ROW	Comment	Department/Agency	<b>CUP Condition</b>	NOD Language	Notes
				The dock is limited to one large cruise ship (750 feet or	Need to include in lease that dock shall only
				more in length or 950 or more passengers) each 24 hour	accommodate one large ship per day - need to revise
2	Clarify long-term for non-ship side of cruise ship dock	CBJ - Tourism	yes	period beginning at midnight.	NOD language stating that a second smal ship allowed
	Projections for passenger numbers and sizes (pax capacity) for facility				Pax capacity must fit within negotiated agreements
3	per season	CBJ - Tourism	no	n/a	between CBJ and cruise lines
					Pax capacity must fit within negotiated agreements
4	Annual passenger volume projections for the next 5-10 years	CBJ - Tourism	no	n/a	between CBJ and cruise lines
5	No hot berthing	CBJ - Tourism	yes	The dock will not accommodate hot berthing	Resolved - may include in lease
				The dock will not accommodate lightering from a cruise	
				ship at anchor if that ship is more than 750 feet in length	Need to incluide in leass that dock shall not
				or accommodates more than 950 passengers at full	accommodate lighterng under any circumstances except
6	No lightering	CBJ - Tourism	yes	capacity.	in emergency situation.
			7		Unclear on what the applicant suggests for shore power –
					is it the applicant's intent to pay for power to the
					property? Or is it the intent that another party pay to
				The dock owner will, at their own expense, provide shore	, , ,
				power witin 24 months after an appropriately sized	plans to extend power infrastructure or shore power to
				power line is within 25 feet of the prpoerty line. When	this area. Important that the Assembly clearly
				shore power is provided, large ships using the dock will	understands issues and plans associated with shore
7	Clarify plans for shore power	CBJ - Tourism	yes	be required to use shore power istead of ship power.	power before making a decision
<u> </u>	ciarry plans for shore power	CBJ - TOUTISHT	yes	be required to use shore power istead or ship power.	power before making a decision
				The minimum width of the Applicant-constructed	
				seawalk on the south side of the lot will be 16 feet wide.	
	Clarify who would now for convally extension within the property				Seawalk within property boundaries may be included in
٥	Clarify who would pay for seawalk extension within the property	CDL Tourism		The minimum width of the Applicant-constructed seawalk on the west side of the lot will be 20 feet.	lease as well as CUP.
8	•	CBJ - Tourism	yes	seawark on the west side of the lot will be 20 feet.	lease as well as COP.
	Clarify who would pay for seawalk extension outside the property	CDL T			Data which have not disting
9	boundary (Gold Creek connection)	CBJ - Tourism	no	n/a	Potential lease condition.
					The applicant states that "The Gangway and Welcome
					Center building will direct the flow of passengers around
					the southeast corner of the Plaza. The flow will be
					efficient and clear, but will not directly lead to an exit,
					providing a large amount of retail frontage and
					opportunities." This statement contradicts itself and
	Provide renderings that show pedestrian flow - how will passengers				suggests that the development is designed to keep
10	<u> </u>	CBJ - Tourism	no	n/a	passengers on site.
	Will AVISTA dock be removed or left in place. Who is responsible for				Need more information - removal could be lease
11		CBJ - Tourism	no	n/a	condition
	Clarify diagonal parking on Whittier Street and other offsite				
12	· ·	CBJ - Tourism	no	n/a	USCG also expressed concern
	TIA indicates 30% of vehicles exiting the site will come towards town,				
	review for accuracy - there are currently only 2 tours that would				The TIA does not reflect typical cruise visitor traffic
13	necessitate buses to travel through town	CBJ - Tourism	no	n/a	patterns
	TIA indicated 10% of vehicles exiting the site will go directly across				The TIA does not reflect typical cruise visitor traffic
14	Egan (towards Museum) please clarify plans	CBJ - Tourism	no	n/a	patterns

DOW/	Commont	Department / Agency	CUD Condition	NOD Lenguage	Notes
ROW	Comment	Department/Agency	CUP Condition	NOD Language	Notes
	TIA actimates 10.15 buses per hour. This seems contradictory to				
	TIA estimates 10-15 buses per hour. This seems contradictory to				
	typical cruise ship operations. For example, the				
	Norwegian Bliss has about 85 vehicle (55-65 bus) departures in the				
	hour after docking, and traffic slows until just				
	before departure. A smaller ship like the Norwegian Jewel has closer				
	to 65 vehicle departures. Please clarify plans				The TIA does not reflect typical cruise visitor traffic
15	for spikes in vehicle activity and how vehicle volume will be managed.	CBJ - Tourism	no	n/a	patterns
	TIA suggests longer light times at 10th and Egan. The applicant is				
	encouraged to consider community needs and				The TIA does not reflect typical cruise visitor traffic
16	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	CBJ - Tourism	no	n/a	patterns
	TIA suggests that 600 pedestrians will walk off the site per hour via				
	Egan Drive. Please clarify whether pedestrian				The TIA does not reflect typical cruise visitor traffic
17		CBJ - Tourism	no	n/a	patterns
	Does the applicant plan to provide a shuttle or rely on a future CBJ				
	circulator? The Assembly has elected not to pursue a circulator at this				
	time. CBJ has not supplied data on				
	the timing or trips per hour of a future circulator, however the TIA				
	discusses a municipally operated circulator that				
	operates on a 15-minute interval. If a shuttle is planned, please clarify				
	the number of buses and trips anticipated.				
