

**City of Ketchum** City Hall

June 13, 2022

To: Mayor and Councilmembers, City of Ketchum From: City Administrator, Planning Director, and City Attorney

# City of Ketchum - Harriman Hotel Project: Proposed Settlement Agreement

# **Background:**

There is a substantial history on the Harriman Hotel ("Project") that dates back to original entitlements in 2008 for what was then known as the Hotel Ketchum and later changed to Auberge Hotel. There have been multiple amendments, including extensions, throughout the years since. The most recent and relevant is a 2018 Development Agreement amendment, which included a condition requiring proof of financing for the Project.

In October 2020, the City notified Harriman Hotel, LLC ("Owner") and Owner's legal counsel to show cause why a breach should not be declared as the City had become aware the Project no longer had the required financial backing. After a show cause hearing, the Council found the failure of this condition did constitute a material breach. The City notified Owner of such breach and the initiation of a 60-day window for cure.

On January 8, 2021, the City notified Owner that no cure had been accomplished or was reasonably in progress. The Project entitlements were then terminated.

The City also filed a claim on a site restoration bond, which had been required as another condition of the 2018 amendment.

Owner has since disputed the termination of the entitlements, including claims of improper action by the City and force majeure factors. Based in part on Owner input, the insurance company behind the restoration bond has to date denied the claims on such. Owner has also threatened litigation against the City with amounts in controversy exceeding \$100 million. Owner has shared an advance copy of that Complaint with the City, though not yet filed.

# **Settlement Discussions:**

In the last days of 2021, Owner approached the City proposing a settlement of disputes based on the concept of reinstating the entitlements. This was supported by the Owner claiming new financing partners alleged to be sufficient to cure the previous breach of financing condition. Review of this proposed approach was conducted at the City staff level in the first months of 2022.

After a delay, caused by another change in financing support for Owner, this settlement proposal was again picked up between the parties in the spring of 2022. In April and May, the City attorney and staff worked with the Owner's team to prepare an initial draft of a Settlement Agreement and to review and verify the new financing terms and arrangements.

At this time, after substantial negotiations, the attached draft Settlement Agreement is presented to the Council. The Council has determined to make this draft public and provide a process for public comment on the same.

The following are key components of the draft Agreement.

#### Financing Arrangement.

Owner has entered into a new financing arrangement with entities controlled by Mr. Andrew Blank. Mr. Blank has family ties to the City of Ketchum, and a history of investing in these types of hotel projects. Owner and Mr. Blank have shared certain confidential information with the office of the City Attorney to verify the appropriateness of the new financing arrangements and the capacity of Mr. Blank to finance and support the Project. This is generally referred to in the Agreement as the "Investment."

City staff and the City Attorney have further investigated Mr. Blank's background and financial capabilities to affirm the situation. Mr. Blank has also met with the City team to discuss the Project, his role, and his strong interest and commitment to bringing the Project to completion in a timely manner. Based upon these, City staff do recommend a finding that Mr. Blank is a viable financial partner in the Project with the capability and experience to move the Project to completion in partnership with Owner.

## Financing Cure.

This Settlement Agreement relies upon the enforcement discretion of the City in evaluating efficient and effective means of enforcing and regulating development entitlements. In this situation, if approved, the Settlement Agreement would have the City reinstate the entitlements as they existed at the time of the 2019 breach of financing condition. The Settlement Agreement essentially treats the new financing support with Mr. Blank as a delayed cure of such breach.

# Force Majeure.

A further consideration for justification of a Settlement Agreement is certain factors, such as the Covid-19 pandemic and its economic impacts, which Owner has asserted should be construed as force majeure considerations in accommodating the extended time for cure of the breach.

## Pursuit of Project Amendments.

Based upon discussions to date, it has been identified by the City and Owner and Mr. Blank that a reinstatement of the entitlements is a necessary factor for Mr. Blank's financing. However, the parties have also identified that there are proposed changes to the Project that would require Owner to initiate certain entitlement amendment processes. A schedule for evaluation of those changes and the requisite process is outlined in Provision 3 of the Agreement.

