

**From:** [Rebecca Waycott](#)  
**To:** [Aly Swindley](#); [Participate](#); [warmsspringspreserve@gmail.com](mailto:warmsspringspreserve@gmail.com)  
**Subject:** Warm Springs Preserve  
**Date:** Saturday, April 1, 2023 2:04:33 PM

---

Hi.

I see that there will be a vote on the Master Plan for the Warm Springs preserve this coming Monday. Overall, I think that the plan looks great. However, I feel the disfavor that the neighbors in the Broadway Blvd. area feel regarding the possible pedestrian bridge is not addressed strongly enough in the plan. (This is in reference to Potential Neighborhood Connection section on pages 78-80.) Many of us do not want the bridge for the following reasons:

- no adequate parking - In the winter, the street is often only one lane which already makes it very difficult for access by trucks, fire trucks, etc. In the summer there are already a fair amount of people that park in the cul de sac to access the creek. This more than takes up all the parking available. More cars would seriously impact the neighborhood.

- potential trespassing issues by people and dogs.

One last point that is not being addressed at this time is the use of the floodplain area in the wintertime. It perhaps would be under the purview of Fish and Game. This floodplain area is a winter home to many animals (including elk, moose and deer) who forage for food, bed down, etc as they try to survive the winter. It would be detrimental to them if there was a lot of human activity in the winter months. For this same reason, access to the Heidelberg trail is closed in the winter.

Thanks for all the great work you are doing and for your attention to this email.

Sincerely, Rebecca Waycott

**From:** [richardwaycottsv@gmail.com](mailto:richardwaycottsv@gmail.com)  
**To:** [warm Springs Preserve@gmail.com](mailto:warm Springs Preserve@gmail.com); [Participate](#); [Aly Swindley](#); [Neil Bradshaw](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Warm Springs Preserve - potential "neighborhood connection" bridge construction at Broadway Blvd./Creekbend Court  
**Date:** Saturday, April 1, 2023 2:33:43 PM

---

To whom it may concern,

I understand there will be a Ketchum City Council meeting on April 3 to discuss and potentially approve the Warm Springs Preserve Master Plan. While my family and I are very supportive of most features of the plan, we strongly oppose the "neighborhood connection" bridge which is contemplated to connect Broadway Blvd. (Broadway Court) and the preserve. I outlined the reasons for our opposition in my email to the city dated February 25, 2023, below. The potential disruption to our neighborhood and the severe limitation of parking make the idea of constructing a bridge very injurious to the homeowners who live near or adjacent to the fishermen's access where the bridge would be constructed. There is adequate access to the Preserve at either end of the property.

Please take our concerns into account as you review the plan on Monday and eliminate the "neighborhood connection" bridge from the master plan.

Sincerely yours,

Richard Waycott

---

**From:** richardwaycottsv@gmail.com <richardwaycottsv@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Saturday, February 25, 2023 4:19 PM  
**To:** warm Springs Preserve@gmail.com; participate@ketchumidaho.org; aswindley@ketchumidaho.org  
**Subject:** Warm Springs Preserve - potential "neighborhood connection" bridge construction at Broadway Blvd./Creekbend Court

To whom it may concern:

First and foremost, my family and I are fully supportive of the community effort (and city leadership) it has taken to create the Warm Springs Preserve. It has been wonderful to see this come to fruition. We were early advocates and financial contributors for its creation. We enjoy walking our dog in Preserve and are thrilled it will now be available for all generations to come.

We are writing to express our strong opposition to the proposed "neighborhood connection" bridge across Warm Springs Creek where Broadway Boulevard dead ends at Creekbend Court. This is not a good location for a bridge on many levels but especially because it would bring substantial congestion to a very narrow dead-end street where there is already very limited parking for residents and their guests. The increased traffic would be overwhelming for the Broadway Boulevard neighborhood and would degrade its quality of life. Broadway Blvd. is a constricted and curving road which passes through a neighborhood inhabited by families with small children. Our property abuts the location of the proposed "neighborhood bridge" so we are more than familiar

with existing public use occurring during the summer of the right of way foot trail. While we welcome the enjoyment of Warm Springs Creek by valley families, the quantity of summer visitors already causes parking issues on many days in the neighborhood. The construction of a bridge, and possible attraction of many more year around visitors, would be untenable and unfair to those of us who have lived on Broadway Blvd. for many years and chose to live here due to its serenity.

