

Dawn Hofheimer

From: James Hungelmann <jim.hungelmann@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, June 2, 2025 11:17 AM
To: Neil Bradshaw
Cc: Kerrin McCall; Amanda Breen; Courtney Hamilton; Spencer Cordovano; Tripp Hutchinson; Participate; Allison Goodwin
Subject: Re: GENERAL PUBLIC COMMENT - KCC Meeting June 2 2025 - SNOWMELT AND WILDFIRES ALERT

Ok Neil I will revisit what I had sent previously and get back.

Thanks,
Jim

El lun, 2 jun 2025 a las 11:08, Neil Bradshaw (<NBradshaw@ketchumidaho.org>) escribió:

Jim,

I am happy to send a letter to the governor (or the appropriate state official) requesting information on this program.

Feel free to send me a "draft request letter" that covers the all the informational items you are requesting and I will forward to the appropriate state decision makers

Thank you

Neil

NEIL BRADSHAW | CITY OF KETCHUM

Mayor

P.O. Box 2315 | 191 5th Street, W | Ketchum, ID 83340

o: 208.727.5087 | m: 208.721.2162

nbradshaw@ketchumidaho.org | www.ketchumidaho.org

On Jun 2, 2025, at 10:05 AM, Kerrin McCall <kerrinmac@gmail.com> wrote:

Attention: Ketchum City Council

It is truly alarming to me that the Ketchum City Council continues to ignore the dangerous poisoning of our community and Earth environment via GeoEngineering, euphemistically called "cloud seeding", Thank you Jim Hungelman for once again bringing this issue to the City Council's attention. Have you buried your compassion and awareness in the snow pack of denial? Cloud seeding has been going on in the WRV for 40 plus years, and is now increased by orders of magnitude by the global climate engineering agenda. Wake up! look up! Florida has recently made geo engineering activity illegal in the state. I am once again asking the Council to address this concern and press the Governor and the Idaho legislature to take action to halt chemical atmospheric spraying. From my letter written in 2016 printed in the Idaho Mountain Express, sent to the council previously and attached below: "All available data indicates that the ongoing global geo engineering programs are mathematically the greatest single assault against the web of life ever launched by the human race."

Thank you for your attention,
Kerrin McCall

Begin forwarded message:

From: Allison Goodwin <allisongoodwin1@gmail.com>
Subject: Re: **GENERAL PUBLIC COMMENT - KCC Meeting June 2 2025 - SNOWMELT AND WILDFIRES ALERT**
Date: June 2, 2025 at 8:07:39 AM MDT
To: James Hungelmann <jim.hungelmann@gmail.com>
Cc: ejones@mtexpress.com, "To: Neil Bradshaw" <nbradshaw@ketchumidaho.org>, Amanda Breen <abreen@ketchumidaho.org>, Courtney Hamilton <chamilton@ketchumidaho.org>, Spencer Cordovano <scordovano@ketchumidaho.org>, Tripp Hutchinson <thutchinson@ketchumidaho.org>, Participate <participate@ketchumidaho.org>

Thank you Jim for continuing to bring the Criminal GeoEngineering Programs to Light. To date, too many people are still in denial of the Obvious Continual Spraying of our atmosphere - even RFK Jr. brings attention to these CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY. Weather Modification is Real and there is Plenty of Proof - Both Dane Wigington of www.geoengineeringwatch.org and Health Ranger Mike Adams www.naturalnews.com show the Proof! (both discuss here) <https://nexusnewsfeed.com/article/climate-ecology/dane-wigington-from-geoengineering-watch-joins-mike-adams-to-discuss-heavy-metals-chemtrails-fallout/>
Lab tests have confirmed the spraying of Nano-Aluminum, Barium, Strontium +++ -

Creating DROUGHTS (**Problem**) Media Claiming "Climate Change" (**Reaction**) then "They" Spray New Toxic Crap Silver Iodide that "Scientists" Concur is CLOUD SEEDING - Yes seeding Toxins into Rain (**Solution**).

