area roadway network and engineering judgment. Figure 3 displays the anticipated trip distribution for the proposed Circle K gas station expansion at buildout.

### 3.4 TRIP ASSIGNMENT

Site distribution percentages were used to assign anticipated project trips to the study area intersection and driveways. Figure 4 shows the anticipated AM and PM peak hour project movements at the study area intersection and project driveways.



### 4.0 BACKGROUND CONDITIONS ANALYSIS - YEAR 2024

### 4.1 HISTORICAL TRAFFIC GROWTH

A historical traffic growth rate was calculated based upon the nearest historical Annual Average Daily Traffic (AADT) data available from FTO. A $3.61 \%$ annual historical growth rate was calculated based on the average traffic growth exhibited over the past five (5) years from an FDOT count station located east of the project site on US 90; 2020 and 2021 AADT data were removed from the calculation due to the COVID-19 pandemic effect on travel patterns. The growth trend worksheet can be found in Appendix $F$.

### 4.2 BACKGROUND TRAFFIC

Traffic conditions were evaluated for the year 2024 background conditions prior to the addition of project traffic. Background volumes at the study area intersection were derived by applying $3.61 \%$ annual growth to existing (2023) traffic counts. Figure 5 illustrates AM peak hour and PM peak hour turning movement volumes for background conditions at the study intersection. The intersection volume development worksheet can be found in Appendix C.

### 4.3 BACKGROUND INTERSECTION ANALYSIS

Intersection operational analyses were performed for 2024 background conditions in the AM and PM peak hours using procedures outlined in the Highway Capacity Manual 6 with Synchro (v11) software. Table 4 summarizes the operational analyses for the 2024 background AM and PM peak hour conditions at the study intersection. Synchro outputs are provided in Appendix D.

Table 4: Background Intersection Conditions

|  |  | AM Peak Hour |  |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Delay (seclveh) | Los | v/c Ratio | 95th percentile queue (veh) | Delay (seciveh) | LOS | v/c Ratio | 95th percentile queue (vah) |
|  | Overall Intersection | 15.0 | B | - | - | 11.1 | B | - | - |
|  | Eastbound | 13.9 | B | - | - | 8.5 | A | - | - |
|  | EBL | 7.1 | A | 012 | 05 | 61 | A | 011 | 04 |
|  | EBT | 14.1 | B | 063 | 17.1 | 86 | A | 047 | 116 |
|  | EBT/R | 14.0 | B | 063 | 178 | 85 | A | 047 | 120 |
|  | Westbound | 9.7 | A | - | - | 7.9 | A | - | - |
| US 90 | WBL | 96 | A | 011 | 04 | 57 | A | 018 | 07 |
| $8$ | WBT | 99 | A | 048 | 11.1 | 81 | A | 053 | 128 |
| Centurion Court | WBR | 66 | A | 0.07 | 1.1 | 48 | A | 007 | 11 |
|  | Northbound | 51.8 | D | - | $\bullet$ | 64.9 | E | - | - |
|  | NBL | 51.7 | D | 011 | 12 | 65.6 | E | 015 | 1.5 |
|  | NBT/R | 51.9 | D | 028 | 30 | 64.3 | E | 021 | 19 |
|  | Southbound | 59.9 | E | - | - | 70.0 | E | - | - |
|  | SBL | 61.8 | E | 059 | 67 | 71.7 | E | 054 | 56 |
|  | SBT/R | 502 | D | 01 | 11 | 637 | E | 015 | 14 |

The intersection of US 90 and Centurion Court is expected to operate with LOS B during background (2024) AM peak hour and PM peak hour conditions. All movements are expected to operate with v/c ratios less than 1.00 under background (2024) AM and PM peak hour conditions. The northbound and southbound approaches are expected to continue to operate with LOS E or better during the AM and PM peak hours due to the prioritization of green time for the mainline US 90 movements.


### 5.0 BUILDOUT CONDITIONS ANALYSIS - YEAR 2024

### 5.1 BUILDOUT TRAFFIC

Future traffic conditions for the proposed development were evaluated for the year 2024 conditions with the inclusion of project traffic. Buildout volumes were developed by adding anticipated project trips to background (2024) volumes. Figure 6 illustrates the projected turning movement volumes under buildout AM and PM peak hour conditions at the study intersection. The intersection volume development worksheet can be found in Appendix C.

### 5.2 BUILDOUT INTERSECTION ANALYSIS

Intersection operational analyses were performed for 2024 buildout conditions in the AM and PM peak hour conditions using procedures outlined in the Highway Capacity Manual 6 with Synchro (v11) software. Table 5 summarizes the operational analyses for the 2024 buildout AM and PM peak hour conditions at the study intersection. Synchro outputs are provided in Appendix D.

Table 5: Buildout Intersection Conditions

|  |  | AM Peak Hour |  |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Delay (sectveh) | LOS | v/c Ratio | 95th percentile queue (veh) | Delay (sec/veh) | LOS | v/c Ratio | 95th percentile queue (veh) |
|  | Overall Intersection | 16.3 | B | - | - | 12.7 | B | - | - |
|  | Eastbound | 14.6 | B | - | - | 9.4 | A | - | - |
|  | EBL | 78 | A | 0.16 | 08 | 7.2 | A | 016 | 06 |
|  | EBT | 14.9 | B | 0.64 | 17.6 | 95 | A | 048 | 123 |
|  | EBT/R | 14.8 | 8 | 0.64 | 183 | 95 | A | 048 | 127 |
|  | Westbound | 10.5 | B | - | - | 9.0 | A | $\bullet$ | - |
| US 90 | WBL | 101 | B | 0.11 | 04 | 64 | A | 019 | 08 |
| 8. | WBT | 108 | B | 048 | 115 | 94 | A | 054 | 140 |
| Centurion Court | WBR | 74 | A | 009 | 1.6 | 57 | A | 010 | 17 |
|  | Northbound | 50.8 | D | - | - | 63.2 | E | - | - |
|  | NBL | 51.5 | D | 011 | 12 | 64.7 | $E$ | 0.14 | 1.5 |
|  | NBT/R | 505 | D | 025 | 29 | 61.9 | E | 0.18 | 1.9 |
|  | Southbound | 61.3 | E | - | - | 68.4 | E | - | - |
|  | SBL | 64.7 | E | 065 | 81 | 706 | E | 059 | 70 |
|  | SBT/R | 496 | D | 017 | 20 | 62.4 | E | 023 | 25 |

The intersection of US 90 and Centurion Court is expected to operate with LOS B during buildout (2024) AM peak hour and PM peak hour conditions. All movements are expected to operate with $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratios less than 1.00 under buildout (2024) AM and PM peak hour conditions. The northbound and southbound approaches are expected to continue to operate with LOS E or better during the AM and PM peak hour due to the prioritization of green time for the mainline US 90 movements.


### 6.0 CONCLUSION

This traffic impact analysis was performed to assess the transportation impacts of the proposed expansion of a gas station and Circle K convenience market located in the northwest quadrant of the intersection of US Highway 90 (SR 10) and Centurion Court/SW Florida Gateway Drive. The expansion, proposed for buildout in year 2024, will include the addition of 3 vehicle fueling positions designed for diesel trucks and a 900 square foot expansion to the existing Circle $K$ convenience market. Access to the site will be provided via two existing driveways and one new driveway to the north on Centurion Court.

Accounting for the observed trip generation of the existing site, the proposed expansion is anticipated to generate 16 net new AM peak hour trips and 18 net new PM peak hour trips at buildout. An additional 48 new AM peak hour pass-by trips and 54 new PM peak hour pass-by trips are expected at the site as well.

Operational analyses were performed utilizing Synchro software for the existing (2023), background (2024), and buildout (2024) conditions at the study intersection of US 90 and Centurion Court/SW Florida Gateway Drive during the AM peak hour and the PM peak hour. Results indicated that the study intersection is expected to operate at LOS B through the buildout year. No operational deficiencies are expected at the study intersection with the inclusion of project traffic under buildout (2024) conditions.

## APPENDIX A

Conceptual Site Plan


## APPENDIX B

 Traffic DataLocation: 1 FLORIDA GATEWAY DRIVE \& US 90 AM
Date: Thursday, October 5, 2023
Peak Hour: 07:15 AM - 08:15 AM
Peak 15-Minutes: 07:45 AM - 08:00 AM


Note: Total study counts contained in parentheses.
Traffic Counts - Motorized Vehicles

| Interval | US 90 <br> Eastbound |  |  |  | US 90 <br> Westbound |  |  |  | FLORIDA GATEWAY DRIVE Northbound |  |  |  | CENTURION COURT Southbound |  |  |  | Total | Rolling Hour | Pedestrian Crossings |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | U-Tum | Left | Thru | Right | U-Tum | Left | Thru |  | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right |  |  | West | East | South | North |
| 7:00 AM | 0 | 10 | 272 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 143 | 20 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 19 | 0 | 17 | 2 | 5 | 501 | 2,567 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 7:15 AM | 0 | 8 | 307 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 191 | 27 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 11 | 0 | 30 | 1 | 11 | 601 | 2,674 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:30 AM | 0 | 12 | 380 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 234 | 22 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 10 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 12 | 695 | 2,668 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 7:45 AM | 0 | 6 | 353 | 5 | 1 | 11 | 306 | 22 | 0 | 6 | 3 | 24 | 0 | 20 | 4 | 9 | 770 | 2.530 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:00 AM | 0 | 9 | 269 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 245 | 15 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 14 | 608 | 2,328 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| 8:15 AM | 0 | 5 | 274 | 3 | 0 | 14 | 235 | 14 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 17 | 2 | 11 | 595 |  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 8:30 AM | 0 | 6 | 255 | 1 | 0 | 21 | 210 | 16 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 17 | 0 | 14 | 2 | 10 | 557 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:45 AM | 0 | 6 | 271 | 10 | 1 | 15 | 208 | 21 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 14 | 0 | 12 | 1 | 5 | 568 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Peak Rolling Hour Flow Rates

| Vehicle Type | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | U.Tum | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Tum | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right |  |
| Articulated Trucks | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 19 |
| Lights | 0 | 34 | 1,281 | 11 | 2 | 22 | 944 | 83 | 0 | 15 | 6 | 60 | 0 | 87 | 5 | 43 | 2,593 |
| Mediums | 0 | 1 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 23 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 62 |
| Total | 0 | 35 | 1,309 | 11 | 2 | 23 | 976 | 86 | 0 | 18 | 8 | 64 | 0 | 91 | 5 | 46 | 2,674 |

## Heavy Vehicle Percentage and Peak Hour Factor

|  | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | U-Tum | Left | Thru | Right | U-Tum | Left | Thru | Right | U-Tum | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right |  |
| Heavy Vehicle \% | 2.1\% |  |  |  | 3.3\% |  |  |  | 10.0\% |  |  |  | 4.9\% |  |  |  | 3.0\% |
| Heavy Vehicle \% | 0.0\% | 2.9\% | 2.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 4.3\% | 3.3\% | 4.9\% | 00\% | 16.7\% | 25.0\% | 5.4\% | 0.0\% | 4.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 30\% |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.86 |  |  |  | 0.83 |  |  |  | 0.74 |  |  |  | 0.85 |  |  |  | 087 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 000 | 0.75 | 0.86 | 0.48 | 0.50 | 0.65 | 0.83 | 085 | 0.00 | 0.79 | 0.92 | 0.70 | 0.00 | 0.76 | 0.50 | 0.75 | 087 |

## All Trafflc Data Sartces

IFLORIDA Gateway drive \& us 90 am
reak hou
07:15 AM - 08:15 AM
Peak 15-Mmutes
07:45 AM. $08: 00$ AM

| rime | us 90 |  |  |  |  | US 90 |  |  |  |  | florida gateway drive |  |  |  |  | Centuaion count |  |  |  |  | Total | Roting Hour |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Eastbound |  |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  |  | Northbouns |  |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | U-Tum | Left | Thru | R.gnt | RIOP | U-Tuen | Len | Thru | Rignt | RTOR | U.Turn | Len | imru | R1ght | RTOR | U.Tum | Len | thru | Aigm | RTOR |  |  |
| 7:00 AM | 0 | 10 | 272 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 143 | 11 | 9 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 10 | 9 | 0 | 17 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 501 | 2.567 |
| 7:15 AM | 0 | 8 | 307 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 191 | 15 | 12 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 30 | 1 | 3 | 8 | 801 | 2.674 |
| 7:30 AM | 0 | 12 | 380 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 234 | 14 | 8 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 3 | 9 | 895 | 2,668 |
| 1:45 AM | 0 | 8 | 353 | 5 | - | 1 | 11 | 306 | 18 | 4 | 0 | 8 | 3 | 14 | 10 | 0 | 20 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 710 | 2530 |
| 8:00 AM | 0 | 9 | 269 | 5 | Q | 0 | 5 | 245 | 14 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 8 | 12 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 1 | 13 | 608 | 2328 |
| 8:15 Ам | 0 | 5 | 274 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 235 | 9 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 8 | 7 | 0 | 17 | 2 | 3 | 8 | 595 |  |
| 8:30 аи | 0 | 6 | 255 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 210 | 12 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 6 | 11 | 0 | 14 | ${ }^{2}$ | 3 | 7 | 557 |  |
| 8:45 АМ | 0 | 6 | 271 | 10 |  |  | 15 | 208 | 19 |  | 0 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 11 | 0 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 568 |  |

Peak Rollang Hour Flow Rates

|  | Eastound |  |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  |  | Nortnicound |  |  |  |  | Soustbound |  |  |  |  | Totat |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vehnder Type | U.Turn | Len | Imiu | Right | ATOR | U-Furn | Len | thru | Rignt | RTOR | U.Turn | Len | Inru | Rigne | RTOR | u.turn | Lef | imru | Righi | RTOR |  |
| Aneutared Trueks | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | , | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 |
| ughs | 0 | 34 | 1.281 | 11 | 0 | 2 | 22 | 944 | 58 | 25 | 0 | 15 | 6 | 35 | 25 | - | 87 | 5 | 11 | 32 | 2.593 |
| medums | 0 | 1 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 23 | 3 | 0 | - | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 62 |
| Totas | 0 | 35 | 1.309 | 11 | 0 | 2 | 23 | 976 | 61 | 25 | 0 | 18 | 8 | 37 | 27 | 0 | 91 | 5 | 11 | 35 | 2.674 |
| Brydes on Road | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Breces on croswalk |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |
| Heesy Vencide Percentage |  |  | 2.16 |  |  |  |  | 3.3\% |  |  |  |  | 10.0\% |  |  |  |  | 4.98 |  |  | 3.0\% |
| Hesyy Vencie Percentage | 0.0x | 2.9x | 21\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0x | 4.3\% | 3.3\% | 4.9\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | $167 \%$ | 25.0\% | $54 \%$ | 7.4\% | 0.0\% | $44^{8}$ | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 8.6\% | 3.0\% |
| Preah hour Fater (PHA) |  |  | 0.86 |  |  |  |  | 0.83 |  |  |  |  | 0.74 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.87 |
| Pask Hour Factor (PHH) | 0.00 | 0.75 | 0.86 | 0.48 | 0.00 | 0.50 | 0.65 | 0.83 | 0.85 | 0.69 | 0.00 | 0.79 | 0.92 | 0.70 | 0.91 | 0.00 | 0.76 | 0.50 | 0.75 | 0.67 | 0.87 |

Traftic Counte by Vahkete Typ $\qquad$ Northbound $\qquad$ Soulthound

|  |  |  | astbound |  |  |  |  | esxbound |  |  |  |  | rerbound |  |  |  |  | unboun |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | U-Tum | Lef | Thru | Right | RTOR | UTurn | Leff | Thru | Right | PTOA | U-Tutn | Len | thru | Rign | RIOR | U.Tum | Lett. | Imru | Rignt | RTOR | Total |
| Ancutatex Trucks |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 715 AM | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 7:30 AM | 0 | - | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| 1:45 AM | 0 | - | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 8:00 Am | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 8:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| 8:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| $8: 45 \mathrm{AM}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Lghs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7:00 AM | 0 | 9 | 262 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 137 | 11 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 10 | 9 | 0 | 14 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 476 |
| 7:15 AM | 0 | 8 | 299 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 185 | 15 | 12 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 29 | 1 | 3 | 8 | 591 |
| 7:30 AM | 0 | 11 | 375 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 225 | 12 | 8 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 3 | 8 | 673 |
| 7:45 AM | 0 | 6 | 34.4 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 11 | 300 | 17 | 4 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 14 | 10 | 0 | 20 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 753 |
| 8:00 AM | 0 | 9 | 263 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 234 | 14 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 8 | 10 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 1 | 11 | 586 |
| 8:15 AM | 0 | 5 | 265 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 223 | 6 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 8 | 7 | 0 | 15 | 2 | 3 | 8 | 568 |
| 8:30 AM | 0 | 6 | 241 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 205 | 11 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 6 | 11 | 0 | 14 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 535 |
| 8.45 AM | 0 | 5 | 269 | 10 | 0 | 1 | 15 | 201 | 17 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 10 | 0 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 554 |
| Wediums |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7:00 AM | 0 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 |
| 7:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | - | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 |
| 7:30 AM | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | - | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 15 |
| 7:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 |
| 8:00 AM | - | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | - | - | 2 | 18 |
| 8:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 22 |
| 8:30 л. | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 2 | 15 |
| 8:45 AM | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | $\bigcirc$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| Bryctes on Road |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8.00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $8: 30 \mathrm{Am}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8.45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| Time | Eastound |  |  | Westoound |  |  | Norntound |  |  | Southoound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | cow | cw | Total | - ${ }_{\text {w }}$ | cw | Toal | cow | CW | Total | cow | cw | Total |
| 7:00 AM | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 7:15 AM | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 7:30 AM | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 745 AM | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 |
| 8:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 |
| 8:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | 0 |
| 8:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |


(303) 216-2439
www.alltrafficdata.net

Location: 1 FLORIDA GATEWAY DRIVE \& US 90 PM
Date: Thursday, October 5, 2023
Peak Hour: 04:30 PM - 05:30 PM
Peak 15-Minutes: 05:00 PM - 05:15 PM


Note: Total study counts contained in parentheses
Traffic Counts - Motorized Vehicles

| Interval | US 90 <br> Eastbound |  |  |  | US 90 <br> Westbound |  |  |  | FLORIDA GATEWAY DRIVE Northbound |  |  |  | CENTURION COURT Southbound |  |  |  | Total | Rolling Hour | Pedestrian Crossings |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Tum | Left | Thru |  | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right |  |  | West | East | South | North |
| 4:00 PM | 2 | 6 | 247 | 7 | 3 | 19 | 347 | 22 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 16 | 0 | 27 | 1 | 8 | 715 | 2,808 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 14 | 251 | 10 | 1 | 30 | 366 | 24 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 19 | 3 | 7 | 738 | 2,850 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 8 | 278 | 6 | 1 | 14 | 306 | 25 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 12 | 687 | 2,861 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 7 | 270 | 5 | 0 | 17 | 307 | 17 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 12 | 1 | 9 | 668 | 2,831 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 4 | 306 | 1 | 0 | 11 | 351 | 25 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 12 | 757 | 2.737 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 9 | 296 | 5 | 2 | 17 | 342 | 27 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 12 | 749 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 3 | 261 | 1 | 2 | 19 | 301 | 18 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 14 | 0 | 17 | 3 | 15 | 657 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 4 | 247 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 245 | 17 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 16 | 0 | 12 | 2 | 6 | 574 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 |

Peak Rolling Hour Flow Rates

|  | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vehicle Type | U-Tum | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right | U-Tum | Left | Thru | Right | U-Tum | Left | Thru | Right |  |
| Articulated Trucks | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 |
| Lights | 0 | 28 | 1,126 | 17 | 3 | 59 | 1,279 | 92 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 66 | 0 | 70 | 1 | 45 | 2,807 |
| Mediums | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36 |
| Total | 0 | 28 | 1,150 | 17 | 3 | 59 | 1,306 | 94 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 67 | 0 | 70 | 1 | 45 | 2,861 |

Heavy Vehicle Percentage and Peak Hour Factor

|  | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  | Tolal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | U-Tum | Left | Thru | Right | U-Tum | Left | Thru | Right | U-Tum | Left | Thru | Right | U-Turn | Left | Thru | Right |  |
| Heavy Vehicle \% | 2.0\% |  |  |  | 2.0\% |  |  |  | 1.1\% |  |  |  | 0.0\% |  |  |  | 1.9\% |
| Heavy Vehicle \% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.1\% | 2.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 00\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.9\% |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.96 |  |  |  | 0.89 |  |  |  | 0.82 |  |  |  | 0.83 |  |  |  | 0.94 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.25 | 0.63 | 0.94 | 0.70 | 0.45 | 0.67 | 0.91 | 0.90 | 0.00 | 0.67 | 0.50 | 0.78 | 0.00 | 0.73 | 0.42 | 0.63 | 0.94 |

## All Traffic Data Services

| 1 FLORIDA GAIEWAY ORIVE \& Thurscay, Optoeer 5, 2023 | 90 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Prak hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:30 PM - 05:30 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak 15-Minutes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05:00 PM -05:15 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | astound |  |  |  |  | assbound |  |  |  |  | arthound |  |  |  |  | ethboun |  |  |  | Roting |
| İme | U Turn | Left | then | Righe | ftor | U.Turn | Len | tmru | Right | RTOR | uTurn | Len | thru | Reght | RTOR | U.Turn | Let | Thru | Right | RTOR | Total | Howl |
| 4.00 PM | 2 | 6 | 247 | 7 | 0 | 3 | 19 | 347 | 17 | 5 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 5 | 11 | - | 27 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 715 | 2808 |
| 4.15 PM | 0 | 14 | 251 | 10 | 0 | 1 | 30 | 366 | 18 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 8 | 0 | 19 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 738 | 2.850 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 8 | 278 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 14 | 300 | 22 | 3 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 4 | 6 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 5 | 7 | 687 | 2.801 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 7 | 270 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 307 | 15 | 2 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 7 | 8 | 0 | 12 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 688 | 2,831 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 4 | 308 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 351 | 20 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 9 | 14 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 5 | 7 | 757 | 2737 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 9 | 298 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 17 | 342 | 22 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 0 | , | 13 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 5 | 7 | 749 | 0 |
| 5.30 PM | 0 | 3 | 261 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 19 | 301 | 14 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 10 | 0 | 17 | 3 | 8 | 7 | 657 | 0 |
| 5.45 pm | 0 | 4 | 247 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 10 | 245 | 13 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 9 | 7 | - | 12 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 574 | 0 |

Peak Rolling Hour Flow Rates

| Vehide Thee | Eastbound |  |  |  |  | Wexbound |  |  |  |  | Northbound |  |  |  |  | Sowitbound. |  |  |  |  | Toal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | U.Turn | Len | thiu | Right | RTOR | U.Turn | Len | Thru | Right | RIOR | H-Tum | Len | Inru | Rignt | RTOR | U.Turn | Lot | Intu | R.gnt | RTOA |  |
| Anculated Trueks | 0 | - | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 。 |  | , | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 |
| Lens | 0 | 28 | 1.126 | 17 | 0 | 3 | 59 | 1279 | 77 | 15 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 26 | 40 | 0 | 70 | 1 | 17 | 28 | 2.807 |
| Medums | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36 |
| Tolat | 0 | 28 | 1.150 | 17 | 0 | 3 | 59 | 1.306 | 79 | 15 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 26 | 41 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 17 | 28 | 2.861 |
| Bractes on Road | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Bigceles on Crosswalk |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  | 3 |
| Heasy venuse Percentage |  |  | 2.0\% |  |  |  |  | 2.08 |  |  |  |  | 1.15 |  |  |  |  | 0.0\% |  |  | 1.9\% |
| Heasy Vehice Percentage | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 2.1\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.06 | 211 | 2.5\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0s | 00\% | 2.4\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 0.0\% | 1.9\% |
| Peak Hour Facto (PHF) |  |  | 0.96 |  |  |  |  | 0.89 |  |  |  |  | 0.82 |  |  |  |  | 0.83 |  |  | 0.94 |
| Peak Hour Facto (PHH) | 0.25 | 0.63 | 0.94 | 0.70 | 0.25 | 0.45 | 0.67 | 0.91 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.00 | 0.67 | 0.50 | 0.78 | 0.80 | 0.00 | 0.73 | 0.42 | 0.63 | 1.00 | 0.94 |

Treme counta by vahlele Type

| Time | Eastoound |  |  |  |  | westound |  |  |  |  | Nornoound |  |  |  |  | Southownd |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | u-Turn | Lef | Thru | Right | RTOR | u-Turn | Leen | Thru | Rignt | RTOR | UTurn | Left | thru | Right | ATOR | UTurn | Let | Thru | Right | RTOR |  |
| Antrulated Trucks |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 12 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 4 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 1 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Lents |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4:00 PM | 2 | 6 | 240 | 7 | 0 | 3 | 19 | 332 | 16 | 5 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 4 | 10 | 0 | 26 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 689 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 14 | 243 | 10 | 0 | 1 | 30 | 357 | 17 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 8 | 0 | 19 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 720 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 8 | 270 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 14 | 298 | 21 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 5 | 7 | 669 |
| 4:45 PM | - | 7 | 265 | 5 | 0 | - | 17 | 303 | 14 | 2 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 7 | 8 | 0 | 12 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 658 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 4 | 300 | 1 | 0 | - | 11 | 345 | 20 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 9 | 14 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 5 | 7 | 745 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 9 | 291 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 17 | 333 | 22 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 13 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 5 | 7 | 735 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 3 | 256 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 19 | 294 | 13 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 10 | 0 | 15 | 3 | 8 | 7 | 642 |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 4 | 246 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 10 | 242 | 13 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 9 | 7 | 0 | 12 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 569 |
| medums |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 4 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 7 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 |
| 4:45 PM | - | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 5.00 PM | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Bercees on fose |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 4:30 PM | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 4:45 PM | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 |  |
| 5:15 PM | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - |  |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |


| Bleycles on Croswalk | Easbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Norrhbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | Cow | Cw | Toda | cow | cw | Total | cow | Cw | Total | ccw | cw | Total |
| 4:00 PM | 1 | - | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 4.15 PM | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
| 4.30 PM | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\bigcirc$ | 1 | 1 |
| 5:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | - | 0 | 0 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| Pedoatriane | Eastorund |  |  | Westbound |  |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Iime | cow | cw | Tasa | ccw | Cw | Total | cow | Cw | Toxal | Cow | cw | Total |
| 4.00 PM | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 4:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 4:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:50 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | , | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 |
| 5:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:45 PM | 0 | - | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 |

2022 PEAK SEASON FACTOR CATEGORY REPORT - REPORT TYPE: ALL CATEGORY: 2900 COLUMBIA COUNTYWIDE

| WEEK | DATES | SF | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MOCF: } \\ & \text { PSCF } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 01/01/2022-01/01/2022 | 1.02 | 1.04 |
| 2 | 01/02/2022-01/08/2022 | 1.05 | 1.07 |
| 3 | 01/09/2022-01/15/2022 | 1.08 | 1.10 |
| 4 | 01/16/2022-01/22/2022 | 1.07 | 1.09 |
| 5 | 01/23/2022-01/29/2022 | 1.05 | 1.07 |
| 6 | 01/30/2022-02/05/2022 | 1.03 | 1.05 |
| 7 | 02/06/2022-02/12/2022 | 1.02 | 1.04 |
| 8 | 02/13/2022-02/19/2022 | 1.00 | 1.02 |
| 9 | 02/20/2022-02/26/2022 | 1.00 | 1.02 |
| 10 | 02/27/2022-03/05/2022 | 0.99 | 1.01 |
| 11 | 03/06/2022-03/12/2022 | 0.99 | 1.01 |
| *12 | 03/13/2022-03/19/2022 | 0.98 | 1.00 |
| *13 | 03/20/2022-03/26/2022 | 0.98 | 1.00 |
| *14 | 03/27/2022-04/02/2022 | 0.98 | 1.00 |
| *15 | 04/03/2022-04/09/2022 | 0.97 | 0.99 |
| *16 | 04/10/2022-04/16/2022 | 0.97 | 0.99 |
| *17 | 04/17/2022-04/23/2022 | 0.97 | 0.99 |
| *18 | 04/24/2022-04/30/2022 | 0.97 | 0.99 |
| *19 | 05/01/2022-05/07/2022 | 0.97 | 0.99 |
| *20 | 05/08/2022-05/14/2022 | 0.97 | 0.99 |
| *21 | 05/15/2022-05/21/2022 | 0.98 | 1.00 |
| *22 | 05/22/2022-05/28/2022 | 0.98 | 1.00 |
| *23 | 05/29/2022-06/04/2022 | 0.99 | 1.01 |
| *24 | 06/05/2022-06/11/2022 | 0.99 | 1.01 |
| 25 | 06/12/2022-06/18/2022 | 1.00 | 1.02 |
| 26 | 06/19/2022-06/25/2022 | 1.00 | 1.02 |
| 27 | 06/26/2022-07/02/2022 | 1.01 | 1.03 |
| 28 | 07/03/2022-07/09/2022 | 1.02 | 1.04 |
| 29 | 07/10/2022-07/16/2022 | 1.03 | 1.05 |
| 30 | 07/17/2022-07/23/2022 | 1.02 | 1.04 |
| 31 | 07/24/2022-07/30/2022 | 1.01 | 1.03 |
| 32 | 07/31/2022-08/06/2022 | 1.01 | 1.03 |
| 33 | 08/07/2022-08/13/2022 | 1.00 | 1.02 |
| 34 | 08/14/2022-08/20/2022 | 0.99 | 1.01 |
| 35 | 08/21/2022-08/27/2022 | 1.00 | 1.02 |
| 36 | 08/28/2022-09/03/2022 | 1.00 | 1.02 |
| 37 | 09/04/2022-09/10/2022 | 1.01 | 1.03 |
| 38 | 09/11/2022-09/17/2022 | 1.01 | 1.03 |
| 39 | 09/18/2022-09/24/2022 | 1.00 | 1.02 |
| 40 | 09/25/2022-10/01/2022 | 0.99 | 1.01 |
| 41 | 10/02/2022 - 10/08/2022 | 0.98 | 1.00 |
| 42 | 10/09/2022-10/15/2022 | 0.97 | 0.99 |
| 43 | 10/16/2022-10/22/2022 | 0.98 | 1.00 |
| 44 | 10/23/2022-10/29/2022 | 0.99 | 1.01 |
| 45 | 10/30/2022-11/05/2022 | 1.00 | 1.02 |
| 46 | 11/06/2022-11/12/2022 | 1.01 | 1.03 |
| 47 | 11/13/2022-11/19/2022 | 1.02 | 1.04 |
| 48 | 11/20/2022-11/26/2022 | 1.02 | 1.04 |
| 49 | 11/27/2022-12/03/2022 | 1.02 | 1.04 |
| 50 | 12/04/2022-12/10/2022 | 1.02 | 1.04 |
| 51 | 12/11/2022-12/17/2022 | 1.02 | 1.04 |
| 52 | 12/18/2022-12/24/2022 | 1.05 | 1.07 |
| 53 | 12/25/2022-12/31/2022 | 1.08 | 1.10 |

* PEAK SEASON

| Location Details |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Signal ID: | 1002 | Date: | November 20, 2021 |
| Major Street: | US 90 | Orientation: | E-W |
| Minor Street: | FL Gateway Dr | Orientation: | N-S |


| Controller Timings (seconds) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement " (Controller Phase ©) | $\varnothing 1$ | ø2 | $\varnothing 3$ | ø4 | $\varnothing 5$ | $\varnothing 6$ | ®7 | $\varnothing 8$ | $\varnothing 9$ | $\varnothing 10$ | $\varnothing 11$ | Ø12 | $\varnothing 13$ | $\varnothing 14$ | Ø15 | $\emptyset 16$ | Notes |
| Direction | EBLT | WB |  | NB | WBLT | EB |  | SB |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turn Type | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Prot } \\ \text { Perm } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Prot } \\ & \text { Perm } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Min Green | 5 | 15 |  | 7 | 5 | 15 |  | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ext | 3.0 | 4.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 |  | 3.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yellow | 4.8 | 4.9 |  | 3.8 | 4.9 | 4.9 |  | 3.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All Red | 2.0 | 2.0 |  | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |  | 2.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Max I | 15 | 75 |  | 20 | 15 | 75 |  | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Max II |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walk |  | 7 |  | 7 |  | 7 |  | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flashing Don't Walk |  | 18 |  | 29 |  | 18 |  | 22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector Memory |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Det. Switching to: | $ø 6$ |  |  |  | ø2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recall |  | MIN |  |  |  | MIN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CNA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Coordination Timings (seconds)

| Pattern |  | Cycle | Splits |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Offset | Seq | Coord ø |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pattern | C-S-O | Length | 01 | ø2 | 03 | 04 | $\emptyset 5$ | $\emptyset 6$ | $\varnothing 7$ | 08 | $\square 9$ | 010 | 011 | 012 | $\varnothing 13$ | ¢14 | 015 | $\emptyset 16$ |  |  |  |
| 1 |  | 130 | 15 | $\begin{gathered} 91 \\ \text { MAX } \end{gathered}$ |  | 24 | 16 | $\begin{gathered} 90 \\ \text { MAX } \end{gathered}$ |  | 24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 24 | 1 | 2 |
| 2 |  | 130 | 15 | $\begin{gathered} 70 \\ \text { MAX } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | 45 | 20 | $\begin{gathered} 65 \\ \text { MAX } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | 45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15 | 1 | 2 |
| 3 |  | 150 | 15 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 88 \\ \text { MAX } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | 47 | 25 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 78 \\ \text { MAX } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | 47 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20 | 1 | 2 |
| 4 |  | 110 | 16 | $\begin{gathered} 64 \\ \text { MAX } \end{gathered}$ |  | 30 | 23 | $\begin{gathered} 57 \\ \text { MAX } \end{gathered}$ |  | 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 18 | 1 | 2 |
| 5 |  | 100 | 15 | $\begin{gathered} 59 \\ \text { MAX } \end{gathered}$ |  | 26 | 17 | $\begin{gathered} 57 \\ \text { MAX } \end{gathered}$ |  | 26 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 22 | 1 | 2 |
| 6 |  | 140 | 15 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 75 \\ \text { MAX } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | 50 | 23 | $\begin{gathered} 67 \\ \text { MAX } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 | 1 | 2 |
| 7 |  | 110 | 17 | $\begin{gathered} 58 \\ \text { MAX } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | 35 | 18 | $\begin{gathered} 57 \\ \text { MAX } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | 35 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 63 | 1 | 2 |
| 8 |  | 100 | 15 | $\begin{gathered} 59 \\ \text { MAX } \end{gathered}$ |  | 26 | 17 | $\begin{gathered} 57 \\ \text { MAX } \end{gathered}$ |  | 26 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 22 | 1 | 2 |
| 9 |  | 140 | 15 | $\begin{gathered} 75 \\ \text { MAX } \end{gathered}$ |  | 50 | 23 | $\begin{gathered} 67 \\ \text { MAX } \end{gathered}$ |  | 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 | 1 | 2 |
| 10 |  | 110 | 17 | $\begin{gathered} 58 \\ \text { MAX } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | 35 | 18 | $\begin{gathered} \hline 57 \\ \text { MAX } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | 35 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 63 | 1 | 2 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Offset Reference Point | Phase Mode |
| :---: | :---: |
| End of Green of first through movement | STD8 |