Increased Broadway Blvd. traffic, parking, and access problems that would be created by the proposed "neighborhood connection" bridge would be exacerbated in the winter when the road becomes further constricted with snow berms (the dead-end section of Broadway Blvd. often is reduced to a single lane during the winter), resulting in no room whatsoever for parking or even the turning around of cars in the Broadway Blvd. cul-de-sac.

Our situation is analogous to the former Proctor Mountain trailhead access on Fairway Road in Sun Valley. That trail access was also adjacent to a cul-de-sac where trail parking was mostly restricted along the uninhabited hillside. After many years of various issues associated with hiker parking, the city prohibited street parking. Our situation is made more concerning in that all the lots but one on the dead-end section of Broadway Blvd. leading up to the proposed "neighborhood connection" bridge access have single family homes built on them. I would also wager that Fairways Road in Sun Valley has more part time residents than the Broadway Blvd. neighborhood.

In my opinion, the "neighborhood connection" bridge is a bad idea in a bad location. Just a few minutes away is the main entrance to the Preserve where there will be substantial parking, trail access, and other infrastructure. Please do not approve construction of the "neighborhood connection" bridge.

Respectfully yours,

Richard Waycott  
525 Broadway Blvd.  
Warm Springs  
(209)402.7707

**From:** [Stephen Medearis](#)  
**To:** [Participate](#)  
**Subject:** Warm Springs Preserve Pedestrian Bridge  
**Date:** Sunday, April 2, 2023 7:30:55 AM

---

Hi Ketchum City Council,

I am a resident in the warm springs area, and I am reaching out regarding the Warm Springs Preserve project. I am thrilled this project is getting done, but I believe the pedestrian bridge pathway should be left out of the project. The master plan did not properly highlight the consequences of adding such a bridge to the ecosystem.

Thank you for your service and consideration of my feedback.

Best,  
Stephen Medearis

**From:** [ritchey.craig@dorsey.com](mailto:ritchey.craig@dorsey.com)  
**To:** [Participate](#)  
**Cc:** [Aly Swindley](#); [Neil Bradshaw](#)  
**Subject:** Warm Springs Preserve vision plan APRIL, 2023  
**Date:** Sunday, April 2, 2023 4:02:11 PM

---

Dear Council members,

I am writing to express my strong support for the Warm Springs Preserve vision plan (April, 2023)—— with one big exception.

I believe that the language about a Neighborhood access Bridge from Broadway Blvd. (pages 78 through 80 of the plan) does not report or mention the very strong consensus neighborhood opposition to a Bridge for the many reasons identified in emails and verbal comments from community members at the various public hearings.

The suggested Neighborhood Bridge access is unnecessary because of very good access at the main entrance; existing foot and fishing access at that same spot suggested for a Neighborhood Bridge; and planned additional access suggested for West Ketchum and Norwegian Woods. The suggested Neighborhood Bridge at Broadway Blvd. would be very harmful to the natural qualities of the Preserve. It would also create serious negative traffic, safety and parking consequences for the neighborhood which cannot be remediated.

Sincerely,

Craig Ritchey  
521 Broadway Blvd.

**From:** [ritchey.craig@dorsey.com](mailto:ritchey.craig@dorsey.com)  
**To:** [Neil Bradshaw](#)  
**Cc:** [Participate](#); [Aly Swindley](#); [Jade Riley](#)  
**Subject:** Re: Warm Springs Preserve vision plan APRIL, 2023  
**Date:** Sunday, April 2, 2023 7:27:06 PM

---

Thanks for the note Neil. I look forward to working with the Land Trust to help raise the funds to make this vision a reality. Best, Craig.

Sent from my iPhone

On Apr 2, 2023, at 5:26 PM, Neil Bradshaw <[NBradshaw@ketchumidaho.org](mailto:NBradshaw@ketchumidaho.org)> wrote:

EXTERNAL FROM OUTSIDE DORSEY. BE CAUTIOUS OF LINKS AND ATTACHMENTS.

Thanks Craig

As articulated earlier, the WSP master plan contemplates certain neighborhood connection points. Those connections are not a focus of our initial work (most of the initial work will be on riparian restoration and irrigation) and we will continue to solicit community input before any action is taken on the potential connection points.

Your email will be put the public domain for council deliberation.

Thanks for participating!