It is important to note though that the reinstatement approach of the Agreement means that if the amendments were not approved in process, that the Project would be able to fall back on its entitlements as existed in 2008 and clarified in 2016.

## Settlement of Litigation and Claims.

The Settlement Agreement would resolve and release any and all claims that Owner has alleged against the City with respect to the entitlements and breach to date. This includes a release and waiver of the threatened \$100 million plus claims.

## Site Restoration. – Irrevocable Letter of Credit.

The Agreement requires Owner to replace the previous bond approach for site restoration with an irrevocable letter of credit (LOC) to secure site restoration. In a worst-case scenario, if the Project were to not timely proceed and a future breach occur, an irrevocable LOC provides a simpler option for the City to claim and draw for performance of site restoration. This resolves and avoids a repeat of the dispute that arose with the insurance company over the performance/permit bond approach used under the last amendment.

# Timing of Construction

The schedule in Agreement Provision 3 provides for timely commencement of construction. This is likely one of the quicker options at this time for actually beginning to remediate and resolve the current condition of the Project site. Otherwise litigation and an ongoing dispute over the performance bond are likely to drag for some time (potentially years) before resolution and action may begin to be taken for site restoration.

# Confirmation of Standing Financial Commitment.

The Agreement affirms, and resolves any further dispute, that the proof of financing condition is a standing condition to the City.

# Aesthetic Screening

The Agreement provides a process for the City to work with Owner, and at Owner's expense install and improve construction screening as would reasonably better befit the Property's location as a gateway to the city.

# Planning Entitlements

The project was granted a Planned Unit Development/Conditional Use Permit (PUD/CUP) with waivers, a Design Review Permit and Waterways Design Review, and a Development Agreement by the City Council and Planning and Zoning Commission in 2008. Those approvals govern the permitted development of the property. If the Settlement Agreement is approved, the Owner could construct the project as approved in 2008 and clarified in 2016. The project as approved consists of:

<b>Project Parameters</b>		
Gross Floor Area	149,325 sf	
Floor Area Ratio	2.4	
Hotel Key Count	79 rooms	
Residential Units	27,047 sf	6-14 units
Building Height	7 stories, 103 feet from the lowest grade and 4 stories 58 feet on River Street, not including the observatory, 75 feet on River Street including the observatory	
Employee Housing Units	12 employee housing units with 18 beds	
Waivers	Minimum lot size for hotel development, waiver to required building stepbacks, waiver to required building setbacks, increase in permitted building height, increase in permitted floor area ratio, and extension for commencement of construction required for hotel project	

The project as approved and that could be constructed is illustrated in elevation renderings in Attachment A. A comparison of the Harriman Hotel in relation to the proposed Ketchum Boutique Hotel and Limelight Hotel is included in Attachment B. A height contextual analysis between the Harriman Hotel and the Ketchum Boutique Hotel is included in Attachment C.

The Settlement Agreement authorizes the Owner to apply for amendments to the 2008 approved project. Those amendment would be subject to public hearings and review by the Planning and Zoning Commission and City Council.

# Staff/Legal Recommendation:

Based upon verification of the capability of the proposed new financing arrangement, and the factors of litigation avoidance, claims resolution, and more timely progress on the Project site, staff and legal do recommend the City Council take the draft Settlement Agreement under consideration and for public comment. There are a variety of additional factors to weigh though, so Staff is not making a recommendation for approval or disapproval.

ATTACHMENT A – Harriman Hotel Elevations ATTACHMENT B – Comparative Hotel Summary Chart ATTACHMENT C – Height Contextual Analysis ATTACHMENT D - DRAFT Settlement Agreement





AND DOORS



review design s u b m i t t a l 2008 16 July

# ATTACHMENT A

# DOUBLE LOCK STANDING SEAM METAL ROOF

- DOUBLE LOCK STANDING SEAM METAL PANELS, FLASHING AND TRIM
- ALUMINUM CLAD WOOD WINDOWS AND DOORS
- SEMI-OPAQUE STAINED WOOD WINDOWS