Notes:

1) Use 'Max I' during FREE Operation
2) Program phase restriction to omit $\varnothing 1$ during $\varnothing 2$ green and omit $\varnothing 5$ during $\square 6$ green.

|  | SEQ1 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Ring-1 | 1 | 2 | 4 |
| Ring-2 | 5 | 6 | 8 |


| Signal ID: | 1002 |
| ---: | :--- |
| Major Street: | US 90 |
| Minor Street: | FL Gateway Dr |

Day Plans


| Patt | Force | Alt Opt | Alt Time | Coord | Alt Time Table Max Values (Seconds) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Patt | Mode | Table | Table | Max Plan | 81 | $\varnothing 2$ | 63 | 04 | D5 | 06 | 07 | 68 | 09 | D10 | 011 | 012 | $\underline{613}$ | 014 | 015 | 016 |
| 1 | FIXED | None | None | Max Inh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | FIXED | None | None | Max Inh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | FIXED | None | None | Max Inh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 | FIXED | None | None | Max Inh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 | FIXED | None | None | Max Inh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | FIXED | None | None | Max Inh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | FIXED | None | None | Max Inh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | FIXED | None | None | Max Inh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 | FIXED | None | None | Max İnh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | FIXED | None | None | Max Inh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## APPENDIX C <br> Intersection Volume Development Worksheets

## TRAFFIC VOLUMES AT STUDY INTERSECTIONS

INTERSECTION:
COUNT DATE
AM PEAK HOUR FACTOR: PM PEAK HOUR FACTOR:

US 90/SR 10 \& Centurion CUSW Florida Gateway Dr October 5, 2023
0.87
0.94

| "AM EXISTING TRAFFIC" | EBU | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBu | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBU | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBU | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AM Raw Turning Movements | 0 | 35 | 1,309 | 11 | 2 | 23 | 978 | 86 | 0 | 18 | 8 | 64 | 0 | 91 | 5 | 46 |
| Peak Season Conversion Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | . 00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 100 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 100 |
| AM EXISTING CONDITIONS | 0 | 35 | 1,309 | 11 | 2 | 23 | 976 | 86 | 0 | 18 | 8 | 54 | 0 | 91 | 5 | 46 |


| "PM EXISTING TRAFFIC" | EBU | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBU | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBU | NBL | NBT | NBR | sbu | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PM Raw Turning Movements | 0 | 28 | 1.150 | 17 | 3 | 59 | 1,306 | 94 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 67 | 0 | 70 | 1 | 45 |
| Peak Season Conversion Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| PM EXISTING CONDITIONS | 0 | 28 | 1,150 | 17 | 3 | 59 | 1,306 | 94 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 67 | 0 | 70 | 1 | 45 |
| "AM BACKGROUND TRAFFIC" | EBU | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBU | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBU | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBU | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Years To Buildout | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Yearly Growth Rate | 3.6\% | 3.6\% | 3.6\% | 3.6\% | 3.6\% | 3.6\% | 3.8\% | 3.6\% | 3.8\% | 3.6\% | 3.6\% | 3.6\% | 3.6\% | 3.6\% | 3.6\% | 6\% |
| AM BACKGROUND TRAFFIC GROWTH | 0 | 1 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 35 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 |


| AM NON-PROJECT TRAFFIC | 0 | 36 | 1,356 | 11 | 2 | 24 | 1,011 | 89 | 0 | 19 | 8 | 66 | 0 | 94 | 5 | 48 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| "PM BACKGROUND TRAFFIC" | EBU | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBU | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBU | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBU | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Years To Buildout | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Yearly Growth Rate | 3.6\% | 3.6\% | 3.6 | 3. | 3.6\% | 3.6 | 3.6\% | \% | 3.6\% | 3.6\% | 3.6\% | 3.8\% | 3.6\% | 3.6\% | 3.6\% | 3.6\% |
| PM BACKGROUND TRAFFIC GROWTH | 0 | 1 | 42 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 47 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 |


| PM NON-PROJECT TRAFFIC | 0 | 29 | 1,192 | 18 | 3 | 61 | 1,353 | 97 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 69 | 0 | 73 | 1 | 47 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


"PM PROJECT DISTRIBUTION"

"AM PROJECT TRAFFIC"


"PM PROJECT TRAFFIC"
LAND USE

## APPENDIX D

## Synchro Output Reports

Timings
1: SW Florida Gateway Dr/Centurion Ct \& US 90/SR 10 Existing (2023) Conditions, AM Peak Hour


HCM 6th Signalized Intersection Summary
1: SW Florida Gateway Dr/Centurion Ct \& US 90/SR 10


## Nates

User approved pedestrian interval to be less than phase max green.
*HCM 6 th computational engine requires equal dearance times for the phases crossing the barrier.

Timings
Circle K - I-75 \& US 90
1: SW Florida Gateway Dr/Centurion Ct \& US 90/SR 10 Existing (2023) Conditions, PMPeak Hour

|  | $\Rightarrow$ |  | 7 |  |  |  | $\dagger$ |  | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | WBL | UBT | UBR | NBL | NBT | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Corfigurations | ${ }^{4}$ | 性 | 7 | $\uparrow \uparrow$ | \% | \% | F | \% | $\dagger$ |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 28 | 1150 | 62 | 1306 | 94 | 21 | 0 | 70 | 1 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 28 | 1150 | 62 | 1306 | 94 | 21 | 0 | 70 | 1 |
| Tum Type | pmopt | Na | pmot | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm | NA |
| Protected Phases | 1 | 6 | 5 | 2 |  |  | 4 |  | 8 |
| Permitted Phases | 6 |  | 2 |  | 2 | 4 |  | 8 |  |
| Detector Phase | 1 | 6 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 8 |
| Snitch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 5.0 | 15.0 | 5.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 |
| Mnimum Solit (s) | 11.8 | 31.9 | 11.9 | 31.9 | 31.9 | 41.8 | 41.8 | 34.8 | 34.8 |
| Total Solit (s) | 15.0 | 78.0 | 25.0 | 88.0 | 88.0 | 47.0 | 47.0 | 47.0 | 47.0 |
| Total Solit (\%) | 10.0\% | 52.0\% | 16.7\% | 58.7\% | 58.7\% | 31.3\% | 31.3\% | 31.3\% | 31.3\% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 4.8 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 3.8 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 6.8 | 6.9 | 6.9 | 6.9 | 6.9 | 5.8 | 5.8 | 5.8 | 5.8 |
| Leadlag | Lead | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | None | Max | None | CMax | CMax | None | None | None | None |
| Att Efft Green (s) | 117.5 | 1125 | 119.9 | 115.7 | 115.7 | 13.8 | 13.8 | 13.8 | 13.8 |
| Actured g/C Ratio | 0.78 | 0.75 | 0.80 | 0.77 | 0.71 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.09 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.10 | 0.47 | 0.19 | 0.51 | 0.08 | 0.18 | 0.23 | 0.61 | 0.26 |
| Control Delay | 3.9 | 8.9 | 4.3 | 8.5 | 2.6 | 63.8 | 1.8 | 85.4 | 18.9 |
| Queve Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 3.9 | 8.9 | 4.3 | 8.5 | 2.6 | 63.8 | 1.8 | 85.4 | 18.9 |
| LOS | A | A | A | A | A | E | A | F | B |
| Approach Delay |  | 8.8 |  | 7.9 |  |  | 16.4 |  | 58.9 |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  | A |  |  | B |  | E |
| Intersection Summay |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oyde Length: 150 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cyde Length: 150 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset: 20 ( $13 \%$ ), Referenceed to phase 2:WBTL, Start of Yellow |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Oycle: 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.61 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay 10.6 |  |  |  |  | Itersedio | LOS: B |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 67.1\% |  |  |  | ICU Level of Service C |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Splits and Phases: 1: SWFlorida Gatewey Di/Centurion A \& US 90/SR 10


[^0]Synchro 11 Report

Appendix D: Synchro Output Reports
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HCM 6th Signalized Intersection Summary
Circle K - I-75 \& US 90
1: SW Florida Gateway Dr/Centurion Ct \& US 90/SR 10 Existing (2023) Conditions, PM Peak Hour

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | UBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | 7 | 19 |  | 7 | 中4 | F | 4 | F |  | 1 | t |  |
| Traffic Volume (vel/h) | 28 | 1150 | 17 | 62 | 1306 | 94 | 21 | 0 | 67 | 70 | 1 | 45 |
| Future Volume (veth) | 28 | 1150 | 17 | 62 | 1306 | 94 | 21 | 0 | 67 | 70 | 1 | 45 |
| Initial Q (Cb), veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped-Bike Adj(A pbT) | 1.00 |  | 0.98 | 1.00 |  | 0.98 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus, Ad | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Fow, vehlh/n | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 |
| Ad Flow Rate, vehh | 30 | 1223 | 18 | 66 | 1389 | 84 | 22 | 0 | 27 | 74 | 1 | 18 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 |
| Percent Heavy Veh, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Cap, velh | 310 | 2696 | 40 | 387 | 2710 | 1180 | 149 | 0 | 132 | 142 | 7 | 126 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.02 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.03 | 0.76 | 0.76 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.08 |
| Sat Flow, veh/h | 1781 | 3584 | 53 | 1781 | 3554 | 1548 | 1393 | 0 | 1585 | 1383 | 84 | 1514 |
| Gp Volume(v), veh/h | 30 | 606 | 635 | 66 | 1389 | 84 | 22 | 0 | 27 | 74 | 0 | 19 |
| Gp Sat Flow(s), vel/h/ln | 1781 | 177 | 1859 | 1781 | 177 | 1548 | 1393 | 0 | 1585 | 1383 | 0 | 1598 |
| QServe(g_s), s | 0.6 | 19.2 | 19.2 | 1.2 | 22.8 | 2.0 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 7.9 | 0.0 | 1.7 |
| Oycle Q Clear(g_c), s | 0.6 | 19.2 | 19.2 | 1.2 | 22.8 | 2.0 | 3.9 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 10.3 | 0.0 | 1.7 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 0.03 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 |
| Lane Gp Cap ( C ), vel/h | 310 | 1337 | 1399 | 387 | 2710 | 1180 | 149 | 0 | 132 | 142 | 0 | 133 |
| V/CRatio(X) | 0.10 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0.17 | 0.51 | 0.07 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.20 | 0.52 | 0.00 | 0.14 |
| Avail Cap(c_a), veh'h | 365 | 1337 | 1399 | 543 | 2710 | 1180 | 415 | 0 | 435 | 406 | 0 | 439 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter(l) | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay (d), s/veh | 5.5 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 5.0 | 6.9 | 4.5 | 65.6 | 0.0 | 64.1 | 68.9 | 0.0 | 63.7 |
| Incr Delay (d2), slueh | 0.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 0.2 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 |
| Initial Q Delay(d3),s'veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \%ile BackOf(\% $9 \%$ ), vehlln | 0.3 | 10.9 | 11.3 | 0.7 | 11.9 | 1.1 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 5.3 | 0.0 | 1.3 |


| Unsig. Movement Delay, s/veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| InGrp Delay (d), sveh | 5.6 | 8.1 | 8.0 | 5.2 | 7.6 | 4.6 | 66.0 | 0.0 | 64.8 | 71.8 | 0.0 | 64.2 |
| InGp LOS | A | A | A | A | A | A | E | A | E | E | A | E |
| Approach Vol, velVh |  | 1271 |  |  | 1539 |  |  | 49 |  |  | 93 |  |
| Approach Delay, siveh |  | 8.0 |  |  | 7.4 |  |  | 65.4 |  |  | 70.3 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | E |  |  | E |  |


| Timer - Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Phs Duration(G+Y+Rc), s | 10.4 | 121.3 | 18.3 | 11.9 | 119.8 | 18.3 |
| Change Period (Y+Rc), s | 6.8 | 6.9 | ${ }^{*} 5.8$ | 6.9 | 6.9 | *5.8 |
| Max Green Setting (Gmax), s | 8.2 | 81.1 | $* 41$ | 18.1 | 71.1 | *41 |
| Max Q Clear Time (gct11), s | 2.6 | 24.8 | 5.9 | 3.2 | 21.2 | 12.3 |
| Green Ext Time (p_c), s | 0.0 | 14.4 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 9.8 | 0.3 |

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Intersection Summary } \\ \text { HCM6th CrI Delay } & 10.6\end{array}$
HCM6th LOS

## B

## Notes

User approved pedestrian interval to be less than phase max green.

* HCM Gth computational engine requires equal dearanoe times for the phases crossing the barrier.

Timings
1：SW Florida Gateway Dr／Centurion Ct \＆US 90／SR 10

|  | $\dagger$ | $\rightarrow$ | 1 |  |  | 4 | $\uparrow$ |  | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ane Group | EBL | EBT | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | 4 | 个中 | $\%$ | 个4 | $\overline{1}$ | \％ | t | 4 | t |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 36 | 1356 | 26 | 1011 | 89 | 19 | 8 | 94 | 5 |
| Future Volume（yph） | 36 | 1356 | 26 | 1011 | 89 | 19 | 8 | 94 | 5 |
| Tum Type | pmipt | NA | pmipt | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm | NA |
| Protected Phases | 1 | 6 | 5 | 2 |  |  | 4 |  | 8 |
| Permitted Phases | 6 |  | 2 |  | 2 | 4 |  | 8 |  |
| Detector Phase | 1 | 6 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 8 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 5.0 | 15.0 | 5.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 |
| Mnimum Solit（s） | 11.8 | 31.9 | 11.9 | 31.9 | 31.9 | 41.8 | 41.8 | 34.8 | 34.8 |
| Total Split（s） | 15.0 | 90.0 | 16.0 | 91.0 | 91.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 |
| Total Solit（\％） | 11．5\％ | 69．2\％ | 12．3\％ | 70．0\％ | 70．0\％ | 18．5\％ | 18．5\％ | 18．5\％ | 18．5\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 4.8 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 3.8 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 6.8 | 6.9 | 6.9 | 6.9 | 6.9 | 5.8 | 5.8 | 5.8 | 5.8 |
| Lead／lag | Lead | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag |  |  |  |  |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | None | C－Mn | None | CMin | C－Mn | None | None | None | None |
| Act Effot Green（s） | 97.1 | 93.1 | 95.5 | 90.5 | 90.5 | 16.3 | 16.3 | 16.3 | 16.3 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.75 | 0.72 | 0.73 | 0.70 | 0.70 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.13 |
| v／c Ratio | 0.12 | 0.62 | 0.13 | 0.48 | 0.09 | 0.14 | 0.34 | 0.68 | 0.25 |
| Control Delay | 5.1 | 12.7 | 5.7 | 11.1 | 3.0 | 50.0 | 16.3 | 74.7 | 16.7 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 5.1 | 12.7 | 5.7 | 11.1 | 3.0 | 50.0 | 16.3 | 74.7 | 16.7 |
| LOS | A | B | A | B | A | D | B | E | B |
| Approach Delay |  | 12.5 |  | 10.3 |  |  | 23.2 |  | 53.7 |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  | B |  |  | C |  | D |

Intersection Summary
Cyde Length： 130
Actuated Cyde Length： 130
Offset： 24 （18\％），Referenoed to phase 2：WBTL and 6：EBTL，Start of Yellow
Natural Oyde： 110
Control Type：Actuated－Coordinated
Maximum v／c Ratio： 0.68
Intersection Signal Delay： $14.2 \quad$ Intersection LOS：B
Intersection Capacity Utilization 60．3\％ICU Level of Service B
Analysis Period（min） 15
Solits and Phases：1：SWFlorida Gateway Dr／Centurion O\＆\＆US 90／SR 10


HCM 6th Signalized Intersection Summary
Circle K - I-75 \& US 90
1: SW Florida Gateway Dr/Centurion Ct \& US 90/SR 10


## Notes

User approved pedestrian interval to be less than phase max green.