Cheers

Neil

**NEIL BRADSHAW | CITY OF KETCHUM**

**Mayor**

P.O. Box 2315 | 191 5th Street, W | Ketchum, ID 83340

o: 208.727.5087 | m: 208.721.2162

[nbradshaw@ketchumidaho.org](mailto:nbradshaw@ketchumidaho.org) | [www.ketchumidaho.org](http://www.ketchumidaho.org)

On Apr 2, 2023, at 4:02 PM, [ritchey.craig@dorsey.com](mailto:ritchey.craig@dorsey.com) wrote:

Dear Council members,

I am writing to express my strong support for the Warm Springs Preserve vision plan (April, 2023)— with one big exception.

I believe that the language about a Neighborhood access Bridge from Broadway Blvd. (pages 78 through 80 of the plan) does not report or mention the very strong consensus neighborhood opposition to a Bridge for the many reasons identified in emails and verbal comments from community members at the various public hearings.

The suggested Neighborhood Bridge access is unnecessary because of very good access at the main entrance; existing foot and fishing access at that same spot suggested for a Neighborhood Bridge; and planned additional access suggested for West Ketchum and Norwegian Woods. The suggested Neighborhood Bridge at Broadway Blvd. would be very harmful to the natural qualities of the Preserve. It would also create serious negative traffic, safety and parking consequences for the neighborhood which cannot be remediated.

Sincerely,

Craig Ritchey  
521 Broadway Blvd.

**From:** [H Boyle](#)  
**To:** [Participate](#)  
**Cc:** [editorialboard@mtexpress.com](mailto:editorialboard@mtexpress.com)  
**Subject:** For the Council  
**Date:** Sunday, April 2, 2023 7:37:54 PM

---

According to this article from the NYT, this in SF it takes 7 years and \$600k per unit of housing.

That's almost exactly the same for Bluebird. Wait—that's the cost of Bluebird units with free land. SF City Hall seems to outperform Ketchum City Hall.

Contrast that to privately constructed projects in Ketchum that include affordable housing like...like every other building being built in Ketchum with an affordable unit. They come into the market in half the time, at much less per unit. And they don't get the benefit of expedited review or emergency zoning ordinances that they can draft themselves. Or free taxpayer land.

Why is that?

Something for the public to think about when you develop our property at 6th and Leadville rather than putting it into the private market.

If you were really about affordable housing, you might be doing this a bit differently.

Perry Boyle  
Ketchum

<https://www.nytimes.com/2023/04/02/opinion/democrats-liberalism.html?smid=nytcore-ios-share&referringSource=articleShare>

The Problem With Everything-Bagel Liberalism



**From:** [Courtney Hamilton](#)  
**To:** [Participate](#)  
**Subject:** Fwd: Geoengineering Watch Global Alert News, April 1, 2023 - smoke from wildfires serve  
**Date:** Sunday, April 2, 2023 9:27:06 PM

---

**COURTNEY HAMILTON | CITY OF KETCHUM**

**City Council Member**

P.O. Box 2315 | 191 5th Street West | Ketchum, ID 83340

mobile: 208.481.1211

[[chamilton@ketchumidaho.org](mailto:chamilton@ketchumidaho.org)][[chamilton@ketchumidaho.org](mailto:chamilton@ketchumidaho.org)] | [www.ketchumidaho.org](http://www.ketchumidaho.org)

---

**From:** resortliving <[resortliving@pm.me](mailto:resortliving@pm.me)>  
**Sent:** Sunday, April 2, 2023 8:58:33 AM  
**To:** [resortliving@pm.me](mailto:resortliving@pm.me) <[resortliving@pm.me](mailto:resortliving@pm.me)>  
**Subject:** Geoengineering Watch Global Alert News, April 1, 2023 - smoke from wildfires serve

<https://youtu.be/wzWNXL7vQJE>

Record snow, record rain, record hail and record tornadoes, geoengineered spring is here. Climate chaos is hammering populations and decimating food production. Scripted political theater continues to distract and divide populations even as near term planetary omnicide looms on the horizon. The equation we face is unimaginably non-linear, the remainder of 2023 will likely bring converging catastrophes that few are yet willing to imagine. The latest installment of Global Alert News is below.

<https://youtu.be/-nmL0aTXXoM> - how wildfires serve the geoengineering agenda

**From:** [Scott Friedman](#)  
**To:** [Participate](#)  
**Subject:** Snow melt systems  
**Date:** Monday, April 3, 2023 7:31:43 AM

---

Snow melt systems are extremely expensive in terms of electricity use and are much more expensive than a Snow blower or a plow. These devices are making our carbon footprint much bigger than it needs to be. They should not be used.

