# Systemic Racism Review Committee Legislation Review Summary

Serial Number/Title: **Ordinance 2022-06(b)(AN)** An Ordinance Appropriating \$31,500 to the Manager as Funding for Phase 2 of a Building Survey and Inventory of the Juneau Townsite Historic Neighborhood; Grant Funding Provided by the Alaska Department of Natural Resources.

Introdu	nced: <u>02/27/2023</u> Public Hearing Date: <u>03/20/</u>	2023	SRRC	Review Date:_	02/2	8/2023	3
Presen	ted By: Manager	Drafted	Ву:	Finance			
Depart	ment/Division: <u>Community Development</u>	_	Lead St	aff Contact:	Jill N	laclean	<u> </u>
Purpos	e of Legislation (background/summary of intent)	:					
appro and d deter Regist credit	uneau Townsite Historic Neighborhood is a mixed between the Downtown and Chicken Ridge his priate \$31,500 of grant funding to survey and invocument their historical significance. This survey mine whether the Juneau Townsite Historic Neighter of Historic Places. The benefits of becoming a s and Historic Preservation Fund grants. These behabilitation and maintenance work.	storic dist ventory a will comp hborhood listed dis	ricts. T pproxii plete th d is elig trict in	his ordinance wately 42 structions arvey and in the survey and in the structure to be listed to	vould tures ir nventoi d on the Histori	n the ar ry and e Natio ic Tax	rea nal
Comn project with (	Community Development Department, City Musc nittee will partner with a historic architecture cor ct. Total project costs are estimated to be \$52,51 CBJ personnel service costs, for which expenditur (23 operating budget.	nsultant to 5. The 40	o perfo % loca	orm the scope of match require	of work ement v	vill be r	net
Connec	ction to existing legislation:						
Budge	upplemental appropriating ordinance, this ordinate Ordinance 2022-06(b). Phase 1 of the project wood (b) (am)(E).						
Connec	ction to adopted planning documents:						
Step O	ne: What is the impact of the proposed legislation	on?					
a.	Does the proposed legislation negatively impact racial/ethnic group or otherwise perpetuate system. If No, review is completed. If yes, go on to the results of the state of t	stemic rad	cism?	ntage a particu	ılar	YES	NO
b.	Does the legislation work to mitigate and/or eli	minate st	ructur	al racism	ſ		

If Yes, review is completed. If No, or Undetermined, continue through the remaining steps.

#### Step Two: How does the legislation perpetuate systemic racism?

- a. What are potential unintended consequences?
- b. What benefits may result?
- c. What is the potential long term impact of the proposed legislation?

d. What quantitative and qualitative evidence of inequality exists?

#### Details:

- e. What steps has the department or legislation sponsor taken to notify those impacted of the proposed changes?
- f. Have key stakeholders who could be potentially impacted by the proposed legislation been engaged?

Details: The Historic Resources Advisory Committee recommended CBJ staff apply for this grant at the August 2, 2022 meeting.

- g. Has public input been received?
- h. If public comment has been received, what is the substance of that comment?

Details: Public comment will be heard on March 20, 2023.

## Step Three: Who is affected by the Proposed Legislation?

a. Who are the impacted group(s)?

White	☐ Black	or African	American	☐ Ame	erican Indi	an or Alask	a Nativ	e
Asian [	☐ Native	Hawaiian (	or Pacific Is	slander	□Two or	more race	s 🗆 Ot	her

b. Are there impacts on specific geographic areas?

Rac	e Considerati	ons - Total Cor	mmunity is 69.7	7% White Only	- 30.3% Mir	ority			Econon Considera	
Census Tract/Block Groups	Minority	Census Trac	t/Block Groups	Minority	Census T	ract/Block G	iroups	Minority	Elementary School	Boundaries
	Pop.			Pop.				Pop.	Gastineau	Title 1
CT 1: Auke Bay/Out the Road		CT 3: Mende	enhall Valley Airpo	rt/ East Valley	CT 5: Dov	vntown			Harborview	Title 1
BG1: Out the road	11.9%	В	G1: N. of Jennifer	42.5%		BG 1: High	lands	20.6%	Glacier Valley	Title 1
BG2: Lena area	15.5%	В	G 2: Glacier Valley	\$ 39.8%		BG2: DT/St	tarr Hill	24.8%	Mendenhall River	
BG3: Montanna Cree	k 14.5%	В	G 3: Airport	40.8%		BG 3: Flats	/Village	30.8%	Riverbend	Title 1
BG4: Fritz Cove area	10.1%	В	G 4: Radcliffe	24.6%					Auke Bay	
CT 2: Mendenhall Valley with	the Loop	CT 4: Salmor	n Creek/Lemon Cre	ek					Lower Income Hou	sing Areas
BG1: Mendenhall Tal	27.8%	В	G 1: DZ/Freds	60.9%	CT 5: Dou	iglas Island			Chinook/Coho	
BG2: Upper Riverside	23.1%	В	G 2: Davis	45.0%		BG 1: Nort	h Douglas	15.9%	Cedar Park Area	
BG 3: Portage/McGin	r 33.7%	В	G 3: Belardi Costco	63.8%		BG 2: West	t Juneau	28.0%	Gruening Park Are	a
BG 4: Long Run	19.6%	B	G 4: Twin Lakes	25.9%		BG 3: Crow	Hill/ DT D	27.6%	Switzer Area	
BG 5:Glacierwood/Vi	r 41.2%								Kodzhoff Area	
									Douglas Hwy Corri	dor

# Step Four: What solutions could remedy the legislation's implications in perpetuating systemic racism? Check all that apply:

Recommend additional public input be gathered (Neighborhood/census block meetings, assembly/ committee meetings)
Recommend that the legislation move forward with accountability measures (sunset provisions,
6 mo./annual review of impacts/implications for system racism.) to monitor impact.
Propose revised language to strengthen the legislation or the legislation or regulations cross-
referenced within the proposed legislation.
Recommend the proposed legislation not move forward.
Other: (explain)

## Step Five: Further Feedback to the Assembly on systemic racism implications

The SRRC will forward to the Assembly any additional questions that arose during the legislation review that the committee feels may be important for the Assembly to consider.

If a systemic racism implication is identified, the SRRC will provide a written report to the Assembly that includes consideration of the provisions below:

What are the indicators and progress benchmarks? Program strategies? Policy Strategies? Partnership Strategies?