Hornberger

-

Worstell

EXPOSED METAL BALCONY FRAMING



CEMENT PLASTER

CEMENT PLASTER

METAL DAGGER CANOPIES

HORIZONTAL WOOD SLATS

HORIZONTAL WOOD RAILING PICKETS W/ GLASS BACKING

Hornberger + Worstell Inc Planners Architects & Lane Maiden 170 San Francisco, California 94108

All drawings and written material appearing herein constitute the original and unpublished work of the architect and may notbeduplicated, used, or disclosed without the prior written consent of the architect. © 2007 Hornberger + Worstell, Inc.

www.hornbergerworstell.com



LOW E INSULATED GLASS

CAST IN PLACE CONCRETE



# West Elevation







FLASHING AND TRIM

AND DOORS

Worstell



design review s u b m i t t a l 2008 16 July



www.hornbergerworstell.com

HEAVY TIMBER COLUMNS AND BEAMS W/ EXPOSED STRUCTURAL STEEL CONNECTORS
STONE
LOW E INSULATED GLASS

CAST IN PLACE CONCRETE



# North Elevation







FLASHING AND TRIM

AND DOORS



review design s u b m i t t a l 2008 16 July

# DOUBLE LOCK STANDING SEAM METAL ROOF

- DOUBLE LOCK STANDING SEAM METAL PANELS,
- ALUMINUM CLAD WOOD WINDOWS AND DOORS
- SEMI-OPAQUE STAINED WOOD WINDOWS

Hornberger

-

Worstell

EXPOSED METAL BALCONY FRAMING



- CEMENT PLASTER
- CEMENT PLASTER
- METAL DAGGER CANOPIES
- HORIZONTAL WOOD SLATS
- HORIZONTAL WOOD RAILING PICKETS W/ GLASS BACKING

Hornberger + Worstell Inc Planners Architects & Lane Maiden 170 San Francisco, California 94108

All drawings and written material appearing herein constitute the original and unpublished work of the architect and may notbeduplicated, used, or disclosed without the prior written consent of the architect. © 2007 Hornberger + Worstell, Inc.

www.hornbergerworstell.com



LOW E INSULATED GLASS

CAST IN PLACE CONCRETE



# East Elevation

0 4 8 16 A3.03R





FLASHING AND TRIM

AND DOORS



review design s u b m i t t a l 2008 16 July



- DOUBLE LOCK STANDING SEAM METAL PANELS,
- ALUMINUM CLAD WOOD WINDOWS AND DOORS
- SEMI-OPAQUE STAINED WOOD WINDOWS

Hornberger

+

EXPOSED METAL BALCONY FRAMING



CEMENT PLASTER

CEMENT PLASTER

METAL DAGGER CANOPIES

HORIZONTAL WOOD SLATS

HORIZONTAL WOOD RAILING PICKETS W/ GLASS BACKING

Hornberger + Worstell Inc Planners Architects & Lane Maiden 170 San Francisco, California 94108

All drawings and written material appearing herein constitute the original and unpublished work of the architect and may notbeduplicated, used, or disclosed without the prior written consent of the architect. © 2007 Hornberger + Worstell, Inc.