	For reference, the AJ Dock has up to six buses operating on a				
	continuous loop. It is unlikely that a municipal				
18	circulator, if implemented, would be able to handle this volume.	CBJ - Tourism	no	n/a	Need to include a dock shuttle in the lease
	Provide more information on managing offsite impacts with and				
19		CBJ - Tourism	no	n/a	insufficient information about offsite impacts
	Request to know the city's setback requirements on a public road. For				
	example Whittier Street has USCG Station Juneau				
	and "Future Retail Store Front Parking with Bus traffic using the same				
	road. A concern I have is, if parking is allowed on				
	the side of Whittier Street will buses be able to move safely in the				
20	same area?	USCG	no	n/a	Clarify with USCG

ROW	Comment	Department/Agency	CUP Condition	NOD Language	Notes
1.000	As a condition of this permit and consistent with the Long Range	Department, Agency	COT COTTAINED	100 Language	inotes
	Waterfront Plan, the Parks & Recreation				
	Department recommends that the Applicant be required to construct				
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
	and grant a permanent easement to CBJ for a public Seawalk through the proposed development. The Seawalk			The minimum width of the Applicant constructed	
	shall be a minimum of 20 feet wide without			The minimum width of the Applicant-constructed seawalk on the south side of the lot will be 16 feet wide.	
	obstructions for pedestrian flow, as this is the minimum width			The minimum width of the Applicant-constructed	
	necessary to accommodate pedestrian traffic			seawalk on the west side of the lot will be 20 feet.	
	resulting from increased numbers of visitors. The applicant should be			Before TCO for any phase or element of the project, the	
	required to include CBJ in the design process			Applicant will record an easement for CBJ maintenance	
	for the Seawalk and required to obtain design approval from CBJ prior			and management of the seawalk. The easement will be	
	to construction. Upon completion of the			at least 16 feet wide n the south side of the lot and 20	
	Seawalk and easement, the permit should be clear that the Seawalk			feet wide on the west side of the lot. The easement wil	
24	will be managed and maintained by CBJ Parks			be comperable to such easements in place for other	Seawalk within property boundaries may be included in
21	& Recreation.	CBJ- Parks & Rec	yes	dock owners.	lease as well as CUP.
	The Applicant proposes several "parks, " including a 1.14-acre				
	landscaped park and performance area, a				
	0.68-acre public plaza, and a 0.48-acre public area. These areas are				
	intended "for year-round activities." While				
	these parks will be constructed, owned, managed, and maintained by				
	Huna Totem, the permit should require that			The applicant will maintain and operate paths, parks,	
	public access to these areas be maintained consistent with other			landscaping, and other amenities (other than the	Public park access and maintenance may be included in
22	public parks in Juneau.	CBJ- Parks & Rec	yes	seawalk) for year-round use	lease as well as CUP
	As a condition of the permit, the Applicant should be solely				
	responsible for maintenance and operation of all				
	paths, parks, landscaping, and other public amenities, except that				
	portion of the Seawalk which passes through or				
	adjacent to the development. This point is critical: In the past, CU				
	permits for large developments have required				
	public amenities but remained silent on who is responsible for				
	maintenance. This leads to confusion, poor			The applicant will maintain and operate paths, parks,	
	maintenance, and ultimately incurs significant costs to CBJ years or			landscaping, and other amenities (other than the	
23	decades later.	CBJ- Parks & Rec	yes	seawalk) for year-round use	CBJ should not be responsible for park maintenance
	Please advise of:				
	The locaton of your mooring dolphin.				
