Systemic Racism Review Committee Legislation Review Summary

Serial Number/Title: **Ordinance 2022-06(b)(AO)** An Ordinance Appropriating \$6,600,000 to the Manager for the Areawide Trail Improvements, Adair-Kennedy Memorial Park, and Public Use Cabins Capital Improvement Projects; Funding Provided by General Obligation Bond Proceeds.

Introdu	uced: <u>02/27/2023</u> Public Hearing Date: <u>03/20/2023</u> SRRC Review Date: <u>02</u>	/28/2023
Presen	ted By: Manager Drafted By: Finance	
Depart	ment/Division: Parks & Recreation Lead Staff Contact: George Sch	naaf
Purpos	se of Legislation (background/summary of intent):	
Trail I projed replac	ordinance would appropriate \$6.6 million of general obligation bond proceeds for the mprovements, Adair-Kennedy Memorial Park, and Public Use Cabins capital improve cts. This funding provides for installation of an artificial field for baseball and softball cing the track surface at Adair-Kennedy Memorial Park, a new public use cabin, and a naintenance.	ment and
Connec	ction to existing legislation:	
	ance 2023-13 provides for the issuance and sale of the general obligation bonds. supplemental appropriation, this ordinance amends FY23 CBJ Budget Ordinance 2022	<u>'-06(b)</u> .
Connec	ction to adopted planning documents:	
Step O	ne: What is the impact of the proposed legislation?	
		YES NO
a.	Does the proposed legislation negatively impact or unduly advantage a particular racial/ethnic group or otherwise perpetuate systemic racism?	
	If No, review is completed. If yes, go on to the next question:	
b.	Does the legislation work to mitigate and/or eliminate structural racism If Yes, review is completed. If No, or Undetermined, continue through the remaining steps.	
Step Tv	wo: How does the legislation perpetuate systemic racism?	
	a. What are potential unintended consequences?	
	b. What benefits may result?c. What is the potential long term impact of the proposed legislation?	
Detail	lc·	

d. What quantitative and qualitative evidence of inequality exists?

_			
ı٦	Δt	้ลเ	Iς

- e. What steps has the department or legislation sponsor taken to notify those impacted of the proposed changes?
- f. Have key stakeholders who could be potentially impacted by the proposed legislation been engaged?

Details: This appropriation of project funding is consistent with the intent of the \$6.6 million general obligation bond package approved by voters in the October 4, 2022 municipal election.

- g. Has public input been received?
- h. If public comment has been received, what is the substance of that comment?

Details: Public comment will be heard on March 20, 2023.

Step Three: Who is affected by the Proposed Legislation?

a.	Who	are	the	impacted	ground	(5)	17
u.	***	arc	LIIC	IIIIpactcu	group	, ,	, :

\square White \square Black or African American	☐ American Indian or Alaska Native
☐ Asian ☐ Native Hawaiian or Pacific Is	slander \square Two or more races \square Other

b. Are there impacts on specific geographic areas?

	Rac	e Considerati	ons - Total Comm	nunity is 69.	7% White Only	- 30.3% Mir	ority			Econom Considerat	
Concue	Fract/Block Groups	Minority	Census Tract/B	lock Groups	Minority	Consus T	ract/Block (Sroupe	Minority	Elementary School	Roundario
Celisus i	Tact/ Block Gloups	Pop.	Celisus Hacty B	lock Groups	Pop.	Celisus	lacty block C	Jioups	Pop.	Gastineau	Title 1
CT 1: Aul	ke Bay/Out the Road	тор.	CT 3: Mendenh	all Valley Airpo		CT 5: Dov	vntown		ι ор.	Harborview	Title 1
C. 2.7.u.	BG1: Out the road	11.9%		N. of Jennifer	42.5%	0.5.50	BG 1: High	lands	20.6%	Glacier Valley	Title 1
	BG2: Lena area	15.5%	BG 2	: Glacier Valley	\$ 39.8%		BG2: DT/S		24.8%	Mendenhall River	
	BG3: Montanna Cree	k 14.5%	BG 3	Airport	40.8%		BG 3: Flats	s/Village	30.8%	Riverbend	Title 1
	BG4: Fritz Cove area	10.1%	BG 4	: Radcliffe	24.6%					Auke Bay	
CT 2: Me	ndenhall Valley with	n the Loop	CT 4: Salmon Cr	eek/Lemon Cre	eek					Lower Income Hou	sing Areas
	BG1: Mendenhall Tal	cı 27.8%	BG 1	: DZ/Freds	60.9%	CT 5: Dou	glas Island			Chinook/Coho	
	BG2: Upper Riverside	23.1%	BG 2	: Davis	45.0%		BG 1: Nort	th Douglas	15.9%	Cedar Park Area	
	BG 3: Portage/McGin	r 33.7%	BG 3	: Belardi Costco	63.8%		BG 2: Wes	t Juneau	28.0%	Gruening Park Area	1
	BG 4: Long Run	19.6%	BG 4	: Twin Lakes	25.9%		BG 3: Crov	v Hill/ DT D	27.6%	Switzer Area	
	BG 5:Glacierwood/Vi	ir 41.2%								Kodzhoff Area	
										Douglas Hwy Corrid	dor

c.	Is there a benefit to a specific census block district/neighborhood/school zone?
	If Yes, does it come at the detriment of another?

YES	NO

Details:

d. Is there a benefit to an individual, group of individuals, or business/organization? If yes, does that come at a detriment of others?

Details:

Step Four: What solutions could remedy the legislation's implications in perpetuating systemic racism? Check all that apply:

Recommend additional public input be gathered (Neighborhood/census block meetings, assembly/ committee meetings)
Recommend that the legislation move forward with accountability measures (sunset provisions,
6 mo./annual review of impacts/implications for system racism.) to monitor impact.
Propose revised language to strengthen the legislation or the legislation or regulations cross-
referenced within the proposed legislation.
Recommend the proposed legislation not move forward.
Other: (explain)

Step Five: Further Feedback to the Assembly on systemic racism implications

The SRRC will forward to the Assembly any additional questions that arose during the legislation review that the committee feels may be important for the Assembly to consider.

If a systemic racism implication is identified, the SRRC will provide a written report to the Assembly that includes consideration of the provisions below:

What are the indicators and progress benchmarks? Program strategies? Policy Strategies? Partnership Strategies?