Worstell www.hornbergerworstell.com



# South Elevation

CAST IN PLACE CONCRETE

LOW E INSULATED GLASS

STONE

HEAVY TIMBER COLUMNS AND BEAMS W/ EXPOSED STRUCTURAL STEEL CONNECTORS





# ATTACHMENT B

#### **Comparative Hotel PUD Summary Chart**

Project Name	Zoning District	Project Address or Location	Maximum number of stories visible above grade (no parking garages located completely below grade on all sides are included)	Maximum height	FAR of all approved buildings on subject development parcel	Development parcel size	Gross square footage of hotel / hotel core	Project Status
Ketchum Boutique Hotel	Tourist	251 S. Main Street (southwest corner of Main and River)	<u>6</u> from lowest grade on site (includes partial- story rooftop bar) <u>4</u> from River Street (partial-story rooftop bar does not have River Street frontage)	<u>72'</u> from lowest grade on site <u>48'</u> from River Street	1.568	1.09 acres	128,436 square feet (includes below grade/partially below grade parking)	Proposed
Waivers	Waiver to minimum lot size for hotel development ( required 3 acres), required side yard setbacks, height, and floor area ratio.							
Limelight	Community Core	151 S. Main Street (northwest corner of Main and River)	<u>5</u>	<u>68'</u>	2.7	1.08 acres	231,400 square feet (includes below grade parking)	Complete
Waivers	Waiver to employee and community housing requirement, private open space for decks, lot coverage, building stepbacks, building setbacks, minimum lot size for hotel development (required 3 acres).							
Bariteau hotel (formerly "Auberge")	Tourist	200 S. Main Street (southeast corner of Main and River)	Z from lowest grade on site (includes partial- story rooftop observatory) <u>4</u> from River Street (partial-story rooftop observatory also has River Street frontage)	<u>103'</u> from lowest grade on site <u>58'</u> from River Street, not including observatory <u>75'</u> from River Street, including observatory	2.4	0.93 acres	149,325 square feet (includes below grade/partially below grade parking)	Pending
Waivers	Minimum lot size for hotel development (required 3 acres), required building stepbacks and setbacks, permitted floor area ratio, building height, commencement of construction							

# ATTACHMENT C

# HEIGHT ANALYSIS - CONTEXTUAL ELEVATIONS



MAIN STREET | EAST SCHEMATIC ELEVATION

ADJACENT TO LIMELIGHT HOTEL



RIVER STREET | NORTH SCHEMATIC ELEVATION

ADJACENT TO PROPOSED HOTEL

# ATTACHMENT D

# This is a DRAFT document intended for the public to review prior to the June 23, 2022 City Council meeting in order to provide comments on the proposed agreement. (posted June 8, 2022)

# SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

THIS SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT ("**Agreement**") is dated for reference purposes this \_\_\_\_\_\_day of \_\_\_\_\_\_, 2022, by and between the CITY OF KETCHUM, IDAHO, a municipal corporation ("**Ketchum**" or "**City**") and HARRIMAN HOTEL, LLC, an Idaho limited liability company ("**Owner**", and together with the City, the "**Parties**").

# **BACKGROUND AND CONTEXT**

A. Ketchum is a municipal corporation possessing all powers granted to municipalities under the applicable provisions of the Idaho Code, including the power to grant conditional use permits, approve planned unit developments, subdivide real property and the power to contract. A development agreement between the Parties is a collaboration that will provide mutual benefit for the Parties and residents of the City.

B. Owner owns the real property situated in the State of Idaho, County of Blaine, commonly known as 300 River Street East, Ketchum, Idaho and more particularly described as Lot 2, Block 83 of the City of Ketchum, according to the plat thereof on file in the office of the County Recorder of Blaine County, Idaho ("**Property**").

C. The Parties entered into an Amended and Restated Development Agreement, dated October 5, 2015 (the "**Development Agreement**") and recorded in the records of Blaine County, Idaho as Instrument No. 630816, as corrected and amended by Instruments recorded as Nos. 635897 and 652281, respectively under and by virtue of which Ketchum issued a Planned Unit Development Conditional Use Permit CUP 08-007 ("**CUP**"), Right of Way Encroachment Agreement ("**Encroachment Agreement**"), and Design Review Permit and Waterways Design River Permit DR 08-007 ("**Design Review**") with Owner to develop and operate a Hotel on the Property ("**Project**") and a building permit to construct certain Project improvements ("**Building Permit**" and together with the Development Agreement, CUP, Design Review, and Encroachment Agreement, the "**Entitlements**").

D. A dispute arose between the Parties regarding Owner's performance of its obligations under the Development Agreement resulting in Ketchum declaring a breach of the Development Agreement and revoking the Development Agreement and CUP. Owner disputed Ketchum's claims of breach, disputed Ketchum's attempt to collect on a bond for site restoration and has asserted contract and tort claims against Ketchum.

