Systemic Racism Review Committee Legislation Review Summary

Serial Number/Title: Ordinance 2022-42 An Ordinance Providing for a Property Tax Abatement Program to Incentivize the Development of Higher Density Housing. Introduced: 9/12/22 Public Hearing Date: 9/28/22 SRRC Review Date: 9/13/22 Presented By: Manager Drafted By: Law Department/Division: Finance/CDD Lead Staff Contact: Rorie Watt Purpose of Legislation (background/summary of intent): The Housing Action Plan and Juneau Economic Development Plan identify property tax abatement as an incentive to encourage new housing development. Building on an existing provision for downtown housing, this ordinance proposes to expand tax abatement for qualifying new housing developments borough-wide. Only housing developments on a single lot that remain under common ownership would qualify. This incentive is intended to help address Juneau's shortage of adequate and affordable housing, which the Assembly identified as a high priority in its 2022 Goals. This tax abatement would sunset on October 1, 2032; which will induce a future review to determine if the program is accomplishing its aims as designed. Connection to existing legislation: This ordinance amends Section 69.10.023 of CBJ's Code. Connection to adopted planning documents: Housing Action Plan, Juneau Economic Development Plan, Assembly 2022 Goals Step One: What is the impact of the proposed legislation? YES NO Does the proposed legislation negatively impact or unduly advantage a particular a. racial/ethnic group or otherwise perpetuate systemic racism? If No, review is completed. If yes, go on to the next question: b. Does the legislation work to mitigate and/or eliminate structural racism If Yes, review is completed. If No, or Undetermined, continue through the remaining steps. Step Two: How does the legislation perpetuate systemic racism?

b. What benefits may result?c. What is the potential long term impact of the proposed legislation?

a. What are potential unintended consequences?

I:\Clerks Office\Advisory Boards\Systemic Racism Review Committee-SRRC\2022-09-13 SRRC Meeting\SRRC Tool_2022-42.docx

Details: This legislation is intended to incentivize housing development in Juneau. More housing units lead to more available and affordable housing for Juneau's residents and the summer workforce. Availability of affordable housing stimulates the economy and promotes the vitality of the community.

d. What quantitative and qualitative evidence of inequality exists?

Details:

- e. What steps has the department or legislation sponsor taken to notify those impacted of the proposed changes?
- f. Have key stakeholders who could be potentially impacted by the proposed legislation been engaged?

Details: The Assembly Finance Committee discussed this topic at the July 7, August 3, and September 7, 2022 meetings.

- g. Has public input been received?
- h. If public comment has been received, what is the substance of that comment?

Details: Public comment on this ordinance will be held on September 28, 2022.

Step Three: Who is affected by the Proposed Legislation?

a.	Who	are t	the	im	oacted	groui	n(s)	12
u.	***	ui C			Jucicu	SIVUI	212	, .

White	\square Black	or African A	American	☐ Ame	erican Ind	lian or A	laska I	Native
Asian [☐ Native	Hawaiian o	r Pacific Is	lander	□Two o	r more i	races	□Other

b. Are there impacts on specific geographic areas?

	Race Considerations - Total Community is 69.7% White Only - 30.3% Minority					Economic Considerations				
Census 1	Fract/Block Groups	Minority	Census Tract/	Block Groups	Minority	Census Ti	ract/Block Group	s Minority	Elementary School	Boundarie:
		Pop.			Pop.			Pop.	Gastineau	Title 1
CT 1: Aul	ke Bay/Out the Road		CT 3: Menden	hall Valley Airpo	rt/ East Valley	CT 5: Dow	ntown		Harborview	Title 1
	BG1: Out the road	11.9%	BG:	1: N. of Jennifer	42.5%		BG 1: Highlands	20.6%	Glacier Valley	Title 1
	BG2: Lena area	15.5%	BG	2: Glacier Valley	\$ 39.8%		BG2: DT/Starr H	ill 24.8%	Mendenhall River	
	BG3: Montanna Cre	ek 14.5%	BG	3: Airport	40.8%		BG 3: Flats/Villa	age 30.8%	Riverbend	Title 1
	BG4: Fritz Cove area	10.1%	BG	4: Radcliffe	24.6%				Auke Bay	
CT 2: Me	ndenhall Valley with	nn the Loop	CT 4: Salmon (Creek/Lemon Cre	eek				Lower Income House	sing Areas
BG1: Mendenhall Takı 27.8%		BG	1: DZ/Freds	60.9%	CT 5: Dou	glas Island		Chinook/Coho		
	BG2: Upper Riversio	le 23.1%	BG	2: Davis	45.0%		BG 1: North Do	uglas 15.9%	Cedar Park Area	
	BG 3: Portage/McGi	nr 33.7%	BG	3: Belardi Costco	63.8%		BG 2: West June	eau 28.0%	Gruening Park Area	1
	BG 4: Long Run	19.6%	BG	4: Twin Lakes	25.9%		BG 3: Crow Hill,	/ DT D 27.6%	Switzer Area	
	BG 5:Glacierwood/\	/ir 41.2%							Kodzhoff Area	
									Douglas Hwy Corrid	lor

c. Is there a benefit to a specific census block district/neighborhood/school zone? If Yes, does it come at the detriment of another?

YES	NO

Details:

d.	Is there a benefit to an individual, group of individuals, or business/organization?	
	If yes, does that come at a detriment of others?	
Details		

Step Four: What solutions could remedy the legislation's implications in perpetuating systemic racism? Check all that apply:

Recommend additional public input be gathered (Neighborhood/census block meetings, assembly/ committee meetings)
Recommend that the legislation move forward with accountability measures (sunset provisions,
6 mo./annual review of impacts/implications for system racism.) to monitor impact.
Propose revised language to strengthen the legislation or the legislation or regulations cross-
referenced within the proposed legislation.
Recommend the proposed legislation not move forward.
Other: (explain)

Step Five: Further Feedback to the Assembly on systemic racism implications

The SRRC will forward to the Assembly any additional questions that arose during the legislation review that the committee feels may be important for the Assembly to consider.

If a systemic racism implication is identified, the SRRC will provide a written report to the Assembly that includes consideration of the provisions below:

What are the indicators and progress benchmarks? Program strategies? Policy Strategies? Partnership Strategies?