

Lisa Enourato

From: Jay Emmer <jay.r.emmer.1@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 18, 2022 1:32 PM
To: Amanda Breen; Michael David; Participate; Jim Slanetz; Neil Bradshaw; Courtney Hamilton
Subject: Proposed Emergency Ordinance 1234

Council and Mayor;

As a full time resident of, and taxpayer and voter in, the City of Ketchum for almost 30 years I am writing to voice my strong opinion against consideration of the proposed Emergency Ordinance 1234 being treated as an "emergency" that supersedes the due public process for consideration and implementation of an ordinance in our City. My reasons for the strong objection are as follows:

- 1) The current version of the proposed emergency ordinance 1234 was not accessible/presented to the general public until Friday morning, April 15, 2022... the Friday before a holiday weekend and the tax deadline. Even without the obligations associated with Easter, Passover and Federal Taxes, the time for the general public and residents and property owners in Ketchum to read through, digest and respond to the revised ordinance is inadequate and therefore makes the circumvention of the standard public process and procedure for consideration of an ordinance unfair to, unethical towards, and violation of your responsibilities to the general public of, and property owners in, the City of Ketchum.
- 2) The current revised version of the proposed emergency ordinance 1234 was not presented to the Commissioners on 4/12/22 as they had requested which denied the public the opportunity to review the ordinance prior to Friday 4/15/22.
- 3) The City has failed to establish or substantiate how the proposed emergency ordinance 1234 addresses, or provides a solution to the alleged "emergency".
- 4) The City has not presented any evidence that they have studied, or had studied by experts in the fields of the economy, development or property rights, what the potential economic impacts to the City of Ketchum could be if the proposed emergency ordinance 1234 is implemented.
- 5) The measures proposed in the revised proposed emergency ordinance 1234 have not been able to be reviewed by Ketchum property owners that will or could be impacted by implementation of the ordinance, nor have actual experts in the areas of property rights, development and the economy had ample time to review and respond to how the proposed implementation of the ordinance could affect the community that is, and the economy of, the City of Ketchum.
- 6) Much of the language of the proposed emergency ordinance 1234 is too vague and too broad which allows for subjectivity in interpretation by the Commissioners and gives the Commissioners authority outside of the purview of their appointed roles, their experience level, and their areas of expertise (e.g. reference Section 5, page 257 of the meeting packet, where the language "Permitted subject to additional standards" and "permitted subject to waiver" are used).
- 7) The feasibility of the proposed development matrixes (e.g. reference pages 255 and 256, section 4) on proposed emergency ordinance 1234 have not been presented with adequate time for development experts and architects to review, study and respond to the matrix with regard to how economic feasibility and viability could be impacted by the implementation of the proposed emergency ordinance 1234 or what buildings developed under the matrix would look like.

All of the above, and your ethical and moral obligation to due public process are points that would and should preclude implementing the proposed emergency ordinance 1234 as an emergency and under the emergency ordinance provisions.

In my opinion, the proposed emergency ordinance 1234 should be killed or tabled at tonight's meeting. If new ordinance/code are to be developed there is a process in place to do so and that process should be adhered to. If the public wants to see different ordinances/code, and the City has a desire to revamp our existing code which, albeit not perfect, does work to provide many of the goals stated by the City in its alleged "emergency", then lets get some consultants that are actual experts in the areas that address those issues to help our

Lisa Enourato

From: Katherine Wessel <katherine.wessel@sunvalleysir.com>
Sent: Monday, April 18, 2022 3:04 PM
To: Amanda Breen; Michael David; Participate; Jim Slanetz; Neil Bradshaw; Courtney Hamilton
Subject: FW: Proposed Emergency Ordinance 1234

To the Council and Mayor: I am also a Ketchum resident, taxpayer, voter and property owner, and agree with Jay's email. It does not seem right to ignore our established due process to address this. It needs enough time for us to understand and respond to this. Respectfully, Katherine Wessel 122 Andora Lane Ketchum, ID

From: Jay Emmer <jay.r.emmer.1@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, April 18, 2022 1:32 PM
To: Amanda Breen <abreen@ketchumidaho.org>; Michael David <mdavid@ketchumidaho.org>; participate@ketchumidaho.org; jslanetz@ketchumidaho.org; Neil Bradshaw <NBradshaw@ketchumidaho.org>; Courtney Hamilton <chamilton@ketchumidaho.org>
Subject: Proposed Emergency Ordinance 1234

Council and Mayor;

As a full time resident of, and taxpayer and voter in, the City of Ketchum for almost 30 years I am writing to voice my strong opinion against consideration of the proposed Emergency Ordinance 1234 being treated as an "emergency" that supersedes the due public process for consideration and implementation of an ordinance in our City. My reasons for the strong objection are as follows:

- 1) The current version of the proposed emergency ordinance 1234 was not accessible/presented to the general public until Friday morning, April 15, 2022... the Friday before a holiday weekend and the tax deadline. Even without the obligations associated with Easter, Passover and Federal Taxes, the time for the general public and residents and property owners in Ketchum to read through, digest and respond to the revised ordinance is inadequate and therefore makes the circumvention of the standard public process and procedure for consideration of an ordinance unfair to, unethical towards, and violation of your responsibilities to the general public of, and property owners in, the City of Ketchum.
- 2) The current revised version of the proposed emergency ordinance 1234 was not presented to the Commissioners on 4/12/22 as they had requested which denied the public the opportunity to review the ordinance prior to Friday 4/15/22.
- 3) The City has failed to establish or substantiate how the proposed emergency ordinance 1234 addresses, or provides a solution to the alleged "emergency".
- 4) The City has not presented any evidence that they have studied, or had studied by experts in the fields of the economy, development or property rights, what the potential economic impacts to the City of Ketchum could be if the proposed emergency ordinance 1234 is implemented.
- 5) The measures proposed in the revised proposed emergency ordinance 1234 have not been able to be reviewed by Ketchum property owners that will or could be impacted by implementation of the ordinance, nor have actual experts in the areas of property rights, development and the economy had ample time to review and respond to how the proposed implementation of the ordinance could affect the community that is, and the economy of, the City of Ketchum.
- 6) Much of the language of the proposed emergency ordinance 1234 is too vague and too broad which allows for subjectivity in interpretation by the Commissioners and gives the Commissioners authority outside of the purview of their appointed roles, their experience level, and their areas of expertise (e.g. reference Section 5, page 257 of the meeting packet, where the language "Permitted subject to additional standards" and "permitted subject to waiver" are used).
- 7) The feasibility of the proposed development matrixes (e.g. reference pages 255 and 256, section 4) on proposed emergency ordinance 1234 have not been presented with adequate time for development experts and architects to review, study and respond to the matrix with regard to how economic feasibility and viability

could be impacted by the implementation of the proposed emergency ordinance 1234 or what buildings developed under the matrix would look like.

All of the above, and your ethical and moral obligation to due public process are points that would and should preclude implementing the proposed emergency ordinance 1234 as an emergency and under the emergency ordinance provisions.

In my opinion, the proposed emergency ordinance 1234 should be killed or tabled at tonight's meeting. If new ordinance/code are to be developed there is a process in place to do so and that process should be adhered to. If the public wants to see different ordinances/code, and the City has a desire to revamp our existing code which, albeit not perfect, does work to provide many of the goals stated by the City in its alleged "emergency", then lets get some consultants that are actual experts in the areas that address those issues to help our community evaluate our existing comprehensive plan, establish new goals [if need be], and make recommendations for how ordinance/code can help address those goals, and then go through due and proper public process to implement them.

The above due and established public process has been circumvented/ignored under the premise of claimed/perceived/alleged emergencies that are not emergencies. Yet "emergencies" have been declared, and processes put in place for which economic impacts and benefit(s) to the community are unknown and at best speculative to the degree that they will positively impact the alleged "emergency". Due public process needs to be adhered to, the manipulation to circumvent it needs to stop.

Respectfully,

Jay

Jay R. Emmer
502 Broadway Blvd., Ketchum

community evaluate our existing comprehensive plan, establish new goals [if need be], and make recommendations for how ordinance/code can help address those goals, and then go through due and proper public process to implement them.

The above due and established public process has been circumvented/ignored under the premise of claimed/perceived/alleged emergencies that are not emergencies. Yet "emergencies" have been declared, and processes put in place for which economic impacts and benefit(s) to the community are unknown and at best speculative to the degree that they will positively impact the alleged "emergency". Due public process needs to be adhered to, the manipulation to circumvent it needs to stop.

Respectfully,

Jay

Jay R. Emmer
502 Broadway Blvd., Ketchum

Lisa Enourato

From: Amanda Breen
Sent: Wednesday, April 27, 2022 10:45 AM
To: Lisa Enourato; Tara Fenwick
Subject: Fw: LOT

Public comment.

From: Rick Flickinger <batts4u151@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 27, 2022 9:37 AM
To: Amanda Breen <ABreen@ketchumidaho.org>
Subject: LOT

Hi Amanda:

A quick question please....

Does the city collect LOT revenue from restaurants for food? Liquor by the drink is a bit confusing. Can you explain that please. Does that include liquor like wine served at the table?

Thanks

Rick Flickinger