E. Owner has secured an investor for the Project, Harriman SV Properties, LLC ("**Investor**"), with closing and Project funding by Investor ("**Investment**") conditioned on Owner and Ketchum resolving their dispute and reinstating the Entitlements, as existed at time of the dispute. Such reinstatement to be considered for approval at a meeting of the City Council to be held on June 23, 2022.

F. Owner's securing of the Investment addresses the primary basis of Ketchum's alleged breach, and Ketchum finds it in the public interest to treat such as analogous to a delayed

cure in order for more timely recommencement of development on the Property without the need to engage in time-consuming and costly expenditures on litigation reasonably anticipated with respect to either site restoration or the threatened claims by Owner.

G. So that Owner can complete the Investment and move forward with the Project, the Parties agree that it is in their respective best interests to resolve and settle their dispute and enable the Project to proceed by reinstating the Development Agreement, Encroachment Agreement, Design Review, and the CUP as provided herein.

# AGREEMENT

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the mutual covenants, promises, agreements, terms and conditions set forth herein, the Parties agree as hereinafter provided.

**1. Incorporation of Recitals.** The Recitals set forth above are hereby incorporated into and made an integral part of this Agreement.

2. Reinstatement of Development Agreement and Entitlements. Ketchum covenants and agrees to and hereby does withdraw, cancel and rescind its notices of breach of the Development Agreement and cancellation of the Development Agreement, CUP, Design Review, and Encroachment Agreement and reinstates and reaffirms such for the Project are in effect and in good standing as if the notices of breach and cancellation were timely cured or had never been issued or asserted. The Parties understand and agree that the reinstatement herein does not constitute an amendment or modification of the Development Agreement, CUP, Design Review, or other Entitlements, with the sole exception of time limits being extended in a limited reasonable manner as if the intervening period of dispute were a force majeure type event. Owner understands that any revised plans will require applications to the City Planning & Zoning Commission for any proposed changes, anticipated primarily to be architectural elevation material and building form changes to the building as originally approved and permitted including the expansion of the roof top observatory deck to permit additional seating of hotel guests and the public where food and beverage services will be offered and which will be reasonably reviewed and considered in good faith in accord with City Code and Idaho Code, and that no such amendments or approvals of revisions are committed to in this Agreement. To the extent there is any inconsistency between this Agreement and the Development Agreement, this Agreement shall govern.

3. **Right to Develop**. Subject to the requirements of this Agreement, the Owner and all future owners of some or all of the Property shall have the right to redevelop, construct, improve and use the Property as a Hotel and for sale residences as described and defined in the CUP and Design Review, and in accord with the Development Agreement.

Item No.	Estimated Date	Action
1	By June 30, 2022	Closing of equity funding into escrow pending
		reinstatement of Development Agreement and
		Entitlements

The performance timeline for the Project is as follows:

2	June 23, 2022	City Council approves Settlement Agreement and
		reinstatements; Parties execute Settlement
		Agreement no later than June 30, 2022.
3	By July 1, 2022	Pre-application conference between Parties to
		discuss scope of any revised plans and need for
		subsequent applications, to be considered in process
		contemporaneously, including but not limited to any
		needed amendment of the Development Agreement
		CUP, and/or Design Review, amended or new
		building permits, design review modification, and/or
		subdivision.
4	By August 1, 2022	Owner submission of complete applications as
		determined needed from Item 3.
5	Within 60 days of submitted	City will conduct a public hearing or hearings as
	applications being deemed	necessary under City Code and/or Idaho Code, and
	complete.	then thereafter in a reasonably timely manner
		proceed to deliberation and decision.
6	Within 6 months after City	Owner applies for a building permit under the 2018
	final approvals on Item 4	building and energy codes as adopted by the City.
	applications	
7	Within 20 days of a deemed	City will provide comments.
	complete building permit	
	application	
8	Within 20 days of City	Owner will submit revised plans. Subsequent City
	comments provided to Owner	review comments and Owner responses/revisions
		will respectively occur within 10 days of the
		previous action.
9	Prior to issuance of a building	Owner to secure ITD encroachment permit for any
	permit	improvements within the Highway 75 ITD right-of-
		way.
10	Within 7 days of finalization	Issuance of building permit.
	of comments and review on	
	building permit application(s).	
11	Later of May 1, 2023 or 5	Start of construction
	months after Item 10	
12	Within 36 months after Item	Complete construction and issue certificate of
	11	occupancy

Time is of the essence. City shall be entitled to application but not impact fees based on current schedule but shall credit Owner with amounts of building impact and affordable in lieu housing fees paid to date of the reinstated application Development Agreement and Entitlements.

