# Systemic Racism Review Committee Legislation Review Summary

Serial Number/Title: **Ordinance 2022-06(b)(S)** An Ordinance Appropriating \$128,200 to the Manager for a Grant to the Alaska Development Corporation for Medical Respite Care; Funding Provided by General Funds and Hospital Funds.

Introdu	ced: 9/12/22 Public Hearing Date: 9	9/28/22	SRRC Review	v Date: <u>9</u>	/13/22	
Present	ed By: <u>Manager</u>		Drafted By:	Finance		
Departi	ment/Division: <u>Assembly</u>	Lead	Staff Contact:	Robert Ba	arr/Jeff R	ogers
Purpos	e of Legislation (background/summary of	intent):				
individence in the second seco	o the pandemic, CBJ and Bartlett Regional duals experiencing homelessness. During the however, medical respite is no longer eligince would appropriate \$128,200 for medical respite costs excession for COVID-positive patients; however, as to align with the historical cost of provice Healthy and Equitable Communities Grand	the pandemi igible for reir dical respite o eed pre-pand program spe ding this care	c, the cost of this mbursement effecare in FY23, to be lemic levels due tending will be rede. A portion of the	care was re ctive July 1. e funded equo o quaranting luced in the ese costs ma	imbursed This ually by C e and coming ay be fund	CBJ ded
Connec	tion to existing legislation:					
As a si	upplemental appropriation, this ordinance	e amends CB	J FY23 Budget Or	dinance 202	22-06(b).	
Connec	tion to adopted planning documents:					
Step Oı	ne: What is the impact of the proposed le	egislation?				
					YES	NO
a.	Does the proposed legislation negatively racial/ethnic group or otherwise perpetulif No, review is completed. If yes, go on a	uate systemi	c racism?	a particular		
b.	Does the legislation work to mitigate and If Yes, review is completed. If No, or Und remaining steps.					

Step Two: How does the legislation perpetuate systemic racism?

- a. What are potential unintended consequences?
- b. What benefits may result?
- c. What is the potential long term impact of the proposed legislation?

Details: Providing medical respite care for individuals experiencing homelessness ensures they have somewhere safe to stay after being discharged from the hospital. Providing medical respite care also frees up beds at the hospital and saves the hospital costs associated with retaining patients that otherwise could be discharged.

d. What quantitative and qualitative evidence of inequality exists?

_					
ח	ല	ŀа	il	c	

- e. What steps has the department or legislation sponsor taken to notify those impacted of the proposed changes?
- f. Have key stakeholders who could be potentially impacted by the proposed legislation been engaged?

# Details:

- g. Has public input been received?
- h. If public comment has been received, what is the substance of that comment?

Details: Public comment on this ordinance will be held on September 28, 2022.

## Step Three: Who is affected by the Proposed Legislation?

a. Who are the impacted group(s)?

White	☐ Black	or African A	American	☐ Ame	rican Inc	lian or A	laska I	Native
Asian [	☐ Native	Hawaiian c	or Pacific Is	lander	□Two c	r more i	races	Other

b. Are there impacts on specific geographic areas?

	Race Considera	tions - Total Community	is 69.7% White Only	- 30.3% Minority			Econom Considerat	
Census Tract/Block Group	s Minority	Census Tract/Block Gr	oups Minority	Census Tract/Block	Groups	Minority	Elementary School	Boundaries
	Pop.		Pop.			Pop.	Gastineau	Title 1
CT 1: Auke Bay/Out the Ro	oad	CT 3: Mendenhall Valle	ey Airport/ East Valley	CT 5: Downtown			Harborview	Title 1
BG1: Out the roa	nd 11.9%	BG1: N. of Je	ennifer 42.5%	BG 1: Hig	hlands	20.6%	Glacier Valley	Title 1
BG2: Lena area	15.5%	BG 2: Glacie	r Valley 5 39.8%	BG2: DT/	Starr Hill	24.8%	Mendenhall River	
BG3: Montanna	Creek 14.5%	BG 3: Airpor	t 40.8%	BG 3: Flat	ts/Village	30.8%	Riverbend	Title 1
BG4: Fritz Cove a	area 10.1%	BG 4: Radcli	ffe 24.6%				Auke Bay	
CT 2: Mendenhall Valley withn the Loop CT 4:		CT 4: Salmon Creek/Le	mon Creek				Lower Income House	ing Areas
BG1: Mendenhal	II Takı 27.8%	BG 1: DZ/Fre	eds 60.9%	CT 5: Douglas Island	I		Chinook/Coho	
BG2: Upper Rive	rside 23.1%	BG 2: Davis	45.0%	BG 1: Nor	rth Douglas	15.9%	Cedar Park Area	
BG 3: Portage/M	lcGinr 33.7%	BG 3: Belard	li Costco 63.8%	BG 2: We	st Juneau	28.0%	Gruening Park Area	
BG 4: Long Run	19.6%	BG 4: Twin L	akes 25.9%	BG 3: Cro	w Hill/ DT [	27.6%	Switzer Area	
BG 5:Glacierwoo	od/Vir 41.2%						Kodzhoff Area	
							Douglas Hwy Corrid	or

c. Is there a benefit to a specific census block district/neighborhood/school zone? If Yes, does it come at the detriment of another?

YES	NO

#### Details:

d.	Is there a benefit to an individual, group of individuals, or business/organization?	
	If yes, does that come at a detriment of others?	
Details	S:	

# Step Four: What solutions could remedy the legislation's implications in perpetuating systemic racism? Check all that apply:

Recommend additional public input be gathered (Neighborhood/census block meetings, assembly/ committee meetings)
Recommend that the legislation move forward with accountability measures (sunset provisions,
6 mo./annual review of impacts/implications for system racism.) to monitor impact.
Propose revised language to strengthen the legislation or the legislation or regulations cross-
referenced within the proposed legislation.
Recommend the proposed legislation not move forward.
Other: (explain)

## Step Five: Further Feedback to the Assembly on systemic racism implications

The SRRC will forward to the Assembly any additional questions that arose during the legislation review that the committee feels may be important for the Assembly to consider.

If a systemic racism implication is identified, the SRRC will provide a written report to the Assembly that includes consideration of the provisions below:

What are the indicators and progress benchmarks? Program strategies? Policy Strategies? Partnership Strategies?