Scott Friedman, MD  
104 Blue Grouse Rd, Sun Valley ID 83353

**From:** [Mitch Long](#)  
**To:** [Participate](#)  
**Subject:** Snowmelt systems in public ROW  
**Date:** Monday, April 3, 2023 7:58:14 AM

---

I understand the city council is to consider an item regarding snowmelt systems in the public ROW. I would encourage the city council to take a stand against allowing them, except where they are deemed essential for public safety. Snowmelt systems use far more energy than plowing and snow blowing/shoveling. As the city moves to 100% clean energy, reducing energy use will be an important part of accomplishing that. Where it is not practical to plow and blow snow and safety requires a cleaner pavement, 100% renewable energy should be required to power the snowmelt systems.

Thank you.

Mitch Long and Margit Donhowe  
420A Sage Rd  
Ketchum

**From:** [Elizabeth Jeffrey](#)  
**To:** [Participate](#)  
**Subject:** snow melt  
**Date:** Monday, April 3, 2023 9:16:18 AM

---

Dear Mayor and City Council,

I have read your upcoming question about snow melt usage on public and private drives and walkways and am extremely glad to see you tackle this issue on your way to 100% clean energy. In a year like this, when we have 6 months of snow and snowfall, I am extremely concerned about the amount of energy and emissions produced for this usage. I'm even more concerned with the new builds and the retrofits that will be desired because of this winter. The time is right to bring policy forward to meet City goals.

In my read of the alternatives, I think the idea of requiring on site clean energy for any of these outdoor energy uses is a necessary and strong step on the way to getting us to zero. I look forward to hearing the discussion and vote.

Thank you,  
Elizabeth Jeffrey  
[makeitgreen@me.com](mailto:makeitgreen@me.com)

**From:** [H Boyle](#)  
**To:** [Participate](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment on Council Agenda Item 20 4/3/23  
**Date:** Monday, April 3, 2023 9:16:40 AM

---

In the the snowmelt debate, Attachment 1 is the Ketchum Sustainability Plan from 2020. This is a plan that was fully endorsed by the City Council.

Where are the periodic updates to the public on how the City stands in accomplishing this plan? What are the plans to implement the plan? Where has the City accomplished items on the plan? Where is it failing?

The City has many plans. The only one that Council seems to be regularly updated on is the Housing Plan. What about the Master Transportation Plan, for example? Or, the Comprehensive Plan?

Good governance practices dictate that the City Council should have a regular schedule of reviewing progress on all plans that the Council adopts.

Perry Boyle  
Ketchum

**From:** [Scott Runkel](#)  
**To:** [Participate](#)  
**Subject:** Snow melt discussion  
**Date:** Monday, April 3, 2023 9:17:40 AM

---

Hi Ketchum City Council,

I am writing to encourage you to prioritize your clean energy and environmental commitments as you consider how to address snow melt systems. I was buoyed by the information in the analysis and background document provided by the city staff showing both the inordinate emissions of snow melt systems and how other cities are requiring residents to put up renewable energy systems to equal energy use or pay a significant fee.

I don't know the right answer as it's difficult to outright ban these systems, my preference, but putting policy in place that mitigates their impacts is crucial. I hope this leads to more discussions about how to reduce energy consumption in the city of Ketchum.

Thank you for all you do,

Scott Runkel  
1610 Noirthridge Drive  
Hailey, Idaho

**From:** [H Boyle](#)  
**To:** [Participate](#)  
**Subject:** Public comment on Ketchum Preference for Bluebird  
**Date:** Monday, April 3, 2023 9:26:59 AM

---

The Council should mandate changes to this preference policy before adoption.

1. It should strike the retiree qualification. Ketchum has a workforce shortage, not a retiree shortage. The Mayor sold this project to the community as a solution to workforce needs.
2. The qualifications should be re-certified annually, with provisions for eviction for those who do not meet the criteria. Again, Bluebird is a taxpayer subsidized project intended to address our workforce needs.
3. How does the City define “key community members” as references in the document under consideration?
4. How will the City enforce the declarations of the applicant? What proof will the City require?

Thank you,

Perry Boyle  
Ketchum

**From:** [Virginia Johnson](#)  
**To:** [Participate](#)  
**Subject:** Warm Springs Preserve  
**Date:** Monday, April 3, 2023 9:28:20 AM

---

I am strongly opposed to the access point across Warm Springs Creek to Broadway Blvd. The potential number of cars parked on Broadway leading to the access point would be a disaster for this small, residential community. There is no parking!