4. Condition to Obligations. The obligations of each of the Parties hereunder are expressly conditioned upon (i) Owner consummating the Investment in amounts and on substantially the terms and conditions set forth in that certain confidential Term Sheet, dated March 3, 2022, as modified and agreed by the parties thereto; (ii) Ketchum reinstating the

Development Agreement and Entitlements as provided in Paragraph 2 on or before the date set forth in Paragraph 3; and (iii) the Ketchum Urban Renewal Agency (KURA), at its sole discretion, entering into an Owner Participation Agreement with Owner for reimbursement of certain costs substantially similar to those approved in the OPA dated February 21, 2017. The Parties understand that Ketchum has no authority or control over the KURA and the KURA's review and decision on any OPA application contemplated under Condition (iii) above. City agrees to reasonably cooperate with Owner in its efforts to obtain the Owner Participation Agreement.

Owner agrees as a condition of this Agreement that the proof of financing and financial capability to complete the project is a standing condition of this Settlement Agreement and of the underlying Development Agreement, and such condition will continue in force until substantial completion of construction.

Understanding that this Property serves as a gateway into Ketchum, Owner and City will also enter into a discussion of aesthetic improvements for the construction screening associated with the Project, and reasonably cooperate to agree upon an improved aesthetic design for screening to be installed by Owner within sixty days of this Agreement. 5. Owner Default and Reclamation of Site. Provided Ketchum is not in default of its obligations hereunder, if Owner defaults in performance of any of its obligations hereunder prior to commencement of construction and the default continues for 60 days after receipt by Owner of Ketchum's written notice of default, Owner agrees to reclaim the Property and restore it to the same or better condition as specified in the Reclamation Plan previously prepared, agreed to, and made a part of the Development Agreement . To secure Owner's obligation hereunder, Owner shall obtain for Ketchum's benefit an irrevocable stand-by letter of credit issued by a bank authorized to transact business in the state of Idaho in the amount of one hundred twenty-five percent of the estimated cost of reclamation or shall provide some other form of security reasonably acceptable to Ketchum. The security, in whatever form, may be requested by Owner for release, partially or in full, with approval by City, not to be unreasonably withheld, if justified by substantial progress toward completion of construction in accordance with the following schedule: one half upon completion of the foundation stem walls and one half upon completion of framing.

6. **Settlement of Dispute**. Effective as of the date the conditions described in Paragraph 4 are fulfilled, for valuable consideration, the receipt and sufficiency of which Owner and City acknowledge, Owner and City, jointly and severally, agree to and each does hereby release the other and their respective directors, officers, managers, members, shareholders, agents, representatives, attorneys and employees from any and all claims, damages, costs, and causes of action either may have now or in the future arising directly or indirectly from the acts or omissions of the other through the date hereof, including the City declaring a breach of the Development Agreement and revoking the Development Agreement and CUP. The Parties acknowledge that the giving and receiving of the consideration called for herein and the execution of this Agreement are the result of compromise, that this Agreement is entered into in good faith, and that the execution of and performance of obligations under this Agreement shall not be considered or construed at any time for any purpose as, or evidence of, an admission of wrongdoing or liability by any Party.

7. **Indemnification.** Owner shall indemnify and hold City and its officers, members, agents, employees and representatives, harmless against and in respect of:

(i) all suits, actions, proceedings and investigations brought by any person (other than by, or at the behest of, City) which questions the validity or legality of this Agreement, the Development Agreement, or the Entitlements; and

(ii) any actions, judgments, costs and expenses (including without limitation reasonable attorneys' fees and costs and other expenses incurred in investigating, preparing or defending any litigation or proceeding, commenced or threatened) incident to any of the foregoing, or the enforcement of this Agreement.