* HCM 6th computational engine requires equal clearance times for the phases crossing the barrier.

Timings
1: SW Florida Gateway Dr/Centurion Ct \& US 90/SR 10 Background (2024) Conditions, PM Peak Hour


HCM 6th Signalized Intersection Summary
Circle K - I-75 \& US 90
1: SW Florida Gateway Dr/Centurion Ct \& US 90/SR 10

|  | $t$ | $\rightarrow$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  | 4 | 4 | 4 | $p$ | 4 | $\dagger$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Moverment | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | UBT | UBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | 7 | 中t |  | ${ }^{3}$ | 个4 | ${ }^{1}$ | \% | $\hat{\dagger}$ |  | \% | t |  |
| Traffic Volume (velVh) | 29 | 1192 | 18 | 64 | 1353 | 97 | 22 | 0 | 69 | 73 | 1 | 47 |
| Future Volume (veth) | 29 | 1192 | 18 | 64 | 1353 | 97 | 22 | 0 | 69 | 73 | 1 | 47 |
| Initial Q (Cb), veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT) | 1.00 |  | 0.98 | 1.00 |  | 0.98 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus, Ad | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Fow, vehlh/n | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 |
| Adj Flow Rate, velh | 31 | 1268 | 19 | 68 | 1439 | 87 | 23 | 0 | 29 | 78 | 1 | 20 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 |
| Percent Heamy Veh, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Cap, velth | 294 | 2680 | 40 | 369 | 2694 | 1173 | 153 | 0 | 139 | 146 | 7 | 134 |
| Arive On Green | 0.02 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.03 | 0.76 | 0.76 | 0.09 | 0.00 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.09 |
| Sat Flow, vel/h | 1781 | 3582 | 54 | 1781 | 3554 | 1548 | 1391 | 0 | 1585 | 1381 | 76 | 1521 |
| Gp Volume(v), veh/h | 31 | 629 | 658 | 68 | 1439 | 87 | 23 | 0 | 29 | 78 | 0 | 21 |
| Gpp Sat Fow(s), veh/h/n | 1781 | 177 | 1859 | 1781 | 177 | 1548 | 1391 | 0 | 1585 | 1381 | 0 | 1597 |
| QServe(g_s), s | 0.6 | 20.7 | 20.7 | 1.3 | 24.7 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 0.0 | 2.6 | 8.3 | 0.0 | 1.8 |
| Cyde Q Clear(g_c), s | 0.6 | 20.7 | 20.7 | 1.3 | 24.7 | 2.2 | 4.2 | 0.0 | 2.6 | 10.9 | 0.0 | 1.8 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 0.03 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.96 |
| Lane Gpp Cap ( c , vellh $^{\text {a }}$ | 294 | 1329 | 1391 | 369 | 2694 | 1173 | 153 | 0 | 139 | 146 | 0 | 140 |
| V/C Ratio(X) | 0.11 | 0.47 | 0.47 | 0.18 | 0.53 | 0.07 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.21 | 0.54 | 0.00 | 0.15 |
| Avail Cap(c_a), verlh | 348 | 1329 | 1391 | 525 | 2694 | 1173 | 413 | 0 | 435 | 404 | 0 | 439 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter(l) | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| UniformDelay (d), s'veh | 5.9 | 7.4 | 7.4 | 5.4 | 7.4 | 4.7 | 65.2 | 0.0 | 63.6 | 68.6 | 0.0 | 63.2 |
| Incr Delay (02), slueh | 0.2 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 3.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 |
| Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \%/ile BackOf0( $95 \%$ ), vehlı | 0.4 | 11.6 | 12.0 | 0.7 | 12.8 | 1.1 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 5.6 | 0.0 | 1.4 |
| Unsig. Movement Delay, s'veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| InGp Delay(d), S'veh | 6.1 | 8.6 | 8.5 | 5.7 | 8.1 | 4.8 | 65.6 | 0.0 | 64.3 | 71.7 | 0.0 | 63.7 |
| LnGp LOS | A | A | A | A | A | A | E | A | E | E | A |  |
| Approach Vol, vel/h |  | 1318 |  |  | 1594 |  |  | 52 |  |  | 99 |  |
| Approach Delay, slveh |  | 8.5 |  |  | 7.9 |  |  | 64.9 |  |  | 70.0 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | E |  |  | E |  |
| Timer - Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 |  | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Phs Duration (G+Y+Rc), s | 10.4 | 120.6 |  | 19.0 | 11.9 | 119.1 |  | 19.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Change Period ( $Y+$ Rc), $s$ | 6.8 | 6.9 |  | *5.8 | 6.9 | 6.9 |  | * 5.8 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Green Selting (Gmex), s | 8.2 | 81.1 |  | * 41 | 18.1 | 71.1 |  | * 41 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time (g_c+11), s | 2.6 | 26.7 |  | 6.2 | 3.3 | 227 |  | 129 |  |  |  |  |
| Green Ext Time (p_c), $s$ | 0.0 | 15.3 |  | 0.2 | 0.1 | 10.4 |  | 0.3 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM6th Cin Delay |  |  | 11.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM6th LOS |  |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Notes

User approved pedestrian interval to be less than phase max green.

* HCM فth computational engine requires equal dearance times for the phases crossing the barrier.

Timings
Circle K－I－75 \＆US 90
1：SW Florida Gateway Dr／Centurion Ct \＆US 90／SR 10 Buildout（2024）Conditions，AM Peek Hour

|  | $\dagger$ |  | 7 | － | 4 | 4 | ＋ |  | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ane Group | EBL | EBT | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | SBt | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | 中t | \％ | 个4 | 「 | \％ | F | \％ | ¢ |
| Traffic Volume（yph） | 50 | 1344 | 26 | 999 | 107 | 19 | 8 | 112 | 5 |
| Future Volume（pph） | 50 | 1344 | 26 | 999 | 107 | 19 | 8 | 112 | 5 |
| Tum Type | pm＋pt | NA | pmipt | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm | NA |
| Protected Phases | 1 | 6 | 5 | 2 |  |  | 4 |  | 8 |
| Permitted Phases | 6 |  | 2 |  | 2 | 4 |  | 8 |  |
| Detector Phase | 1 | 6 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 8 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 5.0 | 15.0 | 5.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 11.8 | 31.9 | 11.9 | 31.9 | 31.9 | 41.8 | 41.8 | 34.8 | 34.8 |
| Total Split（s） | 15.0 | 90.0 | 16.0 | 91.0 | 91.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 | 24.0 |
| Total Solit（\％） | 11．5\％ | 69．2\％ | 12．3\％ | 70．0\％ | 70．0\％ | 18．5\％ | 18．5\％ | 18．5\％ | 18．5\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 4.8 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 4.9 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 3.8 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 6.8 | 6.9 | 6.9 | 6.9 | 6.9 | 5.8 | 5.8 | 5.8 | 5.8 |
| Lead／lag | Lead | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag |  |  |  |  |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | None | C－Mn | None | C－Mn | C－Mn | None | None | None | None |
| Act Efft Green（s） | 95.4 | 91.0 | 93.1 | 88.1 | 88.1 | 18.3 | 18.3 | 18.3 | 18.3 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.73 | 0.70 | 0.72 | 0.68 | 0.68 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.14 |
| v／c Ratio | 0.17 | 0.63 | 0.14 | 0.48 | 0.12 | 0.13 | 0.31 | 0.72 | 0.28 |
| Control Delay | 5.7 | 13.7 | 6.2 | 12.1 | 3.2 | 48.3 | 15.4 | 75.2 | 14.5 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 5.7 | 13.7 | 6.2 | 12.1 | 3.2 | 48.3 | 15.4 | 75.2 | 14.5 |
| LOS | A | B | A | B | A | D | B | E | B |
| Approach Delay |  | 13.4 |  | 11.1 |  |  | 22.2 |  | 52.5 |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  | B |  |  | C |  | D |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oyde Length： 130 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cyde Length： 130 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset： $24(18 \%)$ ，Referenoed to phase 2：WBTL and 6：EBTL，Start of Yellow |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle： 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type：Actuated－Coordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v／c Ratio： 0.72 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay． 15.3 |  |  |  | Intersection LOS：B |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Ulilization 65．0\％ |  |  |  | ICU Level of Service C |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period（min） 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Solits and Phases：1：SWFlorida Gatevay Dr／Centurion O\＆\＆US 90／SR 10


HCM 6th Signalized Intersection Summary
Circle K - I-75 \& US 90
1: SW Florida Gateway Dr/Centurion Ct \& US 90/SR 10

|  | $t$ |  | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ | 4 | 4 | $\dagger$ | $p$ | + | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{4}$ | 中 ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  | \% | 14 | 「 | $\cdots$ | क |  | 1 | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Trafic Volume (velvh) | 50 | 1344 | 11 | 26 | 999 | 107 | 19 | 8 | 66 | 112 | 5 | 62 |
| Future Volume (veh/h) | 50 | 1344 | 11 | 26 | 999 | 107 | 19 | 8 | 66 | 112 | 5 | 62 |
| Initial Q (Ob), veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped-Bike Acj(A_pbT) | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.98 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus, Ad | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Ad Sat Fow, velVhlin | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1856 | 1856 | 1856 | 1752 | 1752 | 1752 | 1826 | 1826 | 1826 |
| Adj FlowRate, velh | 57 | 1545 | 13 | 30 | 1148 | 94 | 22 | 9 | 45 | 129 | 6 | 31 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.87 | 0.87 | 0.87 | 0.87 | 0.87 | 0.87 | 0.87 | 0.87 | 0.87 | 0.87 | 0.87 | 0.87 |
| Percent Heay Veh, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Cap, veh/h | 348 | 2422 | 20 | 263 | 2385 | 1040 | 208 | 35 | 177 | 198 | 36 | 186 |
| Arive On Green | 0.03 | 0.67 | 0.67 | 0.04 | 0.68 | 0.68 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.14 |
| Sat Flow, vel/h | 1781 | 3611 | 30 | 1767 | 3526 | 1538 | 1282 | 253 | 1267 | 1316 | 257 | 1327 |
| Gp Volume(v), veh/h | 57 | 760 | 798 | 30 | 1148 | 94 | 22 | 0 | 54 | 129 | 0 | 37 |
| Gp Sat Flow(s), veh/h/n | 1781 | 177 | 1865 | 1767 | 1763 | 1538 | 1282 | 0 | 1521 | 1316 | 0 | 1584 |
| Q Serve(g_s), s | 1.3 | 32.0 | 32.0 | 0.7 | 20.3 | 2.7 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 4.1 | 126 | 0.0 | 2.7 |
| Oycle Q Clear (g.c), s | 1.3 | 32.0 | 32.0 | 0.7 | 20.3 | 2.7 | 4.7 | 0.0 | 4.1 | 16.7 | 0.0 | 2.7 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 0.02 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.83 | 1.00 |  | 0.84 |
| Lane Grp Cap (c), vel/h | 348 | 1192 | 1251 | 263 | 2385 | 1040 | 208 | 0 | 213 | 198 | 0 | 222 |
| V/C Ratio( $($ ) | 0.16 | 0.64 | 0.64 | 0.11 | 0.48 | 0.09 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 0.25 | 0.65 | 0.00 | 0.17 |
| Aval Cap(c_a), veth | 401 | 1192 | 1251 | 318 | 2385 | 1040 | 208 | 0 | 213 | 198 | 0 | 222 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter(1) | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay (d), slveh | 7.6 | 12.3 | 12.3 | 9.9 | 10.1 | 7.2 | 51.3 | 0.0 | 49.8 | 57.3 | 0.0 | 49.2 |
| Incr Delay (d2), slueh | 0.2 | 2.6 | 2.5 | 0.2 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 7.4 | 0.0 | 0.4 |
| Initial Q Delay(d3), Sveh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \%ile BackOf( $95 \%$ ), vehln | 0.8 | 17.6 | 18.3 | 0.4 | 11.5 | 1.6 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 2.9 | 8.1 | 0.0 | 2.0 |
| Unsig. Movement Delay, slveh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGp Delay (d), Stueh | 7.8 | 14.9 | 14.8 | 10.1 | 10.8 | 7.4 | 51.5 | 0.0 | 50.5 | 64.7 | 0.0 | 49.6 |
| LnGplos | A | B | B | B | B | A | D | A | D | E | A | D |
| Approach Vol, vel/h |  | 1615 |  |  | 1272 |  |  | 76 |  |  | 166 |  |
| Approach Delay, slveh |  | 14.6 |  |  | 10.5 |  |  | 50.8 |  |  | 61.3 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  | B |  |  | D |  |  | E |  |
| Tlimer - Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 |  | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Phs Duration ( $G+Y+R \mathrm{C})$, $s$ | 11.2 | 94.8 |  | 24.0 | 11.9 | 94.1 |  | 24.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Change Period ( $Y+$ Rc), $s$ | 6.8 | 6.9 |  | *5.8 | 6.9 | 6.9 |  | * 5.8 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Green Selting (Gmax), $s$ | 8.2 | 84.1 |  | * 18 | 9.1 | 83.1 |  | * 18 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time (g_ct1), s | 3.3 | 22.3 |  | 6.7 | 2.7 | 34.0 |  | 18.7 |  |  |  |  |
| Green Ext Time (p_c), s | 0.0 | 10.6 |  | 0.2 | 0.0 | 14.9 |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Surmmary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM6th Oil Delay |  |  | 16.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM6th LOS |  |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Notes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| User approved pedestrian interval to be less than phase max green.* HCM 6th computational engine requires equal dearance times for the phases crossing the barrier. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Timings
Circle K - I-75 \& US 90
1: SW Florida Gateway Dr/Centurion Ct \& US 90/SR 10 Buildout (2024) Condtions, PM Peak Hour


[^1]Synchro 11 Report

HCM 6th Signalized Intersection Summary 1：SW Florida Gateway Dr／Centurion Ct \＆US 90／SR 10