Virginia Johnson  
e. [viriniadjohnson@gmail.com](mailto:viriniadjohnson@gmail.com)  
c. 603-491-5406



**From:** [H Boyle](#)  
**To:** [Participate](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment on Council Agenda Item 18 4/3/23  
**Date:** Monday, April 3, 2023 9:30:19 AM

---

The Council should require that this criteria be reviewed annually to ensure it is meeting the needs of the community. We have many things like this that are adopted and forgotten or live on past their utility. The Council should have a regular process for reviewing policies like this to see if they are still in the best interests of the community.

Thank you,

Perry Boyle  
Ketchum

**From:** [H Boyle](#)  
**To:** [Participate](#)  
**Cc:** [Scott Lewis external](#)  
**Subject:** Public Comment Council Agenda Item 14 4/3/23  
**Date:** Monday, April 3, 2023 9:36:57 AM

---

The Council has little option but to renew the IPC franchise agreement. IPC is a monopoly, and the City has cultivated no alternative providers.

I urge the Council to explore options to create a microgrid for power generation in Ketchum, and perhaps the Wood River Valley. The population of the WRV is projected to double over the next 20 years. The Council should be planning for the future of the community.

The Council could entertain proposals from microgrid providers to educate themselves and the community on what the current options are. There may be nothing viable today, but the technology is advancing and Ketchum, as a city that values sustainability, should be proactive rather than reactive in assessing its options vis-a-vis IPC.

Thank you,

Perry Boyle  
Ketchum

**From:** [H Boyle](#)  
**To:** [Participate](#)  
**Subject:** Council deliberations on snowmelt 4/3/23  
**Date:** Monday, April 3, 2023 9:53:53 AM

---

Several issues with this.

1. Where is the discussion of public safety? Is there any question that snow melt sidewalks in the commercial core are safer than non-melted ones?
2. Where is the perspective? How big an issue is snow melt vs all the other sources of carbon in our community? For example, Ketchum Council actively promotes and has locals subsidize the tourism industry. One private jet into SUN totally overwhelms all the snow melt carbon for a year. Or think of all the people who drive private cars to SUN because the bus is so inconvenient. Or all the idling cars at the intersection of Main/SV waiting for the light to change because of the scramble.

Attacking things like this incrementally and reactively is a natural result of failing to consistently review the Ketchum Sustainability Plan.

Thank you,

Perry Boyle  
Ketchum

**From:** [Sue Petersen](#)  
**To:** [Participate](#)  
**Subject:** Snow melt systems  
**Date:** Monday, April 3, 2023 10:05:17 AM

---

I am writing to urge you to discourage snow melt systems in the public right of way. The energy requirement is high compared to other methods, and we need to do all we can to meet our clean energy commitment.

Thank you, Sue Petersen

**From:** [Rebecca Bundy](#)  
**To:** [Participate](#)  
**Cc:** [Rebecca Bundy external](#); [Betsy Mizell external](#); [Scott Lewis external](#); [Jade Riley](#); [Lynne Barker](#)  
**Subject:** Snow Melt Discussion, CC 4-3-23  
**Date:** Monday, April 3, 2023 10:25:58 AM

---

Dear Councilmembers,

It appears that the discussion today applies only to snow melt in the City's rights-of-way. I do not see any reason that any individual should have the right to heat the pavement within the City's rights-of-way, unless it serves a function necessary to safe City operations. Snow melt is in direct conflict with City enacted clean energy and sustainability goals.

I question whether snow melt should be allowed in the Community Core and Tourist zoning districts, but I realize that is not under discussion this evening.

The City has jurisdiction over its rights-of-way, so regulating activities within the ROWs does not conflict with any recent State energy provisions.

I believe that the City Council should move forward tonight to prohibit snow melt in its ROWs and that the City should also continue to explore how to regulate what snow melt it does allow so that it is as limited and as efficient as possible.

Rebecca F. Bundy, Architect, PLLC  
AIA NCARB  
[www.rfbundydesign.com](http://www.rfbundydesign.com)  
208-720-1832

**From:** [Sheila Moriarty](#)  
**To:** [Participate](#)  
**Subject:** Snow Melt  
**Date:** Monday, April 3, 2023 11:25:45 AM

---

Hi Ketchum City Council,

I am writing to encourage you to prioritize your clean energy and environmental commitments as you consider how to address snow melt systems. I hope this leads to more discussions about how to reduce energy consumption in the city of Ketchum.

Thank you for all you do,

Sheila Moriarty

c: 402.490.9793