

Systemic Racism Review Committee Legislation Review Summary

Serial Number/Title: **Ordinance 2022-51** An Ordinance Authorizing an Alternative Procurement Method Related to the Emergency Department Addition and Renovation at Bartlett Regional Hospital.

Introduced: 9/12 Public Hearing Date: _____ SRRC Review Date: 9/13

Presented By: Manager Drafted By: Law

Department/Division: Eng/Hospital Lead Staff Contact: Koester/Rynne

Purpose of Legislation (background/summary of intent):

This ordinance authorizes the Manager to competitively solicit proposals and enter into an alternative procurement method for preconstruction services and construction of the Emergency Room Addition and Renovation project at Bartlett Regional Hospital consistent with CBJ charter and Alaska Statute. General Contractor/Construction Manager is a qualifications based procurement method that allows the contractor to be involved in the design process to limit risk and cost for complicated projects such as the Emergency Room where the department needs to remain operational during construction.

Connection to existing legislation:

--

Connection to adopted planning documents:

--

*****BELOW IS FOR SRRC MEMBERS TO COMPLETE*****

Step One: What is the impact of the proposed legislation?

- a. Does the proposed legislation negatively impact or unduly advantage a particular racial/ethnic group or otherwise perpetuate systemic racism?

If No, review is completed. If yes, go on to the next question:

YES	NO

- b. Does the legislation work to mitigate and/or eliminate structural racism
If Yes, review is completed. If No, or Undetermined, continue through the remaining steps.

--	--

Step Two: How does the legislation perpetuate systemic racism?

- What are potential unintended consequences?
- What benefits may result?
- What is the potential long term impact of the proposed legislation?

Details:

d. What quantitative and qualitative evidence of inequality exists?

Details:

e. What steps has the department or legislation sponsor taken to notify those impacted of the proposed changes?

f. Have key stakeholders who could be potentially impacted by the proposed legislation been engaged?

Details:

g. Has public input been received?

h. If public comment has been received, what is the substance of that comment?

Details:

Step Three: Who is affected by the Proposed Legislation?

a. Who are the impacted group(s)?

☐ White ☐ Black or African American ☐ American Indian or Alaska Native
☐ Asian ☐ Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander ☐ Two or more races ☐ Other

b. Are there impacts on specific geographic areas?

Race Considerations - Total Community is 69.7% White Only - 30.3% Minority						Economic Considerations	
Census Tract/Block Groups	Minority Pop.		Census Tract/Block Groups	Minority Pop.		Census Tract/Block Groups	Minority Pop.
CT 1: Auke Bay/Out the Road			CT 3: Mendenhall Valley Airport/ East Valley			CT 5: Downtown	
BG1: Out the road	11.9%		BG1: N. of Jennifer	42.5%		BG 1: Highlands	20.6%
BG2: Lena area	15.5%		BG 2: Glacier Valley	39.8%		BG2: DT/Starr Hill	24.8%
BG3: Montanna Creek	14.5%		BG 3: Airport	40.8%		BG 3: Flats/Village	30.8%
BG4: Fritz Cove area	10.1%		BG 4: Radcliffe	24.6%			
CT 2: Mendenhall Valley withn the Loop			CT 4: Salmon Creek/Lemon Creek				
BG1: Mendenhall Taki	27.8%		BG 1: DZ/Freds	60.9%		CT 5: Douglas Island	
BG2: Upper Riverside	23.1%		BG 2: Davis	45.0%		BG 1: North Douglas	15.9%
BG 3: Portage/McGinnr	33.7%		BG 3: Belardi Costco	63.8%		BG 2: West Juneau	28.0%
BG 4: Long Run	19.6%		BG 4: Twin Lakes	25.9%		BG 3: Crow Hill/ DT D	27.6%
BG 5: Glacierwood/Vir	41.2%						
						Elementary School Boundaries	
						Gastineau	Title 1
						Harborview	Title 1
						Glacier Valley	Title 1
						Mendenhall River	
						Riverbend	Title 1
						Auke Bay	
						Lower Income Housing Areas	
						Chinook/Coho	
						Cedar Park Area	
						Gruening Park Area	
						Switzer Area	
						Kodzhoff Area	
						Douglas Hwy Corridor	

c. Is there a benefit to a specific census block district/neighborhood/school zone?
 If Yes, does it come at the detriment of another?

Details:

YES	NO

d. Is there a benefit to an individual, group of individuals, or business/organization?
 If yes, does that come at a detriment of others?

Details:

Step Four: What solutions could remedy the legislation’s implications in perpetuating systemic racism? Check all that apply:

<input type="checkbox"/>	Recommend additional public input be gathered (Neighborhood/census block meetings, assembly/ committee meetings)
<input type="checkbox"/>	Recommend that the legislation move forward with accountability measures (sunset provisions, 6 mo./annual review of impacts/implications for system racism.) to monitor impact.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Propose revised language to strengthen the legislation or the legislation or regulations cross-referenced within the proposed legislation.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Recommend the proposed legislation not move forward.
<input type="checkbox"/>	Other: (explain)

Step Five: Further Feedback to the Assembly on systemic racism implications

The SRRC will forward to the Assembly any additional questions that arose during the legislation review that the committee feels may be important for the Assembly to consider.

If a systemic racism implication is identified, the SRRC will provide a written report to the Assembly that includes consideration of the provisions below:

What are the indicators and progress benchmarks?
Program strategies?
Policy Strategies?
Partnership Strategies?