Systemic Racism Review Committee Legislation Review Summary

Serial Number/Title: **Ordinance 2022-06(b)(AM)** An Ordinance Appropriating \$2,000,000 to the Manager for the Aurora Harbor Improvements Capital Improvement Project; Grant Funding Provided by the Alaska Department of Transportation and Public Facilities.

Introduc	luced: <u>02/27/2023</u> Public Hearing Date: <u>03/20/2023</u> SRRC Review	Date:	02/28/2023				
Presente	nted By: Manager Drafted By: Finance	ce					
Departn	tment/Division: <u>Docks & Harbors</u> Lead Staff Contact:	Carl Uch	nytil				
Purpose	se of Legislation (background/summary of intent):						
Transp match million	ordinance would appropriate \$2 million of grant funds from the Alaska D sportation and Public Facilities' Municipal Harbor Facility Grants Program th is provided by the 2017 1% Sales Tax Initiative (\$1.5 million) and Harbon). This project would construct one main float in the north end of Aurommodate 48-foot and 60-foot vessels. Docks and Harbors anticipates a b	n. The requor fund bal ra Harbor	uired 50% local ance (\$1 with slips to				
Connect	ection to existing legislation:						
As a su	supplemental appropriation, this ordinance amends CBJ Budget Ordinance	ce 2022-06	<u>5(b)</u> .				
Connect	ection to adopted planning documents:						
Step On	One: What is the impact of the proposed legislation?						
	Does the proposed legislation negatively impact or unduly advantage a racial/ethnic group or otherwise perpetuate systemic racism? If No, review is completed. If yes, go on to the next question:	a particula	YES NO				
	Does the legislation work to mitigate and/or eliminate structural racism If Yes, review is completed. If No, or Undetermined, continue through the remaining steps.						
Step Tw	wo: How does the legislation perpetuate systemic racism?						
	a. What are potential unintended consequences?b. What benefits may result?c. What is the potential long term impact of the proposed legislation?	?					
Details	ils:						

	d.	What qu	antitative	e and o	qualita	ative ev	idenc	e of ined	quality	exists	?		
De	tails:												
	e. f.	propose	d change stakeho	s?								those impacte	
		he Docks a 23, 2023.	and Harb	or Boa	rd rec	omme	nded t	his actio	on at it	s regu	lar Boa	rd meeting on	
	g. h.	Has public	-				l, wha	t is the s	substa	nce of	that co	omment?	
De	tails: P	ublic com	ment will	be he	ard or	n Marcl	າ 20, 2	023.					
	b. Ar	Asian 🗆 I	pacts on	specif	ic geo	graphic	areas	;?		ore rac	es 🗆 C	Other Econo	mic
	кас	e Consideratio	ons - Total Co	ommuni	ty is 69.	/% White	Only - :	30.3% Min	ority	T	1	Consider	ations
nsus Tract/Block	Groups	Minority	Census Tra	act/Block (Groups	Minority		Census Tra	act/Block (Groups	Minority	Elementary Scho	
L: Auke Bay/Out	the Road	Pop.	CT 3: Men	denhall Va	lley Airpo	Pop. ort/ East Val	ey	CT 5: Dow	ntown		Pop.	Gastineau Harborview	Title 1 Title 1
BG1: Out the		11.9% 15.5%		BG1: N. of BG 2: Glac		42.5% \$ 39.8%			BG 1: High BG2: DT/S		20.6% 24.8%	Glacier Valley Mendenhall Rive	Title 1
BG3: Mont				BG 3: Airp	•	40.8%			BG 3: Flat		30.8%	Riverbend	Title 1
BG4: Fritz (Cove area	10.1%		BG 4: Rado	cliffe	24.6%						Auke Bay	
Mendenhall Va	alley withn	the Loop	CT 4: Salm	on Creek/	Lemon Cre	eek						Lower Income Ho	using Are
BG1: Mend				BG 1: DZ/F		60.9%		CT 5: Doug	glas Island	t D.	45.004	Chinook/Coho	
BG2: Uppe BG 3: Porta				BG 2: Davi BG 3: Bela		45.0% 63.8%			BG 1: Nor	th Douglas at Juneau	15.9% 28.0%	Cedar Park Area Gruening Park Ar	ea
BG 4: Long	Run	19.6%		BG 4: Twir		25.9%				v Hill/ DT [Switzer Area	
BG 5:Glacie	erwood/Vi	r 41.2%										Kodzhoff Area Douglas Hwy Cor	ridor
					1				1	1			
												Y	ES N
c.	Is	there a be	nefit to a	specif	fic cen	sus blo	ck dis	trict/nei	ighbor	hood/	school		
		Yes, does i		•					_	,			
		,	u	c u	- t			•				1	- 1

c. Is there a benefit to a specific census block district/neighborhood/school zone?

If Yes, does it come at the detriment of another?

Details:

d. Is there a benefit to an individual, group of individuals, or business/organization?

If yes, does that come at a detriment of others?

Details:

Step Four: What solutions could remedy the legislation's implications in perpetuating systemic racism? Check all that apply:

Recommend additional public input be gathered (Neighborhood/census block meetings, assembly/ committee meetings)
Recommend that the legislation move forward with accountability measures (sunset provisions, 6 mo./annual review of impacts/implications for system racism.) to monitor impact.
Propose revised language to strengthen the legislation or the legislation or regulations cross-referenced within the proposed legislation.
Recommend the proposed legislation not move forward.
Other: (explain)

Step Five: Further Feedback to the Assembly on systemic racism implications

The SRRC will forward to the Assembly any additional questions that arose during the legislation review that the committee feels may be important for the Assembly to consider.

If a systemic racism implication is identified, the SRRC will provide a written report to the Assembly that includes consideration of the provisions below:

What are the indicators and progress benchmarks? Program strategies? Policy Strategies? Partnership Strategies?