Idaho needs to Wake the F up about these Criminal Programs that have been underway for well over 25 years and have Escalated Globally for the past 10+ years. The Spraying of Nano Aluminum and other Metals have altered the pH of soils and rendered the Forests Highly "flammable" - it's the opposite of materials that don't burn. Ask any seasoned fire fighter - I have in Santa Rosa CA - The Fires are Burning a Thousand X Hotter than Normal.

So Let's get Idaho on board with Banning Geoengineering over our Skies. This is a Start as I understand the Air Space 30+K Ft above is apparently not "Ours to Control?" Which is BS however We The People ALL Need Oxygen to Breathe NOT NANO PARTICULATES SPRAYED FROM DRONED JET AIRCRAFT!

Thanks Team!
Allison Goodwin

On Sun, Jun 1, 2025 at 9:52 PM James Hungelmann <jim.hungelmann@gmail.com> wrote:

----- Forwarded message -----

De: **James Hungelmann** <jim.hungelmann@gmail.com>

Date: dom, 1 jun 2025 a las 21:45

Subject: GENERAL PUBLIC COMMENT - KCC Meeting June 2 2025 - SNOWMELT AND WILDFIRES ALERT

To: Neil Bradshaw <nbradshaw@ketchumidaho.org>, Amanda Breen

<abreen@ketchumidaho.org>, Courtney Hamilton <chamilton@ketchumidaho.org>, Spencer

Cordovano <scordovano@ketchumidaho.org>, Tripp Hutchinson

<thutchinson@ketchumidaho.org>, Participate <participate@ketchumidaho.org>

General Public Comment

Ketchum City Council Meeting – June 2, 2025

Re: Water Shortages and Wildfire Risk – Summer 2025

Dear Mayor and Councilors,

I write today regarding the recent *Idaho Mountain Express* article by Emily Jones, which outlines the state and federal government's outlook for the coming summer—an outlook of water shortages, drought conditions, and elevated wildfire risk, despite a winter with snowpack reported at 20% above average. The official narrative, as relayed by agencies like the Department of Water Resources, the Bureau of Reclamation, the NRCS, the national weather agencies, and others, attributes these concerns to a “faster-than-normal snowmelt” triggered by warm spring temperatures, “unusually dry soils” acting as a sponge, and—always lurking in the background—the vague specter of “climate change.” Yet, this mainstream account leaves much unspoken, particularly regarding government actions that have directly contributed to these conditions.

For example, there is no mention by any of these groups of the ongoing cloud seeding operations in the Wood River Basin—conducted by the Idaho Department of Water Resources and Idaho Power for the past 15 years—despite persistent questions about their long-term impacts. Independent experts and local testing have raised serious concerns about these programs, suggesting that cloud seeding is merely a cover for more sophisticated geoengineering activities, including the aerial dispersal of toxic and incendiary substances—materials that have been detected in alarming concentrations in local water samples, including aluminum levels five times above the EPA limit. These substances, they argue, act as desiccants, chemically altering snowpack and causing sublimation, whereby moisture bypasses the melt phase entirely,

evaporating directly into the atmosphere rather than infiltrating the ground. This process reduces available runoff, dries out the soils, and ultimately contributes to the very drought and wildfire risks being reported.

This mayor and council have been presented with these concerns for over a decade, and records show that citizens have repeatedly requested action—such as a simple, respectful inquiry to Governor Little seeking transparency about these programs. Yet, time and again, the mayor and council have chosen silence and inaction.

This refusal to engage in good faith with the community's legitimate concerns raises serious ethical and legal questions. Many citizens now believe that our local officials bear direct responsibility for the resulting risks—whether through willful neglect or active complicity—particularly as wildfires, caused not by climate change but by wholesale arson, continue to devastate our treasured forests.

A Wrecking Crew of Blaine Pretenders that was giddy about imposing punishing COVID measures from isolation to masking that choked out and poisoned every breath for two years and pushing people to line up for injections of sketchy and potentially life threatening material, rejecting any notion of constitutionally mandated Due Process and instead based on the assurances of “doctor” Fauci who has now been, by virtue of the federal pardon, exposed as a lying racketeer if not homicidal maniac . . .

