Systemic Racism Review Committee Legislation Review Summary

Serial Number/Title: Ordinance 2022-21 An Ordinance Related to Property Tax Appeals and Codifying the Board of Equalization Rules of Procedure. Introduced: 11/21/22 Public Hearing Date: TBD SRRC Review Date: 11/22/22 Presented By: Manager Drafted By: Law Lead Staff Contact: Jeff Rogers/Rob Palmer Department/Division: Finance/Law Purpose of Legislation (background/summary of intent): This ordinance would put longstanding Board of Equalization (BOE) hearing procedures into CBJ Code, which will make these procedures more readily available to all interested persons—not just appellants. Additionally, this ordinance will establish a consistent process for exchanging information between appellants and the Assessor that will ensure the BOE has more time to thoroughly review parties' evidence prior to hearings. Connection to existing legislation: This will expand on CBJC 15.05.041-.210, which regard assessments and the Board of Equalization. State statute AS 29.45.200(b) requires the BOE's proceedings to be governed by "rules adopted by ordinance...." Connection to adopted planning documents: This relates to the 2022 Assembly Goals regarding a sustainable budget and organization. Step One: What is the impact of the proposed legislation? YES NO Does the proposed legislation negatively impact or unduly advantage a particular a. racial/ethnic group or otherwise perpetuate systemic racism? *If No, review is completed. If yes, go on to the next question:* b. Does the legislation work to mitigate and/or eliminate structural racism If Yes, review is completed. If No, or Undetermined, continue through the

Step Two: How does the legislation perpetuate systemic racism?

- a. What are potential unintended consequences?
- b. What benefits may result?

remaining steps.

c. What is the potential long term impact of the proposed legislation?

Details:								
d.	What qua	antitative and qualit	ative evi	dence of inec	uality exists	?		
Details:								
e. f.	proposed	ps has the departmed d changes? r stakeholders who c ?						
Details:								
g. h.	•	ic input been receive comment has been r		, what is the s	ubstance of	that co	mment?	
Details:								
		pacts on specific geo			ority		Economi Considerati	
							Considerati	0113
ensus Tract/Block Groups	Minority Pop.	Census Tract/Block Groups	Minority Pop.	Census Tra	ct/Block Groups	Minority Pop.	Elementary School E Gastineau	oundarie Title 1
1: Auke Bay/Out the Road		CT 3: Mendenhall Valley Airp					Harborview	Title 1
BG1: Out the road BG2: Lena area	11.9% 15.5%	BG1: N. of Jennifer BG 2: Glacier Valley			BG 1: Highlands BG2: DT/Starr Hill	20.6% 24.8%	Glacier Valley Mendenhall River	Title 1
BG3: Montanna Creek	14.5%	BG 3: Airport	40.8%		BG 3: Flats/Village	30.8%	Riverbend	Title 1
BG4: FILZ Cove area	10.1%	BG 4: Radcille	24.6%				Auke Bay	
2: Mendenhall Valley withn BG1: Mendenhall Tak		CT 4: Salmon Creek/Lemon Cr BG 1: DZ/Freds	reek 60.9%	CT 5: Doug	lac Island		Lower Income Housi Chinook/Coho	ng Areas
BG2: Upper Riverside	23.1%	BG 1: D2/Freds	45.0%		BG 1: North Douglas	15.9%	Cedar Park Area	
BG 3: Portage/McGinr		BG 3: Belardi Costo			BG 2: West Juneau	28.0%	Gruening Park Area	
BG 4: Long Run BG 5:Glacierwood/Vii	19.6% 41.2%	BG 4: Twin Lakes	25.9%		BG 3: Crow Hill/ DT [27.6%	Switzer Area Kodzhoff Area	
							Douglas Hwy Corrido	or
		nefit to a specific cer t come at the detrim			ghborhood/	school z	yes	NO
d. Is		nefit to an individual hat come at a detrim			or business	/organi:	zation?	

Details:

Step Four: What solutions could remedy the legislation's implications in perpetuating systemic racism? Check all that apply:

Recommend additional public input be gathered (Neighborhood/census block meetings, assembly/ committee meetings)
Recommend that the legislation move forward with accountability measures (sunset provisions,
6 mo./annual review of impacts/implications for system racism.) to monitor impact.
Propose revised language to strengthen the legislation or the legislation or regulations cross-
referenced within the proposed legislation.
Recommend the proposed legislation not move forward.
Other: (explain)

Step Five: Further Feedback to the Assembly on systemic racism implications

The SRRC will forward to the Assembly any additional questions that arose during the legislation review that the committee feels may be important for the Assembly to consider.

If a systemic racism implication is identified, the SRRC will provide a written report to the Assembly that includes consideration of the provisions below:

What are the indicators and progress benchmarks? Program strategies? Policy Strategies? Partnership Strategies?