City shall promptly notify Owner of the assertion by any third party of any claim with respect to which the indemnification set forth in this Agreement relates. Owner shall have the right at all times, upon notice to City, to undertake the defense of or, with the consent of City (which consent shall not be unreasonably withheld), to settle or compromise such claim, provided that Owner shall be responsible for all attorneys' fees and costs incurred before and after the decision to undertake such defense other than attorneys' fees and costs incurred by City

after such decision as provided below. The election by Owner to undertake the defense of a third-party claim shall not preclude City from participating or continuing to participate in the defense, so long as it bears its own legal fees and costs for so doing.

City shall have no rights, hereunder or otherwise, to indemnification or contribution from Owner with respect to any nonfulfillment of any covenant or obligation of City based upon the Development Agreement or the Entitlements pertaining to the Project except as otherwise expressly provided for herein.

# 8. Miscellaneous Provisions.

a) <u>Police Powers</u>. Except as otherwise expressly provided herein, nothing contained herein is intended to limit the police powers of Ketchum or its discretion in review of subsequent applications regarding development of the Property. This Agreement shall not be construed to modify or waive any law, ordinance, rule, or regulation not expressly provided for herein, including, without limitation, applicable building codes, fire codes, Ketchum's Zoning Ordinance, Ketchum's Subdivision Ordinance, and Planned Unit Development requirements for the Property.

b) <u>Amendment</u>. This Agreement may be revised, amended, or canceled in whole or in part, only by means of a written instrument executed by both Parties and as evidenced by amended plats and development plans.

c) <u>Specific Performance</u>. In the event of a breach of this Agreement, in addition to all other remedies at law or in equity, this Agreement shall be enforceable by specific performance by either party hereto. All remedies shall be cumulative.

d) <u>Attorney's Fees</u>. In the event either party hereto is required to retain counsel to enforce a provision of this Agreement, or to recover damages resulting from a breach hereof, the prevailing party shall be entitled to recover from the other party all reasonable attorney's fees incurred, whether or not litigation is actually instituted or concluded.

e) <u>Notices</u>. All notices required or provided for under this Agreement shall be in writing and deemed delivered upon delivery in person or upon mailing by certified mail, return receipt requested, postage prepaid. However, the time period in which a response to such notice must be given shall commence to run from the date of receipt on the return receipt of the notice. Rejection or refusal to accept, or the inability to deliver because of a change of address of which no notice was given shall be deemed to be receipt of the notice.

Notices to City shall be addressed as follows:

City of Ketchum Post Office Box 2315 Ketchum, ID 83340 Attn: Jade Riley, City Administrator Telephone: 208.727-5084 Email: jriley@ketchumidaho.org Notices given to Owner shall be addressed as follows:

Harriman Hotel, LLC c/o Waypoint, LLC Jack E. Bariteau, Jr. Its Managing Member Post Office Box 84 Sun Valley, ID 83353 Telephone: 650.906-5636 Email: jack@waypointsunvalley.com

with a copy to:

Lawson Laski Clark, PLLC 675 Sun Valley Road, Suite A Post Office Box 3310 Ketchum, Idaho 83340 Attn.: Edward A. Lawson Telephone: 208.725-0055 Email: <u>eal@lawsonlaski.com</u>

A party may change the address to which further notices are to be sent by notice in writing to the other party, and thereafter notices shall be addressed and transmitted to the new address.

f) <u>Reliance by the Parties</u>. This Agreement is intended by Owner to be considered by Ketchum as part of Owner's application for a modification of the existing design review approval and building permits for the Project, and such other applications as Owner may submit in connection with the Project. Owner acknowledges and intends the City to consider and rely upon this Agreement in its review and consideration of said applications.

g) <u>Relationship of Parties</u>. It is understood that the contractual relationship between Ketchum and Owner is such that neither party is the agent, partner, or joint venturer of the other party.

