MEMO



Engineering Department

To:	Board of Public Works						
From:	John Neumeier, Director of Public Works/City Engineer						
	Terri Vosters, Community Enrichment and Recreation Director						
Date:	4/14/2025						
Re:	Recommendation to award proposal for Project 5-25 Strassburg Park Playground Updates						

Background information:

Staff is proposing playground updates, evaluating potential layouts, and different equipment/amenities available for Strassburg Park. Five vendors submitted a total of eight designs for consideration. Five staff members individually scored the proposals for each design, a summary of the scoring is attached. The highest scoring vendor was Lee Recreation Option #1. Staff agreed the Lee Option #1 offered the best value to the City and best play value to our residents while also incorporating accessibility into their base pricing.

Strategic Plan:

This project brings a much-needed update to an underutilized park. The project will be improving quality of life, increasing park usage, and will help work toward being a community of choice.

Budget: This park project was budgeted in the 2025 Capital Improvement Plan for \$150,000. City staff will propose to maximize our use of capital funds by working with Public Works to perform some of the site work in and around the playground area in conjunction with the project.

Staff Recommended Action:

Authorize Community Enrichment and Recreation Director to accept the proposal from Lee Recreation, LLC for Project #5-25: Strassburg Park Playground Updates and to negotiate a final contract within the \$150,000 budget.



PROJECT 5-25 STRASSBURG PARK PLAYGROUND Proposal Evaluations			-						
		Group Scores are out of a possible 25 (Individuals Evaluation Scores were 1- Low to 5- High Individually) Group Scores are out of a possible 25]	
	VENDOR	Lee Recreation	Lee Recreation	Kompan	MWP	CRS	MWP	Play Environments	Play Environments
	DESIGN	Option 1	Option 2	Option 1	Option 1	Option 1	Option 2	Option 2	Option 1
1	Responsiveness to the RFP and the criteria	19	19	20	17	19	18	17	17
2	Proposed site equipment designs and concepts	19	19	19	15	16	12	13	12
3	Originality and creativity	20	18	19	16	18	14	13	13
4	Safety	18	18	18	20	19	20	17	17
5	Accessibility	19	18	13	16	11	15	14	14
6	Overall play value of the proposed design and equipment	23	21	18	16	16	15	15	13
7	Durability and ease of maintenance of the proposed equipment	20	20	18	17	17	17	16	16
8	Ability to meet established budgets	21	21	21	20	20	22	20	18
		(base = \$145,266.00)	(base = \$145,181.00)	(base = \$145,215.00)	(base = \$143,700.00)	(base = \$150,000)	(base = \$140,000.00)	(base = \$143,000.00)	(base = \$136,000.00)
9	Ability to put together a design/construction plan to perform all aspects of the project & meet ins requirements	22	21	19	17	17	16	15	15
10	Ability of proposed design to meet requirements and comply with current ADA and ASTM guidelines	21	21	18	19	18	21	15	15
	TOTAL PROPOSAL SCORE	202	196	183	173	171	170	155	150