Circle K－I－75 \＆US 90 Buildout（2024）Condtions，PM Peak Hour

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | UBL | WBT | UBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | 4 | 性 |  | 7 | 个 $\uparrow$ | 「 | $\dagger$ | ¢ |  | 7 | ¢ |  |
| Traffic Volume（verh | 44 | 1179 | 18 | 64 | 1339 | 118 | 22 | 0 | 69 | 93 | 1 |  |
| Future Volume（veth） | 44 | 1179 | 18 | 64 | 1339 | 118 | 22 | 0 | 69 | 93 | 1 |  |
| Initial $Q(0)$ ，veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Ped－Bike Adi（A＿pbT） | 1.00 |  | 0.98 | 1.00 |  | 0.98 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus，Ad | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow，velhhln | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 18 |
| Adj Flow Rate，velh | 47 | 1254 | 19 | 68 | 1424 | 110 | 23 | 0 | 29 | 99 | 1 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.9 |
| Percent Heay Veh，\％ | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |
| Cap，veth | 289 | 2623 | 40 | 363 | 2622 | 1142 | 160 | 0 | 164 | 168 | 4 | 1 |
| Arive On Green | 0.03 | 0.73 | 0.73 | 0.03 | 0.74 | 0.74 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.1 |
| Sat Flow，verlh | 1781 | 3582 | 54 | 1781 | 3554 | 1548 | 1370 | 0 | 1585 | 1381 | 42 | 155 |
| Gpp Volume（v）vet／h | 47 | 622 | 651 | 68 | 1424 | 110 | 23 | 0 | 29 | 99 | 0 |  |
| Gp Sat How（s），vehih／ln | 1781 | 177 | 1859 | 1781 | 177 | 1548 | 1370 | 0 | 1585 | 1381 | 0 | 159 |
| QServe（g＿s），s | 1.0 | 21.6 | 21.6 | 1.4 | 26.3 | 3.0 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 10.6 | 0.0 |  |
| Oyde Q Clear（a＿c）， s | 1.0 | 21.6 | 21.6 | 1.4 | 26.3 | 3.0 | 5.6 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 13.1 | 0.0 |  |
| Propinlane | 1.00 |  | 0.03 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.9 |
| Lane Gr Cap（c），verh | 289 | 1301 | 1362 | 363 | 2622 | 1142 | 160 | 0 | 164 | 168 | 0 |  |
| V／CRatio（ ${ }^{\text {（ }}$ ） | 0.16 | 0.48 | 0.48 | 0.19 | 0.54 | 0.10 | 0.14 | 0.00 | 0.18 | 0.59 | 0.00 | 0.2 |
| Avail Cap（c＿a），verlh | 336 | 1301 | 1362 | 519 | 2622 | 1142 | 394 | 0 | 435 | 404 | 0 | 43 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.0 |
| Upstream Filter（I） | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.0 |
| UniformDelay（d），Slveh | 6.9 | 8.3 | 8.3 | 6.1 | 8.6 | 5.6 | 64.3 | 0.0 | 61.4 | 67.4 | 0.0 | 61. |
| Inor Delay（d2），siveh | 0.3 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 3.3 | 0.0 |  |
| Initial Q Deay（d3），sveh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| \％ill Backorq（95\％），vethn | 0.6 | 12.3 | 12.7 | 0.8 | 14.0 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 7.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Unsig．Movement Delay，s／veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ingrp Delay（d），Sveh | 7.2 | 9.5 | 9.5 | 6.4 | 9.4 | 5.7 | 64.7 | 0.0 | 61.9 | 70.6 | 0.0 | 62 |
| LnGplos | A | A | A | A | A | A | E | A | E | E | A |  |
| Approach Vod，veth |  | 1320 |  |  | 1602 |  |  | 52 |  |  | 137 |  |
| Approach Delay，s／veh |  | 9.4 |  |  | 9.0 |  |  | 63.2 |  |  | 68.4 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | E |  |  | E |  |
| limer－Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 |  | 4 | 5 | 6 |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Phs Duration（ $G+Y+$ Rc），$s$ | 11.1 | 117.6 |  | 21.3 | 11.9 | 116.8 |  | 21.3 |  |  |  |  |
| Change Period（Y＋Rc），s | 6.8 | 6.9 |  | ＊5．8 | 6.9 | 6.9 |  | ＊5．8 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Green Setting（Gmex），$s$ | 8.2 | 81.1 |  | ＊ 41 | 18.1 | 71.1 |  | ＊41 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time（ a c $\mathrm{c}+1$ ）， s | 3.0 | 28.3 |  | 7.6 | 3.4 | 23.6 |  | 15.1 |  |  |  |  |
| Green Ext Time（p c）s | 0.0 | 15.1 |  | 0.2 | 0.1 | 10.2 |  | 0.5 |  |  |  |  |

Intersection Summary
$\begin{array}{lr}\text { HCMGth Crr Delay } & 12.7 \\ \text { HCM } 6 \text { LOS LOS } & \mathrm{B}\end{array}$
Notes
User approved pedestrian interval to be less than phase max green．
＊HCM 6th computational engine requires equal dearance times for the phases crossing the barrier．

## APPENDIX E

Trip Generation Calculations

Table 1: Trip Generation

| Land Use | Intensity |  |  | AM Peak Hour of Adjacent Street |  |  | PM Peak Hour of Adjacent Street |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Total | In | Out | Total | In | Out |
| Existing Development Convenience Store/Gas Station (4-5.5k) | 24 VFP |  |  | 649 | 325 | 324 | 546 | 273 | 273 |
| Existing Development Pass-By Convenience Store/Gas Station (4-5.5k) | $\frac{\text { Daily }}{75 \%}$ | $\frac{\mathrm{AM}}{76 \%}$ | $\frac{\mathrm{PM}}{75 \%}$ | 494 | 247 | 247 | 410 | 205 | 205 |
| EXISTING SITE - POTENTIAL TOTAL DRIVEWAY VOLUMES |  |  |  | 649 | 325 | 324 | 546 | 273 | 273 |
| EXISTING SITE - POTENTIAL PASS-BY TRIPS |  |  |  | 494 | 247 | 247 | 410 | 205 | 205 |
| EXISTING SITE - POTENTIAL NEW EXTERNAL TRIPS |  |  |  | 155 | 78 | 77 | 136 | 68 | 68 |
| OBSERVED DRIVEWAY VOLUMES |  |  |  | 201 | 106 | 95 | 220 | 115 | 105 |
| ACTUALIPOTENTIAL DRIVEWAY VOLUMES ADJUSTMENT FACTOR |  |  |  | 0.31 |  |  | 0.40 |  |  |
| Proposed Development Convenience Store/Gas Station (5-5-10k) | 27 VFP |  |  | 853 | 427 | 426 | 726 | 363 | 363 |
| Proposed Development Pass-By Convenience Store/Gas Station (5-5-10k) | $\frac{\text { Daily }}{75 \%}$ | $\frac{\mathrm{AM}}{76 \%}$ | $\frac{\mathrm{PM}}{75 \%}$ | 648 | 324 | 324 | 544 | 272 | 272 |
| PROPOSED SITE - POTENTIAL TOTAL DRIVEWAY VOLUMES |  |  |  | 853 | 427 | 426 | 726 | 363 | 363 |
| PROPOSED SITE - POTENTIAL TOTAL PASS-BY TRIPS |  |  |  | 648 | 324 | 324 | 544 | 272 | 272 |
| PROPOSED SITE - POTENTIAL. TOTAL NEW EXTERNAL TRIPS |  |  |  | 205 | 103 | 102 | 182 | 91 | 91 |
| POTENTIAL NET NEW TOTAL DRIVEWAY VOLUMES (PROPOSED - EXISTING) |  |  |  | 204 | 102 | 102 | 180 | 90 | 90 |
| POTENTIAL NET NEW PASS-BY TRIPS (PROPOSED - EXISTING) |  |  |  | 154 | 77 | 77 | 134 | 67 | 67 |
| POTENTIAL NET NEW EXTERNAL TRIPS (PROPOSED - EXISTING) |  |  |  | 50 | 25 | 25 | 46 | 23 | 23 |
| ADJUSTED NET NEW TOTAL DRIVEWAY VOL UMES |  |  |  | 64 | 32 | 32 | 72 | 36 | 36 |
| ADJUSTED NET NEW PASS-BY TRIPS |  |  |  | 48 | 24 | 24 | 54 | 27 | 27 |
| ADJUSTED NET NEW EXTERNAL TRIPS |  |  |  | 16 | 8 | 8 | 18 | 9 | 9 |

Trip generation and pass-by reductions were calculated using the following data from ITE's Trip Generation Manual, 11th Edition.
Convenience Store/ Gas Station (4-5.5k) [ITE 945]

Daily:
AM Peak Hour of Adjacent Street: PM Peak Hour of Adjacent Street:

Convenience Store/ Gas Station (5.5-10k) [ITE 945$]$
Daily:
AM Peak Hour of Adjacent Street: PM Peak Hour of Adjacent Street:
$T=257.13^{*}(X) ; X$ is vehicle fueling positions
$T=27.04^{*}(X)$; $X$ is vehicle fueling positions; ( $50 \%$ in, $50 \%$ out)
$T=22.76^{*}(X)$; $X$ is vehicle fueling positions; ( $50 \%$ in, $50 \%$ out)
$\mathrm{T}=345.75^{*}(\mathrm{X}) ; \mathrm{X}$ is vehicle fueling positions
$T=31.60^{*}(X) ; X$ is vehicle fueling positions; ( $50 \%$ in, $50 \%$ out)
$T=26.90^{*}(X) ; X$ is vehicle fueling positions; ( $50 \%$ in, $50 \%$ out)

## APPENDIX F <br> FDOT Trend Worksheet
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## I. Statement of Proposed Change

The proposed project is a site plan application for new construction to be located on Lot 6 of Gateway Crossing commercial subdivision, on 5.96 acres near the intersection of I-75 and US90. The proposed site plan proposes a three story 39,000 SF footprint primary U-Haul storage facility and a single story 13,700 SF footprint U-Box storage facility along with associated parking and utilities.

Parcel:
35-3S-16-02524-006

As shown on Tables 1 and 2 and Maps 1 and 2, the site plan is consistent with the execution of the established land use and zoning designations and is consistent with surrounding uses. The Commercial land use category has an intensity of </+1FAR and the proposed FAR of 0.20 meets this standard. The proposed storage facility is a permitted use in the property's Commercial future land use designation and Commercial Highway Interchange (CHI) zoning district.

Table 1: Land Use and Zoning

| Location | Land Use | Zoning |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Proposed Property | Commercial | Commercial Highway Interchange |
| North | Commercial | General |
| South | Commercial | Commercial Highway Interchange |
| East | Commercial | Commercial Highway Interchange |
| West | Commercial | General |

Table 2: Allowable - Proposed Dwelling Units

|  | Land Use | Zoning |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Intensity Standard | 1 FAR | 1 FAR |
| Maximum Units <br> Allowed | 1 FAR | 1 FAR |
| Proposed Project | 0.20 FAR | 0.20 FAR |

Map 1: Existing Land Use Designations


Map 2: Zoning Designations


## II. Concurrency Impact Analysis

The State of Florida growth management legislation establishes concurrency standards that ensure that local governments can adequately provide public facilities without constraining adopted local levels of service. In the following paragraphs, the proposed Comprehensive Plan Amendment will discuss how the proposed comprehensive plan amendment application impacts public service demands related to transportation, potable water, sanitary sewage, solid waste, stormwater, open space, recreation, and public school facilities.

## Transportation Mobility

The Lake City Comprehensive Plan Capital Improvements Element Policy VIII.1.1 establishes level of service standards (LOS) for Motor Vehicle Transportation at a LOS A. Table 3 shows the impact 52,700 square feet of office space has on motor vehicle transportation. The total trips per day generated by this development is 207.

| Table 3-Motor Vehicle Transportation ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Roadway Segment | Level of Service |  |
| US 90/ Duval St | D |  |
| From I-75 to SW Bascom |  |  |
| Daily Trip Generation ${ }^{2}$ | Square Footage | Total Development |
| Weekday Trips Per 1,000 square feet $=3.93$ | 52,700 | 207 Trips Per Day |
| AM Peak Hour Per 1,000 square feet $=0.62$ | 52,700 | 33 Trips Per Day |
| PM Peak Hour Per 1,000 square feet $=0.67$ | 52,700 | 35 Trips Per Day |

Source:

1) Lake City Comprehensive Plan
2) ITE Trip Generation, Manual $10^{\text {th }}$ Edition

## Potable Water

The Lake City Comprehensive Plan Capital Improvements Element Policy VIII.1.1 establishes level of service standards (LOS) for Potable Water. Table 4 shows the total gallons per day of potable water is 662.

| Table 4 - Potable Water | Units | Gallons Per Day |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Warehouse Use | 1,124 | 662 |
| Based off a LOS standard established by Lake City and Florida Department of Health. |  |  |

Note: Calculation based on formula for mini warehouse units established by Florida Department of Health Standards of 1 gallon per unit up to 200 units +1 gallon per unit for each unit over 200 .

## Sanitary Sewer

The Lake City Comprehensive Plan Capital Improvements Element Policy VIII.1.1 establishes level of service standards (LOS) for Sanitary Sewer. Table 5 shows the total gallons per day of sanitary sewer is 662.

| Table 5-Sanitary Sewer | Units | Gallons Per Day |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Mini Warehouse Use | 1,124 | 662 |
| Based off a LOS standard established by Lake City and Florida Department of Health. |  |  |

Note: Calculation based on formula for mini warehouse units established by Florida Department of Health Standards of 1 gallon per unit up to 200 units +1 gallon per unit for each unit over 200.

## Solid Waste

The Lake City Comprehensive Plan Capital Improvements Element Policy VIII.1.1 establishes level of service standards (LOS) for Sanitary Sewer. Table 4 shows the total tons of solid waste per year is 955.4 for 1,124 units.

| Table 6 - Solid Waste | Units | Tons Per Year |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Mini Warehouse Use | 1,124 | 955.4 |
| Based off a LOS of .85 tons per year per unit for residential. |  |  |

Note: Calculation based on formula for mini warehouse units established by Florida Department of Health Standards

## Stormwater

The Lake City Comprehensive Plan Capital Improvements Element Policy VIII.1.1 establishes a level of service standards (LOS) for stormwater not within a stream or open lake watershed. The LOS standard states that such developments shall adhere to the standards as specified in Chapter 62-330(4)(b)2, Florida Administrative Code (Rules of the Florida Department of Environmental Regulation) and Chapter 40B-4, Florida Administrative Code (Rules of the Suwannee River Water Management District).

## Recreation

The Lake City Comprehensive Plan Improvements Element Policy VIII.1.1establishes a level of service standards (LOS) for recreation. As the subject property is part of a nonresidential development with existing facilities, this proposed CPA application does not impact the recreation LOS.

## Public School Facilities

The Lake City Comprehensive Plan Improvements Element Policy VIII.1.1 establishes a level of service standards (LOS) for public school facilities. As the subject property is part of a nonresidential development with existing facilities, this proposed CPA application does not impact the public school facilities LOS.

## III. Comprehensive Plan Consistency

The proposed project is located within the Commercial Land Use Category (FLU). Developments within this FLU are limited to a density of 1 FAR. As shown on Map 3 below, the adjoining land use categories are Commercial to the north, east, south, and west. The following comprehensive plan consistency assessment shows how this proposed project is consistent with Lake City's adopted comprehensive plan goals, objectives, and policies.

Map 3: Future Land Use Designations


## A. Future Land Use Element

Policy 1.1.2: The land development regulations of the City shall be based on and be consistent with the following land use classifications and corresponding standards for densities and intensities and shall establish the following floor area ratio(s) to be applied to each classification of land use: ... COMMERCIAL
Lands classified as commercial use consist of areas used for the sale, rental, and distribution of products or performance of services, as well as public, charter and private elementary, middle and high schools. In addition, off-site signs, churches and other houses of worship, private clubs and lodges, residential dwelling units, which existed within this category on the date of adoption of this objective, and other similar uses compatible with commercial uses may be approved as special exceptions and be subject to an intensity of less than or equal to 0.25 floor area ratio except within the (CG) Commercial, General, (CI) Commercial, Intensive, (C-CBD) CommercialCentral Business District and (CHI) Commercial, Highway Interchange districts being subject to an intensity of less than or equal to 1.0 floor area ratio.
(CN) Commercial, Neighborhood uses shall be limited to an intensity of less than or equal to 0.25 floor area ratio. (CG) Commercial, General, (CI) Commercial, Intensive, (C-CBD) Commercial-Central Business District and (CHI) Commercial, Highway Interchange districts shall be limited to an intensity of less than or equal to 1.0 floor area ratio....

- Comprehensive Plan Consistency: The proposed use is consistent with the standards established for the Commercial future land use designation.

Policy 1.1.3: The City shall continue to allocate amounts and types of land uses for residential, commercial, industrial, public, and recreation to meet the needs of the existing and projected future populations and to locate urban land uses in a manner where public facilities may be provided to serve such urban land uses. (Urban land uses shall be herein defined as residential, commercial and industrial land use categories).

- Comprehensive Plan Consistency: The proposed property has available public facilities.

Objective 1.3: The City shall require that all proposed development be approved only where the public facilities meet or exceed the adopted level of service standard.

- Comprehensive Plan Consistency: The proposed property has available public facilities.

Policy 1.3.1 The City shall limit the issuance of development orders and permits to areas where the adopted level of service standards for the provision of public facilities found within the Comprehensive Plan are maintained. This provision also includes areas where development orders were issued prior to the adoption of the Comprehensive Plan.

- Comprehensive Plan Consistency: The proposed property has available public facilities.


## B. Transportation Element

Policy II.1.1 Establish the Service Standards as noted below at peak hour for the following roadway segments within the City as defined within the most recent version of the Florida Department of Transportation Quality/Level of Service Handbook.

- Comprehensive Plan Consistency: The proposed property meets adopted LOS standards for transportation.


## C. Sanitary Sewer, Solid Waste, Drainage, Potable Water, and Natural Groundwater Aquifer Recharge Element

Goal IV-1 Sanitary Sewer, Solid Waste, Drainage, Potable Water, and Natural Groundwater Aquifer Recharge Goals, Obiectives, and Policies. Ensure the provision of public facilities in a timely, orderly, efficient, and environmentally sound manner at an acceptable level of service for the population of the county.