This Wrecking Crew of Ketchum mayor and city council has not the courage even to send a respectful letter of inquiry to the governor on the nature of the spray programs, drafted by the citizenry, at the request of the mayor.

Given the gravity of the situation, I once again urge your immediate action.

Your failure to act will be considered to be aggravation of crime and cover-up on the part of exposed collaborators whose time in government is soon to end, abruptly. I hope you understand.

Respectfully,

Jim Hungelmann

Ketchum

--
~~~~~  
Allison Goodwin  
775.781.1837



## Dawn Hofheimer

---

**From:** Harry Griffith <harry@sunvalleyeconomy.org>  
**Sent:** Monday, June 2, 2025 11:22 AM  
**To:** Participate  
**Cc:** mdavidson@bcrd.org; Jim Keating; lisa.horowitz@haileycityhall.org  
**Subject:** Fw: Comments on Ketchum ebike regulations

As a serious rider, I wanted to offer the following the following comments:

1. Currently the policy analysis says..."when in use from sunrise to sunset shall be operated with a light emitting device"

I think you mean from sunset to sunrise

2. Currently the ordinance states..."10.12.040 Obedience to traffic control devices. A. Any person operating a bicycle, e-bike, or alternative electric motored vehicle shall obey the instructions of official traffic control signals, signs and other control devices applicable to vehicles, unless otherwise directed by a police officer."

Under Idaho code 49-723

bicyclists are accorded some exceptions too vehicle laws. Specifically, bi

yclists can treat stop signs as yield signs and proceed through intersections after slowing down or stopping and yielding the right-of-way, if necessary. They can also proceed through a red light after stopping, checking for traffic, and yielding. Bicyclists must ride in the same direction as traffic, and they can ride side-by-side if it doesn't impede traffic. Your ordinance should to be adjusted by adding "...by a police officer or as allowed under Idaho statute 49-723"

3. Currently the ordinance states: "10.12.130 Lamps and other equipment on bicycles. No person shall operate a bicycle, e-bike, or alternative electric motored vehicle unless it is equipped with a bell or other device capable of giving a signal audible.

This is problematic for road riders who do not want or have a bell on their bikes. Traditionally, and as recommended by the BCRD, voice call out when overtaking is an acceptable. For lack of a bell

to be a finable infraction seems heavy handed. I would suggest you modify 10.12.130 to allow for voice warning, or alternatively, to make a bell only required when on the Pathways (although still a problem for a lot of road riders who so a short connecting segment on Ketchum public pathways.

**Harry Griffith**

Executive Director, Sun Valley Economic Development

[www.SunValleyEconomy.org](http://www.SunValleyEconomy.org)

## Dawn Hofheimer

---

**From:** Nick Blatz <nickblatz@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, May 29, 2025 1:28 PM  
**To:** Participate  
**Subject:** Ordinance 1262

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Completed

Hello City of Ketchum City Council,

My intention in writing this email is to critique the newly proposed ebike ordinance 1262, advocate for ebike usership, and to suggest future conversations regarding off-leash dogs on the bike path.

1, Perhaps I misunderstand this, but do I risk a \$1,000 dollar fine and/or six months in jail and a misdemeanor on my record for operating an ebike 16MPH on the bike path in Ketchum? Whoa. That seems very aggressive to me. an infraction seems more reasonable. Is it a misdemeanor to operate a car over the speed limit?

2, I truly believe that commuting from down valley to Ketchum by ebike is the best way to get to work. I have had so many inquiries into my ebike over the last month given the very long commute times. Clearly there is a need for car-alternative transportation with the amount of road work going on and the other many benefits of commuting by bike. I ask that the city council consider the (e)bike commuter community when trying to enforce more restrictive rules.

3, I cannot tell you just how many off-leash dogs I have encountered while biking (and ebiking) in Ketchum that have chased me or have been occupying the entire width of the bike path. I feel like if we are going to tell (e)bikers how to behave, we need to tell dog owners how they are to conduct themselves as well to keep other users safe. Perhaps a leash rule would help with these interactions or maybe a "voice and sight" tag program that allows dogs to be off leash only after proving that they are capable. Boulder, CO has this program.

