## Systemic Racism Review Committee Legislation Review Summary

Serial Number/Title: **Ordinance 2021-08(b)(am)(AV)** An Ordinance Appropriating \$28,226,000 to and Deappropriating \$2,495,600 from the Manager for the City and Borough of Juneau's Fiscal Year 2022 Operating Costs; Funding Provided by Various Sources.

Introduced: 9/26/22 Public Hearing Date: 10/24/22 SRRC Review Date: 10/25/22
Presented By: <u>Manager</u> Drafted By: <u>Finance</u>
Department/Division: <u>Various</u> Lead Staff Contact: <u>Jeff Rogers</u>
Purpose of Legislation (background/summary of intent):
This ordinance appropriates \$28,226,000 and deappropriates \$2,495,600 for the City and Borough of Juneau's FY2022 operating costs. The following departments and funds require supplemental budget authority in FY2022:
<b>Airport</b> : this ordinance appropriates \$383,000 of Federal CARES Act funding for required repairs and maintenance upgrades at the Airport.
<b>Docks</b> : \$335,000 of Docks funds provides for extraordinary costs associated with hiring seasonal part-time limited staff required to meet unfunded, new Coast Guard security regulations pertaining to dual identification verification.
<b>Hospital</b> : \$2,000 of supplemental authority is needed to support increased labors costs due to staffing shortages and inflationary pressure on materials and commodities; funding provided by Hospital funds.
<b>Risk Management</b> : this ordinance appropriates \$1,452,000 of Risk Management funds for health and property insurance claims that exceeded budget estimates.
<b>Pandemic Response Fund</b> : this ordinance provides budget authority to transfer federal ARPA funds for the replacement of lost State Marine Passenger Fee revenue and the Local Government Lost Revenue Relief Grant to the General Fund. This ordinance also appropriates nearly \$1 million for CBJ's FY2022 COVID-related costs, funded by FEMA revenue.
This ordinance appropriates the remaining authority of \$7,123,300 and deappropriates \$2,495,600 for housekeeping items, including aligning budget to actual transfers, the liquidation of the Waste Management and Library Minor Contribution funds, and the repayment of a refunded bond with bond proceeds.
Connection to existing legislation:
As a supplemental appropriation, this ordinance amends CBJ FY22 Budget Ordinance 2021-08(b)(am).
Connection to adopted planning documents:

N/A

## YES NO Does the proposed legislation negatively impact or unduly advantage a particular a. racial/ethnic group or otherwise perpetuate systemic racism? If No, review is completed. If yes, go on to the next question: b. Does the legislation work to mitigate and/or eliminate structural racism If Yes, review is completed. If No, or Undetermined, continue through the remaining steps. Step Two: How does the legislation perpetuate systemic racism? a. What are potential unintended consequences? b. What benefits may result? c. What is the potential long term impact of the proposed legislation? Details: d. What quantitative and qualitative evidence of inequality exists? Details: e. What steps has the department or legislation sponsor taken to notify those impacted of the proposed changes? f. Have key stakeholders who could be potentially impacted by the proposed legislation been engaged? Details: g. Has public input been received? h. If public comment has been received, what is the substance of that comment? Details: Public comment on this ordinance will be held on October 24, 2022. Step Three: Who is affected by the Proposed Legislation? a. Who are the impacted group(s)? ☐ White ☐ Black or African American ☐ American Indian or Alaska Native ☐ Asian ☐ Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander ☐ Two or more races ☐ Other b. Are there impacts on specific geographic areas?

Step One: What is the impact of the proposed legislation?

Race Considerations - Total Community is 69.7% White Only - 30.3% Minority									Economic Considerations				
Cancus T	ract/Block Gr	oune	Minority	Cansus Tr	act/Block (	Groups	Minority	Cansus	ract/Block G	roune	Minority	Elementary Sch	ool Boundaries
Celisus I	lacty block GI	oups	Pop.	Celisus II	acty block v	Jioups	Pop.	Celisus	Tacty Block C	iioups	Pop.	Gastineau	Title 1
CT 1: Auk	ce Bay/Out the	e Road	rop.	CT 3: Men	denhall Va	allev Airno	rt/ East Valley	CT 5: Dov	wntown		т ор.	Harborview	Title 1
BG1: Out the road			11.9%	CT 5. WICH	BG1: N. of		42.5%	C1 3. D0	BG 1: High	lands	20.6%	Glacier Valley	Title 1
	BG2: Lena are	ea	15.5%		BG 2: Glad	ier Valley	\$ 39.8%		BG2: DT/St		24.8%	Mendenhall Riv	er
BG3: Montanna Cree		na Creek	14.5%		BG 3: Airport		40.8%		BG 3: Flats/Village		30.8%	Riverbend	Title 1
	BG4: Fritz Co	ve area	10.1%		BG 4: Rad	cliffe	24.6%					Auke Bay	
CT 2: Mendenhall Valley withn the Loop			CT 4: Salmon Creek/Lemon Creek							Lower Income I	lousing Areas		
BG1: Mendenhall Takı 27.89		27.8%		BG 1: DZ/Freds		60.9%	CT 5: Douglas Island			Chinook/Coho			
	BG2: Upper R	liverside	23.1%		BG 2: Dav	is	45.0%		BG 1: Nort	h Douglas	15.9%	Cedar Park Area	1
	BG 3: Portage	e/McGinn	33.7%		BG 3: Bela	rdi Costco	63.8%		BG 2: Wes	t Juneau	28.0%	Gruening Park A	rea
	BG 4: Long Ru	ın	19.6%		BG 4: Twi	n Lakes	25.9%		BG 3: Crow	Hill/ DT C	27.6%	Switzer Area	
	BG 5:Glaciery	wood/Vir	41.2%									Kodzhoff Area	
												Douglas Hwy Co	rridor

c. Is there a benefit to a specific census block district/neighborhood/school zone? If Yes, does it come at the detriment of another?

YES	NO

Details:

d. Is there a benefit to an individual, group of individuals, or business/organization? If yes, does that come at a detriment of others?

Details:

## Step Four: What solutions could remedy the legislation's implications in perpetuating systemic racism? Check all that apply:

Recommend additional public input be gathered (Neighborhood/census block meetings, assembly/ committee meetings)
Recommend that the legislation move forward with accountability measures (sunset provisions,
6 mo./annual review of impacts/implications for system racism.) to monitor impact.
Propose revised language to strengthen the legislation or the legislation or regulations cross-
referenced within the proposed legislation.
Recommend the proposed legislation not move forward.
Other: (explain)

## Step Five: Further Feedback to the Assembly on systemic racism implications

The SRRC will forward to the Assembly any additional questions that arose during the legislation review that the committee feels may be important for the Assembly to consider.

If a systemic racism implication is identified, the SRRC will provide a written report to the Assembly that includes consideration of the provisions below:

What are the indicators and progress benchmarks? Program strategies? Policy Strategies? Partnership Strategies?