- Comprehensive Plan Consistency: The provision of public facilities and infrastructure systems for sanitary sewer, solid waste, drainage, potable water, and natural groundwater aquifer recharge is provided according to the adopted comprehensive plan LOS standards for such services and infrastructure systems.


## D. Conservation Element

Policy V.2.5 The County shall, through the development review process, require that postdevelopment runoff rates and pollutant loads do not exceed pre-development conditions.

- Comprehensive Plan Consistency: As a result of the execution of this site plan and the development of this project, the development will provide for the runoff rates and pollutant loads that are consistent with this comprehensive plan policy.


## IV. Conclusions

The site plan application request is consistent with and serves to implement the Goals, Objectives, and Policies of the Lake City Comprehensive Plan. The request meets all the review criteria and standards for rezoning applications found in the Lake City Land Development Code, including consistency, compatibility, similarity of development patterns in the area of the subject property, suitability, adequacy of public services, access, and promotion of the public health, safety and welfare. The applicant would request approval of the application based upon the demonstrated consistency and implementation of the applicable Plan Goals, Objectives, and Policies as well as the conformance to all applicable provisions of the land development code.
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## I. Statement of Proposed Change:

The proposed project is a site plan application for new construction to be located on 1.2 acres near 1-75 exit 427. The proposed site plan proposes to build an 1,226 SF restaurant building with associated parking on the following parcel

## Parcels:

35-3S-at-02524-103

As shown on Tables 1 and 2 and Maps 1 and 2, the site plan is consistent with the execution of the established land use and zoning designations and is consistent with surrounding uses. The Commercial land use category has an intensity of </+1FAR and the proposed FAR of .023 meets this standard. The Commercial Highway Interchange (CHI) zoning category is intended to provide for developments that primarily serve the traveling public including fast food restaurants as proposed by this site development plan.

Table 1: Land Use and Zoning

| Location | Land Use | Zoning |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Proposed Property | Commercial | Commercial Highway Interchange |
| North | Commercial | Commercial Highway Interchange |
| South | Commercial | Commercial Highway Interchange |
| East | Commercial | Commercial Highway Interchange |
| West | Commercial | Commercial Highway Interchange |

Table 2: Allowable-Proposed Dwelling Units

|  | Land Use | Zoning |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Intensity Standard | 1 FAR | 1 FAR |
| Maximum Units <br> Allowed | 1 FAR | 1 FAR |
| Proposed Project | .023 FAR | .023 FAR |
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Map 1: Existing Land Use Designation


Table 3: Zoning Designations
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## II. Concurrency impact Analysis

The State of Florida growth management legislation establishes concurrency standards that ensure that local governments adequately provide public facilities to new developments without constraining adopted local levels of service. The following assessment examines how this proposed rezone application impacts public service demands related to transportation, potable water, sanitary sewage, solid waste, stormwater, open space, recreation, and public school facilities.

## Transportation Mobility

The Columbia County Comprehensive Plan Transportation Element Objective ll. 1 establishes level of service standards (LOS) for all roadways.

Table 4: Transportation LOS Impact

|  | Aind Use | St.GFA | MAOT |  | AMPeak |  |  |  | PMM Poak |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lnd Use Code |  |  | Pate ${ }^{\text {c4 }}$ | Tfips | Patas ${ }^{(0)}$ | THps | In | Out | Pinte ${ }^{(2)}$ | Tups | In | Out |
| 935 | Fast-Food Restaurant with Drive-Through Window and No Indoor Seating | 1226 | 459.2 | 563 | 65.81 | 81 | 42 | 39 | 67.44 | 83 | 42 | 41 |

(1) - Rate of Vehicle Trip per 1000 Square Feet of Gross Floor Area based on the ME Trip Generation Manual, 10th Edition, Volume 2

Concurrency Assessment: As shown on Table 4 above the proposed project will generate 65.81 trips per day AM Peak and 67.44 PM Peak. As such it will not place undue demand on the roadway and will maintain its current LOS.

## Potable Water

The Columbia County Comprehensive Plan Sanitary Sewer, Solid Waste, Drainage, Portable Water, and Natural Groundwater Aquifer Recharge Element Objective IV. 5 establishes LOS for Potable Water. Table 5 below shows that the impact the proposed will have on potable water.

Table 5: Potable Water Impact

| System Category | Gallons Per Day |
| :---: | :---: |
| Current Permitted Capacity ${ }^{(1)}$ | $4,192,000$ |
| Less actual Potable Water Flows ${ }^{(1)}$ | $3,400,000$ |
| Reserved Capacity | 0 |
| Residual Capacity | $\mathbf{7 9 2 , 0 0 0}$ |
| Projected Potable Water Demand from Proposed Project $^{(2)}$ | 1,950 |
| Percentage Utilization including Proposed Project | $81 \%$ |

(1) Source: City of lake City Public Senices Department; FDEP Permitted Capacity is 9 MGPD, Current SRWMD Capadty is 4.192 MGPD
(2) Source: F.A.C. $648-6.008$, Table 1 , Food Operations (f) "Difive-In restaurant car space". 50 GPD per car space. 39 spaces x $50 \mathrm{GPO} / \mathrm{space}=1050 \mathrm{GPD}$

Concurrency Assessment: As shown on Table 5 above the proposed project will generate demand for 1,950 gallons per day. The remaining capacity will be $81 \%$. As such, the County LOS will be maintained so to that it will be able to continue providing for the potable water demands of the community.
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## Sanitary Sewage

The Columbia County Comprehensive Plan Sanitary Sewer, Solid Waste, Drainage, Portable Water, and Natural Groundwater Aquifer Recharge Element Objective IV. 2 LOS for Sanitary Sewage. Table 6 shows that the impact the proposed 1,226 square foot commercial restaurant building will have on sanitary sewerage.

Table 6: Sanitary Sewer Impact

| System Category | Gallons Per Day |
| :---: | :---: |
| Current Permitted Capacity ${ }^{(1)}$ | $3,000,000$ |
| Less actual Treatment Plant Flows ${ }^{(1)}$ | $2,530,000$ |
| Reserved Capacity | 0 |
| Residual Capacity | 470,000 |
| Projected Sanitary Sewer Demand from Proposed Project $^{(2)}$ | 1,950 |
| Percentage Utilization Including Proposed Project | $84 \%$ |

Concurrency Assessment: As shown on Table 6 above the proposed project will generate 1,950 gallons per day. The remaining capacity will be $\mathbf{8 4 \%}$. As such, the County LOS will be maintained so to that it will be able to continue providing for the sanitary sewer demands of the community.

The Columbia County Comprehensive Plan Sanitary Sewer, Solid Waste, Drainage, Portable Water, and Natural Groundwater Aquifer Recharge Element Objective IV. 4 establishes LOS for Stormwater. The policy establishes the standard as follows: for all projects which fall totally within a stream, or open lake watershed, detention systems must be installed such that the peak rate of post-development runoff will not exceed the peak-rate of pre-development runoff for storm events up through and including either:

1. A design storm with a 10-year, 24-hour rainfall depth with Soil Conservation Service type II distribution falling on average antecedent moisture conditions for projects serving exclusively agricultural, forest, conservation, or recreational uses; or
2. A design storm with 100 -year critical duration rainfall depth for projects serving any land use other than agricultural, silvicultural, conservation, or recreational uses.

Concurrency Assessment: This project has been designed as part of a master stormwater system that was designed to meet a 10 -year, $\mathbf{2 4}$-hour rainfall depth. As such, the County LOS will be maintained so to that it will be able to continue providing for the stormwater demands the community.
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## Open Space

The Columbia County Comprehensive Plan Recreation and Open Space Element Objective 5.2 establishes LOS for open space. The standard directs that as applicable and appropriate, open space standards shall be established in the implementing land development code.

Concurrency Assessment: This land development regulations for the $\mathbf{C H I}$ zoning classification does not included standards for specific open space aside from the established FAR, buffering standards, and building setbacks. The proposed site plan meets these standards. As such, this concurrency standard is not applicable to this proposed project as there is no impact.

## Recreation

The Columbia County Comprehensive Plan Recreation and Open Space Element Objective 1.1 establishes LOS for recreation based on residents to be served. Additionally, Objective VI. 3 states this LOS requirements is for new subdivisions or re-subdivisions of land.

Concurrency Assessment: This site plan application is for the development of a commercial property that does not generate new residents. As such, this concurrency standard is not applicable to this proposed project as there is no impact.

## Public School Facilities

The Columbia County Comprehensive Plan Recreation and Open Space Element Objective XI. 1 establishes LOS for recreation based on number of students and available capacity for educational facilities. Additionally, Objective IX. 3 states this LOS requirements is to be applied concurrent with the development of new residential projects.

Concurrency Assessment: This site plan application is for the development of a commercial property that does not generate new student populations. As such, this concurrency standard is not applicable to this proposed project as there is no impact.

## III. Comprehensive Plan Consistency

The proposed project is located within the Commercial Land Use Category (FLU). Developments within this FLU are limited to a density of 1 FAR. As shown on Map 4 below, the adjoining land use categories are Commercial to the north, east, south, and west. The following comprehensive plan consistency
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assessment shows how this proposed project is consistent with Columbia County's adopted comprehensive plan goals, objectives, and policies.

Map 4: Future Land Use Map


## Future Land Use Element

Goal 1: Future Land Use. In recognition of the importance of conserving the natural resources and enhancing the quality of life, the county shall direct development to those areas which have in place, or have agreements to prove, the land and water resources, fiscal abilities, and service capacity to accommodate growth in an environmentally acceptable manner.

Comprehensive Plan Consistency: The location of this proposed site plan application is within an established development area where there is the funding and infrastructure capacity to provide for the demands of the proposed development.

Obiective 1.2: Urban Development Areas. The County shall continue to direct future population growth and associated urban development to urban development areas as established within this Comprehensive Plan.

Comprehensive Plan Consistency: The location of this proposed site plan is consistent with the County's urban development areas.
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Policy 1.1.1: Public Facility Availability. The County shall limit the location of higher density residential and high intensity commercial and industrial uses to areas adjacent to arterial or collector roads where public facilities are available to support such higher density or intensity

Comprehensive Plan Consistency: The location of this proposed development with an 175 Interchange area is consistent with the policy to locate new development is areas that have the public facilities and infrastructure needed to support higher intensities.

Policy 1.1.5 Development-Public Facility Coordinated Locations. The County shall continue to regulate govern future urban development within designated urban development areas in conformance with the land topography and soil conditions, and within an area which is or will be served by public facilities and services.

Comprehensive Plan Consistency: The location of this proposed site plan is able to be served by public facilitles and services consistent with this policy.

Policy 1.1.6 Land Use Classifications. The County's land development regulations shall be based on and be consistent with the following land use classifications and corresponding standards for densities and intensities within the designated urban development areas of the County. For the purpose of this policy and Comprehensive Plan, the phrase "other similar uses compatible with" shall mean land uses that can co-exist in relative proximity to other uses in a stable fashion over time such that no other uses within the same land use classification are negatively impacted directly or indirectly by the use....
COMMERCIAL LAND USE
Highway interchange uses shall be permitted within the urban and rural area of the County.
Highway interchange uses shall be permitted within areas surrounding Interstates 75 and 10, which shall be limited to the following:

1. Tourist oriented facilities, such as restaurants, automotive service stations, motels and campgrounds;
2. Retail outlets;
3. Truck stops;
4. Light manufacturing, assembling, processing, packaging or fabricating in completely enclosed building; and
5. Facilities for the storage and distribution of foods and products including
wholesale activity.
Commercial uses shall be limited to an intensity of 1.0 floor area ratio.
Comprehensive Plan Consistency: The development of this property is consistent with the future land use requires for the development of residential properties in general and the Comercial FLU category in specific.

Obiective l.3 Compatibility of Adjacent Land Uses: The County shall include within the site plan review process to be adopted as part of the land development regulations, that adjacent land uses shall not be adversely impacted by any change in land use.
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Comprehensive Plan Consistency: The proposed site plan is located in an area that is compatible with highway interchange commercial uses consistent with this policy.

OBJECTIVE I.11 Public Facilities and Developable Land: The County shall require that proposed development be approved only where the public facilities meet or exceed the adopted level of service standard.

Comprehensive Plan Consistency: The location of this proposed development is an area the County is able to provide public services consistent with this policy.

Policy 1.11.1 Level of Service Standards The County shall establish procedures for the review of proposed development to determine its impact on level of service standards for public facilities so that such public facilities will meet the County's level of service standards and are available concurrently with the impacts of development.

Comprehensive Plan Consistency: As proven be the Concurrency Analysis, the development of this property is consistent with establish LOS standards and the proposed impacts do not unduly impact the ability for the County to provide public infrastructure facilities and services.

Policy 1.12.1 Land Development Standards and Requlations. The County's land development regulations shall contain specific and detailed provisions to manage future growth and development to implement the Comprehensive Plan which shall contain at a minimum the following provisions to:

1. Regulate the subdivision of land;
2. Regulate the use of land and water consistent with this Element and ensure the compatibility of adjacent land uses and provide for open space;
3. Protect environmentally sensitive lands identified within the Conservation Element;
4. Regulate areas subject to seasonal and periodic flooding and provide for drainage and stormwater management;
5. Protect potable water wellfields and aquifer recharge areas;
6. Regulate signage;
7. Ensure safe and convenient onsite traffic flow and vehicle parking needs; and
8. Provide that development orders and permits shall not be issued which result in a reduction of the level of service standards adopted in this Comprehensive Plan

Comprehensive Plan Consistency: As shown through this application and attached proposed site development plan, the proposal to development this site is consistent with the County's adopted land development standards and regulations.

## Transportation Element

Policy II.1.1 Establish the Service. Standards as noted below at peak hour for the following roadway segments within the County as defined within the most recent version of the Florida Department of Transportation Quality/Level of Service Handbook.
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Comprehensive Plan Consistency: The provision of roadway services is provided according to the adopted comprehensive plan LOS standards for such services and infrastructure systems.

OBJECTIVE II. 2 Traffic Circulation System. The County shall require that all traffic circulation system improvements be consistent with the land uses shown on the future land use plan map by limiting higher density and higher intensity land use locations to be adjacent to collector or arterial roads.

Comprehensive Plan Consistency: The location of this development in a highway interchange area near 175 is consistent with the County policy to provide for higher intensity development areas adjacent to major roadways.

## Sanitary Sewer, Solid Waste, Drainage, Potable Water, and Natural Groundwater Aguifer Recharge Element

Goal IV-1 Sanitary Sewer, Solid Waste, Drainage, Potable Water, and Natural Groundwater Aquifer Recharqe Goals, Obiectives, and Policies. Ensure the provision of public facilities in a timely, orderly, efficient, and environmentally sound manner at an acceptable level of service for the population of the county.

Comprehensive Plan Consistency: The provision of public facilities and infrastructure systems for sanitary sewer, solid waste, drainage, potable water, and natural groundwater aquifer recharge is provided according to the adopted comprehensive plan LOS standards for such services and infrastructure systems.

## Conservation Element

Policy V.2.5 Runoff Standards. The County shall, through the development review process, require that post-development runoff rates and pollutant loads do not exceed pre-development conditions.

Comprehensive Plan Consistency: As a result of the execution of this site plan and the development of this project, the development will provide for the runoff rates and pollutant loads that are consistent with this comprehensive plan policy.
IV. Conclusions:

The site plan application request is consistent with and serves to implement the Goals, Objectives and Policies of the Columbia County Comprehensive Plan. The request meets all of the review criteria and standards for rezoning applications found in the Columbia County Land Development Code, including consistency, compatibility, similarity of development patterns in the area of the subject property, suitability, adequacy of public services, access, and promotion of the public, health, safety and welfare. The applicant would request approval of the application based upon the demonstrated consistency and
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implementation of the applicable Plan Goals, Objectives and Policies as well as the conformance to all applicable provisions of the land development code.
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## I. Statement of Proposed Change:

The proposed project is a site plan application for new construction to be located on 1.064 acres near I75 exit 427 . The proposed site plan proposes to build a 3,428 SF restaurant building with associated parking and utilities on the following parcel

## Parcels:

35-3S-16-02524-008

As shown on Tables 1 and 2 and Maps 1 and 2, the site plan is consistent with the execution of the established land use and zoning designations and is consistent with surrounding uses. The Commercial land use category has an intensity of </+1FAR and the proposed FAR of .07 meets this standard. The Commercial Highway Interchange (CHI) zoning category is intended to provide for developments that primarily serve the traveling public including fast food restaurants as proposed by this site development plan.

