MEMO



Engineering Department

| To: | Board of Public Works | | | | | | |
|-------|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| From: | John Neumeier, Director of Public Works/City Engineer | | | | | | |
| | Terri Vosters, Community Enrichment and Recreation Director | | | | | | |
| Date: | 4/14/2025 | | | | | | |
| Re: | Recommendation to award proposal for Project 5-25 Strassburg Park Playground Updates | | | | | | |

Background information:

Staff is proposing playground updates, evaluating potential layouts, and different equipment/amenities available for Strassburg Park. Five vendors submitted a total of eight designs for consideration. Five staff members individually scored the proposals for each design, a summary of the scoring is attached. The highest scoring vendor was Lee Recreation Option #1. Staff agreed the Lee Option #1 offered the best value to the City and best play value to our residents while also incorporating accessibility into their base pricing.

Strategic Plan:

This project brings a much-needed update to an underutilized park. The project will be improving quality of life, increasing park usage, and will help work toward being a community of choice.

Budget: This park project was budgeted in the 2025 Capital Improvement Plan for \$150,000. City staff will propose to maximize our use of capital funds by working with Public Works to perform some of the site work in and around the playground area in conjunction with the project.

Staff Recommended Action:

Authorize Community Enrichment and Recreation Director to accept the proposal from Lee Recreation, LLC for Project #5-25: Strassburg Park Playground Updates and to negotiate a final contract within the \$150,000 budget.



| PROJECT 5-25 STRASSBURG PARK PLAYGROUND Proposal Evaluations | | | - | | | | | | |
|---|--|---|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| | | Group Scores are out of a possible 25 (Individuals Evaluation Scores were 1- Low to 5- High Individually) Group Scores are out of a possible 25 | | | | | |] | |
| | VENDOR | Lee Recreation | Lee Recreation | Kompan | MWP | CRS | MWP | Play Environments | Play Environments |
| | DESIGN | Option 1 | Option 2 | Option 1 | Option 1 | Option 1 | Option 2 | Option 2 | Option 1 |
| 1 | Responsiveness to the RFP and the criteria | 19 | 19 | 20 | 17 | 19 | 18 | 17 | 17 |
| 2 | Proposed site equipment designs and concepts | 19 | 19 | 19 | 15 | 16 | 12 | 13 | 12 |
| 3 | Originality and creativity | 20 | 18 | 19 | 16 | 18 | 14 | 13 | 13 |
| 4 | Safety | 18 | 18 | 18 | 20 | 19 | 20 | 17 | 17 |
| 5 | Accessibility | 19 | 18 | 13 | 16 | 11 | 15 | 14 | 14 |
| 6 | Overall play value of the proposed design and equipment | 23 | 21 | 18 | 16 | 16 | 15 | 15 | 13 |
| 7 | Durability and ease of maintenance of the proposed equipment | 20 | 20 | 18 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 16 | 16 |
| 8 | Ability to meet established budgets | 21 | 21 | 21 | 20 | 20 | 22 | 20 | 18 |
| | | (base = \$145,266.00) | (base = \$145,181.00) | (base = \$145,215.00) | (base = \$143,700.00) | (base = \$150,000) | (base = \$140,000.00) | (base = \$143,000.00) | (base = \$136,000.00) |
| 9 | Ability to put together a design/construction plan to perform all aspects of the project & meet ins requirements | 22 | 21 | 19 | 17 | 17 | 16 | 15 | 15 |
| 10 | Ability of proposed design to meet requirements and comply with current ADA and ASTM guidelines | 21 | 21 | 18 | 19 | 18 | 21 | 15 | 15 |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | TOTAL PROPOSAL SCORE | 202 | 196 | 183 | 173 | 171 | 170 | 155 | 150 |

