Systemic Racism Review Committee
Legislation Review Summary

Serial Number/Title: **Ordinance 2022-4**7 An Ordinance Repealing Title 49 Provisions Related to Wetland Review Board Authority.

Introduced:____3/20/2023__ Public Hearing Date:_____4/17/2023_ SRRC Review Date:_3/21/2023_____

Presented By:__Director Jill Maclean____   Drafted By:____Director Jill Maclean_____

Department/Division:__Community Development__   Lead Staff Contact:_Teri Camery, Senior Planner_

Purpose of Legislation (background/summary of intent):

To repeal CBJ 49.10.700, Wetlands Review Board.

Connection to existing legislation:

CBJ49.10.700

Connection to adopted planning documents:

2013 Juneau Comprehensive Plan

Step One: What is the impact of the proposed legislation?

	YES	NO
a. Does the proposed legislation negatively impact or unduly advantage a particular racial/ethnic group or otherwise perpetuate systemic racism? *If No, review is completed. If yes, go on to the next question:*		
b. Does the legislation work to mitigate and/or eliminate structural racism *If Yes, review is completed. If No, or Undetermined, continue through the remaining steps.*		

Step Two: How does the legislation perpetuate systemic racism?

   a.   What are potential unintended consequences?
   b.   What benefits may result?
   c.   What is the potential long term impact of the proposed legislation?

Details:

   d.   What quantitative and qualitative evidence of inequality exists?

Details:

e. What steps has the department or legislation sponsor taken to notify those impacted of the proposed changes?
f. Have key stakeholders who could be potentially impacted by the proposed legislation been engaged?

Details:

g. Has public input been received?
h. If public comment has been received, what is the substance of that comment?

Details:

Step Three: Who is affected by the Proposed Legislation?

a. Who are the impacted group(s)?

☐ White ☐ Black or African American ☐ American Indian or Alaska Native
☐ Asian ☐ Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander ☐Two or more races ☐Other

b. Are there impacts on specific geographic areas?

Race Considerations - Total Community is 69.7% White Only - 30.3% Minority										Economic Considerations	
Census Tract/Block Groups	Minority Pop.		**Census Tract/Block Groups**	Minority Pop.		**Census Tract/Block Groups**	Minority Pop.			**Elementary School Boundaries**	
CT 1: Auke Bay/Out the Road			CT 3: Mendenhall Valley Airport/ East Valley			CT 5: Downtown				Gastineau	Title 1
										Harborview	Title 1
BG1: Out the road	11.9%		BG1: N. of Jennifer	42.5%		BG 1: Highlands	20.6%			Glacier Valley	Title 1
BG2: Lena area	15.5%		BG 2: Glacier Valley S	39.8%		BG2: DT/Starr Hill	24.8%			Mendenhall River	
BG3: Montanna Creek	14.5%		BG 3: Airport	40.8%		BG 3: Flats/Village	30.8%			Riverbend	Title 1
BG4: Fritz Cove area	10.1%		BG 4: Radcliffe	24.6%						Auke Bay	
CT 2: Mendenhall Valley withn the Loop			CT 4: Salmon Creek/Lemon Creek							**Lower Income Housing Areas**	
BG1: Mendenhall Taku	27.8%		BG 1: DZ/Freds	60.9%		CT 5: Douglas Island				Chinook/Coho	
BG2: Upper Riverside	23.1%		BG 2: Davis	45.0%		BG 1: North Douglas	15.9%			Cedar Park Area	
BG 3: Portage/McGinn	33.7%		BG 3: Belardi Costco	63.8%		BG 2: West Juneau	28.0%			Gruening Park Area	
BG 4: Long Run	19.6%		BG 4: Twin Lakes	25.9%		BG 3: Crow Hill/ DT D	27.6%			Switzer Area	
BG 5:Glacierwood/Vir	41.2%									Kodzhoff Area	
										Douglas Hwy Corridor	

	YES	NO
c. Is there a benefit to a specific census block district/neighborhood/school zone? If Yes, does it come at the detriment of another?		

Details:

d. Is there a benefit to an individual, group of individuals, or business/organization? If yes, does that come at a detriment of others?		

Details:

Step Four: What solutions could remedy the legislation's implications in perpetuating systemic racism? Check all that apply:

Recommend additional public input be gathered (Neighborhood/census block meetings, assembly/ committee meetings)

	Recommend that the legislation move forward with accountability measures (sunset provisions, 6 mo./annual review of impacts/implications for system racism.) to monitor impact.
	Propose revised language to strengthen the legislation or the legislation or regulations cross-referenced within the proposed legislation.
	Recommend the proposed legislation not move forward.
	Other: (explain)

Step Five: Further Feedback to the Assembly on systemic racism implications
The SRRC will forward to the Assembly any additional questions that arose during the legislation review that the committee feels may be important for the Assembly to consider.

If a systemic racism implication is identified, the SRRC will provide a written report to the Assembly that includes consideration of the provisions below:

> What are the indicators and progress benchmarks?
> Program strategies?
> Policy Strategies?
> Partnership Strategies?