Table 1: Land Use and Zoning

| Location | Land Use | Zoning |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Proposed Property | Commercial | Commercial Highway Interchange |
| North | Commercial | Commercial Highway Interchange |
| South | Commercial | Commercial Highway Interchange |
| East | Commercial | Commercial Highway Interchange |
| West | Commercial | Commercial Highway Interchange |

Table 2: Allowable—Proposed Dwelling Units

|  | Land Use | Zoning |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Intensity Standard | 1 FAR | 1 FAR |
| Maximum Units <br> Allowed | 1 FAR | 1 FAR |
| Proposed Project | .07 FAR | .07 FAR |
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Map 1: Existing Land Use Designation


Map 2: Zoning Designations


## JBPro

## II. Concurrency Impact Analysis

The State of Florida growth management legislation establishes concurrency standards that ensure that local governments adequately provide public facilities to new developments without constraining adopted local levels of service. The following assessment examines how this proposed rezone application impacts public service demands related to transportation, potable water, sanitary sewage, solid waste, stormwater, open space, recreation, and public school facilities.

## Transportation Mobility

The Columbia Country Comprehensive Plan Transportation Element Objective II. 1 establishes level of service standards (LOS) for all roadways.

Table 4: Transportation LOS Impact

|  | Land Use | SF GFA | AADT |  | AM Peak |  |  |  | PMM Peak |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Land Use Code |  |  | Rate ${ }^{(1)}$ | Trips | Rate ${ }^{(1)}$ | Trips | In | Out | Rate ${ }^{(1)}$ | Irips | In | Out |
| 930 | Fast Casual | 3428 | 315.17 | 1080 | 36.21 | 124 | 77 | 47 | 43.79 | 150 | 69 | 81 |

Concurrency Assessment: As shown on Table 4 above the proposed project will generate 1080 trips per day including 124 trips per day AM Peak and 150 PM Peak. As such it will not place undue demand on the roadway and will maintain its current LOS.

## Potable Water

The Columbia County Comprehensive Plan Sanitary Sewer, Solid Waste, Drainage, Portable Water, and Natural Groundwater Aquifer Recharge Element Objective IV. 5 establishes LOS for Potable Water. Table 5 below shows that the impact the proposed will have on potable water.

Table 5: Potable Water Impact

| System Category | Gallons Per Day |
| :---: | :---: |
| Current Permitted Capacity ${ }^{(1)}$ | $\mathbf{4 , 1 9 2 , 0 0 0}$ |
| Less actual Potable Water Flows ${ }^{(1)}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 4 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ |
| Reserved Capacity | 0 |
| Residual Capacity | $\mathbf{7 9 2 , 0 0 0}$ |
| Projected Potable Water Demand from Proposed Project ${ }^{(2)}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 5 6 0}$ |
| Percentage Utilization Including Proposed Project | $\mathbf{8 1 \%}$ |

(1) Source: City of Lake City Public Services Department; FDEP Permitted Capacity is 9 MGPD, Current SRWMD Capacity is 4.192 MGPD
(2) Source: F.A.C. $64 E-6.008$, Table 1, Food Operations (a) "Restaurant operating 16 hours or less per day per seat". 89 Seats $\times 40 \mathrm{GPD} / \mathrm{seat}=3560$ GPD

Concurrency Assessment: As shown on Table 5 above the proposed project will generate demand for 3,560 gallons per day. The remaining capacity will be $19 \%$. As such, the County LOS will be maintained so to that it will be able to continue providing for the potable water demands of the community.
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Sanitary Sewage

The Columbia County Comprehensive Plan Sanitary Sewer, Solid Waste, Drainage, Portable Water, and Natural Groundwater Aquifer Recharge Element Objective IV. 2 LOS for Sanitary Sewage. Table 6 shows that the impact the proposed 1,226 square foot commercial restaurant building will have on sanitary sewerage.

Table 6: Sanitary Sewer Impact

| System Category | Gallons Per Day |
| :---: | :---: |
| Current Permitted Capacity ${ }^{(1)}$ | $3,000,000$ |
| Less actual Treatment Plant Flows ${ }^{(1)}$ | $2,530,000$ |
| Reserved Capacity | 0 |
| Residual Capacity | 470,000 |
| Projected Sanitary Sewer Demand from Proposed Project $^{(2)}$ | 1,950 |
| Percentage Utilization Including Proposed Project | $84 \%$ |

(1) Source: City of Lake City Public Services Department; FDEP Permitted Capacity is 9 MGPD, Current SRWMD Capacity is 4.192 MGPD (2) Source: F.A.C. $64 \mathrm{E}-6.008$, Table 1 , food Operations (a) "Restaurant operating 16 hours or less per day per seat". 89 Seats $\times 40 \mathrm{GPD} /$ seat $=3560 \mathrm{GPD}$

Concurrency Assessment: As shown on Table 6 above the proposed project will generate 1,950 gallons per day. The remaining capacity will be $16 \%$. As such, the County LOS will be maintained so to that it will be able to continue providing for the sanitary sewer demands of the community.

The Columbia County Comprehensive Plan Sanitary Sewer, Solid Waste, Drainage, Portable Water, and Natural Groundwater Aquifer Recharge Element Objective IV. 4 establishes LOS for Stormwater. The policy establishes the standard as follows: for all projects which fall totally within a stream, or open lake watershed, detention systems must be installed such that the peak rate of post-development runoff will not exceed the peak-rate of pre-development runoff for storm events up through and including either:

1. A design storm with a 10-year, 24-hour rainfall depth with Soil Conservation Service type II distribution falling on average antecedent moisture conditions for projects serving exclusively agricultural, forest, conservation, or recreational uses; or
2. A design storm with 100 -year critical duration rainfall depth for projects serving any land use other than agricultural, silvicultural, conservation, or recreational uses.

Concurrency Assessment: This project has been designed as part of a master stormwater system that was designed to meet a $\mathbf{1 0}$-year, $\mathbf{2 4}$-hour rainfall depth. As such, the County LOS will be maintained so to that it will be able to continue providing for the stormwater demands the community.
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## Open Space

The Columbia County Comprehensive Plan Recreation and Open Space Element Objective 5.2 establishes LOS for open space. The standard directs that as applicable and appropriate, open space standards shall be established in the implementing land development code.

Concurrency Assessment: This land development regulations for the CHI zoning classification does not included standards for specific open space aside from the established FAR, buffering standards, and building setbacks. The proposed site plan meets these standards. As such, this concurrency standard is not applicable to this proposed project as there is no impact.

## Recreation

The Columbia County Comprehensive Plan Recreation and Open Space Element Objective 1.1 establishes LOS for recreation based on residents to be served. Additionally, Objective VI. 3 states this LOS requirements is for new subdivisions or re-subdivisions of land.

Concurrency Assessment: This site plan application is for the development of a commercial property that does not generate new residents. As such, this concurrency standard is not applicable to this proposed project as there is no impact.

## Public School Facilities

The Columbia County Comprehensive Plan Recreation and Open Space Element Objective XI. 1 establishes LOS for recreation based on number of students and available capacity for educational facilities. Additionally, Objective IX. 3 states this LOS requirements is to be applied concurrent with the development of new residential projects.

Concurrency Assessment: This site plan application is for the development of a commercial property that does not generate new student populations. As such, this concurrency standard is not applicable to this proposed project as there is no impact.

## III. Comprehensive Plan Consistency

The proposed project is located within the Commercial Land Use Category (FLU). Developments within this FLU are limited to a density of 1 FAR. As shown on Map 4 below, the adjoining land use categories are Commercial to the north, east, south, and west. The following comprehensive plan consistency assessment shows how this proposed project is consistent with Columbia County's adopted comprehensive plan goals, objectives, and policies.
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Map 4: Future Land Use Map


## Future Land Use Element

Goal 1: Future Land Use. In recognition of the importance of conserving the natural resources and enhancing the quality of life, the county shall direct development to those areas which have in place, or have agreements to prove, the land and water resources, fiscal abilities, and service capacity to accommodate growth in an environmentally acceptable manner.

Comprehensive Plan Consistency: The location of this proposed site plan application is within an established development area where there is the funding and infrastructure capacity to provide for the demands of the proposed development.

Objective 1.2: Urban Development Areas. The County shall continue to direct future population growth and associated urban development to urban development areas as established within this Comprehensive Plan.

Comprehensive Plan Consistency: The location of this proposed site plan is consistent with the County's urban development areas.

Policy 1.1.1: Public Facility Availability. The County shall limit the location of higher density residential and high intensity commercial and industrial uses to areas adjacent to arterial or collector roads where public facilities are available to support such higher density or intensity

## JBPro

Comprehensive Plan Consistency: The location of this proposed development with an 175 Interchange area is consistent with the policy to locate new development is areas that have the public facilities and infrastructure needed to support higher intensities.

Policy 1.1.5 Development-Public Facility Coordinated Locations. The County shall continue to regulate govern future urban development within designated urban development areas in conformance with the land topography and soil conditions, and within an area which is or will be served by public facilities and services.

Comprehensive Plan Consistency: The location of this proposed site plan is able to be served by public facilities and services consistent with this policy.

Policy 1.1.6 Land Use Classifications. The County's land development regulations shall be based on and be consistent with the following land use classifications and corresponding standards for densities and intensities within the designated urban development areas of the County. For the purpose of this policy and Comprehensive Plan, the phrase "other similar uses compatible with" shall mean land uses that can co-exist in relative proximity to other uses in a stable fashion over time such that no other uses within the same land use classification are negatively impacted directly or indirectly by the use....

## COMMERCIAL LAND USE

Highway interchange uses shall be permitted within the urban and rural area of the County.
Highway interchange uses shall be permitted within areas surrounding Interstates 75 and 10, which shall be limited to the following:

1. Tourist oriented facilities, such as restaurants, automotive service stations, motels and campgrounds;
2. Retail outlets;
3. Truck stops;
4. Light manufacturing, assembling, processing, packaging or fabricating in completely enclosed building; and
5. Facilities for the storage and distribution of foods and products including wholesale activity.
Commercial uses shall be limited to an intensity of 1.0 floor area ratio.
Comprehensive Plan Consistency: The development of this property is consistent with the future land use requires for the development of residential properties in general and the Commercial FLU category in specific.

Objective 1.3 Compatibility of Adjacent Land Uses: The County shall include within the site plan review process to be adopted as part of the land development regulations, that adjacent land uses shall not be adversely impacted by any change in land use.

Comprehensive Plan Consistency: The proposed site plan is located in an area that is compatible with highway interchange commercial uses consistent with this policy.

## JBPro

OBJECTIVE I.11 Public Facilities and Developable Land: The County shall require that proposed development be approved only where the public facilities meet or exceed the adopted level of service standard.

Comprehensive Plan Consistency: The location of this proposed development is an area the County is able to provide public services consistent with this policy.

Policy 1.11.1 Level of Service Standards The County shall establish procedures for the review of proposed development to determine its impact on level of service standards for public facilities so that such public facilities will meet the County's level of service standards and are available concurrently with the impacts of development.

Comprehensive Plan Consistency: As proven be the Concurrency Analysis, the development of this property is consistent with establish LOS standards and the proposed impacts do not unduly impact the ability for the County to provide public infrastructure facilities and services.

Policy 1.12.1 Land Development Standards and Requlations. The County's land development regulations shall contain specific and detailed provisions to manage future growth and development to implement the Comprehensive Plan which shall contain at a minimum the following provisions to:

1. Regulate the subdivision of land;
2. Regulate the use of land and water consistent with this Element and ensure the compatibility of adjacent land uses and provide for open space;
3. Protect environmentally sensitive lands identified within the Conservation Element;
4. Regulate areas subject to seasonal and periodic flooding and provide for drainage and stormwater management;
5. Protect potable water wellfields and aquifer recharge areas;
6. Regulate signage;
7. Ensure safe and convenient onsite traffic flow and vehicle parking needs; and
8. Provide that development orders and permits shall not be issued which result in a reduction of the level of service standards adopted in this Comprehensive Plan

Comprehensive Plan Consistency: As shown through this application and attached proposed site development plan, the proposal to development this site is consistent with the County's adopted land development standards and regulations.

## Transportation Element

Policy II.1.1 Establish the Service. Standards as noted below at peak hour for the following roadway segments within the County as defined within the most recent version of the Florida Department of Transportation Quality/Level of Service Handbook.

Comprehensive Plan Consistency: The provision of roadway services is provided according to the adopted comprehensive plan LOS standards for such services and infrastructure systems.

## JBPro

OBJECTIVE II. 2 Traffic Circulation System. The County shall require that all traffic circulation system improvements be consistent with the land uses shown on the future land use plan map by limiting higher density and higher intensity land use locations to be adjacent to collector or arterial roads.

Comprehensive Plan Consistency: The location of this development in a highway interchange area near 175 is consistent with the County policy to provide for higher intensity development areas adjacent to major roadways.

## Sanitary Sewer, Solid Waste, Drainage, Potable Water, and Natural Groundwater Aquifer Recharge Element

Goal IV-1 Sanitary Sewer, Solid Waste, Drainage, Potable Water, and Natural Groundwater Aquifer Recharge Goals, Objectives, and Policies. Ensure the provision of public facilities in a timely, orderly, efficient, and environmentally sound manner at an acceptable level of service for the population of the county.

Comprehensive Plan Consistency: The provision of public facilities and infrastructure systems for sanitary sewer, solid waste, drainage, potable water, and natural groundwater aquifer recharge is provided according to the adopted comprehensive plan LOS standards for such services and infrastructure systems.

## Conservation Element

Policy V.2.5 Runoff Standards. The County shall, through the development review process, require that post-development runoff rates and pollutant loads do not exceed pre-development conditions.

Comprehensive Plan Consistency: As a result of the execution of this site plan and the development of this project, the development will provide for the runoff rates and pollutant loads that are consistent with this comprehensive plan policy.

## IV. Conclusions:

The site plan application request is consistent with and serves to implement the Goals, Objectives and Policies of the Columbia County Comprehensive Plan. The request meets all of the review criteria and standards for rezoning applications found in the Columbia County Land Development Code, including consistency, compatibility, similarity of development patterns in the area of the subject property, suitability, adequacy of public services, access, and promotion of the public health, safety and welfare. The applicant would request approval of the application based upon the demonstrated consistency and implementation of the applicable Plan Goals, Objectives and Policies as well as the conformance to all applicable provisions of the land development code.

COMPOSITE


## PART 1: PERMIT INFORMATION

| APPLICATION NUMBER: 2022-A-292-00008 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Permit Category: H-Safety Upgrade | Access Classification: |
| Project: Circle K expansion |  |
| Permittee: JAROD STUBBS |  |
| Section/Mile Post: 1 | State Road: |
| Section/Mile Post: 1 | State Road: |



## PART 3: PERMIT APPROVAL

The above application has been reviewed and is hereby approved subject to all Provisions as attached.
Permit Number: 2022-A-292-00008
Department of Transportation
Signature: Troy Register
Title: MAINTENANCE MANAGER/PERMITS
Department Representative's Printed Name Troy Register
Temporary Permit $\square$ YES $\square$ NO (If temporary, this permit is only valid for 6 months)
Special provisions attached $\square$ YES $\square$ NO
Date of Issuance: 5/18/2022
If this is a normal (non-temporary) permit it authorizes construction for one year from the date of issuance. This can onlybe extended by the Department as specified in 14-96.007(6).

## PART 4: GENERAL PROVISIONS

1. Notify the Department of Transportation Maintenance Office at least 48 hours in advance of starting proposed work. Phone: 3869617153 , Attention: Troy Register
2. A copy of the approved permit must be displayed in a prominent location in the immediate vicinity of the connection construction.
3. Comply with Rule 14-96.008(1), F.A.C., Disruption of Traffic.
4. Comply with Rule 14-96.008(7), F.A.C., on Utility Notification Requirements.
5. All work performed in the Department's right of way shall be done in accordance with the most current Department standards, specifications and the permit provisions.
6. The permittee shall not commence use of the connection prior to a final inspection and acceptance by the Department.
7. Comply with Rule $14-96.003(3)(a)$, F.A.C., Cost of Construction.
8. If a Significant Change of the permittee's land use, as defined in Section 335.182, Florida Statutes, occurs, the Permittee must contact the Department.
9. Medians may be added and median openings may be changed by the Department as part of a Construction Project or Safety Project. The provision for a median might change the operation of the connection to be for right turns only.
10. All conditions in NOTICE OF INTENT WILL APPLY unless specifically changed by the Department.
11. All approved connection(s) and turning movements are subject to the Department's continuing authority to modify such connection(s) or turning movements in order to protect safety and traffic operations on the state highway or State Highway System.
12. Transportation Control Features and Devices in the State Right of Way. Transportation control features and devices in the Department's right of way, including, but not limited to, traffic signals, medians, median openings, or any other transportation control features or devices in the state right of way, are operational and safety characteristics of the State Highway and are not means of access. The Department may install, remove or modify any present or future transportation control feature or device in the state right of way to make changes to promote safety in the right of way or efficient traffic operations on the highway.
13. The Permittee for him/herself, his/her heirs, his/her assigns and successors in interest, binds and is bound and obligated to save and hold the State of Florida, and the Department, its agents and employees harmless from any and all damages, claims, expense, or injuries arising out of any act, neglect, or omission by the applicant, his/her heirs, assigns and successors in interest that may occur by reason of this facility design, construction, maintenance, or continuing existence of the connection facility, except that the applicant shall not be liable under this provision for damages arising from the sole negligence of the Department.
14. The Permittee shall be responsible for determining and notify all other users of the right of way.
15. Starting work on the State Right of Way means that I am accepting all conditions on the Permit.