	The depth and width of area you'd need to operate effectvely at your				
24	dock.	USCG	no	n/a	Clarify with USCG whether this has been resolved

ROW	Comment	Department/Agency	<b>CUP Condition</b>	NOD Language	Notes
	Right of Way: Per 17 AAC 10.060 the developers will be required to				
	submit an application for an approach road permit				
	as the proposed development significantly changes the current land				
	use of the subject property and traffic flow into the established				
	DOT&PF facility, specifically at the Egan/Whittier intersection. As part				
	of the permitting process, the Department will build a memorandum				
	of agreement with the developer to address any and all mitigation				
	measures				
	needed to alleviate traffic flow issues that may arise from the subject properties change of use. At this time, the subject				
	Traffic Impact Analysis is preliminary and will be modified to address				
	potential traffic flow mitigation measures as they				Clarify that this has been resolved between applicant and
25	· ·	DOT	no	n/a	DOT
	are racinetic.	001	110	Prior to issuance of a building permit, the Applicant must	
	Docks & Harbors requests a navigability study be conducted to ensure			proide a navigability study that includes explicit	
	the alignment of the proposed HTC dock			consideration of access impacts to:	
	does not impede access to the AS/CT Docks or to the USCG/NOAA			- Alaska Steamship Dock	
	Docks. The study should also evaluate any			- Cruise Ship Terminal	
	unreasonable impact to larger vessels (i.e. fuel/material barges)			- USCG/NOAA Docks	
	transiting Gastineau Channel under the bridge.			- Large traffic, such as material or fuel barges, transiting	
	The AJT Dock (former Standard Oil Dock) also should be addressed as			Gastineau Channel under the bridge	
	the proposed HTC appears to block			- The AJ Dock	This study needs to happen early in the process. Need
	reasonable access to this derelict pier which is legally on patented			- Aircraft using the area for landing and taxiing to the	clarity on who reviews and approves the study and Docks
26	private tidelands.	CBJ - Docks & Harbors	yes	float plane docks	& Harbors' level of input.
				Prior to issuance of a building permit, the Applicant must	
				proide a navigability study that includes explicit	
				consideration of access impacts to:	
				- Alaska Steamship Dock	
				- Cruise Ship Terminal	
				- USCG/NOAA Docks	
				- Large traffic, such as material or fuel barges, transiting	
				Gastineau Channel under the bridge	
	Docks & Harbors recommends that Wings and FAA be consulted to			- The AJ Dock	
	ensure access, landing and taxiing to the			- Aircraft using the area for landing and taxiing to the	
27	float plane docks are not unduly restricted.	CBJ - Docks & Harbors	yes	float plane docks	Need confirmation that this will be resolved
	Docks & Harbors, on behalf of CBJ requests as a condition of the				
	permit, the ability to petition the State of				
	Alaska (DNR) for state submerged tidelands to be conveyed to CBJ in				
	accordance with AS 38.05.820 (Occupied				
28	Tide and Submerged Land) necessary for the HTC dock construction.	CBJ - Docks & Harbors	no	n/a	Need confirmation that this will be resolved

ROW	Comment	Department/Agency	<b>CUP Condition</b>	NOD Language	Notes
					Unclear on what the applicant suggests for shore power – is it the applicant's intent to install shore power? Or is it the intent that another party pay to install shore power?
	Docks & Harbors recommends the CUP address dock electrification				There are no municipal or AEL&P plans to extend power
	and expected commitment from HTC to				infrastructure or shore power to this area. Important that
	achieve shore power (conceptual planning document, by date certain,				the Assembly clearly understands issues and plans
29	anticipated financial investment, etc.).	CBJ - Docks & Harbors	no	n/a	associated with shore power before making a decision
	Docks & Harbors requests the applicant provide clarity to the finger floats shown in the renderings. What size of slips are proposed and how will these slips be utilized in the off-				
30	D season.	CBJ - Docks & Harbors	no	n/a	Need confirmation that this will be resolved
	Docks & Harbors requests to know if HTC will be providing navigation safety measures such as real time current				
31	1 monitoring and/or meteorological sensors.	CBJ - Docks & Harbors	no	n/a	Need confirmation that this will be resolved
	Given a that very large cruise ships will be moored perpendicular to shore and in close proximity to the bride, request a hydraulic study be conducted to determine whether disruptions to the tidal flushing under the bridge or if siltation issues will be anticipated. Additionally, evaluate safety concerns to very large cruise ships mooring				
32		CBJ - Docks & Harbors	no	n/a	Need confirmation that this will be resolved
	An evaluation to view-shed impacts should be considered/addressed for both the dock (with vessel) as well as the proposed upland				
33	B building.	CBJ - Docks & Harbors	no	n/a	Viewshed information would be helpful in public process