### Systemic Racism Review Committee Legislation Review Summary

Serial Number/Title: **Ordinance 2022-06(b)(W)** An Ordinance Appropriating \$3,984,400 to the Manager for the City and Borough of Juneau's Fiscal Year 2023 Employee Negotiated Wage and Health Increases; Funding Provided by Various Sources.

Introd	uced: <u>11/21/22</u> Public	Hearing Date	e: <u>11/30/22</u>	SRRC	Review Da	te:	11/22	/22	
Presen	ted By: <u>Manager</u>		Drafted	l By:	Finance				
Depart	ment/Division: <u>CBJ-Wide</u>		Lead Staff Cont	act:	Jeff Roge	rs		_	
Purpos	e of Legislation (backgrour	d/summary o	of intent):						
and h Emplo emplo 5% in for a	ordinance would appropriate ealth increases for the Marbyees Association (PSEA), In Dyees. This appropriation for crease to the employer health increase to attorney same, as approved by the Association approved by the Association for the Market for th	rine Engineer International A Junds a 5.5% v Ilth contribut Ilaries and co	s Beneficial Association of Fire vage increase for cion. Additionally, ompensation incre	ciations Fighto all emp this or eases fo	(MEBA), Poers (IAFF), a poloyees in food appropriate the City N	ublic Sand un- iscal ye propria Manag	afety represo ear 202 ates au er and	ente 3 and thori City	d d a ity
Conne	ction to existing legislation:								
	Resolution 2995 ratified Association (PSEA) for FN Resolution 2996 ratified Beneficial Association (NResolution 3006 ratified of Fire Fighters (IAFF) fo As a supplemental appro 06(b).	(23-FY25. the labor ago (IEBA) for FY2 the labor ago (FY23-FY25.	reement between 23-FY25. reement between	ı CBJ ar ı CBJ ar	nd the Mari	ne Eng nation	ineers al Asso	ciati	on
Conne	ction to adopted planning o	locuments:							
Step O	ne: What is the impact of	the proposed	I legislation?						
							١	'ES	NO
a.	Does the proposed legislar racial/ethnic group or oth If No, review is completed	erwise perpe	etuate systemic ra	acism?	antage a pa	articula	ar		
b.	Does the legislation work If Yes, review is completed remaining steps.	•							

#### Step Two: How does the legislation perpetuate systemic racism?

- a. What are potential unintended consequences?
- b. What benefits may result?
- c. What is the potential long term impact of the proposed legislation?

Details:		

d. What quantitative and qualitative evidence of inequality exists?

## Details:

- e. What steps has the department or legislation sponsor taken to notify those impacted of the proposed changes?
- f. Have key stakeholders who could be potentially impacted by the proposed legislation been engaged?

Details: The Assembly approved the MEBA and PSEA negotiated labor contracts during the Regular Assembly meeting on July 11, 2022. The Assembly will consider the IAFF negotiated labor contract during the November 21, 2022 Regular Assembly meeting.

- g. Has public input been received?
- h. If public comment has been received, what is the substance of that comment?

Details: Public comment on this ordinance will be held on November 30, 2022.

#### Step Three: Who is affected by the Proposed Legislation?

a.	Who	are	the	ımpac	ted	l group	(S	) :
----	-----	-----	-----	-------	-----	---------	----	-----

$\square$ White $\square$ Black or African American	$\square$ American Indian or Alaska Native
☐ Asian ☐ Native Hawaiian or Pacific Is	slander $\square$ Two or more races $\square$ Other

b. Are there impacts on specific geographic areas?

Race Considerations - Total Community is 69.7% White Only - 30.3% Minority										Economic Considerations			
Census T	ract/Block G	roups	Minority	Census Tr	act/Block (	Groups	Minority	Census	Tract/Block G	Groups	Minority	Elementary S	chool Boundarie
			Pop.				Pop.				Pop.	Gastineau	Title 1
CT 1: Auk	ke Bay/Out th	ne Road		CT 3: Men	denhall Va	alley Airpo	rt/ East Valley	CT 5: Do	wntown			Harborview	Title 1
	BG1: Out the	e road	11.9%		BG1: N. of	Jennifer	42.5%		BG 1: High	lands	20.6%	Glacier Valley	Title 1
	BG2: Lena ar	ea	15.5%		BG 2: Glad	ier Valley	39.8%		BG2: DT/S	tarr Hill	24.8%	Mendenhall I	River
	BG3: Montar	nna Creek	14.5%		BG 3: Airp	ort	40.8%		BG 3: Flats	/Village	30.8%	Riverbend	Title 1
	BG4: Fritz Co	ove area	10.1%		BG 4: Rad	cliffe	24.6%					Auke Bay	
CT 2: Me	ndenhall Vall	ley withn	the Loop	CT 4: Salm	on Creek/	Lemon Cre	ek					Lower Income	Housing Areas
	BG1: Mende	nhall Tak	27.8%		BG 1: DZ/I	reds	60.9%	CT 5: Do	uglas Island			Chinook/Coh	o
	BG2: Upper I	Riverside	23.1%		BG 2: Dav	is	45.0%		BG 1: Nort	h Douglas	15.9%	Cedar Park Ar	ea
	BG 3: Portag	e/McGinr	33.7%		BG 3: Bela	rdi Costco	63.8%		BG 2: Wes	t Juneau	28.0%	Gruening Par	Area
	BG 4: Long R	un	19.6%		BG 4: Twi	n Lakes	25.9%		BG 3: Crov	v Hill/ DT [	27.6%	Switzer Area	
	BG 5:Glacier	wood/Vir	41.2%									Kodzhoff Are	a
												Douglas Hwy	Corridor

		ILJ	NO
C.	Is there a benefit to a specific census block district/neighborhood/school zone?		
	If Yes, does it come at the detriment of another?		
Details	S:		
	,		
d.	Is there a benefit to an individual, group of individuals, or business/organization?		
	If yes, does that come at a detriment of others?		
Details	S:		

VEC NO

# Step Four: What solutions could remedy the legislation's implications in perpetuating systemic racism? Check all that apply:

Recommend additional public input be gathered (Neighborhood/census block meetings, assembly/ committee meetings)
Recommend that the legislation move forward with accountability measures (sunset provisions,
6 mo./annual review of impacts/implications for system racism.) to monitor impact.
Propose revised language to strengthen the legislation or the legislation or regulations cross-
referenced within the proposed legislation.
Recommend the proposed legislation not move forward.
Other: (explain)

#### Step Five: Further Feedback to the Assembly on systemic racism implications

The SRRC will forward to the Assembly any additional questions that arose during the legislation review that the committee feels may be important for the Assembly to consider.

If a systemic racism implication is identified, the SRRC will provide a written report to the Assembly that includes consideration of the provisions below:

What are the indicators and progress benchmarks? Program strategies? Policy Strategies? Partnership Strategies?