Systemic Racism Review Committee Legislation Review Summary

Serial Number/Title: **Ordinance 2021-08(b)(am)(AU)** An Ordinance Appropriating \$7,127,047 to the Manager to Fund the City and Borough of Juneau and Bartlett Regional Hospital's Fiscal Year 2022 Public Employees' Retirement System (PERS) Contribution; Funding Provided by the Alaska Department of Administration.

Introd	uced: 9/26/22 Public Hearing Date:	10/24/22	SRRC Review Date:	10/25/22
Preser	nted By: <u>Manager</u>	Draft	ed By: <u>Finance</u>	
Depar	tment/Division: <u>CBJ/BRH</u>	Lead	Staff Contact:Jeff	Rogers
Purpos	se of Legislation (background/summary	of intent):		
	ordinance would appropriate \$7,127,04 paid on-behalf of the CBJ and BRH, distr			6 PERS benefit
-	and Borough of Juneau ett Regional Hospital		\$3,498,144 \$3,628,903	
	ing is provided by the Alaska Departmer g the 2021 legislative session.	nt of Administrat	tion, authorized by pass	sage of HB69
Conne	ction to existing legislation:			
As a s	supplemental appropriation, this ordina	nce amends CBJ	FY22 Budget Ordinance	e 2021-08(b)(am).
Conne	ction to adopted planning documents:			
N/A				
Step C	one: What is the impact of the proposed	d legislation?		
a.	Does the proposed legislation negative racial/ethnic group or otherwise perput lf No, review is completed. If yes, go of the second sec	etuate systemic	racism?	cular YES NO
b.	Does the legislation work to mitigate a If Yes, review is completed. If No, or U remaining steps.			

Step Two: How does the legislation perpetuate systemic racism?

- a. What are potential unintended consequences?
- b. What benefits may result?

c. What is the potential long term impact of the proposed legislation?

Details: This is a housekeeping ordinance to properly account for these on-behalf contributions to the state-managed retirement fund and has no impact on the CBJ or BRH's finances.

d. What quantitative and qualitative evidence of inequality exists?

Details:

- e. What steps has the department or legislation sponsor taken to notify those impacted of the proposed changes?
- f. Have key stakeholders who could be potentially impacted by the proposed legislation been engaged?

Details: N/A

- g. Has public input been received?
- h. If public comment has been received, what is the substance of that comment?

Details: Public comment on this ordinance will be held on October 24, 2022.

Step Three: Who is affected by the Proposed Legislation?

a. Who are the impacted group(s)?

\square White \square Black or African American \square American	Indian or Alaska Native
☐ Asian ☐ Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander ☐ Two	o or more races Other

b. Are there impacts on specific geographic areas?

Race Considerations - Total Community is 69.7% White Only - 30.3% Minority								Economic Considerations					
Census T	ract/Block Groups	Minority	Census Tr	act/Block G	roups	Minority	Census T	ract/Block G	Groups	Minority	Elementary	/ School I	Boundarie
		Pop.				Pop.				Pop.	Gastineau		Title 1
CT 1: Auk	ce Bay/Out the Road		CT 3: Mendenhall Valley Airport/ East Valley		CT 5: Downtown			Harborview Tit		Title 1			
	BG1: Out the road	11.9%		BG1: N. of	Jennifer	42.5%		BG 1: High	lands	20.6%	Glacier Vall	ley	Title 1
	BG2: Lena area	15.5%		BG 2: Glacie	er Valley	39.8%		BG2: DT/S	tarr Hill	24.8%	Mendenha	ll River	
	BG3: Montanna Creel	14.5%		BG 3: Airpo	rt	40.8%		BG 3: Flats	/Village	30.8%	Riverbend		Title 1
	BG4: Fritz Cove area	10.1%		BG 4: Radcl	iffe	24.6%					Auke Bay		
CT 2: Me	ndenhall Valley withn	the Loop	CT 4: Salm	non Creek/L	emon Cre	ek					Lower Inco	me Hous	ing Areas
	BG1: Mendenhall Tak	27.8%		BG 1: DZ/Fr	reds	60.9%	CT 5: Doi	uglas Island			Chinook/Co	oho	
	BG2: Upper Riverside	23.1%		BG 2: Davis		45.0%		BG 1: Nort	h Douglas	15.9%	Cedar Park	Area	
	BG 3: Portage/McGini	33.7%		BG 3: Belar	di Costco	63.8%		BG 2: Wes	t Juneau	28.0%	Gruening P	ark Area	
	BG 4: Long Run	19.6%		BG 4: Twin	Lakes	25.9%		BG 3: Crov	v Hill/ DT [27.6%	Switzer Are	a	
	BG 5:Glacierwood/Vi	r 41.2%									Kodzhoff A	rea	
											Douglas Hw	v Corrid	or

c. Is there a benefit to a specific census block district/neighborhood/school zone? If Yes, does it come at the detriment of another?

YES	NO

Details:

d. Is there a benefit to an individual, group of individuals, or business/organization?

If yes, does that come at a detriment of others?	
Details:	

Step Four: What solutions could remedy the legislation's implications in perpetuating systemic racism? Check all that apply:

Recommend additional public input be gathered (Neighborhood/census block meetings,
assembly/ committee meetings)
Recommend that the legislation move forward with accountability measures (sunset provisions,
6 mo./annual review of impacts/implications for system racism.) to monitor impact.
Propose revised language to strengthen the legislation or the legislation or regulations cross-
referenced within the proposed legislation.
Recommend the proposed legislation not move forward.
Other: (explain)

Step Five: Further Feedback to the Assembly on systemic racism implications

The SRRC will forward to the Assembly any additional questions that arose during the legislation review that the committee feels may be important for the Assembly to consider.

If a systemic racism implication is identified, the SRRC will provide a written report to the Assembly that includes consideration of the provisions below:

What are the indicators and progress benchmarks? Program strategies? Policy Strategies? Partnership Strategies?