Thank you for your consideration

Nick Blatz



## Cyndy King

---

**From:** Pat Higgins <pathiggins@cox.net>  
**Sent:** Friday, May 30, 2025 8:32 AM  
**To:** Participate  
**Subject:** Public comment

Dear City of Ketchum leaders,

Reading the agenda for this week it seems like you have way too much on your plate. I try my hardest to keep up with what is going on, but even I am getting discouraged.

You have several important issues that are not decided upon yet and you have a page of new business . Why can't you finish what you start, and put new business on hold until the new Comprehensive plan is completed?

The city of Seattle is doing a major road project it's taking 4 days to a week , they are working on 24 hours a day to get it accomplished. Our road projects take several months and over 2 years they put serious consequences on local workers and businesses. I'm not suggesting we be more like Seattle , but this is ridiculous. I live in Ketchum and I dread shopping in Ketchum or venturing south valley.

I see burnt out in our future.

Respectfully submitted,  
Pat Higgins

Sent from my iPad

## Cyndy King

---

**From:** Lisa Behr <lisadbehr@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, May 27, 2025 9:44 PM  
**To:** Participate  
**Cc:** Spencer Cordovano; Tripp Hutchinson; Neil Bradshaw; Amanda Breen  
**Subject:** E-bikes

To: City Council Members  
Subject: Reconsideration of Proposed E-Bike Ordinance

Dear Members of the City Council,

I am writing to respectfully urge you to reconsider the proposed e-bike ordinance that would classify certain violations as misdemeanors—penalizing residents with potential jail time rather than a civil infraction. As a daily commuter who relies on an e-bike to travel from my home to Ketchum at an average speed of 18 mph, I find the current proposal both impractical and unjust.

This Valley has long prided itself on encouraging sustainable, alternative modes of transportation. E-bikes provide an affordable, eco-friendly solution to commuting challenges, particularly in light of increasing traffic congestion and ongoing road construction. To now criminalize their reasonable use undermines these very values.

The newly proposed speed limits for e-bike users are especially concerning. Traditional cyclists, relying solely on pedal power, can easily exceed the proposed limits. Are we also prepared to penalize them with jail time? Enforcement should focus on behavior, not the mode of transport. Recklessness should be addressed regardless of whether one is walking, cycling, or using an e-bike.

It is worth noting that many of the most common safety issues on our shared pathways stem not from responsible cyclists, but from distracted pedestrians, off-leash dogs, and a general lack of awareness from all parties. Safety is a shared responsibility, and legislation should reflect that by applying consequences equitably to anyone acting recklessly—whether on foot, bike, or otherwise.

This ordinance, as written, unfairly targets those of us using e-bikes as a viable, sustainable means of transport. Instead of fostering solutions, it punishes the working class and discourages green commuting. Please do not force riders like me off the bike path and onto dangerous highways, where the risks are exponentially higher.

Let's implement fair and balanced rules that prioritize safety and accountability for everyone on our shared paths—without threatening incarceration for reasonable, responsible travel.

I urge you to reject this version of the ordinance and work toward a more balanced, thoughtful approach that reflects the values and needs of our entire community.

Sincerely,  
Lisa Behr  
860 Buckhorn Dr, Hailey, ID  
208-534-8915

Ketchum Business Advisory Coalition Public Comment  
on KURA Board Appointment  
May 30<sup>th</sup>, 2025

The Ketchum Business Advisory Coalition (KBAC) strongly supports the appointment of Ketchum business owner Dillon Witmer to the KURA Board of Commissioners.

Dillon is a long-time resident, business owner and commercial property owner in KURA's area of impact (revenue allocation area) and he will add an important voice in the decision-making process of KURA's projects and their expenditure of public funds going forward.

KBAC is confident that Dillon will fairly and equitably represent the interests and needs of Ketchum residents, property owners and business owners. Dillon is thoughtful, knowledgeable, and collaborative, and he will work hard on behalf of everyone to build upon the accomplishments of the KURA during their final four years.

Thank you,  
KBAC Board