



City of Ketchum

CITY COUNCIL MEETING AGENDA MEMO

Meeting Date: Staff Member/Dept:

Agenda Item:

Recommended Motion:

No formal motion is needed, the purpose of this discussion is to provide clarity of budget follow-up items both in the short-term (present) and FY 2025 workplan items.
The must-have approval is the FY 2025 Budget appropriation at the fund level. This will allow legal notification to the public in preparation for the Budget Hearing on July 25th.

Reasons for Recommendation:

Items to be discussed are (but not limited to):

- Sustainability: Change to budget based on recent request and presentation
- World Cup: General discussion and financial analysis
- Capital Improvement Plan: Funding dynamic and identified projects as potential candidates for URA funding
- Housing: Discussion regarding program priority, delivery and operations
- Planning & Development Fee Changes: Present 100% cost recovery fees and other fees that were pending per prior discussions.

Sustainability Impact:

Increased support in FY 2025 budget to the City/County Sustainability Program to include the hiring of an additional employee.

Financial Impact:

None OR Adequate funds exist in account:	No fiscal impact to current year, part of FY 2025 Budget Development process.
--	---

Attachments:

- | |
|---|
| 1. Workshop follow-up notes |
| 2. Presentation deck to come Friday, 6/28 |

HOMEWORK

- World Cup: LOT proforma to determine best-case LOT revenue projection
 - Harry – special events funding?
 - Ray – intel on busiest weeks?
 - Investigate if ASB can cover the Mt. Rides portion
- URA
 - Add column to CIP (is project located in URA district?)
 - Future discussions regarding URA priorities (to free up Ketchum CIP funds)
- Confirm ICRMP increase: 30%
- Confirm the BestDayHR retainer amount – \$30K
- November planning (dedicated funding source for Housing & CIP)
 - Share ‘LOT Financial Impact’; reflect/remind of collections with a 2% add to Lodging
- Moved the ‘Elections’ amount to ‘Training/Travel’ (within Legislative budget)
- Adjusting the budgeted amount for the shared Sustainability contract to include the increase and the additional FTE (\$131,904)
 - Position will include grant exploration/application
- Planning & Building Fees
 - Bringing the Cost Recovery to 100%.

FY 2025 Work Plan items

- Historical tax increment
- Explore iiiA vs. other insurance options
- RFP for City Attorney services
- Part-time paint striping – look at that (back burner)
- Include water usage in EOY FY2024 review
- Discuss water reuse
- Discuss effect of snow-pile runoff into the river
- Explore adding ‘% for Historic Preservation’

NOTES

- Human Resources
 - In-house/FTE not recommended. Keep BestDayHR on retainer.
 - Used for investigations, FSLA rulings/benefit questions
 - Employee culture work coming
 - Compensation project was a standalone cost
- Fire – why did overtime & repair/maintenance go up so much?
 - 16% increase of calls from 2022 to 2023; 40% over the past 5 years
 - Significant increase in event coverage (billed for/offset)
 - Anticipating increased overtime vs. increasing staffing; leaning more on part-time staff.
 - We’ll have paid off the new fire engine before it arrives.
- Police – vehicles?
 - 6 vehicles – one of which needs to be replaced.
 - Ordered a hybrid in 2011, still waiting.
- Planning – staffing clarification
- Legal – explore other options

- In-house (committed but less depth of experience) vs. out-of-house (reactive v. proactive)
- CIP
 - Where do projects/investments provide opportunities for cost savings (re: Fire Station solar)
 - Defer work on Rotary Park and Skate Park bathrooms to 2025
 - End-of fiscal and start-of new fiscal council check-in – how much was spent/what was completed/how much is getting pushed to 2025)
- Water usage
 - Look at other communities – are they doing tiered structures? Yes, ours was modeled after Hailey’s.
- Cost Recovery
 - Fee recovery is just for application, not long-range planning – 75%
 - Department is still subsidized by the GF
 - Comfortable going to 100% - didn’t get a lot of pushback from the developers
 - “No brainer” by Tripp; Amanda – we have to acknowledge we’re taking a risk.
- LOT increase for Housing
 - Are you interested, Council? – Tripp (Y), Spencer (yes to exploring, open to other ideas), Amanda (Y), Courtney (Y)
 - What is our downfall contingency plan? If we have less tourists, do we have less service?
 - What would be the impact to the GF if a new fire district is approved?
 - Look to the URA...
 - Amanda strongly opposes downgrading sidewalks CIP