h) <u>Successors and Assigns; Covenant Running With the Land</u>. This Agreement shall inure to the benefit of City and Owner and their respective heirs, successors and assigns. This Agreement, including all covenants, terms, and conditions set forth herein, shall be and is hereby declared a covenant running with the land with regard to the Property or any portion thereof, and is binding on both Parties to this Agreement as well as their respective heirs, successors and assigns.

i) <u>Recordation and Release</u>. This Agreement shall be recorded with the Blaine County Recorder. City agrees to execute all appropriate documentation to cause the encumbrance of this Agreement to be terminated in the event of termination.

j) <u>No Waiver</u>. In the event that City or Owner, or its successors and assigns, do not strictly comply with any of the obligations and duties set forth herein, thereby causing a default

under this Agreement, any forbearance of any kind that may be granted or allowed by Owner, City, or their successors and assigns, to the other party under this Agreement shall not in any manner be deemed or construed as waiving or surrendering any of the conditions or covenants of this Agreement with regard to any subsequent default or breach.

k) <u>Partial Invalidity</u>. In the event any portion of this Agreement, or part hereof, shall be determined by any court of competent jurisdiction to be invalid, void, or otherwise unenforceable, the remaining provisions of this Agreement, or parts hereof, shall remain in full force and effect and shall in no way be affected, impaired or invalidated, it being understood that such remaining provisions shall be construed in a manner most closely approximating the intention of the parties with respect to the invalid, void, or unenforceable provision or part hereof.

1) <u>Entire Agreement</u>. This Agreement constitutes the full and complete agreement and understanding between the parties hereto. Excluding formal conditions placed upon the design review approval, subsequent plat approvals or other matters related to the public process, no representations or warranties made by either party shall be binding unless contained in this Agreement or subsequent written amendments hereto.

m) <u>Exhibits</u>. All exhibits referred to herein are incorporated in this Agreement by reference, whether or not actually attached.

n) <u>Authority</u>. Each of the persons executing this Agreement represents and warrants that he or she has the lawful authority and authorization to execute this Agreement, as well as all deeds, easements, liens and other documents required hereunder, for and on behalf of the entity executing this Agreement.

o) <u>Recitals</u>. The Recitals are incorporated herein and made a part of this Agreement by this reference.

p) <u>Choice of Law.</u> This Agreement shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the state of Idaho, which shall be the sole jurisdiction and venue for any action which may be brought by either party with respect to this Agreement or the subject matter hereof.

[end of text - signatures appear on following page]

# SIGNATURE PAGE

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have executed this Agreement the day and year first above written.

Harriman Hotel, LLC, an Idaho limited liability company City of Ketchum, Idaho, a municipal corporation

By: Waypoint, LLC, an Idaho limited liability company, its Manager

By:\_\_\_\_\_ Jack E. Bariteau, Jr., Managing Member

By: \_\_\_\_\_ Neil Bradshaw, Mayor

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

STATE OF IDAHO )

#### )ss. )

County of Blaine

Subscribed and sworn before me on this \_\_\_\_\_day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2022, before me a Notary Public in and for said State, personally appeared NEIL BRADSHAW, known to me to be the Mayor of the CITY OF KETCHUM, IDAHO and the person whose name is subscribed to the foregoing instrument, and acknowledged to me that he executed the same on behalf of the City Of Ketchum, Idaho.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and seal the day and year first written above.

Notary Public Residing at \_\_\_\_\_ My Commission Expires \_\_\_\_\_

# STATE OF IDAHO ) )ss. County of Blaine )

Subscribed and sworn before me on this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2022, before me a Notary Public in and for said State, personally appeared JACK E. BARITEAU, JR. known or identified to me to be the Managing Member of WAYPOINT, LLC the Managing Member of HARRIMAN HOTEL, LLC, the limited liability company that executed the instrument or the person who executed the instrument on behalf of said limited liability company, and acknowledged to me that such limited liability company executed the same.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and seal the day and year first written above.

Notary Public Residing at\_\_\_\_\_ My Commission Expires\_\_\_\_\_