## PART 5: SPECIAL PROVISIONS

## NON-CONFORMING CONNECTIONS:

$\qquad$ YES NO
If this is a non-conforming connection permit, as defined in Rule Chapters 14-96 and 14-97, then the following shall be a part of this permit.

1. The non-conforming connection(s) described in this permit is (are) not permitted for traffic volumes exceeding the Permit Category on page 1 of this permit, or as specified in "Other Special Provisions" below.
2. All non-conforming connections will be subject to closure or relocation when reasonable access becomes available in the future.

## OTHER SPECIAL PROVISIONS: <br> Pre construction meeting requested. 48hr notification required before work in FDOT RMW begins.

## PART 6: APPEAL PROCEDURES

You may petition for an administrative hearing pursuant to sections 120.569 and 120.57, Florida Statutes. If you dispute the facts stated in the foregoing Notice of Intended Department Action (hereinafter Notice), you may petition for a formal administrative hearing pursuant to section 120.57 (1), Florida Statutes. If you agree with the facts stated in the Notice, you may petition for an informal administrative hearing pursuant to section 120.57(2), Florida Statutes. You must file the petition with:

> Clerk of Agency Proceedings
> Department of Transportation
> Haydon Burns Building
> 605 Suwannee Street, M.S. 58
> Tallahassee, Florida $32399-0458$

The petition for an administrative hearing must conform to the requirements of Rule 28-106.201(2) or Rule 28-106.301(2), Florida Administrative Code, and be filed with the Clerk of Agency Proceedings by $5: 00 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$. no later than 21 days after you received the Notice. The petition must include a copy of the Notice, be legible, on $81 / 2$ by 11 inch white paper, and contain:

1. Your name, address, telephone number, any Department of Transportation identifying number on the Notice, if known, the name and identification number of each agency affected, if known, and the name, address, and telephone number of your representative, if any, which shall be the address for service purposes during the course of the proceeding.
2. An explanation of how your substantial interests will be affected by the action described in the Notice;
3. A statement of when and how you received the Notice;
4. A statement of all disputed issues of material fact. If there are none, you must so indicate;
5. A concise statement of the ultimate facts alleged, including the specific facts you contend warrant reversal or modification of the agency's proposed action, as well as an explanation of how the alleged facts relate to the specific rules and statutes you contend require reversal or modification of the agency's proposed action;
6. A statement of the relief sought, stating precisely the desired action you wish the agency to take in respect to the agency's proposed action.
If there are disputed issues of material fact a formal hearing will be held, where you may present evidence and argument on all issues involved and conduct cross-examination. If there are no disputed issues of material fact an informal hearing will be held, where you may present evidence or a written statement for consideration by the Department.
Mediation, pursuant to section 120.573 , Florida Statutes, may be available if agreed to by all parties, and on such terms as may be agreed upon by all parties. The right to an adminstrative hearing is not affected when mediation does not result in a settlement.
Your petition for an administrative hearing shall be dismissed if it is not in substantial compliance with the above requiremenis dfRule 28-106:201(2) or Rule 28-106.301(2), Florida Administrative Code. If you fail to timely file your petition in accordance with the above requirements,yoy will have waived your right to have the intended action reviewed pursuant to chapter 120. Florida Statutes, and the action set forth in the Notice shall be conclusive and final.

5/18/2022



















## To be completed by DOT

Drainage Connection Permit No. 2022-D-292-00004
Date 4/1/2022
Received By One-Stop Permitting System
State Road No. $\qquad$
Maintenance Unit $\qquad$
Section No. $\qquad$
Work Program Project No. $\qquad$
Milepost
Construction Project No. $\qquad$
Station

## Instructions for Drainage Connection Permit

## Pursuant to 14-86.004(6), F.A.C. "The Drainage Connection Permit form serves as the application. Once

 approved by the Department, the form and supporting documents become the Drainage Connection Permit."The applicant shall submit four completed permit packages with original signatures. Each package shall include all required attachments. All required signed and sealed plans and supporting documentation shall be submitted on no larger than ( $11^{\prime \prime} \times 17^{\prime \prime}$ ) multipurpose paper, unless larger plan sheets are requested by the reviewer. The package will include the following items. If an item does not apply to your project, indicate "Not Applicable" or "N/A."
$\left.\begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|l|}\hline \text { Included } & \text { Part } & \text { Title } & \text { Completed by: } & \text { Special Instructions } \\ \hline & 1 & \text { Permit Information Sheet } & \text { Applicant }\end{array}\right)$

Note: Different Licensed Professionals may complete parts of the permit package. For example the Licensed Professional signing and sealing the as-built certification may be different from the Licensed Professional who signed and sealed the calculations for the permit package.

EXCEPTIONS: Activities that qualify for an Exception are listed in Rule 14-86, F.A.C. A permit application to the Department is NOT required. However, if you desire verification whether the work qualifies for an exception, send a completed copy of this permit package with its requested information to the applicable FDOT District Office.


## PART 1 - Permit Information Sheet

Select one: $\square$ Permit $\square$ Exception
Pursuant to 14-86.002(2), F.A.C. "Applicant means the owner of the adjacent property or the owner's authorized
representative" representative."

Applicant
Select one: $\square$ Property Owner $\square$ Owner's Representative (Complete Part 4)
Name: JAROD STUBBS
Titte and Company: Civil Engineer, KIMLEY-HORN
Address: 189 South Orange Ave Suite 1000
City: Orlando State: Florida_ Zip: 32801
Telephone: $\frac{\text { (407) 409-7002 ext. ___ FAX: }}{\text { Property Owner (If not applicant) }}$
Name: Sammy Virani
Title and Company: N/A, Aspri Investments, LLC
Address: P.O. Box 1206
City: Kemah
_ State: Texas Zip: 77565
Telephone: (407) 580-5173 ext. ___ FAX $\qquad$ Email: dberry@shafferconst.com

## Applicant's Licensed Professional

Name: Jarod Stubbs_ Florida License Number: 89387

Title and Company: Civil Engineer, Kimley-Horn
Address: 189 South Orange Ave, Suite 1000
City: Orlando State: Florida Zip: 32801
Telephone: $\frac{(407)}{409-7002 \text { ext. ___ FAX: }}$
Project Information:
Project Name: Circle K expansion


Brief description of facility and proposed connection:
We are proposing modifications to an existing curb inlet and storm manhole located at the northeast corner of US 90 \& Centurion Ct.

Briefly describe why this activity requires a Drainage Connection Permit (Include where the stormwater will discharge to FDOT right of way):
These modifications will be necessary as part of the proposed modification to the curb line and road expansion of the same location to accommodate for truck turning footprints of trucks up to WB-67.

## PART 2 - Certification by a Licensed Professional

In accordance with Rule 14-86, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.), I hereby certify that the following requirements are and/or will be met.

This project has been designed in compliance with all applicable water quality design standards as required by state governmental agencies.

14-86.004(3)(f) (F.A.C.): Certification by a Licensed Professional that the complete set of plans and computations complies with one of the following Rules Sections:

$$
\text { 14-86.003(2)(a) (F.A.C.), or } \square \text { 14-86.003(2)(b) (F.A.C). (check one) }
$$

I further certify that a National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit for stormwater discharges associated with industrial activity from construction sites

$$
\nabla \text { is required }
$$

is not required.
(check one)
I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fines and imprisonment.

This certification shall remain valid for any subsequent revision or submittal of plans, computation or other project documents by me.
Name of Licensed Professional: Jarod Stubbs
Florida License Number: 89387
Company Name (if applicable): Kimley-Horn
Certificate of Authorization Number (if applicable):
Address: 189 South Orange Ave, Suite 1000
City: Orlando
State: Florida Zip: 32801
Telephone: (407) 409-7002 ext. $\qquad$ Fax: $\qquad$ Email: jarod.stubbs@kimley-horn.com

by Jarod Stubbs =-m.
Date: $\quad$ -
2022.04.01

13:41:41-04!0
Signature of Licensed Professional
(Affix Seal)


PART 4 - Owner's Authorization of a Representative
I (we), the owner, Samuy Virane , do hereby authorize the following person, or entity, as my representative:

Name (Printed): JAROD STUBBS
Title and Company: Civil Engineer, KIMLEY-HORN
Address: 189 South Orange Ave, Suite 1000 Orlando, Florida 32801
Phone Number: (407) 409-7002 ext.__ E-mail address: jarod.stubbs@kimley-horn.com
Part 5 - Affidavit of Property Ownership or Control and Statement of Contiguous Interest
1._Sampy Virani , certify that I own or lawfully control the following described property:
The property is located on the Northeast corner of the US $90 \&$ Centurion Ct intersection. Parcel \#35-3S-16-02524-001

Does the property owner own or have any interests in any adjacent property?
$\square$ No Yes If yes, please describe. $\qquad$

## Owner's Signature required for Parts 4 and/or 5

We will not begin on the drainage connection until I receive the Permit and I understand all the conditions of the Permit. When work begins on the connection, I am accepting all conditions listed in the Permit.


## PART 6 - Permit General Conditions

1. This permit is a license for permissive use only and does not convey any property rights either in real estate or material, or any exclusive privilege and it does not authorize any injury to private property or invasion of private rights, or any infringement of Federal, State or local laws, rules or regulations; nor does it obviate the necessity of obtaining any required state or local approvals.
2. The drainage connection as authorized herein shall be constructed and thereafter maintained in accordance with the documents attached hereto and incorporated by reference herein. All work performed in the Department's right of way shall be done in accordance with the most current Department standards, specifications and the permit provisions. Such construction shall be subject to the inspection and approval of the Department, and the Department may at any time make such inspections as it deems necessary to assure that the drainage connection is in compliance with this permit.
3. The entire expense of construction within the Department right of way, including replacement of existing pavement or other existing features, shall be borne by the permittee.
4. The permittee shall maintain that portion of the drainage connection authorized herein located on permittee's property in good condition. The Department shall maintain that portion of the drainage connection authorized herein located within its right of way.
5. If the drainage connection is not constructed, operated or maintained in accordance with this permit, the permit may be suspended or revoked. In this event modification or removal of any portion of the drainage connection from the Department's right of way shall be at the permittee's expense.
6. The Department reserves the right to modify or remove the drainage connection to prevent damage or in conjunction with road improvements.
7. It is understood and agreed that the rights and privileges herein set out are granted only to the extent of the Department's right, title, and interest in the land to be entered upon and used by the permittee, and the permittee will, at all times, assume all risk of and indemnify, defend and save harmless the Department from and against any and all loss, damage, cost or expense arising in any manner on account of the exercise or attempted exercises by said permittee of these rights and privileges, regardless of the respective degrees of fault of the parties.
8. Utilities, including gas lines, may exist within the right of way. Prior to beginning work the permittee shall contact Sunshine State One Call of Florida, Inc at 811 or 800-432-4770, who will notify all utility owners near the scheduled project. The utility owners have two (2) full business days to provide locations of their respective facilities. The permittee shall be solely responsible for any damage to or conflicts with gas lines, utilities and/or third persons.
9. The permittee shall notify the Department of Transportation Maintenance Office located at Phone $\qquad$ 48 hours in advance of starting any work on the drainage connection authorized by this permit and also 24 hours prior to any work within the Department's right of way. Construction of any work on the right of way shall be completed within $\qquad$ days after such notification. If such construction is not completed within $\qquad$ days after such notification, the permittee shall notify the Department of the anticipated completion date.
10. This permit shall expire if construction on the drainage connection is not begun within one year from the date of approval and if construction on the drainage connection is not completed by (Date) $\qquad$ 5/18/2023 .
11. A permittee may request an extension of the Drainage Connection Permit expiration date by filing a written request for a permit time extension. All requests for time extensions must be received by the Department 15 working days prior to the expiration date.
12. All the provisions of this permit shall be binding on any assignee or successor in interest of the permittee.


## PART 7 - Permit Special Conditions - To be completed by FDOT

The above request has been reviewed and has been found to meet the regulations as prescribed in Rule 14-86, F.A.C., and is hereby approved, subject to the following special conditions:

Department of Transportation:
Signature Troy Register
Title MAINTENANCE MANAGER/PERMITS

## PART 8 - As-Built Certification

Within 15 working days of completion of construction, you must send this certification to the Department office in which you filed your DOT Drainage Permit.

## 1. STORM WATER FACILITY INFORMATION

Permit No.: $\qquad$
Source (Project) Name: $\qquad$
Source Location: Street $\qquad$
City: $\qquad$ County: $\qquad$
Source Owner: $\qquad$
Owner Address: $\qquad$

## 2. AS-BUILT CERTIFICATION

I hereby certify that this storm water facility has been built substantially in accordance with the certified design plans, and that any substantial deviations (noted below) will not prevent the facility from functioning in compliance with the requirements of Chapter 14-86 F.A.C. when properly maintained and operated. These determinations have been based upon on-site observation of construction, scheduled and conducted by me or by a project representative under my direct supervision.

Name of Licensed Professional: $\qquad$
Florida License Number: $\qquad$
Company Name (if applicable): $\qquad$
Certificate of Authorization Number (if applicable): $\qquad$
Address: $\qquad$
City: $\qquad$ State: $\qquad$ Zip: $\qquad$
Telephone: $\qquad$ Fax: $\qquad$ Email: $\qquad$

Signature of Licensed Professional

Date
(Affix Seal)

Substantial deviations from the approved plans and specifications (attach additional sheets if required).

## PART 2 - Certification by a Licensed Professional

In accordance with Rule 14-86, Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.), I hereby certify that the following requirements are and/or will be met.

This project has been designed in compliance with all applicable water quality design standards as required by state governmental agencies.

14-86.004(3)(f) (F.A.C.): Certification by a Licensed Professional that the complete set of plans and computations complies with one of the following Rules Sections:

$$
\nabla \text { 14-86.003(2)(a) (F.A.C.), or } \square \text { 14-86.003(2)(b) (F.A.C). (check one) }
$$

I further certify that a National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit for stormwater discharges associated with industrial activity from construction sites
$\nabla$ is requiredis not required.
(check one)

I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fines and imprisonment.

This certification shall remain valid for any subsequent revision or submittal of plans, computation or other project documents by me.
Name of Licensed Professional: Jarod Stubbs
Florida License Number: 89387
Company Name (if applicable): Kimley-Horn
Certificate of Authorization Number (if applicable):
Address: 189 South Orange Ave, Suite 1000
City: Orlando State: Florida

Zip: 32801
Telephone: (407) 409-7002 ext. ___ Fax $\qquad$ Email: jarod.stubbs@kimley-horn.com


Signature of Licensed Professional

Date
(Affix Seal)

PART 3 - Certification by Applicant
I hereby certify that the infopially stand by Jarrod

Applicant's Signature: $\frac{13: 42070 \cdot 04000}{}$
$\qquad$ Date: 04/01/2022
Name (Printed): JAROD STUBBS
Title and Company: Civil Engineer, KIMLEY-HORN
Address: 189 South Orange Ave, Suite 1000 Orlando, Florida 32801
Phone Number: (407) 409-7002 ext. ___mail address: jarod.stubbs@kimley-horn.com

## PART 4 - Owner's Authorization of a Representative

I (we), the owner, Sammy Virani do hereby authorize the following person, or entity, as my representative:

Name (Printed): JAROD STUBBS
Title and Company: Civil Engineer, KIMLEY-HORN
Address: 189 South Orange Ave, Suite 1000 Orlando, Florida 32801
Phone Number: (407) 409-7002 ext.__E-mail address: jarod.stubbs@kimley-horn.com
Part 5 - Affidavit of Property Ownership or Control and Statement of Contiguous Interest
 , certify that I own or lawfully control the following described property:
The property is located on the Northeast corner of the US 90 \& Centurion Ct intersection. Parcel \#35-3S-16-02524-001

Does the property owner own or have any interests in any adjacent property?
$\square$ No Yes If yes, please describe. $\qquad$

## Owner's Signature required for Parts 4 and/or 5

We will not begin on the drainage connection until I receive the Permit and I understand all the conditions of the Permit. When work begins on the connection, I am accepting all conditions listed in the Permit.

Name (Printed): Sammy Virani
Address: P.O. Box 1206, Kemah, Texas 77565
Phone Number: (407) 580-5173 ext.
Signature: $\qquad$ Date:














C4.5-TRUCK TURNING MOVEMENTS
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